

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Comptes Rendus Biologies

www.sciencedirect.com



CrossMark

Molecular biology and genetics/Biologie et génétique moléculaires

Could pseudogenes be widespread in ants? Evidence of *numts* in the leafcutter ant *Acromyrmex striatus* (Roger, 1863) (Formicidae: Attini)

Maykon Passos Cristiano^{*}, Danon Clemes Cardoso, Tânia Maria Fernandes-Salomão

Laboratório de Biologia Molecular de Insetos, Departamento de Biologia Geral, Universidade Federal de Viçosa - UFV, Avenida Peter Henry Rolfs s/n, 36570-000 Viçosa, Minas Gerais, Brazil

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 23 September 2013 Accepted after revision 21 November 2013 Available online 4 February 2014

Keywords: Insects Molecular evolution Nuclear mitochondrial pseudogenes Leafcutter ants Phylogeny

ABSTRACT

The incorporation of fragments of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) in the nuclear genome, known as numts (nuclear mitochondrial pseudogenes), undermines general assumptions concerning the use of mtDNA in phylogenetic and phylogeographic studies. Accidental amplifications of these nuclear copies instead of the mitochondrial target can lead to crucial misinterpretations, thus the correct identification of numts and their differentiation from true mitochondrial sequences are important in preventing this kind of error. Our goal was to describe the existence of cytochrome b (cytb) numts in the leafcutter ant Acromyrmex striatus (Roger, 1863). PCR products were directly sequenced using a pair of universal primers designed to amplify the cytb gene of these insects. Other species of leafcutter ants were also sequenced. The sequences were analyzed and the numts were identified by the presence of double peaks, indels and premature stop codons. Only A. striatus clearly showed the presence of numts, while the other species displayed the expected amplification of the mtDNA cytb gene target using the same primer pair. We hope that our report will highlight the benefits and challenges of using mtDNA in the molecular phylogenetic reconstruction and phylogeographic studies of ants, while establishing the importance of numts reports for future studies.

© 2013 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Molecular biology tools have become prominent in studies of systematics and phylogenetic relationships of species. In recent decades, these tools have contributed to species delimitation and improved our knowledge concerning the evolutionary history of several taxa. DNA sequencing is the leading method selected in molecular based research and mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) is the most widely used genetic marker for accessing molecular

E-mail addresses: maykon.cristiano@ufv.br,

maykoncristiano@hotmail.com (M.P. Cristiano).

diversity [1]. The abundant presence of mtDNA in molecular biology studies can be explained by the peculiar properties of this genome (for review see Avise [2]). Moreover, nuclear markers present certain difficulties, such as multiple copies (paralogs), requiring extensive effort to identify a marker with a reasonable evolutionary rate and the identification of alleles from heterozygous individuals via cloning prior to sequencing [3].

Despite the confirmed usefulness of mtDNA as a genetic marker, an increasing number of studies have shown that its reliability is subject to compromise [4–6]. There are several molecular processes that undermine certain general assumptions based on mtDNA unique characteristics. A frequent issue is the incorporation of mitochondrial DNA fragments into the nuclear genome, the so-called

^{*} Corresponding author.

^{1631-0691/\$ -} see front matter © 2013 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.crvi.2013.11.007

numts or nuclear mitochondrial pseudogenes [7,8]. These are non-transcribed and untranslated regions in the nuclear genome that occur due to the natural transfer of DNA from the mitochondrial to the nuclear genome during the evolutionary period [8,9]. Due to their lack of function in the nuclear genome and the presence of complex machinery to monitor and repair damaged DNA in the nucleus, *numts* evolved under molecular evolutionary processes that are distinct from the original mitochondrial copy. This could lead to erroneous interpretations and misperceptions while conducting phylogenetic, phylogeographic and population genetic studies [6,8,10]. Moreover, the basic underlying *assumption* of homology between sequences is overlooked when both nuclear and mitochondrial gene copies are used in molecular analysis.

Numts have been detected in several eukaryote taxa from plants to higher vertebrates [8,10], though the frequency and abundance of *numts* varies enormously across taxa [8,11]. Mitochondrial pseudogenes is a recurrent issue in insects and a growing number of studies have reported the occurrence of *numts* in a wide range of taxa [8,11–16], and in an increasing number of reports involving social insects [4,13,17–19]. Despite this trend, *numts* in ants have been poorly described and likely underreported in this eusocial insect group [20].

In the course of developing a phylogeographic and phylogenetic study of leafcutter ants (genera *Acromyrmex* and *Atta*), we encountered several difficulties while generating sequences of mtDNA. In general, primers used to amplify mtDNA for phylogenetics and phylogeographic studies in ants resulted in non-amplification by polymerase chain reaction in our selected species. During our screening for a mtDNA marker, we used CB-J-10933 and a modified TS1-N-11683 primer designated by Simon et al. [21], in order to amplify partial sequences of cytochrome b (*cytb*) of the *Acromyrmex* and *Atta* species. In this study, we

aimed to describe the inferred *numts* retrieved from *Acromyrmex striatus* while studying the molecular systematics of this ant genus, considering the recent interest in mitochondrial pseudogenes and their importance in molecular evolution.

