

Genetics/Génétique

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Comptes Rendus Biologies



www.sciencedirect.com

Analysis of genetic variability in *Aristaeomorpha foliacea* (Crustacea, Aristeidae) using DNA-ISSR (Inter Simple Sequence Repeats) markers

Maria Victoria Fernández^{a,b}, Ferruccio Maltagliati^c, Federica G. Pannacciulli^b, Maria Inés Roldán^{a,*}

^a Laboratori d'Ictiologia Genètica, Universitat de Girona, Campus de Montilivi, 17071 Girona, Spain ^b Marine Environment Research Centre, ENEA-S. Teresa, PO Box 224, 19100 La Spezia, Italy

^c Dipartimento di Biologia, Università di Pisa, Via Derna 1, 56126 Pisa, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 30 April 2011 Accepted after revision 12 July 2011 Available online 7 September 2011

Keywords: Marine decapod Aristaeomorpha foliacea Genetic variability Gene flow DNA-ISSRs

ABSTRACT

This work reports the first genetic data of *Aristaeomorpha foliacea*, a marine decapod of high commercial value, from six Mediterranean localities and one new fishing ground in the Mozambique Channel. The use of five Inter Simple Sequence Repeat (ISSR) primers provided 150 polymorphic loci. Average estimates of genetic diversity did not significantly differ among sampled localities, with a mean value of heterozygosity $H = 0.105 \pm 0.015$. Analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) allocated > 98% of genetic variability to the within-sample component, displaying values higher than those previously reported in ISSR studies on marine invertebrates. Cluster analyses did not detect geographically or genetically distinct groups. The observed lack of large-scale genetic differentiation is discussed in relation to the high potential for larval dispersal of the species and to features of the marker employed.

© 2011 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

* Corresponding author.

The giant red shrimp, *Aristaeomorpha foliacea* (Risso 1827), is an important economic resource exploited in the Mediterranean Sea since 1959 [1]. Recently, a new fishing ground in the Mozambique Channel has been established [2]. In the Mediterranean Sea, the species inhabits muddy bottoms of the continental slope between approximately 100 and 1200 meters depth [3,4] and its geographical distribution is described as patchy with abundances higher in the Eastern than in the Western basin of the Mediterranean Sea [5]. Due to its economic relevance, several aspects of its biology, ecology and fisheries potential have been investigated [3,6 and cites therein,7]. However, as far as the authors' knowledge is concerned, no genetic studies have been conducted on population genetics issues on this species.

In this work, we tested the suitability of Inter Simple Sequence Repeats (ISSRs) as molecular markers for

E-mail address: marina.roldan@udg.edu (M.I. Roldán).

A. foliacea, in order to give an insight into genetic variability and structure of the species. The molecular technique of ISSRs relies on the amplification of DNA fragments between two closely and inversely oriented microsatellites [8]. ISSRs do not require any previous knowledge of the species' genome, as primers comprise a short representation of the repeated motif plus one to three degenerated anchoring nucleotides. Given that microsatellites are randomly spread along the genome, amplifications of ISSRs are multilocus and polymorphic [8]. Since the first application of ISSRs in the marine realm on the bivalve *Gemma gemma* [9], these markers have been further implemented in genetic studies of other marine invertebrates [10–13].

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Sampling and DNA extraction

A total of 303 adult specimens of *A. foliacea* were sampled from six locations of the Mediterranean Sea and one location in the Western Indian Ocean (Mozambique

1631-0691/\$ - see front matter © 2011 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.crvi.2011.07.005

Channel) (Fig. 1). Mazara del Vallo (MAZ) and Portopalo (PPA) samples were purchased from local fishermen. The specimens from Ibiza Channel (IBI, 39° 02' N, 02° 39' E) and Indian Ocean (MOZ, 25° 57' S, 34° 38' E) were supplied by the Instituto Español de Oceanografia; those from the Aegean Sea (AEG, 37° 17' N, 22° 53' E) and Ionian Sea (ION, 37° 31' N, 21° 22' E; MEDITS08) were provided by the Hellenic Centre for Marine Research, and that from the Tyrrhenian Sea (TYR, 42° 28' N, 9° 43' E; MEDITS08) was provided by the IFREMER. DNA extraction followed the procedure outlined in Fernández et al. [14]. Genomic DNA and a 25 ng/µl subsample for amplification were diluted in ddH₂O and preserved at -4°C.

