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Abstract

The phylogenetic relationships of Hymenophyllum and its segregate genera Cardiomanes, Hymenoglossum, Rosenstockia, Serpylopsis and Microtrichomanes are addressed, using 31 morphological characters of the sporophyte and one cytological character. As expected, this study reveals considerable morphological heterogeneity within the genus sensu lato, but several apomorphic changes allow support for some clades. Four unresolved taxa, Cardiomanes, Hymenoglossum, Diplophyllum and Mecodium pro parte are probably the most basal elements in Hymenophyllum. The analysis also suggests the polyphyly of Mecodium, and two unexpected associations: Sphaerocionium together with Microtrichomanes; and a broad clade composed of subg. Hymenophyllum, Hemicyathaeon and Craspedophyllum, genera Rosenstockia and Serpylopsis, and subsect. Leptocionium and Amphipterum. These associations appear justified by morphological, cytological or geographical data, and most of them are in agreement with preliminary molecular results. To cite this article: S. Hennequin, C. R. Biologies 326 (2003).
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1. Introduction

The fern genus *Hymenophyllum s.l.* (Hymenophyllaceae, Filicopsida) includes more than 300 species distributed worldwide, mostly in tropical areas, but also in temperate humid areas. It is traditionally distinguished from the sister genus *Trichomanes s.l.* (hereafter called *Trichomanes*) by its bivalved sori, in contrast to the tubular sori of the latter. *Hymenophyllum s.l.* (hereafter called *Hymenophyllum*) is, however, notably variable in sorus morphology, and displays a continuum of shapes ranging from tubular to bivalved [1]. To accommodate the morphological variation observed, several pteridologists proposed a polygeneric classification [2–7] (see Table 1). Using a tree of relationships, Copeland [5,6] and Pichi Sermolli [7] however suggested affinities among their genera. Nevertheless, these systems were found inconvenient by Morton [8] and Iwatsuki [9,10] (see Table 1). According to Morton [8], even if a few species of the family appear intermediate between *Hymenophyllum* and *Trichomanes*, the majority could be placed unambiguously into one genus or the other. Both authors consequently proposed fewer genera for the family, and defined a precise and hierarchical classification by adopting most of Copeland’s genera as subgenera, sections, or subsections (Table 1). The problematic intermediate taxa include *Cardiomanes*, *Serpyllopsis* and *Microtrichomanes*. The first two are monospecific, and all three display tubular involucres. Two other taxa, *Hymenoglossum* and *Rosenstockia*, have clear affinities with *Hymenophyllum*, based on soral morphology, but display certain peculiarities that prompted some pteridologists to treat them as monotypic genera. Finally, the paucity of reliable characters for defining groups [4] also complicated the classification of the genus.

Phylogenetic studies have already been performed for the sister genus *Trichomanes s.l.* [11–13], and globally supported Morton’s system. More recently, molecular studies [14–16], have revealed the robustly supported monophyly of both genera *Hymenophyllum* and *Trichomanes*, with the inclusion of the segregate genera (*Cardiomanes*, *Hymenoglossum*, *Rosenstockia*, *Serpyllopsis*) and the section *Microtrichomanes* in the *Hymenophyllum* clade. The aim of this unprecedented morphological study is to confront the phylogeny inferred with the one obtained by molecular methods, and with the different classifications proposed in the past century.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Taxa

The operational taxonomic units (OTU) are Morton’s sections. Their position in the different classifications is summarized in Table 1, along with their distribution and the number of species they contain. The genera *Hymenoglossum*, *Serpyllopsis*, *Rosenstockia* and *Cardiomanes* were included in this study, as well as the genus *Microtrichomanes sensu* Copeland, whose species were placed by Morton in his ‘unclassified’ (unplaced sectional name) section *Flabellata*. The name chosen by Copeland was retained here. Two subsections were also selected, *Leptocionium* and *Amphipterum* (placed by Morton in *Sphaerocionium* and *Mecodium*, respectively), because they were treated as genera by Copeland [5,6]. The section *Mecodium* was divided into two groups, based on morphological observations and especially on cytological data, even though they are not available for all taxa. The first, named ‘*Mecodium* I’, is characterised by the chromosome number \( n = 28 \), and the second, named ‘*Mecodium* II’, by the chromosome number \( n = 36 \). This distinction allowed reduction of the observed polymorphism within the taxon.