2. Material and methods

2.1. DNA isolation, amplification and sequencing

Total genomic DNA was extracted by grinding an entire ant specimen using a modified phenol-chloroform protocol [22]. DNA was extracted from the following ants: A. striatus (Roger, 1863), A. balzani (Emery, 1890), Atta colombica Guérin-Méneville 1844, A. laevigata (Smith, 1858), A. robusta Borgmeier 1939, and A. sexdens rubropilosa Forel 1908 (Table 1). Specific permission (SISBio 26441-1) for collections was authorized by the Chico Mendes Institute for Biodiversity Conservation (Instituto Chico Mendes de Conservação da Biodiversidade, ICMBio). A. colombica was kindly provided by Dr. Anayansi Valderrama. In order to improve the amplification conditions, we modified two base pairs from the original TS1-N-11683 primer designed by Simon et al. [21], relying on Solenopsis invicta complete mitochondrial genome [23] (Fig. 1). This modified primer was used in combination with the CB-I-10933 primer to amplify partial fragments of the mitochondrial gene *cvtb* (Fig. 1). Amplification was performed in a total volume of 25 µL using the following components: 1X Master mix GoTag[®] Hot Start Colorless $(12.5 \,\mu$ l), $0.4 \,\mu$ M of each primer $(1 \,\mu$ L), $0.5 \,\mu$ L of DNA (approximately 50 ng). The PCR reaction was performed with an initial denaturation step at 94 °C for 2 min, followed by 35 cycles of 1 min at 94 °C to achieve denaturation, 1 min at 49 °C for annealing, 1 min 15 s at 72 °C for extension, and a final extension step at 72 °C for

Table 1

Sampling sites, species, coordinates and GenBank access numbers of the numts and cytb gene sequences.

Specimens	Localities	Coordinates	Genbank access	
		Latitude	Longitude	
Numts				
Acromyrmex striatus	Araranguá, SC–Brazil	28°57′11.3"S	49°22′29.6"W	KF500040
Acromyrmex striatus	Garopaba, SC - Brazil	27°59′42.4"S	48°37′55.5"W	KF500041
Acromyrmex striatus	Sonho, SC-Brazil	27°50′24.0"S	48°35′15.6"W	KF500042
Acromyrmex striatus	Sonho, SC-Brazil	27°50′19.9"S	48°35′15.8"W	KF500043
Acromyrmex striatus	Joaquina, SC-Brazil	27°37′53.0"S	48°27′08.1"W	KF500044
Acromyrmex striatus	Joaquina, SC-Brazil	27°38′10.3"S	48°27′23.9"W	KF500045
Acromyrmex striatus	Pinheira, SC-Brazil	27°52′31.3"S	48°36′02.4"W	KF500046
Acromyrmex striatus	Mostardas, RS-Brazil	31°06′37.2"S	50°52′12.4"W	KF500047
Acromyrmex striatus	Pinheira, SC-Brazil	27°52′27.2"S	48°36′03.4"W	KF500048
Acromyrmex striatus	Quintão, RS-Brazil	30°23′34.9"S	50°17′57.3"W	KF500049
Acromyrmex striatus	São José do Norte, RS–Brazil	32°01′33.9"S	52°01′57.6"W	KF500050
Acromyrmex striatus	Cassino, RS–Brazsil	32°13′19.8"S	52°12′03.4"W	KF500051
Acromyrmex striatus	Santiago, RS-Brazil	29°10′48.4"S	54°50′59.3"W	KF500052
Acromyrmex striatus	Tapes, RS-Brazil	30°39′08.6"S	51°33′54.1"W	KF500053
mtDNA				
Acromyrmex balzani	Araranguá, SC-Brazil	29°00′54.2"S	49°26′24.6"W	KF500035
Atta colombica	Gamboa–Panama	9°7′6.75"N	79°41′54.24"W	KF500036
Atta laevigata	Viçosa, MG-Brazil	20°45′14.0"S	42°52′55.0"W	KF500037
Atta robusta	São Francisco de Itabapoana,	21°27′00.0"S	41°02′01.0"W	KF500038
	RJ-Brazil			
Atta sexdens rubropilosa	Viçosa, MG–Brazil	20°45′14.0"S	42°52′55.0"W	KF500039



Fig. 1. (Color online). Representation of the primers position for partial amplification of the cytochrome b gene (*cytb*). The arrows illustrate the direction of amplification of each primer. The detail is shown in the sequence of the tRNA^{Ser} based on the *Solenopsis invicta* genome (blue sequence), the original sequence of primer (TS1-N-11683) and two adenines (red letters) changed in the primer designed for this study (Modified).

5 min. The amplicons were purified and directly sequenced in both directions (forward and reverse) with the same primers used during PCR amplification (Macrogen Inc., South Korea; www.macrogen.com).

2.2. DNA sequence analyses and comparisons

With the purpose of searching for ambiguities among the sequences (quality, noise and doubles peaks), the chromatograms were inspected visually using the Consed program [24]. Double peaks can be attributed to polymorphic sequences due to heterozygous nucleotide sites in nuclear sequences, while regarding mtDNA haplotypes, double peaks represent the amplification of distinct genomes, either between mitochondria (heteroplasmy) or numts (nuclear genome vs. organelle genome) [25]. We classified sequences as polymorphic when at least one double peak was observed, as described by Miraldo et al. [26]. Further, the analyzed chromatograms of the two DNA strands (forward and reverse) were assembled and exported from Consed. The edited sequences were aligned using the ClustalW algorithm [27] in MEGA5 [28]. The sequences were translated into amino acids to perform additional searches for stop codons, indels and comparison with other sequences, as suggested by Cristiano et al. [4].

