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Abstract

Anopheles squamifemur has been identified from CDC light trap collections carried out at Arca de Israel, a small community located in the extreme north-east of Bolivia (Pando Department) on the banks of the river Madera, on the border with Brazil. Anopheles costai and An. forattinii have been identified in place of An. mediopunctatus which has been removed from the Bolivian list of Anopheles species. The first identification of An. trinkae in Bolivia by Dr. J.C. Lien in 1984 is cleared. The presence of An. deaneorum in Bolivia has been confirmed by our mosquito captures carried out in Guayaramerín (Pando Department, north-east of Bolivia), a border city separated from the type locality of An. deaneorum, the Brazilian city of Guajara-Mirin, by the large Mamoré River. These new findings increase to 43 the total number of known Anopheles species for Bolivia for which an updated and partially annotated checklist is given. To cite this article: F. Lardeux et al., C. R. Biologies 332 (2009).

Résumé

Anopheles de Bolivie : Nouveaux signalements avec une liste d’espèces actualisée et commentée. Anopheles squamifemur a été identifié à partir de collectes faites avec des pièges lumineux de type CDC à Arca de Israel, une petite communauté située à l’extrême nord-est de la Bolivie (Département de Pando) sur les rives du fleuve Madera, à la frontière avec le Brésil. Anopheles costai et An. forattinii ont été identifiés en lieu et place d’An. mediopunctatus qui est supprimé de la liste des Anopheles de Bolivie. La première identification d’An. trinkae en Bolivie par le Dr. J.C. Lien en 1984 est précisée. La présence d’An. deaneorum en Bolivie a été confirmée par nos captures effectuées à Guayaramerín ( Département de Pando, nord-est de la Bolivie), une ville frontière séparée de la localité type d’An. deaneorum (la ville brésilienne de Guajara-Mirin) par le grand fleuve Mamoré. Ces nouveaux résultats augmentent à 43 le nombre des espèces d’Anophèles connus de Bolivie, pour laquelle une liste actualisée et partiellement commentée est donnée. Pour citer cet article : F. Lardeux et al., C. R. Biologies 332 (2009).
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1. Introduction

Mosquito species that transmit Plasmodium parasites to humans belong to the genus Anopheles. In 2004, the genus Anopheles comprised six subgenera totaling 484 species: Anopheles (189 species), Cellia (239 species), Kerteszia (12 species), Lophopodomyia (6 species), Nyssorhynchus (33 species) and Stethomyia (239 species) [1]. Only Cellia is absent from South America where a provisional total of 85 Anopheles species were identified [2]. Taxonomical reorganizations are frequent, especially in Neotropical Anopheles. For example, in the Nyssorhynchus subgenus, intraspecific variations of morphological traits (that often exceed differences between species) have provoked in-depth taxonomical research, the constant discovery of new species and frequent modifications in the Anopheles classification [3]. These reorganizations are also the consequences of new molecular approaches to taxonomy that have led to the discovery of new species, mainly sibling or cryptic ones. As such, checklists are always provisional and evolve with time.

At a country level, a significant step toward the understanding of malaria transmission is to be acquainted with a catalog of local Anopheles species and the correct identification of vector species among the list. This local catalog may evolve with time as it is generally prepared gradually by sampling surveys, accumulated knowledge on the local mosquito fauna and the evolution of taxonomical tools.

Bolivia is characterized by the varying nature of its biogeographical units and a high level of species diversity [4,5]. Not surprisingly, the number of mosquito species recognized there so far is high (≈ 150) [2] and it is likely that new species (even for Science) will be discovered in this still poorly prospected country. Despite some founding works [4,6], the mosquito fauna of Bolivia remains partially unknown. In the present study, a new record of Anopheles squamifemur from Bolivia is reported; An. costai and An. forattinii are identified in place of An. mediopunctatus; the discovery of An. trinkae in 1981 is commented and the presence of An. deaneorum in Bolivia is specified. These new findings increase to 43 the total number of known Anopheles species for Bolivia for which an updated and partially annotated checklist is given.

2. New records: discovery and comments

2.1. Anopheles (Lophopodomyia) squamifemur Antunes, 1937

Three An. squamifemur specimens were collected on the 5th of September 2005 at Arca de Israel (Pando Department, Federico Roman Province, Municipality of Nuevo Manoa (Nueva Esperanza)) a small community located in the extreme north-east of Bolivia, on the banks of the river Madera, on the border with Brazil (Fig. 1). This small settlement was founded in the year 2000, but is at present the most populated of the area (∼ 250–300 inhabitants grouped in ∼ 70 houses). The area is characteristic of the humid Amazonian forest (thermicity index 710–740 °C, ombrothermic index 4.5–6.5 mm °C−1 and dry season ombrothermic index 0.5–2.3 mm °C−1), at a mean elevation of ∼ 120 m [5]. Two CDC light-traps were placed inside and outside a house (S10°15′40″S, 65°19′11″W, altitude 117 m) located outside of the community center, on the road to Nueva Esperanza, between 18:00 h and 6:00 h next morning. The site was surrounded by the Amazonian forest. One An. squamifemur female was captured inside the house along with two An. oswaldoi, while outside, two An. squamifemur females were collected along with four An. darlingi, four An. oswaldoi and one An. triannulatus. The presence of the characteristic tuff of long, erect black scales on the distal third of hind femurs permitted the unambiguous classification of the specimens as An. squamifemur.