A wide sampling of species representative of the morphological diversity and of the geographical range of the sections was studied to attribute character states to the OTUs. This represented more than one hundred and fifty species of *Hymenophyllum s.l.*, from diverse herbaria (*Muséum national d’histoire naturelle*, Paris (P); Natural History Museum, London (BM); Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew (K)) and a personal collection.
Table 1
Comparison of the classifications of Copeland [5,6], Morton [8], Pichi Sermolli [8] and Iwatsuki [9,10], with number of species in the studied section and distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genera</th>
<th>Sub-genera</th>
<th>Sections</th>
<th>Genera</th>
<th>Sub-genera</th>
<th>Sections</th>
<th>Number of species</th>
<th>Distribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hymenoglossum</td>
<td>Hymenoglossum</td>
<td>Hymenoglossum</td>
<td>Serpyllopsis</td>
<td>Serpyllopsis</td>
<td>Serpyllopsis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosenstockia</td>
<td>Rosenstockia</td>
<td>Rosenstockia</td>
<td>Plumosa</td>
<td>Pseudomecodium</td>
<td>Mecodium</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mecodium</td>
<td>Mecodium</td>
<td>Mecodium</td>
<td>Corrugatae</td>
<td>Diplophyllum</td>
<td>Mecodium</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Aus-NZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craspedophyllum</td>
<td>Craspedophyllum</td>
<td>Craspedophyllum</td>
<td>Pachylyma</td>
<td>Hymenophyllum</td>
<td>Hymenophyllum</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hymenophyllum</td>
<td>Hymenophyllum</td>
<td>Hymenophyllum</td>
<td>Eupeccium</td>
<td>Hymenophyllum</td>
<td>Hymenophyllum</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Ch-Arg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphipterum</td>
<td>Hymenophyllum</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>Amphipterum</td>
<td>Baesia</td>
<td>Baeisia</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>NT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buesia</td>
<td>Buesia</td>
<td>Buesia</td>
<td>Chilodion</td>
<td>Chilodion</td>
<td>Chilodion</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>P-Oc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meringium</td>
<td>Pycnhophyllum</td>
<td>Meringium</td>
<td>Myriodon</td>
<td>Myriodon</td>
<td>Myriodon</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myriodon</td>
<td>Myriodon</td>
<td>Myriodon</td>
<td>Hemicyatheon</td>
<td>Hemicyatheon</td>
<td>Hemicyatheon</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Aus, NC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemicyatheon</td>
<td>Hemicyatheon</td>
<td>Hemicyatheon</td>
<td>Leptocionium</td>
<td>Leptocionium</td>
<td>Leptocionium</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ch-Arg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spheeroconium</td>
<td>Spheeroconium</td>
<td>Spheeroconium</td>
<td>Spheeroconium</td>
<td>Spheeroconium</td>
<td>Spheeroconium</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apteroteris</td>
<td>Apteroteris</td>
<td>Apteroteris</td>
<td>Apteroteris</td>
<td>Apteroteris</td>
<td>Apteroteris</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Aus, NZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiomanes</td>
<td>Cardiomanes</td>
<td>Cardiomanes</td>
<td>Cardiomanes</td>
<td>Cardiomanes</td>
<td>Cardiomanes</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>NZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microtrichomanes</td>
<td>Microtrichomanes</td>
<td>Microtrichomanes</td>
<td>Microtrichomanes</td>
<td>Microtrichomanes</td>
<td>Microtrichomanes</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>PT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Included in Spheeroconium as a sub-section; (2) included in Mecodium as a sub-section; (3) including the sub-section Diplophyllum; (4) unique representative of the sub-family Cardiomanidae; (5) included in Trichomanes section Crepidomanes; (6) included in Trichomanes under the unplaced sectional name Flabellata; (7) distribution: A, Asia; Arg, Argentina; Aus, Australia; Ch, Chile; C, Cosmopolitan; NT, Neotropics; NC, New Caledonia; NZ, New Zealand; O, Oceania; P, Pantropics; PT, Paleotropics.
Table 2
Percentage of species studies per section (personal observations) and references used, in addition to those already cited in the text, for the coding of the characters and the preparation of the matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sections/subsections</th>
<th>Percentage of species observed</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cardiomanes</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>[27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hymenoglossum</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>[28,29]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serpyllopsis</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>[30]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hymenophyllum</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>[27–29,31–36,40,44]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baezia</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>[37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euspectum</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>[28,29]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ptychophyllum</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>[27,31–35,37,38,44,45]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myriodon</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>[37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craspedophyllum</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>[30,31]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemicyatheon</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>[31,35]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apteropteris</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>[27,29,35]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sphaerocionium</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>[27,32,33,35,38–46]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptocionium</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>[28,29]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphipterum</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>[37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mecodium</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>[27,30–35,38–45]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diplophyllum</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>[27,31,35]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microtrichomanes</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>[34,35,38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cephalomanes</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>[11,34]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pachychaetum</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>[11,40–42]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lacosteoglossis</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>[11,38,40–42]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Callisteropteris</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>[11,30,34]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

–, not calculated.

