

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Comptes Rendus Biologies



www.sciencedirect.com

Microbiology: bacteriology, mycology, parasitology, virology/Microbiologie : bactériologie, mycologie, parasitologie, virologie

Fly ash mycorrhizoremediation through *Paspalum* scrobiculatum L., inoculated with *Rhizophagus fasciculatus*

CrossMark

Amareshappa Channabasava ^{a,*}, Huskur Chennarayappa Lakshman ^a, Thangavelu Muthukumar ^b

^a Microbiology Laboratory, P.G. Department of Studies in Botany, Karnatak University, Pavate Nagar, Dharwad 580003, Karnataka, India ^b Root and Soil Biology Laboratory, Department of Botany, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore 641046, Tamil Nadu, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 24 May 2014 Accepted after revision 12 November 2014 Available online 6 December 2014

Keywords: Fly ash Phosphorus Grain yield Colonization Amendment and mycorrhizae

ABSTRACT

Fly ash is the residue produced during the combustion of coal, and its disposal is a major environmental concern worldwide. However, fly ash can ameliorate soils by improving their physical, chemical, and biological properties. Hence, we conducted a study to understand the mycorrhizoremediation of different levels of fly ash (2%, 4%, and 6%) by using kodo millet (Paspalum scrobiculatum L.) inoculated with arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungus Rhizophagus fasciculatus under greenhouse conditions. Fly ash amendment at a low level (2%) significantly enhanced AM colonization, spore number, plant growth, nutrient uptake, nutrient-use efficiencies and grain yield of kodo millet. Nevertheless, inoculation of soils amended with 2% fly ash with the AM fungus further enhanced the AM fungal, plant growth, nutrient uptake and yield parameters. Arbuscular mycorrhizal colonization decreased with increasing concentrations of fly ash amendment; however, such decrease was not linear. Our results also revealed a significantly higher plant growth, root/shoot ratios and nutrient contents in kodo millet shoots raised on 2% fly ash amendment and inoculated with the AM fungus at both harvests. Both fly ash amendment and AM fungus inoculation also significantly influenced the number of grains produced as well as the grain weight. Arbuscular mycorrhizal inoculation and fly ash amendment affected K, Ca, Mg, Na use efficiencies. Plant growth and nutrient parameters were strongly related to the extent of AM fungal colonization in the roots. These observations suggest that the inoculation of AM fungi along with low levels of fly ash amendment could be effectively used for the reclamation of low fertile or marginal soils and in turn fly ash could aid in crop production.

© 2014 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Among the fossil fuels, coal plays a crucial role in satisfying the energy demands of various countries worldwide. Combustion of coal in thermal power plants and other industries produce a variety of residues called fly ash. Fly ash is composed of predominately small glassy, hollow particles with low-to-medium bulk density ranging from 2.1 to 2.6 cm³ [1]. These particles have an average diameter of less than 10 μ m, and a high surface area. Light textured fly ash particles are aggregates of micron- and sub-micron-sized spherical particles with sizes ranging from 0.01 to 100 μ m [2].

Generally, fly ash application influences the physical properties of the soil such as water-holding capacity, bulk density, and soil structure [3–7]. Application of fly ash to

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.crvi.2014.11.002

1631-0691/© 2014 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

^{*} Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* achannabasava7@gmail.com (A. Channabasava).

the nutrient-stressed soils has been shown to improve plant growth and productivity [8,9]. Therefore, fly ash has often been advocated as a promising material for reclaiming wastelands or mine spoils [10,11]. Millions of hectares of fertile land that were transformed into waste lands due to strip mining for coal have been effectively reclaimed and stabilized using fly ash [12]. However, fly ash and some of the resuspended ash from ash ponds can deposit in the nearby environments (5-10 km) of coalbased power plants, causing great ecological damage. In addition to requiring large tracts of land for their disposal, the fugitive dust of fly ash also pollutes air and water [13]. The production of fly ash in India is projected to be 900 Mg per year in 2031–2032 [14]. Therefore, development of proper technology for disposal of this hazardous waste in an eco-friendly manner becomes mandatory to derive a maximum benefit from this nutrient-rich waste. It has been proposed that fly ash with organic manures and microbial inoculants could be used to formulate a soil benefaction strategy, which would help to improve the properties of the soil and enrich its nutrient status [8.15.16].