The monotypic Subgenus Lophopodomyia was established in 1937 by Antunes with An. squamifemur as the type species [7]. At present, along with An. squamifemur, five other species belong to this strictly Neotropical Subgenus [1]: An. gilesi (Peryassú 1908); An. gomezdelatorre Levi-Castillo, 1955; An. oiketorakras Osorno-Mesa, 1947; An. pseudobianmaculatus Galvão and Barreto, 1941; and An. vargasi Gabaldón, Covagarcia and López, 1941. From the Lophopodomyia Subgenus, only An. gilesi has been previously recorded from Bolivia [8,9] (Table 1).

Adults of the six species of this Subgenus are morphologically very close to those of the Anopheles Subgenus and are generally best distinguished by examining the male genitalia [10,11], except for An. squamifemur for which a special distinguishing mark exists:
Table 1
Checklist of the *Anopheles* and their presence in Departments of Bolivia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subgenus</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Beni</th>
<th>Chuquisaca</th>
<th>Cochabamba</th>
<th>La Paz</th>
<th>Oruro</th>
<th>Pando</th>
<th>Potosi</th>
<th>Santa Cruz</th>
<th>Tarija</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anopheles</td>
<td>apicimacula Dyar &amp; Knab, 1906</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* costa* Fonseca &amp; Ramos, 1939</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* eiseni* Coquillet, 1902</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* flavimensis* Root, 1927</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* forattini* Wilkerson &amp; Sallum, 1999</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* intermedius* (Peryassú, 1908)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* maculipes* (Theobald, 1903)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* mattogrossensis* Lutz &amp; Neiva, 1911</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* neomaculipalpus* Currie, 1931</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* peryassui* Dyar and Knab, 1908</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* pseudopunctipennis* Theobald, 1901</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* punctimacula* Dyar and Knab, 1906</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* shannoni* Davis, 1931</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* tibiamaculatus* (Neiva, 1906)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerteszia</td>
<td>bambusicolus Komp, 1937</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* boliviensis* (Theobald, 1905)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* braziliensis* Dyar &amp; Knab, 1908</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* homunculus* Komp, 1937</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* laneanus* Correa &amp; Cerqueira, 1944</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* lepidotus* Zavortink, 1973</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>neivai Howard, Dyar &amp; Knab, 1913</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lophopodomyia</td>
<td>gilei (Peryassú, 1908)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* squamifemur* Antunes, 1937</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nyssorhynchus</td>
<td>* albítarsis* s.s.; Lynch Arribalzaga, 1878</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* argyritarsis* Robineau-Desvoidy, 1827</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* benarrochi* Gabaldón, Cova-García &amp; López, 1941</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* braziliensis* (Chagas, 1907)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* darlingi* Root, 1926</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* deaneorum* Rosa-Freitas, 1989</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* evansae* (Brethes, 1926)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* kondleri* Galvão &amp; Damasceno, 1942</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* luzii* Cruz, 1901</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* marajoara* Galvão &amp; Damasceno, 1942</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* nevezovari* Gabaldón, 1940</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* oswaldoi* (Peryassú, 1922)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* parvus* (Chagas, 1907)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* rangel* Gabaldón, Cova-García &amp; López, 1940</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* rondoni* (Neiva et Pinto, 1922)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* strodei* Root, 1926</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* triannulatus* (Neiva and Pinto, 1922)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* triknai* Faran, 1979</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stethomyia</td>
<td>nimbus (Theobald, 1902)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Species present at least in one of the Bolivian collections at INLASA or Escuela Técnica de Salud.

(? Indicates that in the past the species has been mentioned in the Department (by [4] in particular) but that the present authors do not agree with that distribution. The species is not present anymore and therefore either it has disappeared from the region, either the species could have been misidentified at that time.

(1) *An. (Nyssorthynchus) galvaoi*, *An. (N.) lanei* and *An. (Kerteszia) bellator* have not been added to this list (see text).

(2) Doubtful presence; probably confused with *intermedius*.

(3) *An. allopha* Peryassú, 1921 (*nomen dubium*) as described by Faran & Linthicum (1981) is also present.

(4) Identified by molecular tools (Brelsfoard et al., 2005), not yet described, close to *oswaldoi*.