2.2. Inter Simple Sequence Repeat

Thirteen ISSR primers were preliminary tested: IT 1, IT 2, IT 3, SAS 1, SAS 2, SAS 3, SAS 4, SAS 5var, SAS 6, PT 1, UBC 811, UBC 827 and UBC 809 ([12 and references therein], except for SAS 5var that was newly designed). Primers IT 1, IT 2, IT 3, SAS 2, SAS 3 (Table 1) yielded clear banding patterns that could be scored with confidence and they were chosen for subsequent analysis. All PCRs were carried out in a 10 μ l final volume, containing ~2.5 ng of template DNA, 0.5 U *Taq* DNA Polymerase (EuroTaq by EuroClone), 1 × PCR buffer (EuroClone), 200 μ M of each dNTP (Sigma), 0.4 μ M of primer (Sigma-Genosys), 2 mM (for IT 1, IT 2, IT 3) and 2.5 mM (for SAS 2, SAS 3) of MgCl₂ (EuroClone) and

 H_2O to bring to volume. Amplifications were performed in a thermal cycler GeneAmp PCR System 2700 (Applied Byosystems) under the following conditions: 3 min of initial denaturation at 94 °C, followed by 35 cycles of 40 sec for denaturation at 94 °C, 45 sec for annealing at 55 °C (for IT 1, IT 2, IT 3) or 60 °C (for SAS 2, SAS 3) (Table 1) and 1 min and 40 sec for extension at 72 °C, followed by 5 min final extension at 72 °C. For each primer and PCR, replicates and negative controls were included in the amplification in order to verify consistency of results. Procedure for electrophoresis and visualization of PCR products were as in Pannacciulli et al. [13]. The size of ISSR fragments obtained varied between 300 and 1500 bp (Table 1).

2.3. Data analysis

ISSRs are interpreted as dominant diallelic markers with the dominant allele determining the presence of band [8]. Hence, dominant homozygotes and heterozygotes produce presence of band, whereas absence of band is indicative of recessive homozygotes. According to this interpretation, our dataset was constituted by a presence/ absence (1/0) matrix of 150 loci and 295 individuals. When a specimen did not amplify for one primer, data were considered as missing for the bands corresponding to that primer. Taking into account that ISSRs are random markers, it is necessary to check that all loci behave as neutral, so as to confidently apply all subsequent statistical



Fig. 1. Sampling locations of Aristaeomorpha foliacea in the Western Mediterranean (WM): 1: Ibiza Channel, 2: Tyrrhenian Sea, 3: Mazara del Vallo; in the Eastern Mediterranean (EM): 4: Portopalo, 5: Ionian Sea, 6: Aegean Sea; and in the Indian Ocean: 7: Mozambique Channel.

Primer Sequence Tm (°C) Ta (°C) N° of polymorphic bands Size range (bp) IT 1 5'-(CA)8GT-3' 54 60 34 300-1500 29 IT 2 5'-(CA)8AC-3' 54 60 375-1500 IT 3 5'-(CA)8AG-3' 54 60 26 300-1500 SAS 1 5'-(GTG)₄GC-3' 48 No products SAS 2 5'-(CTC)₄GC-3' 48 55 31 400-1500 SAS 3 5'-(GAG)4GC-3' 48 55 30 300-1500 No products SAS 4 5'-(CAC)5GC-3' 58 SAS 5var 5'-(GTG)5TC-3' 52 No products No products SAS 6 5'-(AT)8G-3' 56 _ PT 1 5'-(GT)₈C-3' 54 No products **LIRC 811** 5'-(GA)8C-3' 52 No products _ **UBC 827** 5'-(AC)8G-3' 52 No products UBC 809 No products 5'-(AG)8G-3' 52 _

Inter Simple Sequence Repeat (ISSR) primer names and sequences tested in the analysis of *Aristaeomorpha folia*cea, with details on the melting temperature (Tm), annealing temperature (Ta), number of polymorphic bands and range of molecular weight in base pairs (bp) of each primer amplified by PCR.

models. The probability that a locus departs from the
distribution of F _{ST} under a neutral model was assessed with
Bayescan 1.0 [15]. This method relies on estimates of
genetic structure among sampling sites with the rationale
that loci under positive selection present a significantly
higher genetic differentiation than the large part of neutral
loci over the whole genome, whereas loci under selection
show lower differentiation. After 10,000 iterations, Bayes-
can determined that nine of the 150 loci were likely subject
to selection (Table 2). Those loci were, therefore, removed
from further analyses, reducing the dataset to 141 loci.
Discrete bands information was transformed into allele
frequencies using the Lynch and Milligan's [16] correction.
which assumes Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, as imple-
mented in TFPGA [17]. Nei's [18] genetic diversity (H) was
used to estimate within-sample genetic variability. Differ-
ences between Nei's <i>H</i> values were tested for significance
in all possible pairs of samples by <i>t</i> -tests of arcsine square
root transformed values [19]. Two-tailed <i>t</i> -tests were
employed, as there was no expected direction of differ-
ences in <i>H</i> values. Multiple tests were adjusted with
sequential Bonferroni adjustment with an initial α = 0.05
to correct for Type I error [20]. Weir and Cockerham's [21]
coancestry coefficient (θ), an unbiased estimator of F_{ST} ,
was calculated with TFPGA [17]. Gene flow was estimated
by Wright's [22] formula, $N_m = [(1/\theta) - 1]/4$, where N_m is the
number of effective migrants per generation. Furthermore,
the correlation between the matrices of pairwise values of
θ and minimal nautical distances in kilometres between
localities was tested with Mantel test [23] as implemented
in the IBDWS program [24]. ARLEQUIN 3.5 [25] was used to
carry out the analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA [26])
to examine the partitioning of genetic variation within
samples, among samples within geographical regions and
among geographical regions. As the samples from MAZ and
PPA lie close to the bordering line between Western and
Eastern Mediterranean basins, two alternative groupings
were tested: first, MAZ and PPA were both associated with
the Eastern basin; second, both localities were associated
with the Western basin. The significance of partitioning
was evaluated by using non-parametric permutation tests
with 10,000 replicates [26]. Nei's [27] genetic distance and
Cavalli-Sforza and Edwards' [28] chord distances between
localities were calculated with GENDIST. Cavalli-Sforza
with 10,000 replicates [26]. Nei's [27] genetic distance and Cavalli-Sforza and Edwards' [28] chord distances between localities were calculated with GENDIST. Cavalli-Sforza