The list of these specimens is not shown (available on request), but the percentage of species studied for each section is given in Table 2, along with references from which additional data were extracted. According to preliminary molecular results [14], a probable outgroup, apart from Trichomanes, would be the monotypic Cardiomanes. This position was, however, not clearly supported by analyses based on rps4 and rps4-trnS data [15], and accordingly a sample of sections of Trichomanes was selected as outgroup. These four sections are considered to be among the most basal ones in Trichomanes (Dubuisson, pers. comm.).

2.2. Characters

Thirty-one morphological characters of the sporophyte and one cytological character were selected. These characters were acquired from personal observations and from literature (see Table 2). Uninformative characters were retained for their potential to distinguish morphologically similar taxa and in order to study their appearance in a phylogenetic context.

Some characters show different degrees of polymorphism. The utility of each character for the analysis is discussed in Table 3, with the coding of states. The final matrix is reported in Table 4.

2.3. Phylogenetic analyses

Cladistic parsimony analyses were carried out with beta test versions of PAUP* version 4.0b10w [17], run on a Power Macintosh G4. A Branch and Bound search was performed. To test the robustness of the nodes, decay indices were calculated using AutoDecay [18]. Characters were unordered a priori. Bootstrap procedures [19] were not performed in this study both due to the small size of the matrix, and from a cladistic point of view, it was considered more appropriate to discuss the characters present at the nodes. The polarity and evolution of each character were studied a posteriori using MacClade version 3.04 [20].

3. Results

The parsimony analysis yielded 4200 most parsimonious trees of 109 steps (CI = 0.761; RI = 0.822). Fig. 1 shows the strict consensus tree, with each node numbered (in bold front and circled) and decay indices indicated. Inferred evolution of characters and statistic results are described in Table 5.

3.1. Topology

By rooting with selected outgroups, Hymenophyllum s.l. (node 1 in Fig. 1) is retrieved as monophyletic with five apomorphic changes: the reduced to dorsiventral stele, the undeveloped base of the involucre, the half-immersed involucres, the presence of lateral veinlets, and the included receptacles. This implies the inclusion of Cardiomanes, Hymenoglossum, Rosenstockia, Serpyllopsis and Microtrichomanes in Hymenophyllum s.l. Within the ingroup, four basal taxa are in an unresolved position: Cardiomanes, Hymenoglossum, Mecodium II and Diplophyllum. Apart from these taxa, a clade (node 2) can be proposed, and is further divided in two groups. The first one (node 3, named ‘Sphaerocionium s.l.’) regroups Sphaerocionium, Apteropteris and Microtrichomanes. The second clade (node 5) is further divided in a branch leading to
Table 3
Morphological characters used in the cladistic analysis with character state coding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Character</th>
<th>State 0</th>
<th>State 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Texture of the lamina</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>reduced to teeth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of the rhizome</td>
<td>thin (&lt; 1 mm)</td>
<td>thick (≥ 1 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sori orientation</td>
<td>in the same plane as the lamina</td>
<td>perpendicular to the lamina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fusion of the valves</td>
<td>not fused</td>
<td>fused</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immersion of the sorus</td>
<td>wholly immersed</td>
<td>half-immersed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of the receptacle</td>
<td>thick</td>
<td>thin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shape of the receptacle</td>
<td>globose to claviforme</td>
<td>cylindrical or filiform</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fronds**