Based on the alignment of sequences obtained from *Acromyrmex* and *Atta* species, we examined these for sites that could highlight differences between species and *numts*. We compared the partial mitochondrial *cytb* sequences observed in this study with the *S. invicta cytb* gene (GenBank access number NC014672), obtained from its complete mitochondrial genome, and the partial *cytb* sequence of *Myrmica rubra* (GenBank access number JF779626), obtained using a similar primer set [29]. *Numts* should display premature stop codons within their putative amino acid sequences and indels that do not follow differences among the sequences by multiples of three base pairs.

3. Results

Sequences amplified with the CB-J-10933 and TS1-N-11683-modified primers produced good sequence reads for all species, ranging from 630 to 642 base pairs. During the examination of the chromatograms in *A. striatus*, the amplified region resulted in well-defined sequence reads, although a few nucleotide sites with poor Phred quality (< 20) were observed at the same location in both the forward and reverse sequences. At these sites, double peaks were clearly observed in both directions (Fig. 2). These results were not observed in any other leafcutter ant species analyzed in this work, which seems to suggest the co-amplification of DNA fragments of a similar size in *A. striatus*.

Comparisons between the sequences obtained here with the complete *cytb* gene of *S. invicta* showed that we amplified the final portion of the gene, as expected. During the translation into amino acids, we observed that A. striatus sequences did not show the same reading frame as the other leafcutter species in relation to S. invicta. Besides this discrepancy, in order to achieve the reading frame, the ClustalW algorithm used to determine nucleotide alignment inserted in numerous indels across the A. striatus sequences that were not multiples of three base pairs. Where the cytb sequence of A. striatus was shorter than the other species, we observed six premature stop codons (Fig. 3). Based on these findings, we assumed that the cytb sequences from A. striatus were numts. No evidence of numts was observed in any of the other leafcutter ant sequences analyzed herein. Thus, the sequences from the other leafcutter ants were likely amplified from the mtDNA target.

We also observed that there were three recurrent *numts* in *A. striatus* that varied in length (Fig. 3). The first *numts* (KF500040–KF500047) showed similar length compared to the other ants, while the second *numt* (KF500048–KF500051) showed a deletion of 12 base pairs and the third (KF500052 and KF500053) showed a deletion of eight base pairs.

Concerning the *cytb* sequences of the other leafcutter ants, all four species of *Atta* displayed insertions of two amino acids (six nucleotides) at position 904 compared with the *S. invicta cytb* gene. In addition, *A. balzani* showed one amino acid insertion (three nucleotides) at the same position. The six-nucleotide insertion patterns of the *Atta* species was also observed among *A. striatus numts* (Fig. 3). Despite the insertion of two amino acids in all the *Atta* species, the length of the mtDNA sequences of these species seemed to present very similar overall sizes. This is because *A. colombica* showed one amino acid less (three



Fig. 2. (Color online). Chromatograms resulting from sequencing using the primer CB-J-10933 (above) and TS1-N-11683-modified (below) from *Acromyrmex striatus* (Genbank access–KF500041). The primer TS1-N-11683-modified was visualized in reverse complement. The arrows show a double peak in the two primers used for sequencing these fragments, revealing co-amplification.

nucleotides) and the other *Atta* species showed three amino acids less (nine nucleotides) precisely at the end of the gene (Fig. 3).

4. Discussion

High quality sequences were obtained from the primers used to amplify the *cytb* gene in this study; however, coamplification was suggested by apparent polymorphism in the chromatograms that showed in numerous double peaks in recurrent nucleotide positions. These polymorphisms, the high quality sequence reads and amplifications from different individuals sampled at distinct sites indicated that A. striatus presents multiple copies of the cytb gene. Typically, it is expected that individuals harbor a unique copy of mtDNA due to uniparental heritance (homoplasmy). However, it is currently known that exceptions to this general rule can occur through several processes, such as bi-parental heritance, mitochondrial genome mutation within organisms and mitochondrial genome recombination [30], resulting in multiple copies within an organism (heteroplasmy). However, the heteroplasmic signal detected in A. striatus (double peaks) is not likely due to true heteroplasmy. This is because in the amplified fragments of the *cytb* gene, shifts were observed in the reading frame and various premature stop codons, which were not supposed to be detected in the presence of more than one mtDNA variant within an individual.

Besides premature stop codons, indels were also observed in *A. striatus numts*, as previously reported for other *numts* in Hymenoptera [4]. The deletions were located in two distinct regions in the *numts* of *A. striatus*. These deletions were flanked by AT-rich regions, which are frequently associated with polymerase slippage during DNA replication [31]. An AT-rich base pair was also described flanking indels in *numts* of other social species *Melipona colimana* and *M. fasciata* [18].

All the A. striatus numts were invariable, except at a polymorphic double peak site. In contrast to mtDNA, numts are presumed to present lower mutation rates of sequence evolution. It has been proposed that once the fragments of mtDNA are integrated into the nucleus, they diverge from the selective constraints imposed in the mitochondrial genome and are under no pressure, given their lack of function in the nucleus [10]. Consequently, they can preserve close homology with the original mtDNA gene and for this reason are considered to be "fossil copies" of an ancient mitochondrial lineage [10,32,33]. These numts characteristics could have important consequences when primers are flanking regions that are better preserved in numts than in the actual mtDNA target that should be preferentially amplified. This problem is likely to be maximized by the use of so-called universal primers that target a large number of taxa and that are designed to rely on highly cross-preserved regions of the genome [34,35]. Although universal primers are supposedly more prone to amplify numts [10], primers designed to be restricted to a genus or specific to a species also amplified numts [4,36,37].