(5) Various mutant forms exist in Bolivia, at least *triannulatus davisi* (see text).
both sexes show a characteristic tuff of long, erect black scales on slightly less than distal third of hind femurs that permits an easy and undoubted identification. In the Neotropical region, *An. squamifemur* is the only *Anopheles* species exhibiting such scale tufts on its hindlegs. Other *Anopheles* species are known to exhibit tufts of scales on their legs such as all the species of the *Lophoscelomyia* Series (Subgenus *Anopheles*) from the Hindu-Asianic region and the Malaysian species *An. wellingtonianus* Alcock, 1912 (Subgenus *Anopheles*, Series *Anopheles*).

The description of *An. squamifemur* female was first published by Antunes [7], then the male was described [12] and later the larva, pupa and egg [13]. All stages of *An. squamifemur* can easily be distinguished from the other species of the *Lophopodomyia* Subgenus [13]. However, using usual identification keys [14,15], the 4th stage larvae would be misidentified as *An. (Anopheles) eiseni* which is also present in Bolivia (Table 1). However, *An. squamifemur* larvae can be easily differentiated by the presence of a palmate tuft hair on the first abdominal segment (seta 1-I) and a generally shorter size as compared to *eiseni* [13].

*An. squamifemur* is also known from Brazil (States of Para and Amazonas, close to the Venezuelan border [12,16] and State of Rondonia, frontier with Bolivia [17,18]), Colombia [7] from where comes the holotype, Costa Rica [19], French Guiana [20], Panama [21], Peru [22], Suriname [23] and Venezuela [24].

*Lophopodomyia* mosquitoes are sylvatic species, biting man and animals at twilight and their aquatic stages are passed in shaded side pools of streams rich in organic matter [25]. *An. squamifemur* follows these rules: it has always been captured in tropical humid forest areas [[18,20,21,26], among others]. It is, for example, widespread and locally common in the Atlantic coastal forests of the Isthmus of Panama [13]. Little is known of the bionomics of *An. squamifemur*. Immature stages are mainly collected in ponds [27]. Adults were also collected in Panama by horse-bait traps [13,29] and light traps [21,26], in a forest area of French Guiana [20] and at the borders of forests using animal baits at twilight [30]. *An. squamifemur* may bite humans [13], but nothing is known on its importance as a *Plasmodium* vector. It is probably limited, due to generally low densities of this mosquito in areas where human populations are also scarce.

In Bolivia, the surroundings of the collection site were similar to the few descriptions of other adult collecting sites elsewhere [18,20,21,26,30]. The Bolivian collecting site was situated in a characteristic Amazonian semi-evergreen seasonal forest (Fig. 1) and it is likely that *An. squamifemur* may extend its distribution range in Bolivia throughout the extension of this biogeographic region in that country.


These two species belong to the *Anopheles* subgenus (Series Arribálzagia) and are very closely related to *An. mediopunctatus*. *An. costai* has been recently resurrected from synonymy with *An. mediopunctatus* [31], while *An. forattinii* has been recently described [32]. These three species are morphologically similar and therefore were misidentified as *An. mediopunctatus* for several years in South America, as a consequence of identifications based only on adult female characters which could not discriminate [31]. *An. costai* and *An. forattinii* females are undistinguishable, and apparently undistinguishable from *An. mediopunctatus* except by the shape of the cercus [31,32]. Differences amongst the three species are more marked in male genitalia, pupae and larvae. In Bolivia, where the usual keys of Gorham et al. [9,15] or Stojanovich et al. [14] are routinely used to identify *Anopheles* species, *An. costai* and *An. forattinii*, absent from these keys, were *de facto* confused with *An. mediopunctatus*. The correct identification of these two species in Bolivia was recently carried out by mounting larvae collected during the “Bolivian Vectors Mapping Project” and using the differentiating characters from [31,32]. Both species were first identified in the Cochabamba Department, Chapare Province, Municipality of Villa Tunari: *An. forattinii* from the Moledo locality and *An. costai* from the Villa 14 de septiembre locality (Fig. 1). However, only a few larvae from these two localities were classified undoubtedly as *costai* or *forattinii*. Other several tens of larvae examined from five other localities of the Chapare Region (Aroma, Moledo, San Mateo Bajo, San Rafael, Villa 14 de septiembre) and two localities from the Tariju Department in the south of Bolivia (Sachapera in the Municipality of Yacuiba (Gran Chaco Province), and Valle Dorado in the Municipality of Padcaya (Arce Province)) (Fig. 1) presented a mixture of characters that prohibited a clear
classification. They shared characters of both species, even amongst the most discriminating ones (i.e., the antenna length/width, setae 3C, 4C, 9C, 12C, 14C, 7P, 13P, 2S and 1-II) [31]. To sum up the determination results, some larvae shared more characters with forattini (in particular in the south of Bolivia), while others more with costai. However, none were very close to mediopunctatus s.s. although sharing some of its characters (on setae 8P for example). If costai, forattini and mediopunctatus are really separated species and not mutant forms, some larvae from Bolivia may represent something else characterized by a mixture of
forattinii. The shape of the cercus (elliptical for adults) was examined using the discriminating character, but always in areas of low altitude (< 600 m), in ecoregions such as Southwest Amazonia and in the lower parts of the Yungas and the Tucumano-Bolivian Forest (Fig. 1). An. mediopunctatus s.l. can be involved in malaria transmission [31]. However, in Bolivia, because of the rarity and low densities of An. costai and An. forattinii and the low human densities where these species have been found, their role as a malaria vector might be limited.