1. Texture of the lamina: normal (0); lamina reduced to teeth (1); lamina reduced to hairs (2). The lamina is very reduced and almost absent in two sections: in *Aiptopus* the lamina is reduced to stellate hairs, in *Myriodon* it is reduced to non vascularised teeth.
2. Thickness of the lamina: 1 cell thick (0); 2–4 cells thick (1). Members of the Hymenophyllaceae generally have a lamina that is one cell thick, lacking stomata. A few species display a lamina thicker, ranging from 2 (sometimes only partly) to 4 cells thick.
3. Frond size (lamina + petiole): large (> 15 cm) (0); small to medium (5–15 cm) (1); very small (< 5 cm) (2).
4. Margin denticulation: absent (0); present (1). The denticulation observed for some species of *Hymenophyllum* is not in relation with the venation, what is rare within ferns.
5. Frond fractality: simple (0); simply pinnatifide (1); fractal to very fractal (2).
6. Dark, thick and forked veins: absent (0); present (1). Within *Hymenophyllum s.l.*, one species, *Rosenstockia rolandi-principis*, shows peculiar veins on the segments, the outer curving in a marginal position.
7. Dark brown (not forking) veins: absent (0); present (1). Two sections, *Sphaeroecium* and *Microtrichomanes*, have a lamina usually brown green, with dark brown veins even in the youngest segments of the frond.
8. Accessory wings along the veins: absent (0); present (1).
9. Thin and long accessary wings (teeth-like): absent (0); present (1).
10. Black marginal cells: absent (0); present (1).
11. Cell walls: mostly thin and straight (0); mostly thick and wavy (1); thick and punctuated (2). Copeland [5] considered this character important for the distinction of his genera.
12. Simple pluricellular pale to brown red or hairs on fronds: absent (0); present (1).
13. Marginal setae: absent (0); present (1).
14. Simple pluricellular tube (as described above).
15. Denticulation of the lips: absent (0); present (1).
16. Marginal paired hairs: absent (0); present (1).
17. Diameter of the rhizome: thin (< 1 mm) (0); thick (≥ 1 mm) (1).
18. Frond fractality: pantotic (0); paratactic (1); epitactic (2).
19. Form of the stems: massive (0); reduced to dorsiventral (1); subcollateral (2). The rhizome of the Hymenophyllaceae includes a protostele, for which Ogura [47] defined different types: massive, reduced, dorsiventral, subcollateral, collateral, and extremely reduced.

---

**Soral characters**

The sorus of the Hymenophyllaceae, always in a marginal position, is composed of a receptacle bearing the sporangia, enclosed by a bivalved or cup-shaped indusium, called involucre.

19. Position of sori: pantotropic (0); paratropic (1); epitropic (2).
20. Position of sori on pinnae: on most segments (0); on short basal acroscopic segments on primary pinnae or secondary pinnules (1).
21. Sori orientation: in the same plane as the lamina (0); in a perpendicular plane to the lamina, arched at the base (1).
22. Face of the involucre: not developed (0); small base (1); large base (2); totally developed (3). In *Hymenophyllum s.l.*, the involucre is typically bivalved, the valves being a single cell thick. At the base of the involucre the tissue is thickened, forming a base [1], which has the shape of an arch when it is not developed. In some species traditionally described as tubular (e.g. *Cardiomanes, Microtrichomanes*), the tubular portion of the involucre is a single cell thick and thus not considered as the base of the involucre, while in *Trichomanes* the whole involucre is formed by a pluricellular tube (i.e. base totally developed). Some species in *Hymenophyllum s.l.* display an intermediate feature: the pluricellular base is widened, and forms a tube, which composes up to half of the involucre [1; personal observations].
23. Immersion of the sorus: sorus mostly immersed to pedicellate (0); half-immersed (1); entirely or nearly entirely immersed (2).
24. Fusion of the valves: not fused (0); partially fused (1). When the involucre is not totally immersed in the lamina, the valves may be fused as to develop a tubular base (as described above).
25. Lateral veinlets: absent (0); present (1). The sorus emerges from a vein, which extends in the receptacle. In some cases, this fertile vein can give rise to a branch running up each side of the sorus.
26. Denticulation of the lips: absent (0); present (1).
27. Length of the receptacle: included and very short (0); as long as the valves or slightly extruded (1); very extruded (2). In the family, sporangia develop on a receptacle with basipetal growth [21]. In *Trichomanes s.l.*, the receptacle has an indefinite growth, and is very extruded from the involucre. On the contrary, in *Hymenophyllum*, the receptacle is rarely longer than the size of the valves, but its length varies a lot between sections, and some of them display receptacles extruding a lot from the involucres.
28. Receptacle shape: globose to claviforme (0); capitulate (1); cylindrical or filiform (2).
Table 3 (Continued)

29. Position of the sporangia on the receptacle: receptacle not totally covered by sporangia (0); receptacle totally covered by sporangia (1). This character follows the distinction by Diem and Lichtenstein [29] of two types of receptacles: 1) receptacles totally (or nearly so) covered by sporangia, and 2) receptacles partly covered by sporangia, with the base naked.