Numts have become an issue in molecular biology based studies in the past two decades [5,32], and there are a growing number of studies reporting the occurrence of *numts* in insects [4,5,12,13,18,38,39]. It seems to be relevant for social insects, in which *numts* appear to comprise a significant fraction of their nuclear genome. Among metazoans, the eusocial bee *Apis melifera* displayed the highest density of mitochondrial copies in its nuclear genome. It has been suggested that this high level of *numts*

M.P. Cristiano et al./C. R. Biologies 337 (2014) 78-85

	490	500	510	520	530	540	550 560	
S. Invicta NC014672	тсааттаа	ААСССААСТС.	TAACCGAT	тттстсттт	састттатсстас	CATTTATTAT	C C T A T T T A T A G T A	ΑΑΤΤ
A. balzani KF500035	т	T T . T T .	A T	т.с.с			ТА.ТАТ.ТА.Т	т А
A. colombica KF500036 A. laevigata KF500037	C T	T . T C T	АТ	. C	T T A . G . T C .		T T A . A T . T A . T . T A . A T . T A . T	ГА Т
A. robusta KF500038		T . T A	СТ	. c	T	. c	T T C . A T . T A . T	Г Т
A. striatus KF500040		T T	ΑΤ	т с	Т Т.Т		ТС.АТ.ТА.С	5 c . c
A. striatus KF500041 A. striatus KF500042		T T	A T A T	T C	T T T T		ТСАТ.ТА.С ТС.АТ.ТА.С	20.0 00.0
A. striatus KF500043 A. striatus KF500044		T T	ΑΤ	T C T C	T T T T T T		TCATTAC TCATTAC	30.0 00.0
A. striatus KF500045		<u>T</u> T	ΑΤ	T C	T T T		ТС.АТ.ТА.С	c . c
A. striatus KF500046 A. striatus KF500047		T T	A T A T	Т С	T T T		ТСАТ.ТА.С	50.0 50.0
A. striatus KF500048 A. striatus KF500049		T T	A T A T	с	T T T		TCAT.TA.C TCAT.TA.C	3 C . C C C . C
A. striatus KF500050			ΑΤ	с	Ț Ț Ț		TCATTAC	5 C . C
A. striatus KF500051 A. striatus KF500052			A T	т с	T T T	· · · · · · · · · · · ·	<mark>A T . T A . C</mark>	5 C . C
A. striatus KF500053			ΑΤ	T C	T T T	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	AT.TA.C	зс.с
	570	580	590	600	610	620	630	640
S. Invicta NC014672 M. ruhra JE779628	АТАСАТСТ	TTTTTTTCTAC/	CGAAACTG	GATCCTCTAAC	ССССТАВВААСТА	ATAGAAATTT	A T A T A A A A T C C C A	
A. balzani KF500035		хт	. A . T A .	T A T	АСТА.		C	5C
A. colombica KF500036 A. laevigata KF500037	С.СТ./	\	ТТТА. . СТТА.	. T T A T			ттт	т. с
A. robusta KF500038 A. rubropilosa KF500039	С.ТТ./	т т.	. C T T A . T C T T A .		T A C C T T A . T A C C T T A .		Τ	C
A. striatus KF500040	тст./		. T C C A .	A T	T A	T G		
A. striatus KF500041 A. striatus KF500042			. T C C A .	A T	T A T A .	T G		
A. striatus KF500043 A. striatus KF500044	T C T . /	\	. T C C A . . T C C A .	A T	T A T A . T A T A .	T G		
A. striatus KF500045 A. striatus KF500046	T C T . /		. T C C A .	A T	T A T A .	T G T G		
A. striatus KF500046			. T C C A .		T A			
A. striatus KF500048 A. striatus KF500049	T C T . /	V	. T C C A . . T C C A .	A T A T	T A T A . T A T A .	T G T G		
A. striatus KF500050 A. striatus KF500051	T C T . /		. T C C A .	A T	T A T A .	T G T G		
A. striatus KF500052	. т. ст. /		. T C C A .	A T	ТА ТА .	T G		
A. smalus Kroouuuu					I A I A .	700	740	
		1				1		120
M. rubra JF779626	CATATITAC	Г Т Т	T . A .	I A G G A I I I A I I	атататсттатта ТТ.Т.АТ	T A .	AC . T	атас т
A. balzani KF500035 A. colombica KF500036	C C A [.]	Г. ТА.Т	A T . A .	СТС.	T A T A C C A T . T . A C C	T . A . C C T . A .	СТС.С	. A . T
A. laevigata KF500037		А.Т	G C T . A .	A C	С. ТАТАТ. АТ. С С	. A C C T T A .	тс.т	. с. т
A. robusta KF500038 A. rubropilosa KF500039		Г ТА . Г		I C C	С АТАТ. АТ. АТ Т. ТАТАТ. АС. АС		TC . T	:::т
A. striatus KF500040 A. striatus KF500041		ТТА	A	. Т СТСА . Т СТСА	C A T . A A C C A T . A A C	. C C . T T A . . C C . T T A .	T T	т
A. striatus KF500042		ТА	A	. Т СТСА	C A T . A A C	. C C . T T A .	<u>T</u> <u>T</u>	т