2.3. Anopheles (Nysshorynchus) deaneorum

This species was captured during surveys we carried out in 2002–2003 in Guayaramerín, a city of ≈ 35,000 inhabitants on the banks of the Mamoré River, a river ≈ 1 km wide, on the border with Brazil (Fig. 1). Guayaramerín is facing its “sister” Brazilian city (Guajara-Mirin) of similar size, and from which An. deaneorum was first described and included in the albitarsis complex [34]. Adults An. deaneorum captured in Guayaramerín were distinguished from the closely related species An. albitarsis s.s. also present in the samples by a paler general external appearance for An. deaneorum, and the insertion of postlateral tufts of black scales appearing from the third tergite for An. albitarsis and from the fourth tergite for An. deaneorum [34]. The presence of An. deaneorum in Guayaramerín was also reported shortly before us in 2002 [35], but it has been impossible to examine specimens from these collections and therefore the presence of the species could not be confirmed at that time.

An. deaneorum is one of the five species of the albitarsis complex, along with albitarsis s.s., albitarsis sp. B, albitarsis sp. E and An. marajoara [36–38]. In Bolivia, it is likely that An. deaneorum has been confused for several years with An. albitarsis s.s. because of their very close morphological appearance. In other countries, species of the albitarsis complex are known to transmit Plasmodium sp., but further research is needed to ascertain the malaria vector status of each of these cryptic species and in particular the role of the three (An. deaneorum, An. albitarsis s.s. and An. marajoara) that have been identified in Bolivia (Table 1). In the past, An. albitarsis has also been divided in two closely related species: An. albitarsis and An. allopha [39]. Some specimens labelled An. allopha were found in the collection of pinned adults at Escuela Técnica de Salud Boliviano–Japonés de Cooperación Andina (Cochabamba). Although the two species might be morphologically distinguished [39], An. allopha is now in a nomen dubium [2,40]. However, Bolivian specimens matched the description of both “species” (An. allopha and An. albitarsis) as described by Faran and Linthicum [39].
2.4. Anopheles (Nyssorhynchus) trinkae Faran, 1979

The history of An. trinkae in Bolivia was made possible by the examination of apocrypha literature, mainly internal reports of Dr. Lien (a former collaborating scientist) to the Bolivian Ministry of Health from 1980 to 1985, and held in the Entomology Laboratory at Escuela Tecnica de Salud Boliviano–Japonés de Cooperación Andina (Cochabamba, Bolivia) [41]. An. trinkae has been described in 1979 and its presence in Bolivia was at that time hypothesized [42]. Its real identification in Bolivia was confirmed by Lien in 1981 [43]. Unfortunately this discovery went unnoticed because of the unavailability of the local Bolivian journal where it was published. Lien, who at that time worked in Bolivia with one of us (RR), first identified An. trinkae from San Mateo Bajo (S 17.0165, W 65.4378, Altitude 351 m), a small community in the Chapare Province of the Cochabamba Department, by a careful examination of larvae and adults reared in the laboratory.

An. trinkae belongs to the Albinanus Section of the Nyssorhynchus Blanchard Subgenus of Anopheles. In this Section, it is classified in the Oswaldoi Subgroup of the Oswaldoi Group of the Oswaldoi Series [44]. The Subgroup comprises eleven species [1] of which two: An. rangeli Gabaldón, Cova García and López, 1940 and An. nuneztovari Gabaldón, 1940 (or more precisely the nuneztovari complex) are morphologically very close to An. trinkae. As such, the adult females of An. trinkae, An. nuneztovari and An. rangeli can sometimes be confused due to intraspecific variability and the paucity of reliable differentiating characters [39]. As a consequence, before 1979, An. trinkae was misidentified as An. nuneztovari or An. rangeli. In Bolivia, where the three species are present (Table 1), the latter misidentification was the rule. Since the identification of An. trinkae in Bolivia, its presence has been confirmed by molecular tools [45,46]. In Bolivia, it has a wide distribution range from the Andes (tropical areas) in the Departments of La Paz (Yungas region), Cochabamba (Chapare region), Santa-Cruz and Tarija, to the Amazonian region in the Departments of Pando and Beni (to a lesser extent) (Fig. 1). This species is also known to occur along the eastern slope of the Andes in Colombia [42], Ecuador [42] and Peru [47]. It is known as a poor malaria (P. vivax) transmitter [39,44,47]. In Bolivia, it is suspected to be a malaria vector in some localities of the Chapare region of the Cochabamba Department, along with An. species C [48]. However, because of its feeding behavior (mostly zoophilic) and its low susceptibility to Plasmodium infection, its role as an efficient (and locally important) vector in Bolivia still remains to be clarified.