and Edward's [28] was used to construct a Neighborjoining tree [29] with NEIGHBOR. CONSENS was used to create a consensus tree after 1000 replicates with SEQBOOT. All these programs are included in PHYLIP package [30]. Alternatively, data from specimens were pooled into populations by creating a matrix of 149 loci and 7 localities (Appendix 1 (Supplementary Material)). Autapomorphies (1 locus) and those loci which were present in all localities (61) were removed from the matrix, creating a final dataset of 79 polymorphic loci and 7 localities. This dataset was used to run PAUP v. 4.0b10 [31] in order to infer Wagner and Fitch [32,33] and Dollo's [34] parsimony, using heuristic search and tree bisection reconnection branch-swapping algorithm with hundred random sequence addition. Robustness of trees was tested using bootstrap analysis [35] with 1000 replicates. Species' genetic structuring was further investigated by means of an individual based approach, as implemented in STRUC-TURE 2.3.1 [36,37], in order to avoid the artefacts due to the assumption of predefined populations [38]. The underlying population structure was inferred by the Bayesian modelbased clustering algorithm applying the admixture model with independent allelic frequencies [39]. This method allows to identify the number of clusters of genetically related individuals (K) from multilocus genotypes, irrespective of their geographical location. The method described in Evanno et al. [40], which retrieves the highest hierarchical structure present in the data, was employed to accept the correct K-value. For each value of K ranging from one to the maximum number of local samples considered, five independent runs were performed, each consisting of 100,000 iterations after a burn-in period of 100,000. Consistency of results across replicated runs in providing the average value of the logarithm of posterior probability of data $\ln P(D)$ was considered to identify clusters of individuals.

3. Results

Only bands which produced consistent profiles in two amplification replicates were considered. Overall, 150 different bands, varying from 300 to 1500 bp were obtained with five primers across seven samples and 295 individuals. The number of polymorphic bands per

able 2 stimates of within-sample genetic diversity mplified specimens (n _A), Nei's [18] genetic dive , IT 2, IT 3, SAS 2, SAS 3).	(± standa :rsity (H), r	rd deviatic umber of s	on) and performance specimens which hav	e of each IS /e amplifie	SSR primer t d for all and	tested on / each prim	Aristaeomo Ier (n _T , n _{IT 1}	rpha foliac ,, п⊤ 2, п⊤ 3	ea for eacl 3, n _{SAS 2} , n _S	h locality ¿ _{AS 3}) and m	ınd total, v ımber of b	with the nu ands obtair	mber of spe red for all an	cimens (N), d each prim	number of er (Total, IT
Region Locality	z	nA	Н	n _T	Total	n _{IT 1}	IT 1	П _{IT 2}	П 2	n _{IT 3}	П 3	nsas 2	SAS 2	nsas 3	SAS 3
Western Mediterranean (WM)															
Ibiza Channel, Spain (IBI)	51	49	0.111 ± 0.107	35	133	45	33	44	24	48	23	41	28	49	25
Tvrrhenian Sea France (TVR)	48	48	0.101 ± 0.107	39	133	46	33	46	24	46	23	44	28	46	25