Mycorrhizae are the most common and widespread symbiosis-formed between plants and fungi. The fungi benefit the plants with the soil resources and the plants in turn provide carbon to the fungi, thereby forming a link between the biotic and geochemical portions of the environment [17]. Studies have clearly shown that the mycorrhizal fungi, especially the arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi, not only affect the nutrient uptake by plants, but also influence their nutrient-use efficiencies [18,19]. In addition to the mutual benefits to the symbionts, the extensive extraradical fungal hyphae found in the soil play an important role in maintaining the soil structure by binding the soil particles together [20]. Recently, there has been considerable interest in the possible utilization of AM fungi in promoting plant growth in agriculture, horticulture and forestry as well as in the restoration of degraded lands [9,21]. Much of the interest on the practical value of AM fungi in land restoration has stemmed from the experimental evidence that the AM fungi can improve the survival and growth of plants by alleviating the nutrient deficiencies encountered by plants during their establishment in stressed soils [22–25]. Recently, Piñeiro et al. [26] showed that inoculation with AM fungi alone or in combination with organic amendments can enhance both the survival and growth of plants in stressed environments. Nevertheless, such a positive effect does not hold true always, as Oliveira et al. [27] failed to find any significant influence of mycorrhization on plant performance in three native late-successional shrub species (Ceratonia siliqua, Olea europea, and Pistacia lentiscus). Arbuscular mycorrhizal colonization and spores in the rhizosphere of plants growing naturally on soils contaminated with fly ash or from areas used to store fly ash have been reported [28–31]. A few studies have also examined the influence of fly ash on AM formation and function in crop and tree species [8,32,33]. The results of these studies indicated that the mycorrhizal benefit to plants could substantially differ from the concentrations and the composition of the fly ash.

Millet, belonging to the family Poaceae, is a staple food supplying a major portion of calories and protein to large segments of populations in the semi-arid tropical regions of Africa and Asia [34]. Among all the millets. Kodo millet (Paspalum scrobiculatum L.) is very rare and it is very good host for mycorrhizal fungi. Kodo millet has been grown as a cereal crop exclusively in India for at least 3000 years, but is widespread as a tropical weed in other areas. Kodo millet has an indefinite storage life. The grains of kodo millet are nutritionally superior to rice and wheat, provide cheap proteins, minerals and vitamins to the poorest among the poor, where the need is essential. In this study, we evaluated the effect of different concentrations of fly ash amendment with or without AM fungus inoculation on plant growth, nutrient-use efficiencies and yield of kodo millet