A. striatus KF500043 A. striatus KF500044		Г Т.А	A	. T	C A T . A A C C A T . A A C	. C C . T T A .		.
A. striatus KF500045 A. striatus KE500046		Г Т.А	A A	. Т СТСА	C A T . A A C 	. C C . T T A . C . C . T T A .	· · · · · · · T · · T · · ·	T
A. striatus KF500047		ТА	A	. Т СТСА	C A T . A A C	. C C . T T A .		т
A. striatus KF500048 A. striatus KF500049		Г ТА	A	. T	C A T . A A C C A T . A A C	. C C . T T A .	T T	т
A. striatus KF500050 A. striatus KF500051		ГТА	A A	. Т СТСА . Т СТСА	С А Т . А А С С А Т . А А С	. C C . T T A . . C C . T T A .	T T	т т
A. striatus KF500052 A. striatus KF500053	стс	ТТА	· · · · A · · ·	. Т СТСА . Т СТСА	C A T . A A C C A T . A A C	. C C . T T A . . C C . T T A .	T T	т
		730 74	0	750 7	60 770	780	790	
S. Invicta NC014672	СССТАТАТИ		TGATAATT	, ТТАТТССТОСТ	 А А Т С С А А Т А А Т С А		CATCCAACCAGAA	ATGA
M. rubra JF779626	A	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	A C .	C C A	C T G . A .	т . с	T	з
A. colombica KF500036	T C		C	C A A	T T G . T .		ТТС	
A. laevigata KF500037 A. robusta KF500038	A	T	C	C A	T	. A T . C C	ТТ	
A. rubropilosa KF500039 A. striatus KE500040	A		C C .	C		C	T T	
A. striatus KF500040	. A T C		A	C C C A		T C C	<u>T</u>	G
A. striatus KF500042 A. striatus KF500043	. A I C . A T C	T T T .	A			I C C	ТТ	G G
A. striatus KF500044 A. striatus KF500045	. A T C . A T C .	T T T .	A	C C C A	G T G . A . G T G . A	T C C T C C	ТТ	G
A. striatus KF500046	. A T C	<u>T</u> <u>T</u> T	A	C C C A	GTG.A.	T C C	T	G
A. striatus KF500047 A. striatus KF500048	. A T C		Â	C C C A	G T G . A .	T C C	Т	G
A. striatus KF500049 A. striatus KF500050	. A T C . A T C .	Т Т	A	C C C A	G T G . A . G T G . A	T C C T C C	Т	G
A. striatus KF500051	. A T C	<u>T</u> <u>T</u>	A	C C C A	G T G . A .	т с с	<u>T</u> <u>T</u>	G
A. striatus KF500052 A. striatus KF500053	. A T C		A		G T G . A .	т с с	ŤŤ	G
	800	810	820	830	840 850	0 860	870	
S. Invicta NC014672	ТАСТТТСТИ	TTTGCATATGC	CATCCTTC	J A T C T A T C C C T	A A T A A A T T A G G G G	GAGTAATTGC	тстаттадсстся	ААТТ
M. rubra JF779626 A. balzani KF500035	T T . T T .		ТТ.А. ТТ	A T A	C	C . A	. Т ТТТ Т С А . ТАТА	Г
A. colombica KF500036	т	гС	т	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	C . G	т	С АСТАТА Т С АСТАТА Т	r
A. robusta KF500037 A. robusta KF500038	T	гсс.	т т	A	C		C T A T A	
A. rubropilosa KF500039 A. striatus KF500040	T	СС АТСС/	ТТС. 	ТТ.А.СС. АА	С		АТАС.АТА . ТАТААТАТА?	
A. striatus KF500041	T C	A T C C /	TT. TACGA	TT.A.CC.AA	T.A.CTCGGA.T	. TTATTGCT.	. TATAATAT AT	ГТА. ТТА.
A. striatus KF500042 A. striatus KF500043	T C		TT. TACGA	T T . A . C C A A	T.A.CTCGGA.T	. TTATTGCT.	. ΤΑΤΑΑΤΑΤ ΑΤ	TTA.
A. striatus KF500044 A. striatus KF500045	T C T C	A T C C /	. I T . T A C G A ` . T T . T A C G A `	I I . A . C C A A T T . A . C C A A	<mark>Г. А</mark> . СТСССА Т <mark>Т. А</mark> . СТСССА Т	. FTATTGCT. . TTATTGCT.	. I A T A A T A T A T . T A T A A T A T A 7	і Т А . Т Т А .
A. striatus KF500046	T C	A T C C /	TT. TACGA	TT.A.CC. AA	T.A.CTCGGA.T	. T T A T T G C T .	. TATAATAT AT	ГТА.
A. striatus KF500048	T C		TT. TACGA	T T . A . C C A A	T.A.CTCGGA.T	. TTATTGCT.	. ΤΑΤΑΑΤΑΤ ΑΤ	TTA.
A. striatus KF500049 A. striatus KF500050	T C T C	A T C C /	. I T . T A C G A ' . T T . T A C G A '	II.A.CCAA TT.A.CCAA	Г. А. СТСССА Т <mark>Т. А</mark> . СТСССА Т	. FTATTGCT. . TTATTGCT.	. I A T A A T A T A T . T A T A A T A T A T	і Т А . Т Т А .
A. striatus KE500051 A. striatus KE500052	T C	A T C C /	TT. TACGA		T.A.CTCGGA.T	. TTATTGCT.	. TATAATAT AT	ГТА.
A. striatus KF500053	T C	A T C C /			Т. А. СТСССА Т	. TTATTGCT.		тта.