30. Fertility of the receptacle: numerous sporangia (>20) (0); few sporangia (2–20) (1).

31. Sporangiophores: absent (0); present (1). According to Bower [21], the sporangia are uniform in type for both genera Trichomanes and Hymenophyllum. They are always short-stalked, but can be born on more or less prominent extensions of the receptacle, called sporangiophores.

32. Chromosome number: \( n = 36–72 \) chromosomes (0); \( n = 11–22 \) (1); \( n = 12–24 \) (2); \( n = 13–26 \) (3); \( n = 14–28–56 \) (4); \( n = 18–36 \) (5); \( n = 21–42 \) (6); \( n = 33 \) (7); \( n = 32 \) (8). Although cytological data are still lacking for several representatives of the family, the chromosome numbers reported for Hymenophyllum s.l. can be classified in several polyploid series: 11–22, 12–24, 13–26, 14–28, 21–42, 28–56 [48–54], 18–36 and 36–72 (the last two ones are considered independent). The chromosome numbers \( n = 32 \) and \( n = 33 \) are observed in Trichomanes.

Mecodium I, and a clade (node 6) regrouping all the remaining taxa. In this last clade (corresponding roughly to the subg. Hymenophyllum and allies, named ‘Hymenophyllum s.s.’), only a robust association including Rosenstockia, Hemicyatheon, Amphipterum, Ptychophyllum, and Myriodon (node 8) is suggested.

The clade corresponding to node 2 is supported by two synapomorphies (see Table 5): the diameter of the rhizome, which is less than 1 mm, and the protostele of the subcollateral type. Sphaerocionium s.l. (node 3) is monophyletic, with three apomorphic changes: the presence of dark brown veins and marginal sori (shared by Leptocionium) and the low number of sporangia (also in other sections). The association of Sphaerocionium and Apteropteris (node 4) is further supported by an exclusive autapomorphy: the presence of stellate hairs, and by the lack of lateral veinlets (also observed in Craspedophyllum s.s.). The clade regrouping Mecodium I and Hymenophyllum s.s. (node 5) shows two apomorphic changes: the cytological series 13–26 and cylindrical to filiform receptacles. Only one exclusive autapomorphy, the chromosome number \( n = 28 \), characterises Mecodium I. The large clade Hymenophyllum s.s. (node 6) is supported by five apomorphic changes: the denticulation of the margin (with reversals in Serpyllopsis and Craspedophyllum), the presence of simple pluricellular brown hairs, involucres with a thickened base, the position of sori in short acrosopic segments of pinnae, and the fusion of the valves (not in all taxa). With Eupsecticum excluded, the remaining clade (node 7) shows five apomorphic changes: the sori orientation in a perpendicular plane (with polymorphism in Ptychophyllum), the non-immersion of the involucre (with reversals in Ptychophyllum and Hemicyatheon), the denticulation of the lips of the involucre (with reversals in Craspedophyllum and in some species of Ptychophyllum, Hymenophyllum, Amphipterum, and Hemicyatheon), the receptacle totally covered by sporangia (reversal in Serpyllopsis) and the absence of sporangiophores. Finally, the clade regrouping Ptychophyllum, Myriodon, Hemicyatheon, Amphipterum and Rosenstockia (node 8) is supported by the chromosome number \( n = 21 \) (however chromosome numbers are unknown for Amphipterum and Hemicyatheon), by long and extruded receptacles (also found in Cardiomanes and Microtrichomanes), and by involucres with a large base (also present in Leptocionium). In contrast, the other sections of subg. Hymenophyllum and the subg. Craspedophyllum display a little base.

3.2. Evolution of characters

A posteriori evolution of the characters is reported in Table 5. Out of 24 informative characters, nine appear to be homoplasic changes: the thickness of the lamina, the size and fractality of the fronds, the thick and punctuated cell walls, the entirely immersed involucres, the presence of lateral veinlets and the size, the form and the fertility of the receptacles.