	ŝ
	e
\sim	+=
ē	- 8
~	.=
-	- 1
_	

1, IT 2, IT 3, SAS 2, SAS 3).															
Region Locality	z	ыA	Н	\mathbf{n}_{T}	Total	$n_{\rm IT}$ 1	IT 1	n _{IT 2}	П 2	n _{IT 3}	П 3	n _{SAS 2}	SAS 2	nsas 3	SAS 3
Western Mediterranean (WM)															
Ibiza Channel, Spain (IBI)	51	49	0.111 ± 0.107	35	133	45	33	44	24	48	23	41	28	49	25
Tyrrhenian Sea, France (TYR)	48	48	0.101 ± 0.107	39	133	46	33	46	24	46	23	44	28	46	25
Mazara del Valo, Italy (MAZ)	40	39	0.100 ± 0.113	32	117	39	27	37	17	37	22	36	26	39	25
Eastern Mediterranean (EM)															
Portopalo, Italy (PPA)	40	36	0.078 ± 0.099	20	105	27	26	34	16	28	17	26	24	31	22
Ionian Sea, Greece (ION)	38	37	0.084 ± 0.102	25	112	34	30	35	17	35	18	28	26	36	21
Aegean Sea, Greece (AEG)	44	44	0.110 ± 0.116	35	125	44	32	38	21	42	21	41	29	43	22
Indian Ocean (10) Mozambique Channel, Mozambique (MOZ)	42	42	$\textbf{0.090}\pm\textbf{0.017}$	33	118	41	27	38	18	40	17	36	28	42	28
Total	303	295	$\textbf{0.100} \pm \textbf{0.099}$	211	150 ^a	276	34	270	29	276	26	252	31	286	30
^a After Bayescan analysis, 9 loci were remo	ved becau	se they w	rere found to be un	der select	ion (2 fron	n IT 1, 2 fi	om IT 2,	from IT :	3, 3 from 3	SAS 2, 1 fi	rom SAS 3).			

primer ranged between 26 for IT 3 to 34 for IT 1: however. the primer which amplified the highest mean number of bands per population was IT 1 (28.71 ± 3.04 polymorphic bands): whereas that producing the lowest mean value was IT 2 (19.57 \pm 3.41 polymorphic bands). IBI and TYR were the localities where the highest mean number of bands was obtained (26.60 \pm 4.04 polymorphic bands): whilst PPA was the sample which amplified the lowest mean value $(21.00 \pm 4.36 \text{ polymorphic bands})$ (Table 2). We did not find individuals sharing identical banding pattern at any site neither across locations. Average estimates of genetic diversity after pairwise t-test and Bonferroni correction did not significantly differ among localities, with mean $H = 0.105 \pm 0.015$ (Table 2). The coancestry coefficient indicated low genetic divergence among localities within regions (θ = 0.013 \pm 0.002), and the removal of MOZ from the dataset did not affect that result ($\theta = 0.012 \pm 0.001$). The estimate of gene flow ($N_{\rm m}$ = 18.407) and the Mantel test (Z = 2269.73, P = 0.269) suggested high levels of population genetic connectivity and absence of isolation by distance, even when MOZ was omitted from the analysis ($N_{\rm m}$ = 21.489; Z = 205.04, P = 0.006).

AMOVA analysis (Table 3) of the whole dataset indicated that most of the molecular variance was present within samples (98.7%); however the Φ -statistics value associated to the remaining part of variance was significant ($\Phi_{\rm ST}$ = 0.013, P < 0.005). The situation did not change when the sample from MOZ was omitted from the analysis, assigning the greatest portion of variance to differences within samples (98.8%, $\Phi_{ST} = 0.012$, P < 0.001). When localities were grouped according to geographical regions (EM, WM, MOZ), no genetic variance was assigned to the among-region level (-0.1%, Φ_{ST} = 0.012, *P* = 0.002). After omitting MOZ from analysis, no genetic variation was either attributed to the among-region level (slightly negative variance), as it was mostly associated to the within-sample (98.9%, Φ_{ST} = 0.011, *P* = 0.007) component and secondly to the within-region component (1.4%). Alternative grouping criteria did not provide any different results (Table 3).

Genetic distances between populations (Table 4) showed that IBI and AEG were the two samples more closely related. In contrast, the two more genetically distant were PPA and ION, according to Cavalli-Sforza and Edwards' [28] genetic chord distance, and PPA and MAZ, according to Nei's [27] genetic distance. The Neighborjoining tree (Fig. 2) did not show any clustering that could be associated to the geographical position of samples. In fact, the AEG and the IBI samples were grouped together, as well as the MOZ and the ION samples. Only one most parsimonious tree was found for both parsimony algorithms employed (Fig. 3). Again, both cladograms did not show any expected geographical association, grouping localities as distant as IBI and AEG together, and PPA and MOZ together.

Replicate runs of STRUCTURE yielded consistent results and the uppermost hierarchical structure present in the entire dataset was detected for K = 2 by the K statistics [40] (data not shown). The distribution of the two clusters across individuals did not show any geographical meaning (Fig. 4).

Table 3

Analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) of Aristaeomorpha foliacea. Sample codes are as in Table 2.