Fig. 3. Sequence alignments for 642 base pairs of *Acromyrmex striatus numts* and the *cytb* gene from other ants species. The alignment is based on the *cytb* gene of *Solenopsis invicta* (NC014672), and the numbers correspond to the position of this nucleotide sequence. Dots indicate no change in the nucleotide compared with the *cytb* of *S. invicta*. The sequences that encoded premature stop codons in the *numts* sequences are highlighted in yellow and deletion of part of the sequences is highlighted in blue. The *cytb* gene showed an insertion of two codons (six nucleotides) in the *Atta* species and one codon (three nucleotides) in *A. balzani*, which are in bold at position 904. The dash represents a gap. For interpretation of references to color, see the online version of this article.

M.P. Cristiano et al./C. R. Biologies 337 (2014) 78-85

	880	890	900	910	920	930	940
S. Invices NGD14572 M. Audou 2179828 A. balarani (KF500038 A. colombica (KF500038 A. androyalioa (KF500037 A. androyalioa (KF500037 A. androyalioa (KF500037 A. androyalioa (KF500047 A. andrauk (KF500047 A. andrauk (KF500047 A. andrauk (KF500047 A. andrauk (KF500047 A. andrauk (KF500048 A. andrauk (KF500048 A. andrauk (KF500049 A. andrauk (KF500049 A. andrauk (KF500049 A. andrauk (KF500059) A. andrauk (KF500059) A. andrauk (KF500059) A. andrauk (KF500059) A. andrauk (KF500059) A. andrauk (KF500059)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C C C T T C A A	- A A A A T T C A C A T A C A A A T T C A A A A	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C		C T T A C C A A T T A C A T T A C A T T A C A T T A C A T T A C A T T A C A T T A C A T T A A C A T T A C A T A A T T A A C A A T T T A C A C
	950	960	970 980	990	1000	1010	1020
S. Invicta NC014672 M. nubra JF779628 A. nabram IF570035 A. colomikae KF500037 A. nobusita KF500037 A. nabrajatae KF500039 A. straitae KF500041 A. straitae KF500041 A. straitae KF500041 A. straitae KF500041 A. straitae KF500045 A. straitae KF500045 A. straitae KF500047 A. straitae KF500051 A. straitae KF500051	I A T T T G A C	I T T C A T C T <th>A T C T T A T T A T C .</th> <th>A T T A A C T I A G</th> <th>G G G C C A A C A G G C A . . A A C T A A . T . . A A A . T . . A T T A T T A T T A T T A T T A T T A T T A T T A T T A .</th> <th>I I C T A T A C</th> <th>C C T T T T G T T T C T A C A C A T T A C A C A T T A C A C A C A T A A A C T C T A A A C C A C C C A A A C C A G C A A A C A G C C C A A A C A G C C A C A A A C A G C C A C A A A C A G C C A C A A A C A G C C A C A C C C C A C A C C C C</th>	A T C T T A T T A T C .	A T T A A C T I A G	G G G C C A A C A G G C A . . A A C T A A . T . . A A A . T . . A T T A T T A T T A T T A T T A T T A T T A T T A T T A .	I I C T A T A C	C C T T T T G T T T C T A C A C A T T A C A C A T T A C A C A C A T A A A C T C T A A A C C A C C C A A A C C A G C A A A C A G C C C A A A C A G C C A C A A A C A G C C A C A A A C A G C C A C A A A C A G C C A C A C C C C A C A C C C C
	1030	1040	1050	1060 1070	1080	1090	1100
		1	1			1	
S. Invicia NGD14672 M. nubo JT79626 A. obatrani K1F500036 A. colomitae K1F500037 A. robusta K1F500037 A. robusta K1F500031 A. striaus K1F500041 A. striaus K1F500051	A T C A G A C A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	T T T T T T A T G T A G A G C G A G A G A G C C G A G A A C C C A A A A C C C A A A A A C A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	I T A C T C T A C T T A C T T A C T T A C T T A C T T T A C T T T A C T T T A C T T T T A C T T T A C T T T T A C T T T T A T T A T T T A T A T A T A T A T A A T A	A T A T T T T A T T A T A T A T A T A T	T A C A T A T - - - T A - A - - - T A - A - - - T A - A - - - - A A A - - - - A <td< th=""><th>T A A A C A A A A T T . A T T T A T . A A C T T T A A C T T T</th><th>Image: Control of the control of th</th></td<>	T A A A C A A A A T T . A T T T A T . A A C T T T A A C T T T	Image: Control of the control of th

Fig. 3. (Continued).

abundance is related to a high recombination rate and low effective population size [13]. Sirvö et al. [40] inferred that the species *A. echinatior* has a high recombination rate, thus whether or not this is linked to *numts* dispersal, a high density of *numts* would be expected in ants. Studies reporting the occurrence of *numts* in ants are rare; however, this low frequency of *numts* is likely underestimated.

We found only one study that specifically investigated *numts* in ants [17]. The authors identified and described two *numts* from the leafcutter ant *A. cephalotes*, on the

cytochrome oxidase I (COI) gene. Both *numts* showed a low mutation rate similar to the *numts* reported here. However, *A. cephalotes numts* showed no typical evidence of *numts* sequences, such as double peaks, indels, frameshift and premature stop codons [17]. Further evidence of *numts* in ants was reported by Kronauer et al. [41], who suggested the occurrence of COI *numts* and COII in the genus *Dorylus*, because of various frameshift changes. All these putative *numts* showed low mutation rates and identical sequences across the species analyzed. These findings and the evidence reported here indicate that *numts* in ants have

been overlooked. The study of ants involves substantial use of molecular techniques and it is possible that *numts*, which are not always easily identifiable [5,39], could be responsible for some of the results reported in molecular phylogenies, population genetics and evolution of this group.