4. Discussion

In agreement with the preliminary molecular results [14–16], this study supports the inclusion, in Hymenophyllum, of the segregate genera Cardiomanes, Hymenoglossum, Rosenstockia and Serpyllopsis, and of the problematic section Microtrichomanes. A surprising result is the polytomy, at the base of the tree, of Cardiomanes, Hymenoglossum, Diplophyllum and Mecodium II. Because of their peculiarities, the first two have been treated as separate genera by Copeland, Pichi Sermolli, Morton and Iwatsuki, while...
Table 4
Data matrix with status of character. Details of characters and coding are discussed in Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxa</th>
<th>Morphological and cytological characters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hymenoglossum</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serpyllopsis</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosenstockia</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiomanes</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hymenophyllum</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esperitium</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buesa</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychophyllum</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myriodon</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apteropetris</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sphaerocionium</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptocionium</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craspedophyllum</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemicyathion</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphipterum</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mecodium I</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mecodium II</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diplrophyllum</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microtrichomes</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pachychaetaum</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lacotegeia</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cephalomenes</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Callistopteris</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Status

| U | I | I | I | I | U | I | U | U | U | I | I | I | I | U | I | I | I | I | I | I | I | I | I | I | I | I | I | I | I | I | I | I | I |

– = Inapplicable character; U = uninformative; I = informative.
Table 5
A posteriori evolution of characters in relation to the topologies of the most parsimonious trees and characters statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characters</th>
<th>Polarity and status of states</th>
<th>Nodes</th>
<th>CI</th>
<th>RI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Lamina texture</td>
<td>0 → 1 autapomorphy of Myriodon</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Lamina thickness</td>
<td>0 → 2 autapomorphy of Apteropteris</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Frond size (lamina + petiole)</td>
<td>0 → 1 Cardiomanes, Diplrophyllum, Mecodium II</td>
<td>0.875</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Margin denticulation</td>
<td>0 → 1 (reversals in Serpyllopsis, Craspedophyllum, Leptocionium; polymorphism in Hymenicyatheon and Amphipterum)</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Frond fractality</td>
<td>2 → 0 (0 is homoplastic apomorphy)</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Dark, thick and forked veins</td>
<td>0 → 1 autapomorphy of Rosenstockia</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Dark brown (not forking) veins</td>
<td>0 → 1</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Accessory teeth</td>
<td>0 → 1 autapomorphy of Buesia</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Accessory wings</td>
<td>0 → 1 autapomorphy of Amphipterum</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Black marginal cells</td>
<td>0 → 1 autapomorphy of Craspedophyllum</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Cell walls</td>
<td>0 → 1 (reversal in Serpyllopsis, Hymenophyllum)</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Simple pluricellular hairs</td>
<td>0 → 1</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Marginal setae</td>
<td>0 → 1</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Stellate hairs</td>
<td>0 → 1</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Marginal unicellular setae</td>
<td>0 → 1 autapomorphy of Microtrichomanes</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Marginal pair forked hairs</td>
<td>0 → 1 autapomorphy of Leptocionium</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Diameter of the rhizome</td>
<td>1 → 0</td>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 Anatomy of the stele</td>
<td>0 → 1 Hymenophyllum s.l.</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 Position of sori</td>
<td>1 → 0 autapomorphy of Cardiomanes</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Position of sori on pinnae</td>
<td>0 → 1</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0.857</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 Orientation of sori</td>
<td>0 → 1 (reversals in Hymenicyatheon, Ptychophyllum)</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>0.857</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 Base of the involucre</td>
<td>3 → 0 Hymenophyllum s.l.</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td>0.917</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 Immersion of the involucre</td>
<td>0 → 1 Hymenophyllum s.l.</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Fusion of the valves</td>
<td>0 → 1 (reversals in Buesia, Craspedophyllum; polymorphism in Hymenophyllum)</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 Lateral veinlets</td>
<td>0 → 1</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td>0.800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 Denticulation of the lips</td>
<td>0 → 1 (reversals in Craspedophyllum; polymorphism in Hymenophyllum, Hymenicyatheon, Amphipterum, and Ptychophyllum)</td>
<td>0.833</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 Length of the receptacle</td>
<td>2 → 1 Hymenophyllum s.l.</td>
<td>0.571</td>
<td>0.700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Receptacle shape</td>
<td>1 → 0 (polymorphism in Mecodium II)</td>
<td>0.857</td>
<td>0.857</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 Position of the sporangia</td>
<td>0 → 1 (reversal in Serpyllopsis)</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0.875</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Fertility of the receptacle</td>
<td>0 → 1 (1 is homoplastic apomorphy)</td>
<td>0.800</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5 (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characters</th>
<th>Polarity and status of states</th>
<th>Nodes</th>
<th>CI</th>
<th>RI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31 Sporangiophores</td>
<td>$0 \rightarrow 1$</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 Chromosome number</td>
<td>$0 \rightarrow 3$ (polymorphism in <em>Hymenophyllum, Craspedophyllum</em>)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$3 \rightarrow 6$ (polymorphism in <em>Psychophyllum</em>)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$3 \rightarrow 4$ autapomorphy of <em>Mecodium</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Strict consensus of 4200 MP trees. Values in bold front and circled are node numbers (used in Table 5); other values are Decay Indices. Names in bold front correspond to the genera separated from *Hymenophyllum* by Morton [8]. Names on the right correspond to the clades discussed. A, Asia; Arg, Argentina; Aus, Australia; C, Cosmopolitan, Ch, Chile; NC, New Caledonia; NT, Neotropics; NZ, New Zealand; Oc, Oceania; P, Pantropics; PT, Palaeotropics.