Hypothesis	Source of variation	df	Components of variance	% of variance	Φ -statistics	Р
Unstructured	Among samples Within samples	6 289	0.02737 2.10821	1.28 98.72	$\Phi_{\rm ST}$ = 0.013	0.002
Unstructured - MOZ omitted	Among samples Within samples	5 248	0.02571 2.06187	1.23 98.77	$\Phi_{\rm ST}\text{=}0.012$	< 0.001
Three regions (MOZ)(IBI, TYR, MAZ)(PPA, ION, EAG)	Among regions	2	-0.00149	-0.07	$\Phi_{\text{CT}} \text{=} -0.001$	0.472
	Among samples within regions Within samples	4 289	0.02844 2.10821	1.33 98.74	$\Phi_{\rm SC} = 0.013$ $\Phi_{\rm ST} = 0.012$	0.005 0.002
Two regions (IBI, TYR, MAZ)(PPA, ION, EAG)	Among regions	1	-0.00639	-0.31	Φ_{CT} = -0.003	0.700
	Among samples within regions Within samples	4 248	0.02954 2.06187	1.42 98.89	$\Phi_{\rm SC} = 0.014$ $\Phi_{\rm ST} = 0.011$	0.006 0.007
Two regions (IBI, TYR)(MAZ, PPA, ION, AEG)	Among regions	1	-0.00868	-0.42	$\Phi_{\text{CT}} \text{=} -0.004$	0.731
	Among samples within regions Within samples	4 248	0.03066 2.06187	1.47 98.94	$\begin{array}{l} \Phi_{\rm SC} = 0.015 \\ \Phi_{\rm ST} = 0.011 \end{array}$	0.005 0.007
Two regions (IBI, TYR, MAZ, PPA)(ION, AEG)	Among regions	1	-0.00490	-0.24	$\Phi_{\text{CT}} \text{=} -0.002$	0.603
	Among samples within regions Within samples	4 248	0.02828 2.06187	1.36 98.88	$\Phi_{\rm SC} = 0.014$ $\Phi_{\rm ST} = 0.011$	0.007 0.008

Table 4

Nei's [27] genetic distance (above diagonal) and Cavalli-Sforza and Edwards' [28] chord distance (below diagonal) between localities of Aristaeomorpha foliacea. Sample codes are as in Table 2.

	IBI	TYR	MAZ	PPA	ION	AEG	MOZ
IBI	-	0.0019	0.0033	0.0033	0.0023	0.0013	0.0029
TYR	0.0176	-	0.0026	0.0033	0.0026	0.0023	0.0025
MAZ	0.0271	0.0239	-	0.0047	0.0029	0.0027	0.0029
PPA	0.0324	0.0278	0.0355	-	0.0038	0.0043	0.0044
ION	0.0284	0.0280	0.0305	0.0373	-	0.0029	0.0021
AEG	0.0134	0.0221	0.0249	0.0357	0.0327	-	0.0035
MOZ	0.0326	0.0296	0.0275	0.0338	0.0255	0.0337	-



Fig. 2. Neighbor-joining tree based on Cavalli-Sforza and Edwards' [29] chord genetic distance illustrating the relationships among sampled localities of *Aristaeomorpha foliacea*. Bootstrap values after 1,000 replicates are indicated on the nodes. Sample codes are as in Table 2.

4. Discussion

ISSRs proved to be useful markers for addressing a number of genetic problems, such as the correct assignment of doubtful fish specimens to their taxa [41,42], identifying a species complex within polychaetes [10], or depicting colonization patterns in a platyhelminthe species [43]. Nevertheless, their use in population genetic studies highlighted differences in their resolution power in marine species [9,11,13]. The present work is the first application of the ISSR technique to assess the genetic variability of a marine decapod and shows how these markers can be highly polymorphic and sensitive at the individual level.

Four of the five primers used in this study (IT 1, IT 2, IT 3, SAS 3) were also used in revised published literature. A comparison of results revealed that the number of loci scored in *A. foliacea* (119) was higher than those previously reported (59 in the gastropod *Patella ferruginea*, [11]; 29 in the red coral *Corallum rubrum*, [12]; 42 in three cyprinodontid fish, [41]; 40 in five sparid fish, [42]). The genetic diversity values (Table 2) obtained for *A. foliacea* (H = 0.078 - 0.111) were similar to those recorded by Pannacciulli et al.



Fig. 3. Wagner's (a) and Dollo's (b) most parsimonious trees, inferred from 79 loci for all samples of *Aristaeomorpha foliacea*, after 131 and 142 mutational steps, respectively. Bootstrap values after 1,000 replicates (> 65%) are indicated on the nodes. Sample codes are as in Table 2.



Fig. 4. Bayesian assignment analysis for *K* = 2. Each bar represents an individual of *Aristaeomorpha foliacea* and its associated probability of belonging to one of the two genetic clusters detected (black and white). Pie charts indicate percentages of the haplogroups contributing to each sample.

[13] in the barnacles *Tesseropora atlantica* (H = 0.067-0.122) and *Chthamalus stellatus* (H = 0.080-0.123). The high levels of genetic polymorphism ultimately implied that each specimen was characterized with a different fingerprint. The large amount of different genotypes identified was reflected in the large portion of variance (98.7%) allocated to the within-locality level (Table 3). Again, this proportion is noticeably higher than those reported for other marine invertebrates (61.0% for *Gemma gemma* [9]; 49.7% in *P. ferruginea*, [11]; 71.9% in *T. atlantica* and 94.6% in *C. stellatus*, [13]).