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Experimental design

Red sandy loam soil (0-20 cm) collected from Agricultural Research Station, Hanumanamatti (located at latitude 14° 40′ 06.12" N and Longitude 75° 34′ 22.00" E) of Haveri district, Karnataka, India, was used in the study. The soil was air-dried, sieved (2 mm) and stored in gunny bags until used. The physicochemical properties of the soil, determined following standard procedures [35], were: 2.3 mg/kg of total P, 63.02 mg/kg of total N, 7.50 mg/kg of exchangeable K, 21.01 mg/kg of Na, 33.00 mg/kg of Mg and 72.02 mg/kg of Ca. The soil had an organic carbon content of 0.31%. Soil pH (1/2.5 soil: water ratio, v/v) and electrical conductivity (EC, dS/m) was 8.42 and 0.42 respectively. The AM fungal spore number in the native soil was 98 spores/10g soils (determined according to [36]). A majority of these spores were devoid of contents and were only spore cases [37]. Prior to inoculation, spores from native AM fungal flora isolated from the soil sample were identified following the current taxonomic criteria [38,39] and information of INVAM (http://www.invam.caf.wdu.edu/). The studied soil contained AM fungal spores belonging to Acaulospora laevis Gerd and Trappe, Acaulospora spinosa Walker and Trappe, Archeospora trappei (Ames and Linderman) Morton and Redecker, Funneliformis caledonium (Nicolson and Gerd) Walker and Schüßler, Gigaspora rosea Nicolson and Schenck, Glomus aggregatum Schenck and Sm., Glomus albidum Walker and Rhodes, Glomus delhiense Mukerji, Bhattacharjee and Tewari, Glomus globiferum Koske and Walker, Glomus macrocarpum Tul. and Tul., Redeckera fulvum (Berk. and Broome) Walker and Schüßler, Rhizophagus clarus (Nicolson and Schenck) Walker and Schüßler, R. fasciculatus (Thaxt.) Walker and Schüßler, Sclerocystis dussii (Pat.) Hohn., Sclerocystis pubescens (Sacc. and Ellis) Hohn., and Scutellospora calospora (Nicolson and Gerd.) Walker and Sanders. Among all the isolated species, Rhizophagus fasciculatus was found to be the predominant fungus based on abundance (number of spores of a particular morphotype/total number of spores in the sample \times 100).

Fly ash (FA) was obtained from Harihar Polyfibers and Grasilene Division, a pulp-manufacturing plant located in Kumarapatnam, Harihar, of Davanger District, Karnataka, India. Fly ash was sieved (2 mm) and stored in black polythene bags at room temperature until used. The fly ash contained 0.66% organic carbon, 1.7 mg/kg of total P, 1.58 of total N, 33.42 mg/kg of exchangeable K, 20.30 mg/kg of Na, 8.14 mg/kg of Mg, and 36.12 mg/kg of Ca as assessed according to Jackson [35]. The pH (1/2.5 ash: water ratio, v/v) and the electrical conductivity (EC, dS/m) were 7.90 and 0.20, respectively. A specific quantity of fly ash was added and thoroughly mixed to the soil.

The experiment involved a factorial combination of four concentrations of fly ash amendments (0%, 2%, 4%, 6%), two AM inoculation levels (uninoculated or inoculated) and two harvests [60 and 90 days after sowing (DAS)]. The treatments were as follows:

- unamended and uninoculated native soil (control);
- AM fungus inoculation;
- 2% fly ash (20 g/kg soil) amendment;
- 4% fly ash (40 g/kg soil) amendment;
- 6% fly ash (60 g/kg soil) amendment;
- 2% fly ash amendment with AM fungus inoculation;
- 4% fly ash amendment with AM fungus inoculation;
- 6% fly ash amendment with AM fungus inoculation.

These eight treatments were replicated three times, giving a total of 48 pots $(4 \times 2 \times 2 \times 3)$ that were arranged in the greenhouse in a randomized block design.

2.2. Seeds and AM fungal source

Seeds of Kodo millet were obtained from the Krishi Vigyan Kendra of Dharwad Agricultural University, Hanumanamatti, Haveri, Karnataka, India. The seeds were surface sterilized in 2% mercuric chloride solution for 2 min and washed free of sterilant using distilled water prior to sowing. The AM fungus (R. fasciculatus) inoculum was prepared from spores isolated from the field soil using sorghum (Sorghum bicolor) as the host in the Microbiology Laboratory, P.G. Department of Studies in Botany, Karnatak University, Dharwad (15° 26' 28.5"N, 74° 59' 2.1"E), India. The AM fungal inoculum (25 g/pot) containing chopped mycorrhizal root bits, soil hyphal fragments and spores/ spore clusters (315-375 spores/25g) were layered 5 cm below the soil surface in each pot involving AM fungi. Twenty-five grams of sterilized (120 °C, for 45 min) AM fungal inoculum were added to uninoculated treatments. Four seeds of kodo millet were sown in 30-cm-diameter earthen pots containing 15 kg of unsterile field soil. The seedlings were thinned to two per pot one week after germination.