Here, we reported for the first time the occurrence of *numts* in the genus *Acromyrmex*. We believe it is worth emphasizing that the only other *numts* reported were observed in an ant from the same tribe (Attini) as *A. striatus*. All the *numts* detected so far in ants were found in members of the Attini tribe and for distinct mtDNA regions. This suggests that several transfer events of mtDNA copies into the nuclear genome may have occurred throughout the evolutionary history of this ant lineage. It is our hope that this report will highlight the benefits and challenges of using mtDNA in molecular phylogenetic reconstruction and phylogeographic studies of ants, while establishing the importance of *numts* reports in the planning and management of future studies.

Disclosure of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest concerning this article.

Acknowledgements

We thank D.S. Anayansi Valderrama for kindly providing samples of *A. colombica*. MPC and DCC acknowledge the FAPEMIG for his doctoral fellowship. Funding for this project was also provided by grant from the FAPEMIG (Process number: CRA-APQ-00935-11). The authors would also like to thank Philip Badiz for editing and proofreading the manuscript.

References

- N. Galtier, B. Nabholz, S. Glémin, G.D.D. Hurst, Mitochondrial DNA as a marker of molecular diversity: a reappraisal, Mol. Ecol. 18 (2009) 4541– 4550.
- [2] J.C. Avise, Phylogeography: retrospect and prospect, J. Biogeogr. 36 (2009) 3–15.
- [3] G.D.D. Hurst, F.M. Jiggins, Problems with mitochondrial DNA as a marker in population, phylogeographic and phylogenetic studies: the effects of inherited symbionts, Proc. R. Soc. B. 272 (2005) 1525–1534.
- [4] M. Cristiano, T. Fernandes-Salomão, K.C. Yotoko, Nuclear mitochondrial DNA: an Achilles' heel of molecular systematics, phylogenetics, and phylogeographic studies of stingless bees, Apidologie 43 (2012) 527–538.
- [5] C. Bertheau, H. Schuler, S. Krumböck, W. Arthofer, C. Stauffer, Hit or miss in phylogeographic analyses: the case of the cryptic *numts*, Mol. Ecol. Resour. 11 (2011) 1056–1059.
- [6] J.E. Buhay, "COI-like" sequences are becoming problematic in molecular systematic and DNA barcoding studies, J. Crustacean Biol. 29 (2009) 96–110.
- [7] J.V. Lopez, N. Yuhki, R. Masuda, W. Modi, S.J. O'Brien, Numt, a recent transfer and tandem amplification of mitochondrial DNA to the nuclear genome of the domestic cat, J. Mol. Evol. 39 (1994) 174–190.
- [8] E. Hazkani-Covo, R.M. Zeller, W. Martin, Molecular poltergeists: mitochondrial DNA copies (*numts*) in sequenced nuclear genomes, PLoS Genet. 6 (2010) e1000834.
- [9] A.I. Gaziev, G.O. Shaikhaev, Nuclear mitochondrial pseudogenes, Mol. Biol. 44 (2010) 358–368.
- [10] D. Bensasson, D.X. Zhang, D.L. Hartl, G.M. Hewitt, Mitochondrial pseudogenes: evolution's misplaced witnesses, Trends Ecol. Evol. 16 (2001) 314–321.
- [11] E. Richly, D. Leister, Numts in sequenced eukaryotic genomes, Mol. Biol. Evol. 21 (2004) 1081–1084.