The dispersal capabilities of the species, at both adults and larval stage, may account for the large genetic heterogeneity at the within-locality level. *A. foliacea* adult shrimps undergo extensive movements along the water column [6]; thereby, horizontal migrations are also contemplated as possible. There are no studies on the larval biology of *A. foliacea*, but it is believed that it should be similar to that of the blue and red shrimp *Aristeus antennatus*, whose pelagic life lasts three to six weeks (F. Sardà, personal communication). In *A. antennatus*, larvae released into the pelagic environment, can be transported by currents for hundreds of kilometers downstream [44], so limiting the chances of local recruitment while favoring mixing on the large scale [45,46]. The efficient mixing of specimens with different genotypes originated from distant areas can lead to high withinlocality genetic diversity and account for the absence of genetic structure on the large spatial-scale. The high number of migrants per generation, the low values of genetic divergence among regions, the relatively similar values of genetic distance (even among geographically distant localities), the topology of all trees together with the low bootstrap values, and the presence of both genetic clusters in all localities are consistent in giving support to the lack of significant large-scale genetic structuring.

Sound explanations for the lack of genetic differentiation between the Mediterranean Sea and the Indian Ocean samples are not easy to formulate. In previous studies, the transition from Mediterranean to Atlantic waters has resulted in a point of phylogeographic break for decapods such as *Palinurus elephas* (mitochondrial control region [47]). Conversely, *Nephrops norvegicus* did not show any sign of Atlantic-Mediterranean genetic differentiation by means of allozymes [48] or RFLPs of mitochondrial DNA [49]. In the latest species, the absence of significant genetic structuring was attributed to the homogenizing effect of larval dispersal. In a recent work on A. antennatus [14]. based on a sampling strategy similar to the one of the present study and mitochondrial markers, a consistent genetic divergence was detected among biogeographical regions (Eastern Mediterranean, Western Mediterranean, Atlantic Ocean and Indian Ocean). This pattern was interpreted on the basis of the results of historical vicariance events and isolation by distance [14]. As partially sympatric species, life histories of A. foliacea and A. antennatus could have been similarly affected by these events. Against this suggestion and despite the geographical overlap of the sampling scheme, the genetic patterns of the two aristeid species were very different. Such results could be attributed to the different markers employed in the studies: mtDNA and ISSRs. On the one hand, the four-fold smaller effective size of the mitochondrial genome, compared to the nuclear one [50] could have made visible the footprint of the vicariance events detected in A. antennatus. On the other hand, the higher mutability rate and consequent possible saturation of ISSRs would be masking a possible situation of genetic differentiation.

Future research on *A. foliacea* employing different molecular markers is needed to understand whether: (i) ISSRs are unable to detect genetic structuring in *A. foliacea* due to their hypervariability; or (ii) this species actually lacks genetic differentiation over the large scale. Furthermore, the sampling scheme should be extended to the whole species' distribution, in order to provide a global understanding of *A. foliacea* population genetic structure. Finally, it is believed that genetic information on this species would help to clarify aspects of its distribution and population dynamics, so providing fundamental knowledge for stock identification and management of this important fisheries resource.

Disclosure of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest concerning this article.

Acknowledgements

Authors wish to thank A.M. Carbonell, K. Kapiris, C.Y. Politou, I. Sobrino, A. Souplet and A. Turco for their help in sample collection and P. Cossu for his technical assistance. Two reviewers provided constructive comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by a grant to MIR by Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación, Spain (AGL2009-09228) and by a predoctoral fellowship to MVF by Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia, Spain (BES-2007-15865).

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.crvi.2011.07.005.