2.3. Growth conditions

Plants were grown under greenhouse conditions with temperatures ranging between 25 ± 3 °C daytime and 15 ± 3 °C night-time, a 16/8-h light/dark photoperiod, and a relative humidity of 80–90%. The plants were irrigated manually with distilled water as needed during the experi-

ment (judged by weighing pots). Every two weeks, 10 mL of nutrient solution [44] without P was added to each pot.

2.4. Harvest and measurements

Plants were harvested at 60 and 90 DAS. At harvest, plant growth parameters like plant height, and average first-order root length (total root length by the number of roots) was measured. Root fresh weights were recorded, and a weighed portion of the roots were preserved in a formalin-acetic acid-70% alcohol (0.5/ 0.5: 9.0; v:v:v) solution for the determination of AM fungal colonization. Shoot and root dry weights were recorded after drying at 65 °C for 48 h in a forced-air oven. The root/shoot (R/S) ratio was calculated on the dry weight basis. The number of grains per panicle was counted manually, and 100grain dry weights were determined after drying them at room temperature to a constant weight. The AM colonization was determined as per Giovannetti and Mosse [40] after clearing and staining the roots with 0.05% trypan blue [41]. The number of AM fungal spores per 50 g of soil sample was determined as mentioned earlier.

The plant shoots were digested with a nitric acidperchloric acid (3/1) mixture as described by Page et al. [42]. These samples were analyzed for mineral nutrients such as K, Ca, Na, and Mg [35]. The total P content in the shoot tissues was determined by the colorimetric method as described by Kitson and Mellon [43]. Nutrient-use efficiencies were calculated according to Koide [45].

2.5. Statistical analysis

Data on plant growth, yield, nutrient content, AM colonization and spore numbers were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SPSS software (version 21.0). Means were compared by the Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT). Statistical significance was determined at P < 0.05. The relationships between the variables were determined using Pearson's correlation coefficient.

3. Results

3.1. Effect of fly ash on AM colonization and spore numbers

Fly ash amendment and AM fungus inoculation significantly influenced the percentage of AM colonization in kodo millet roots. No AM fungal colonization of kodo millet roots was observed at 60 DAS and low colonization levels (16%) occurred at 90 DAS in uninoculated and unamended control soils (Fig. 1a). Though fly ash amendment stimulated the extent of AM colonization in kodo millet roots compared to control, AM inoculation further enhanced the extent of colonization. Maximum AM colonization was evident in treatments involving a low concentration (2%) of fly ash at both 60 and 90 DAS of harvests. Nevertheless, increasing concentrations of fly ash amendment decrease was not proportional (r=0.021; P>0.05; n=16).



Fig. 1. (Colour online.) Influence of fly-ash (FA) amendment and arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungus inoculation on root colonization (a) and spore numbers (b) of kodo millet at 60 and 90 days after sowing (DAS). Error bars indicate ± 1 S.E. Bars bearing same letter(s) are not significantly different (P > 0.05) according to DMRT.

Like AM colonization, spore numbers were also significantly influenced by fly ash amendment and AM fungus inoculation (Fig. 1b). Average spore numbers in soils inoculated with AM fungus were more than two folds higher than in uninoculated soils. Application of 2% fly ash improved the spore numbers, whereas a further increase in fly ash concentrations decreased spore numbers. The least spore numbers were observed in 6% fly-ash-amended soils irrespective of AM inoculation both at 60 and 90 DAS. The decrease in the spore numbers was not related to the concentration of fly ash amendment (r = -0.164; P > 0.05; n = 16). However, AM spore numbers were significantly and positively correlated to the extent of AM colonization in roots (r = 0.874; P < 0.01; n = 16).