3. Updated checklist of Anopheles of Bolivia and comments on some species

The establishment of the checklist was based on (1) examination of collected material from the “Bolivian Vectors Mapping Project” carried out since 2005 at Instituto Nacional de Laboratorios de Salud (INLASA), La Paz (Laboratorio de Entomología Medica, curators TC and LT) and from previous collected material from collections at Escuela Técnica de Salud Boliviano–Japonés de Cooperación Andina, Cochabamba (Laboratorio de Entomología Medica, curator RR), and (2) on previously published but incomplete lists [4,6–10,15,41,49–55], amongst the most significant.

The Anopheles checklist for Bolivia is given in Table 1 with a provisional distribution of the species within the nine Departments of Bolivia. The Department of Oruro lacks the presence of any Anopheles species because of the ecological conditions in this Altiplanic region (> 3500 m altitude, mean annual temperature 11 °C). The Department of Potosi shares the same general ecological conditions but has some parts of its northern territory in the dry valley ecosystem of the Andes spur where only An. pseudopunctipennis can be found. Elsewhere, the Anopheles diversity is variable, but higher areas with a tropical or sub tropical climate, at altitudes 200–700 m: Amazonian region of Pando and Beni Department, Yungas region of La Paz Department, Chapare region of the Cochabamba Department, “Wet Chaco” of the Tarija Department, principally in the Carapari/Yacuiba area etc.). The five subgenera of South America are present in Bolivia. The subgenus Anopheles is represented by 14 species, and the subgenera Kerteszia, Lophopodomyia, Nyssorhynchus and Stethomyia by 7, 2, 19 and 1 species, respectively. A total of 43 Anopheles species are identified in Bolivia of which 28 are preserved in at least one of the current Bolivian collections kept at the above mentioned Institutions.

The presence in Bolivia of some of the listed species is still questionable. In Bolivia, An. apicimacula is probably confused with An. intermedius [15]. As a matter of fact, An. apicimacula is essentially a “northern” species of South America, with confirmed literature records from Colombia, Ecuador, Guyana, Mexico, Suriname, Trinidad and Tobago, Central America and Venezuela [2]. Likewise, An. punctimacula could be An. calderoni, described from Peru (Bolivia’s neighbour country) [56] and where An. punctimacula was erroneously identified.
It could also be *An. malefactor*, which was retrieved from synonymy with *An. punctimacula* [57]. Because we have not had access to Bolivian specimens of “*An. punctimacula*”, either from Bolivian collections or from our captures, these doubts could not be removed. *An. neivai* is another species never captured again in Bolivia although mentioned (with an interrogation point) in the “Catalog of the Mosquitoes of the World” [4].

*An. pseudopunctipennis* is known to be a species complex comprised of at least three species [58], with numerous subspecies and varieties [2]. In Bolivia a larval form (“short tail”) sympatric with the standard form is under study (Lardeux, unpublished data). This form can be distinguished by a very short black tail (in opposition to the long black tail of the standard description) in each posterior spiracular plate of L4 larvae. In the past, the species has also been mentioned in the Beni Department (Moxos y Vaca Diez Provinces) [4]. However, these Provinces are far from the known geographical distribution range of that species in Bolivia (mainly in the foothills of the Andes) and therefore, the presence of *An. pseudopunctipennis* in the two above mentioned Provinces of the Beni Department is doubtful and has never been confirmed since.

*An. darlingi* is also known to exhibit morphological variations [59] and in Bolivia, a form sympatric with the standard one has been discovered in Guayaramerín. It exhibits a lack of prehumeral and humeral pale costal spots on its wings as compared to a standard specimen and as such, the first third of the costa is entirely black. However, the “black” form could not be separated from the standard one by molecular analysis (Lardeux, in prep.). In the past, *An. darlingi* has been identified from the Gran Chaco Province of the Tarija Department (very few individuals in one single location) [4]. However, despite numerous efforts we were unable to recapture this species again in that region and there is a reasonable assumption that this species is nowadays absent from the Gran Chaco area. In Bolivia, its distribution area is clearly the Southwest Amazonia Eco-region in the north of the country, and in the west, areas border with Brazil.

Recently in the Cochabamba Department, an apparently new to Science species, very close to *An. oswaldoi*, has been identified by molecular analysis and provisionally named “species C” [55]. It is very common in the Chapare and Carrasco Provinces (in or near the foothill regions at 200–500 m above sea level close to the locality of Chimoré) and is suspected to be a vector of *Plasmodium vivax* in that region, because of its abundance and the absence of other possible vectors (except *An. trinkae*) [48]. This species has been added to the list, though its description has not yet been published.