References

- [1] A. Campillo, Bio-ecology of Aristeus antennatus in the French Mediterranean, in: M.L. Bianchini, S. Ragonese (Eds.), Life Cycles and Fisheries of the Deep-water Red Shrimps Aristaeomorpha foliacea and Aristeus antennatus, 3, N.T.R. - I.T.P.P., Mazara del Vallo, Italy, 1994, pp. 25–26.
- [2] I. Sobrino, N. Dias, I. Muñoz, F. Salmerón, D. Varela, Distribution patterns and biological characteristics of Aristeus antennatus (Risso, 1816) and Aristeus virilis (Bate, 1881) in Mozambique Waters of the Western Indian Ocean, Western Indian Ocean, J. Mar. Sci. 8 (2009) 49–59.
- [3] C.Y. Politou, K. Kapiris, P. Maiorano, F. Capezzuto, J. Dokos, Deep-sea Mediterranean biology: the case of Aristaeomorpha foliacea (Risso, 1827) (Crustacea: Decapoda: Aristeidae), Sci. Mar. 68 (2004) 129–139.
- [4] F. Capezzuto, R. Carlucci, P. Maiorano, L. Sion, D. Battista, A. Giove, A. Indennidate, A. Tursi, G. D'Onghia, The bathyal benthopelagic fauna in the north-western Ionian Sea: structure, patterns and interactions, Chem. Ecol. 26 (2010) 199–217.
- [5] A. Cau, A. Carbonell, M.C. Follesa, A. Mannini, G. Norrito, L. Orsi-Relini, C.Y. Politou, S. Ragonese, P. Rinelli, MEDITS-based information on the deep-water red shrimps *Aristaeomorpha foliacea* and *Aristeus antennatus* (Crustacea: Decapoda: Aristeidae), Sci. Mar. 66 (2002) 103–124.
- [6] K. Kapiris, M. Thessalou-Legaki, G. Petrakis, A. Conides, Ontogenetic shifts and temporal changes in the trophic patterns of the deep-sea red shrimp, *Aristaeomorpha foliacea* (Decapods: Aristeidae), in the Eastern Ionian Sea (Eastern Mediterranean), Mar. Ecol. Evol. Persp. 31 (2010) 341–354.
- [7] C. Mytilineou, S. Kavadas, C.Y. Politou, K. Kapiris, A. Tursi, P. Maiorano, Catch composition on red shrimps' (*Aristaeomorpha foliacea* and *Aristeus antennatus*) grounds in the Eastern Ionian Sea, Hydrobiologia 557 (2006) 155–160.
- [8] E. Zietkiewicz, A. Rafalski, D. Labuda, Genome fingerprinting by simple sequence repeat (SSR)-anchored polymerase chain reaction amplification, Genomics 20 (1994) 176–183.
- [9] M. Casu, F. Maltagliati, P. Cossu, T. Lai, M. Curini-Galletti, A. Castelli, J.A. Commito, Fine-grained spatial genetic structure in the bivalve *Gemma gemma* from Maine and Virginia (USA), as revealed by Inter-Simple Sequence Repeat markers, J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 325 (2005) 46–54.
- [10] F. Maltagliati, M. Casu, T. Lai, D.I. Sareri, D. Casu, M. Curini-Galletti, G. Cantone, A. Castelli, Taxonomic distinction of *Ophelia barquii* and *O. bicornis* (Annelida: Polychaeta) in the Mediterranean as revealed by ISSR markers and the number of nephridiopores, J. Mar. Biol. Ass. UK 85 (2005) 835–841.
- [11] M. Casu, D. Casu, T. Lai, P. Cossu, M. Curini-Galletti, Inter-simple sequence repeat markers reveal strong genetic differentiation among populations of the endangered mollusc *Patella ferruginea* (Gastropoda: Patellidae) from two Sardinian marine protected areas, Mar. Biol. 149 (2006) 1163–1174.
- [12] M. Casu, D. Casu, T. Lai, P. Cossu, M. Curini-Galletti, A molecular tool for genetic surveys in the red coral (*Corallium rubrum*): an Inter-Simple Sequence Repeats (ISSRs) perspective, Biochem. Syst. Ecol. 36 (2008) 77–83.
- [13] F.G. Pannacciulli, G. Manetti, F. Maltagliati, Genetic diversity in two barnacle species, *Chthamalus stellatus* and *Tesseropora atlantica* (Crustacea, Cirripedia), with different larval dispersal modes in the archipelago of the Azores, Mar. Biol. 156 (2009) 2441–2450.
- [14] M.V. Fernández, S. Heras, F. Maltagliati, A. Turco, M.I. Roldán, Genetic structure in the blue and red shrimp *Aristeus antennatus* and the role played by hydrographical and oceanographical barriers, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 421 (2011) 163–171.
- [15] M. Foll, O. Gaggiotti, A genome-scan method to identify selected loci appropriate for both dominant and codominant markers: a Bayesian perspective, Genetics 180 (2008) 977–993.
- [16] M. Lynch, B.G. Milligan, Analysis of population genetic structure with RAPD markers, Mol. Ecol. 3 (1994) 91–99.
- [17] M.P. Miller, Tools for population genetic analyses (TFPGA 1. 3): a window program for the analysis of allozyme and molecular population genetic data, Computer software distributed by the author, 1997.
- [18] M. Nei, Analysis of gene diversity in subdivided populations, Proc. Natl Acad Sci USA 73 (1973) 3321–3323.
- [19] J.W. Archie, Statistical analysis of heterozigosity data: independent sample comparisons, Evolution 39 (1985) 623–637.
- [20] Y. Hochberg, A sharper Bonferroni procedure for multiple tests of significance, Biometrika 75 (1988) 800–802.
- [21] B.S. Weir, C.C. Cockerham, Estimating F-statistics for the analysis of population structure, Evolution 38 (1984) 1358–1370.
- [22] S. Wright, Isolation by distance, Genetics 28 (1943) 114-138.