- [12] D. Bensasson, D.X. Zhang, G.M. Hewitt, Frequent assimilation of mitochondrial DNA by grasshopper nuclear genomes, Mol. Biol. Evol. 17 (2000) 406–415.
- [13] P. Pamilo, L. Viljakainen, A. Vihavainen, Exceptionally high density of numts in the honeybee genome, Mol. Biol. Evol. 24 (2007) 1340–1346.
- [14] W.C. Black IV, S.A. Bernhardt, Abundant nuclear copies of mitochondrial origin (*numts*) in the *Aedes aegypti* genome, Insect Mol. Biol. 18 (2009) 705–713.
- [15] L. Viljakainen, D.C.S.G. Oliveira, J.H. Werren, S.K. Behura, Transfers of mitochondrial DNA to the nuclear genome in the wasp *Nasonia vitripennis*, Insect Mol. Biol. 19 (2010) 27–35.
- [16] Y. Cai, X.Y. Cheng, D. Duan, R. Xu, Mitochondrial COI gene transfers to the nuclear genome of *Dendroctonus valens* and its implications, J. Appl. Entomol. 135 (2011) 302–310.
- [17] J. Martins, S.E. Solomon, A.S. Mikheyev, U.G. Mueller, A. Ortiz, M. Bacci, Nuclear mitochondrial-like sequences in ants: evidence from *Atta cephalotes* (Formicidae: Attini), Insect Mol. Biol. 16 (2007) 777–784.
- [18] C. Ruiz, W. de, J. May-Itzá, J.J.G. Quezada-EuÁn, P. De la Rúa, Presence of nuclear copies of mitochondrial origin (*numts*) in two related species of stingless bee genus *Melipona* (Hymenoptera: Meliponini), J. Zool. Syst. Evol. Res. 51 (2013) 107–113.
- [19] S.K. Behura, Analysis of nuclear copies of mitochondrial sequences in honeybee (*Apis mellifera*) genome, Mol. Biol. Evol. 24 (2007) 1492– 1505.
- [20] A.T. Beckenbach, Numts and mitochondrial pseudogenes, Myrmecol. News 12 (2009) 217–218.
- [21] C. Simon, F. Frati, A. Beckenbach, B. Crespi, H. Liu, P. Flook, Evolution, weighting, and phylogenetic utility of mitochondrial gene sequences and a compilation of conserved polymerase chain reaction primers, Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am. 87 (1994) 651–701.
- [22] T.M. Fernandes-Salomão, R.B. Rocha, L.A.O. Campos, E.F. Araújo, The first internal transcribed spacer (ITS-1) of *Melipona* species (Hymenoptera, Apidae, Meliponini): characterization and phylogenetic analysis, Insect Soc. 52 (2005) 11–18.
- [23] Y. Wurm, J. Wang, O. Riba-Grognuz, M. Corona, S. Nygaard, B.G. Hunt, et al., The genome of the fire ant *Solenopsis invicta*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. (2011) 5679–5684.
- [24] D. Gordon, C. Abajian, P. Green, Consed: agraphical tool for sequence finishing, Genome Res. 8 (1998) 195–202.
- [25] H. Song, J.E. Buhay, M.F. Whiting, K.A. Crandall, Many species in one: DNA barcoding overestimates the number of species when nuclear mitochondrial pseudogenes are coamplified, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 105 (2008) 13486–13491.
- [26] A. Miraldo, G.M. Hewitt, P.H. Dear, O.S. Paulo, B.C. Emerson, Numts help to reconstruct the demographic history of the ocellated lizard (*Lacerta* lepida) in a secondary contact zone, Mol. Ecol. 21 (2012) 1005–1018.
- [27] J.D. Thompson, D.G. Higgins, T.J. Gibson, CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice, Nucleic Acids Res. 22 (1994) 4673–4680.
- [28] K. Tamura, D. Peterson, N. Peterson, G. Stecher, M. Nei, S. Kumar, MEGA5: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods, Mol. Biol. Evol. 28 (2011) 2731–2739.
- [29] J. Leppänen, K. Vepsäläinen, R. Savolainen, Phylogeography of the ant Myrmica rubra and its inquiline social parasite, Ecol. Evol. 1 (2011) 46– 62.
- [30] D.J. White, J.N. Wolff, M. Pierson, N.J. Gemmell, Revealing the hidden complexities of mtDNA inheritance, Mol. Ecol. 17 (2008) 4925–4942.
- [31] S.T. Lovett, Encoded errors: mutations and rearrangements mediated by misalignment at repetitive DNA sequences, Mol. Microbiol. 52 (2004) 1243–1253.
- [32] D.X. Zhang, G.M. Hewitt, Highly conserved nuclear copies of the mitochondrial control region in the desert locust *Schistocerca gregaria*: some implications for population studies, Mol. Ecol. 5 (1996) 295–300.
- [33] N.T. Perna, T.D. Kocher, Mitochondrial DNA: molecular fossils in the nucleus, Curr. Biol. 6 (1996) 128–129.
- [34] C. Simon, T.R. Buckley, F. Frati, J.B. Stewart, A.T. Beckenbach, Incorporating molecular evolution into phylogenetic analysis, and a new compilation of conserved polymerase chain reaction primers for animal mitochondrial DNA, Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 37 (2006) 545–579.
- [35] O. Folmer, M. Black, W. Hoeh, R. Lutz, R. Vrijenhoek, DNA primers for amplification of mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates, Mol. Mar. Biol. Biotech. 3 (1994) 294– 299.
- [36] S. Calvignac, L. Konecny, F. Malard, C.J. Douady, Preventing the pollution of mitochondrial datasets with nuclear mitochondrial paralogs (*numts*), Mitochondrion 11 (2011) 246–254.
- [37] J.N. Wolff, D.C.A. Shearman, R.C. Brooks, J.W.O. Ballard, Selective enrichment and sequencing of whole mitochondrial genomes in the presence

of nuclear encoded mitochondrial pseudogenes (*numts*), PLoS ONE 7 (2012) e37142.

- [38] S.K. Behura, N.F. Lobo, B. Haas, B. deBruyn, D.D. Lovin, M.F. Shumway, D. Puiu, J. Romero-Severson, V. Nene, D.W. Severson, Complete sequences of mitochondria genomes of *Aedes aegypti* and *Culex quinquefasciatus* and comparative analysis of mitochondrial DNA fragments inserted in the nuclear genomes, Insect Biochem. Mol. 41 (2011) 770–777.
- [39] K. Berthier, M.P. Chapuis, S.M. Moosavi, D. Tohidi-Esfahani, G.A. Sword, Nuclear insertions and heteroplasmy of mitochondrial DNA as two

sources of intra-individual genomic variation in grasshoppers, Syst. Entomol. 36 (2011) 285–299.

- [40] A. Sirviö, J. Gadau, Ó. Rueppell, D. Lamatsch, J.J. Boomsma, P. Pamilo, R.E. Page, High recombination frequency creates genotypic diversity in colonies of the leaf-cutting ant *Acromyrmex echinatior*, J. Evol. Biol. 19 (2006) 1475–1485.
- [41] D.J. Kronauer, C. Schöning, L.B. Vilhelmsen, J.J. Boomsma, A molecular phylogeny of *Dorylus* army ants provides evidence for multiple evolutionary transitions in foraging niche, BMC Evol. Biol. 7 (2007) 56.