*An. (Nyssorhynchus) triannulatus* is closely related to *An. rondoni, An. benarrochi* and *An. strodei* also present in Bolivia (Table 1). It is known to be highly polymorphic, with numerous mutant forms [44] and even cryptic species [60,61]. However, two subspecies are generally recognized: *An. triannulatus triannulatus* and *An. triannulatus davisi* [62]. The principal characteristics used to distinguish *t. davisi* from *t. davisi* are: (1) larval hair 1-P filamentous and with more branches than in *davisi*, (2) females smaller than that of *davisi*, (3) adult wing with sectoral dark spot of Cu larger and reaching the furcation, and (4) IV hind tarsomer generally all black in *t. triannulatus* and white in its distal part in *t. davisi*. According to the key of Consoli and Lourenço-de-Oliveira [63], most Bolivian larvae from various geographical sites belong to the *triannulatus davisi* form (i.e., 4th instar larva with seta 1-P leaflets lanceolate, and long lateral arms of the spiracular apparatus). However, variations exist and many specimens exhibit mixed characteristics of the various described forms. For example, larvae from the north of the country (Pando and Beni Departments) exhibit large lateral arms of the spiracular apparatus while in some (but not all) places in the south (for example, the locality of Tatarenda, [Tarija Department, Gran Chaco Province, Yuacuiba Municipality]), these arms are very small in comparison, and make one think of a partial description of the *t. triannulatus* form. Similarly, adults can be divided into two distinct groups by their overall size. For example, in the north of Bolivia (Localities of Guayaramerín, Puerto Rico, etc.) and in the Chapare region in the center, *An. triannulatus* is a very small species (somewhat similar to the *t. triannulatus* form) while in the south of the country, the size of the adults is much larger. Moreover, inside these groups, other differences arise, such as the color of the IV hind tarsomer whose pattern varies from one region to another (for example, the “black” tarsomer form is more abundant in the south of Bolivia and the white one in the north, although some localities may exhibit “black” and “white” forms in sympatry). Some variations have also been noticed in the sectoral dark spots of Cu reaching or not the furcation and in the dark spots of Cu1, but without any relationship with the color of the IV hind tarsomer. However, even if *davisi* is the predominant form, observed variations and mixed characters account for more complex relationships that do not match with previous descriptions. In Bolivia the clarification of the various forms is under study (small and large adults, 4th instar larvae with long or very short lateral arms, “black” and “white” IV hind tarsomer etc. and specimens which exhibit mixed characters). The mix-
ing of characters of both *t. davisi* and *t. triannulatus* has also been noticed in Brazil [10], which might support the hypothesis that *triannulatus* is one single but variable species [44]. Recently, *An. halophylus* has been described from Brazil [64]. It is a very closely related species which differ from *An. triannulatus* by some 4\textsuperscript{th} instar larval characteristics (seta 1-P with very narrow and filiforme branches, and very small size of the lateral arms of the spiracular apparatus) and by the shape of the ventral lobe of the claspsette of the male genitalia. In Bolivia, larvae and male genitalia examined from several localities indicated that *An. halophylus* is not present (Localities sampled, from north to south of the country: Puerto-Rico [Pando Department]; Guayaramerín and Santa Teresa [Beni Department]; Puerto Grether [Ichilo Province, Santa Cruz Department]; Caiza, Tatarenda and Tierras Nuevas [Gran Chaco province, Tarija Department]) (Fig. 1).

The *albitarsis* complex is represented in Bolivia by the three species *albitarsis* s.s., *deaneorum* and *marajoara*. As stated above, some *Anopheles* specimen found in the Cochabamba collection also matched the description given by Faran and Linthicum [39] for *An. allopha*. However, *An. allopha* has been declared to be a *nomen dubium* [2,40] and since, its status has never been cleared [65]. Some recent works have dealt with the molecular identification of cryptic species in the *albitarsis* complex [38], and it would be interesting to process Bolivian “allopha” specimens with the published primers to clear their taxonomic status: do they belong to the *albitarsis* complex?, are they part of another cryptic species?, or are they a variety of *argyritarsis* as some authors seem to place “allopha” (see review in [65])? Because of the unclear taxonomic status of *An. allopha*, it was not maintained as a valid name in the Bolivian list of *Anopheles*.

Although three species have been cited for Bolivia in the past, they are not in the present list because (1) of the uniqueness of the sources that could not have been cross-verified and (2) we were unable to capture these species again in the field where they were reported or encounter them in one of the actual Bolivian collections. The first one is *An. (Nysshorhynchus) galvaoi* Causey, Deane & Deane, 1943, which has been listed only by Lien in a provisional list of Bolivian *Anopheles* [41], but we unfortunately did not find it in his collection at Escuela Técnica de Salud Boliviano–Japonés de Cooperación Andina (Cochabamba). The second one is *An. (Kerteszia) bellator* Dyar et Knab, 1906, listed again by Lien but absent from his collection. Larvae may also have been collected in the Chapare region of the Cochabamba Department [66]. However, the species has never been captured again in the mentioned Chapare spots and, moreover, the larval sites described [63] did not match the standard larval site description for that species. It is thus likely that *An. bellator* has been misidentified. The third one is *An. lanei*, identified once by Lien [41]. However, *An. lanei* is probable an endemic species of Serra da Mantiqueira, state of São Paulo, Brazil [67] and therefore, its presence in Bolivia is very doubtful.