- [23] F. Rousset, Genetic differentiation and estimation of gene flow from Fstatistics under isolation by distance, Genetics 145 (1997) 1219–1228.
- [24] J. Jensen, A. Bohonak, S. Kelley, Isolation by distance, web service, BMC Genet. 6 (2005) 13.
- [25] L. Excoffier, G. Laval, S. Schneider, Arlequin ver 3.0: an integrated software package for population genetic data analysis, Evol. Bioinform. Online 1 (2005) 47–50.
- [26] L. Excoffier, P.E. Smouse, J.M. Quattro, Analysis of molecular variance inferred from metric distances among DNA haplotypes: application to human mitochondrial DNA restriction data, Genetics 131 (1992) 479–491.
- [27] M. Nei, Estimation of average heterozygosity and genetic distance from a small number of individuals, Genetics 89 (1978) 583–590.
- [28] L.L. Cavalli-Sforza, A.W.F. Edwards, Phylogenetic analysis: models and estimation procedures, Evolution 32 (1967) 550–570.
- [29] N. Saitou, M. Nei, The Neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees, Mol. Biol. Evol. 4 (1987) 406–425.
- [30] J. Felsenstein, PHYLIP (Phylogeny Inference Package) version 3.6. Distributed by the author, Department of Genome Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, 2004.
- [31] D.L. Swofford, PAUP* Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (*and other methods), version 4, Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, 2002.
- [32] A.G. Kluge, J.S. Farris, Quantitative phyletics and the evolution of anurans, Syst. Zool. 18 (1969) 1–32.
- [33] J.S. Farris, Methods for computing Wagner trees, Syst. Zool. 34 (1970) 21-34.
- [34] J.S. Farris, Phylogenetics analysis under Dollo's law, Syst. Zool. 26 (1977) 77–88.
- [35] J. Felsenstein, Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap, Evolution 39 (1985) 783–791.
- [36] J.K. Pritchard, M. Stephens, P. Donnelly, Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data, Genetics 155 (2000) 945–959.
- [37] D. Falush, M. Stephens, J.K. Pritchard, Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data: dominant markers and null alleles, Mol. Ecol. Notes 7 (2007) 574–578.
- [38] J.E. Mank, J.C. Avise, Individuals as units of analysis: Bayesian clustering alternatives in population genetics, Genet. Res. 84 (2004) 135–143.
- [39] D. Falush, M. Stephens, J.K. Pritchard, Inference of population structure: extensions to linked loci and correlated allele frequencies, Genetics 164 (2003) 1567–1587.

- [40] G. Evanno, S. Regnaut, J. Goudet, Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using the software STRUCTURE: a simulation study, Mol. Ecol. 14 (2005) 2611–2620.
- [41] F. Maltagliati, T. Lai, M. Casu, S. Valdesalici, A. Castelli, Identification of endangered Mediterranean cyprinodontiform fish by means of DNA inter-simple sequence repeats (ISSRs), Biochem. Syst. Ecol. 34 (2006) 626–634.
- [42] M. Casu, T. Lai, M. Curini-Galletti, A. Ruiu, A. Pais, Identification of Mediterranean *Diplodus* spp. and *Dentex dentex* (Sparidae) by means of DNA Inter-Simple Sequence Repeat (ISSR) markers, J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 368 (2009) 147–152.
- [43] M. Casu, D. Sanna, P. Cossu, T. Lai, P. Francalacci, M. Curini-Galletti, Molecular phylogeography of microturbellarian *Monocelis lineata* (Platyhelmintes: Proseriata) in the North-East Atlantic, Biol. J. Linn. Soc. 103 (2011) 117–135.
- [44] A. Carbonell, A. Dos Santos, F. Alemany, P. Vélez-Belchi, Larvae of the red shrimp *Aristeus antennatus* (Decapoda: Dendrobranchiata: Aristeidae) in the Balearic Sea: new occurrences fifty years later, Mar. Biodivers. Rec. 3 (2010) 1–4.
- [45] M.I. Roldán, S. Heras, R. Patellani, F. Maltagliati, Analysis of genetic structure of the red shrimp *Aristeus antennatus* from the Western Mediterranean employing two mitochondrial regions, Genetica 136 (2009) 1–4.
- [46] F. Sardà, M.I. Roldán, S. Heras, F. Maltagliati, Influence of the genetic structure of the red and blue shrimp, *Aristeus antennatus* (Risso, 1816), on the sustainability of a deep-sea population along a depth gradient in the Western Mediterranean, Sci. Mar. 74 (2010) 569–575.
- [47] F. Palero, P. Abelló, E. Macpherson, M. Gristina, M. Pascual, Phylogeography of the European spiny lobster (*Palinurus elephas*): influence of current oceanographical features and historical processes, Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 48 (2008) 708–717.
- [48] F. Maltagliati, L. Camilli, F. Biagi, M. Abbiati, Genetic structure of Norway lobster, *Nephrops norvegicus* (L) (Crustacea: Nephropidae), from the Mediterranean Sea, Sci. Mar. 62 (1998) 91–99.
- [49] C. Stamatis, A. Triantafyllidis, K.A. Moutou, Z. Mamuri, Mitochondrial DNA variation in northeast Atlantic and Mediterranean populations of Norway lobster, *Nephrops norvegicus*, Mol. Ecol. 13 (2004) 1377– 1390.
- [50] J.C. Avise, Molecular markers, natural history and evolution, 2nd ed., Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts, 2004.