*Diplophyllum* and *Mecodium II* always belonged in *Mecodium* [5–10]. These taxa share characters that appear plesiomorphic for the genus: large fronds, a relatively thick rhizome, a protostele of the reduced or dorsiventral type, and the chromosome number $n = 36$, which is also reported in many species of *Trichomanes*. However, cytological data are still lacking for *Hymenoglossum*. Some of these basalmost taxa are also characterised by a thicker lamina than the one-cell thick one typically found in the family: the lamina is...
3–4-cell thick in Cardiomanes and bistratose, sometimes only partly, in Diplophyllum and in some species of Mecodium II. In addition, these taxa share an Austral to Australasian distribution: Hymenoglossum and some species of Mecodium II occur in southern Chile, Cardiomanes and Diplophyllum in New Zealand, and most species of Mecodium II in Australasian pacific regions (from New Zealand to Indonesia and Tahiti), possibly also in Africa. Bower [21] suggested that Cardiomanes, together with some large species of Hymenophyllum, such as H. dilatatum (type species of Diplophyllum), may be held as taking a central position in the family; this hypothesis is congruent with this analysis. Finally, the involucre of Cardiomanes, traditionally described as tubular, does not appear homologous to the involucres observed in Trichomanes: it is tubular only by complete immersion of the involucre, as the valves are not thickened.

Genus Sphaerocionion sensu Iwatsuki [9,10], Pichi Sermolli [7] or Copeland [5,6] is retrieved as monophyletic, but not subgenus Sphaerocionion sensu Morton [8], because of the exclusion of Leptocionium. This taxon, with a single species, H. dicranotrichum, from South Chile and Argentina, is problematic in having the marginal setae of Sphaerocionium sensu phyletic, but not subgenus Sermolli [7] or Copeland [5,6] is retrieved as mono-
salient, as sister group to Hymenophyllum s.s. A sister group to Hymenophyllum s.s. defined by Morton is retrieved as embedded phyllum s.s. morphomeristem is positioned at the base of the tree, close to Diplophyllum, and Mecodium I sister group to the ‘Hymenophyllum s.s.’ clade. Furthermore, the subsection Amphipterum defined by Morton is retrieved as embedded in Hymenophyllum s.s. The clade Mecodium I is supported by a single autapomorphy, which is the chro-
mosome number n = 28. Among the other features of Mecodium I are the glabrous fronds (while some species of Mecodium II display pale pluricellular simple hairs on axes), the entire margins and the bivalved sori with thin cylindrical and included receptacles. This Pantropical clade is based on H. polyanthos (Sw.) Sw. from South America, which was the type species attributed to Mecodium as a genus by Copeland [4], or as a subgenus by Morton [8] and Iwatsuki [9,10].

In his following treatments of the genus, Copeland [5, 6] however changed the type of Mecodium to H. sanguinolentum Forst., from New Zealand, the species that Presl [2] mentioned in proposing Mecodium as a nomen nudum. The choice of the type species of Mecodium appears all the more crucial since the chromosome number n = 28 (or n = 27) has always been reported for H. polyanthos, while H. sanguinolentum has a chromosome number of n = 36 and is thus here included in Mecodium II.