4. Conclusion

Bolivia is still a poorly prospected country for its entomofauna and it is likely that new species (even for Science) will be discovered there. New molecular tools will help in better understanding the *Anopheles* classification, and better identifying species which differ only in subtle morphological characteristics that are not always easy to track with the current taxonomical identification keys. The actual *Anopheles* checklist for Bolivia will evolve, gaining in diversity and also in precision with the work required to remove all the above-mentioned doubts.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank especially J.L. Laura and Renata Salas from INLASA (La Paz), who participated in our field collecting trips but also helped a lot in the identification of species (especially *An. costai* and *An. forattini*) and in the study of the various forms of *An. pseudopunctipennis*, *An. darlingi* and *An. triannulatus*.

The authors would also like to thank all the personnel who participated in the field collecting trips planned within the “Bolivian Vectors Mapping Project” and particularly E. Quispe (INLASA) who placed the CDC light traps in which *An. squamifemur* was identified. The other participants to the project who, unluckily, did not collect *An. squamifemur* because they were not at the right place at the right moment, did in fact a great job both in the field while capturing mosquitoes as well as in the laboratory curing the collection: (alphabetical order in each participating Institution): *INLASA*: C. Aliaga, P. Durán, V. Quispe, R. López, R. Tejerina, Roberto Salas, E. Sînani, E. Zegarra; *Escuela Técnica de Salud Boliviano–Japonés de Cooperación Andina*: D. Ribera; *Servicio Departamental de Salud Tarija* (*SEDES Tarija*): M. Gallardo, B. Mollo, J. Vidaurre. A special thank to Dr. A. Matías (formerly entomologist at Health Ministry of Bolivia) who preserves in his collection the specimens of *An. squamifemur* collected by E. Quispe.
The authors thank W. Tejeda (Universidad Mayor de San Andrés, La Paz) who draw the map of Bolivia in Fig. 1, and S. Featherston for correcting the English spelling.

References


[37] M.A. Lehr, C.W. Kilpatrick, R.C. Wilkerson, J.E. Conn, Cryptic species in the Anopheles (Nyssorhynchus) albitalis (Díptera:
Culicidae) complex: Incongruence between Random Amplified
Polymorphic DNA-Polymerase Chain Reaction Identification
and analysis of mitochondrial DNA COI gene sequences, Ann.

[38] C. Li, R.C. Wilkerson, Identification of Anopheles (Nys-
sorhynchus) albitarsis complex species (Diptera: Culicidae) us-
ing rDNA internal transcribed spacer 2-based polymerase chain

species of Anopheles (Nyssorhynchus) (Diptera: Culicidae),

[40] K.J. Linthicum, A revision of the Argyritarsis section of the
subgenus Nyssorhynchus, of Anopheles, Mosq. Syst. 20 (1988)
99–271.

de Bolivia sobre el desarrollo de actividades en entomología
Boliviano-Japonés de Cooperación Andina, Laboratorio de En-
tomología, Avenida Aniceto Arce 440, Cochabamba, Bolivia,
1985.

[42] M.E. Faran, Anopheles (Nyssorhynchus) trinkae, a new species
in the Albimanus Section (Diptera: Culicidae), Mosq. Syst. 11

[43] J.C. Lien, Investigaciones entomológicas realizadas durante el

[44] M.E. Faran, Mosquito Studies (Diptera, Culicidae) 34. A revi-

[45] G.N. Fritz, H. Bermudez, J.A. Seawright, Genetic differentiation
and diagnostic loci of Anophelesananzetovari, An. trinkae, and
672.

[46] G.N. Fritz, S. Engman, R. Rodriguez, R.C. Wilkerson, Identifica-
tion of four vectors of human Plasmodium spp. by multi-
plex PCR: Anopheles rangeli, An. strodei, An. triannulatus, and
An. trinkae (Diptera: Culicidae: Nyssorhynchus), J. Med. Ento-

[47] J. Haye, G. Calderon, R. Falco, V. Zambrano, Newly incrimi-
nated anopheline vectors of human malaria parasites in Junin
Department, Peru, J. Am. Mosq. Contr. Assoc. 3 (1987) 418–
422.

[48] R. Paudel, Molecular identification, distribution and ecology
of larval malaria mosquitoes in Carrasco/Chapare Valleys, Bo-
livia, MSc Thesis, Eastern Illinois University, Charleston, Illi-
nois, 2005.

[49] A. Martinez, Anopheles (Kerteszia) laneanus Corrêa y
Cerqueira, 1944, nueva especie para la entomofauna boli-

[50] A. Martinez, A. Prosen, Nuevos Culicidos para las entomofaunas
de Argentina, Bolivia y Paraguay, Mis. Est. Path. Reg. Arg. 83–
84 (1953) 27–32.

[51] M.R. Borda, Distribución geográfica de Anofelinos en Bolivia,

[52] J.N. Belkin, R.X. Schick, S. Heinemann, Mosquito studies
(Diptera, Culicidae) XI. Mosquitoes originally described from
Argentina, Bolivia, Chile, Paraguay, Peru, and Uruguay, Contrib.

[53] K.L. Knight, A. Stone, A Catalog of the Mosquitoes of the
World, vol. VI, Thomas Say Foundation, Entomological Society

[54] E.L. Peyton, D.R. Roberts, F.P. Pinheiro, R. Vargas, F. Valder-
rama, Mosquito collections from a remote unstudied area of

[55] C.L. Breilsford, G.N. Fritz, R. Rodriguez, DNA-based meth-
ods for identifying malaria mosquitoes: Species-diagnostic Poly-
merase Chain Reaction assay for the identification of Anopheles

[56] R.C. Wilkerson, Anopheles (Anopheles) calderoni n. sp., a
malaria vector of the Arribilzagia series from Peru (Diptera: Cul-

[57] R.C. Wilkerson, Rediscription of Anopheles punctimaculata and
An. malefactor (Diptera: Culicidae), J. Med. Entomol. 27 (1990)
225–247.

[58] M. Coetzez, J.G. Estrada-Franco, C.A. Wunderlich, H. Hunt,
Cytogenetic evidence for a species complex within Anopheles
pseudopunctipennis Theobald (Diptera: Culicidae), Am. J. Trop.

[59] R.E. Harbach, D.R. Roberts, S. Manguin, Variation in the hind-
tarsal markings of Anopheles darlingi (Diptera: Culicidae) in

[60] T.F. Silva-do-Nascimento, Estudo taxonômico e notas sobre a bi-
ologia de Anopheles triannulatus (Neiva & Pinto, 1922) de oito
localidades, MSc Thesis, Instituto Oswaldo Cruz, Fiocruz, Rio

[61] T.F. Silva-do-Nascimento, R.C. Wilkerson, R. Lourenço-de-
Oliveira, R. Monteiro, Diagnose de três espécies cripticas sim-
patricas de Anopheles (Nyssorhynchus) triannulatus (Neiva &
Pinto, 1922) (Diptera: Culicidae) de Salobra (MS), Brasil cen-
tral, através de análise aloenzimática de RAPD-PCR, XIX Con-
greso Brasileiro de Parasitologia, Rev. Pat. Trop. 34 (Supl. Esp.)
(2005).

[62] A.L.A. Galvão, J. Lane, Observações sobre alguns anofelinos de
Salobra, Mato Grosso (Diptera, Culicidae), Rev. Biol. Hyg. 11
(1941) 10–18.

[63] R.A.G.B. Consoli, R. Lourenço-de-Oliveira, Principais mosqui-
tos de importância sanitária no Brasil, Fiocruz, Rio de Janeiro,
1994.

[64] T.F. Silva-do-Nascimento, R.C. Wilkerson, R. Lourenço-de-
Oliveira, R. Monteiro, Diagnose de três espécies cripticas sim-
patricas de Anopheles (Nyssorhynchus) triannulatus (Neiva &
Pinto, 1922) (Diptera: Culicidae) de Salobra (MS), Brasil cen-
tral, através de análise aloenzimática de RAPD-PCR, XIX Con-
greso Brasileiro de Parasitologia, Rev. Pat. Trop. 34 (Supl. Esp.)
(2005).

[65] A.L.A. Galvão, J. Lane, Observações sobre alguns anofelinos de
Salobra, Mato Grosso (Diptera, Culicidae), Rev. Biol. Hyg. 11
(1941) 10–18.

[66] R.A.G.B. Consoli, R. Lourenço-de-Oliveira, Principais mosqui-
tos de importância sanitária no Brasil, Fiocruz, Rio de Janeiro,
1994.

[67] T.F. Silva-do-Nascimento, R.C. Wilkerson, R. Lourenço-de-
Oliveira, R. Monteiro, Diagnose de três espécies cripticas sim-
patricas de Anopheles (Nyssorhynchus) triannulatus (Neiva &
Pinto, 1922) (Diptera: Culicidae) de Salobra (MS), Brasil cen-
tral, através de análise aloenzimática de RAPD-PCR, XIX Con-
greso Brasileiro de Parasitologia, Rev. Pat. Trop. 34 (Supl. Esp.)
(2005).

[68] P. Ibisch, G. Mérida, Biodiversidad: La riqueza de Bolivia, Min-
isterio de Desarrollo Sostenible. Editorial FAN, Santa Cruz de la
Sierra, Bolivia, 2003.