With regard to the remaining taxa, the grouping of the sections of subg. Hymenophyllum (Hymenophyllum, Buesia, Eupetinum, Ptychophyllum and Myriodont) by Morton [8] is retrieved. Furthermore, the phylogeny inferred indicates a much broader group than expected, including the genera Serpylopsis and Rosenstockia, the subgenera Hemicyatheon and Craspedophyllum and the subsections Leptocionion and Amphipterum. This clade regroups taxa from as distant locations as South America, Africa, Europe, Asia, and Oceania, but has nevertheless many representatives in the temperate rain forests of southern areas. Serpylopsis, from South Chile and South Argentina, has often been assumed to belong to Hymenophyllum s.l. [5–10, 24,25], although it displays a tubular involucre. With regard to Rosenstockia, endemic to New Caledonia, all authorities [6–10,24,26] agreed on its relation to Hymenophyllum, and more precisely to Ptychophyllum (Copeland’s Meringium), based on the sorus shape. However, only Iwatsuki has integrated it into his genus Hymenophyllum. The present analysis corroborates his treatment, and is in agreement with recent molecular studies [15,16].

The placement of Hemicyatheon (Australia, New Caledonia) close to Ptychophyllum is in agreement with Iwatsuki [9,10], who included it in his subg. Chilodium. As for Craspedophyllum (Australia, New Zealand), Copeland [5] and Iwatsuki [9,10] considered it to be related to Mecodium. Iwatsuki even integrated
it as section *Pachyloma* in his subg. *Mecodium*. Morton [8] proposed no affinities to other groups and attributed the subgeneric rank to the taxon. This study, showing *Crasedophyllum* embedded in *Hymenophyllum*, refutes all these treatments. Finally, the much debated subsections *Amphipterus* and *Leptocionium*, placed by Morton in respectively subg. *Mecodium* and *Sphaerocionium*, are retrieved as related to the subg. *Hymenophyllum*. This supports Copeland’s [5,6] suggestions and Iwatsuki’s [9,10] treatment.

As Copeland [4] noted, the genus *Hymenophyllum* appears at first to be quite homogeneous in comparison to *Trichomanes*. Nearly always epiphytic, *Hymenophyllum* displays pendant fronds, more or less widely distanced on thin long-creeping rhizomes. Nevertheless, when it is studied in more depth, the genus reveals considerable heterogeneity. This is illustrated by the shape of the involucre, the shape and size of the receptacle, the size of the fronds, etc. Among the characters used in this study, a few are autapomorphies and thus non-informative. Nevertheless, some informative characters are synapomorphies, or if homoplastic, however allow support to a clade. The constructed matrix thus contains structured homoplasy. Pichi Sermolli [7] stated that “probably we attach too great an importance to the characters of the sorus”. Indeed, the separation of two types of involucres (tubular/bivalved) is not clear, not only because there are intermediate cases where the involucre has an obconic or tubular base, but also because an involucre described as tubular is not always homologous to the tubular state in *Trichomanes*. In the present study, I consider that the involucre of *Cardiomanes* is different from that of *Serpyllopsis* and that both are different from that of *Trichomanes*. The sori of *Cardiomanes* and *Microtrichomanes* appear more similar. A combination of characters was thus used to describe the soral shape. The results suggest that totally bivalved (with no developed base) involucres may be a plesiomorphic character state for *Hymenophyllum*, with a single transition to involucres with a developed base. The base can then be further developed, leading sometimes to an almost tubular involucre.

The intercontinental southern hemisphere distributions observed for the basal taxa in *Hymenophyllum s.l.* point to possible ancient Gondwanan connections, and would thus corroborate Copeland’s [6] hypothesis of an austral origin for the family. Indeed, recent fern phylogenies [55,56] indicate that Hymenophyllaceae have been a distinct lineage at least by the Late Palaeozoic or Early Mesozoic, i.e. prior to the break-up of Gondwana. Derived taxa (*Sphaerocionium*, *Mecodium I*, *Ptychophyllum*) display a pantropical distribution, and, as suggested by Iwatsuki [57], the diversification of the family may have occurred in tropical areas. Nevertheless, it is difficult to discriminate the relative roles of dispersal and vicariance in ferns [58]. Probably both processes account for the wide distribution observed in *Hymenophyllum*, and a broader sampling is required for further historical and biogeographical assumptions.

## 5. Conclusion

This study, based on morphological characters, calls into question the previous classifications of the genus. The results are overall more in agreement, with some exceptions, with Iwatsuki’s [9,10] system than with Morton’s [8]. Many of Copeland’s [5,6] proposed associations are also supported, but his classification is not. Nevertheless, the high number of most parsimonious trees obtained and the poor support illustrate the limitations of the morphological data for the resolution of the systematics of the genus. The cytological data appear useful to justify some suggested relationships, but too many data are still lacking to exploit them fully. Further works should therefore include molecular characters, which may provide greater resolution of the phylogenetic relationships within the genus.
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