3.2. Effect of fly ash on growth of kodo millet

Application of fly ash and AM fungus inoculation significantly influenced all the plant growth parameters

both at 60 and 90 DAS (Table 1). In addition, all the interactions among factors were also highly significant. Generally, plants raised on soils amended with different rates of fly ash were significantly taller than those raised on unamended soils for both harvests, except for those raised on 6% fly-ash-amended soils at 60 DAS. Though kodo millet plants raised on 6% fly-ash-amended soils were 4% shorter than those raised on unamended soil at 60 DAS, plants in this treatment were taller by 6% than those raised on unamended soil at 90 DAS. Inoculation of AM fungus increased the seedling height by 12-51% at 60 DAS and by 61-98% at 90 DAS compared to their respective non-mycorrhizal conspecifics. Nevertheless, by 90 DAS, AM-inoculated plants grown in 6% fly-ashamended soils were 7% shorter compared to the uninoculated plants grown on soil amended with a similar rate of fly ash.

Fly ash amendment at 2% and 4% significantly increased the length of first-order roots, but the application of 6% fly ash significantly reduced root lengths both at 60 and 90 DAS. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus inoculation significantly increased the root lengths at all concentrations of fly ash amendment. Maximum shoot and root dry weights were observed in plants raised on 2% fly-ash-amended soils and inoculated with the AM fungus for both harvests. On the contrary, plants raised on 6% fly ash amendment irrespective of AM fungus inoculation had the minimum shoot and root dry weights both at 60 DAS and 90 DAS. Fly ash amendment also significantly influenced the R/S ratios of plants at both the harvests. The R/S ratios of plants raised on flyash-amended soils was either similar or higher compared to control except for plants raised at 2% fly ash amendment at 60 DAS. Mycorrhizal inoculation increased the R/S ratios of plants raised on both flyash-amended and unamended soils. Plant growth parameters were significantly and positively correlated to AM fungal colonization (Table 2).

3.3. Effect of fly ash on grain number and yield

Fly ash amendment ($F_{3,16}$ = 884.589) and AM inoculation ($F_{1,16}$ = 4662.533) significantly (P < 0.001) influenced the number of grains per panicle (Fig. 2a). The interaction among these factors was also highly significant ($F_{3,16}$ = 336.556; P < 0.001). The maximum grain production was observed in soils amended with 2% fly ash along with AM fungus inoculation, whereas the minimum grain production was observed in 6% fly-ash-amended soils. Mycorrhizal inoculation increased the average grain production by 55%.

Application of fly ash amendment ($F_{3,16}$ = 2427.004) and AM inoculation ($F_{1,16}$ = 2359.53) significantly (P < 0.001) influenced the grain weight (Fig. 2b). The interaction for fly ash amendment and AM fungus inoculation was also highly significant ($F_{3,16}$ = 392.654; P < 0.001). The maximum grain weight was recorded in soils amended with 2% fly ash along with AM fungus inoculation, and the minimum grain production was observed in 6% fly-ash-amended soils with or without AM fungus inoculation.

able 1
nfluence of fly ash (FA) amendment and arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungus inoculation on growth of kodo millet at 60 and 90 days after sowing (DAS).

Treatments	Plant height (cm/plant)		Root length (cm/plant)		Shoot dry weight (g/plant)		Root dry weight (g/plant)		R/S ratio		
	-AM ^a	+AM	-AM	+AM	-AM	+AM	-AM	+AM	-AM	+AM	
60 DAS											
0% FA	$21.51\pm0.69~ab$	$32.53\pm0.46~\text{fg}$	$8.35\pm0.15~\text{a}$	$14.70\pm0.29\ f$	$8.81\pm0.11~b$	$11.50\pm0.29~e$	$1.39\pm0.04\ b$	$1.98\pm0.04~\text{de}$	$0.16\pm0.00\ b$	$0.17\pm0.01~bc$	
2% FA	$30.49 \pm 0.29~e$	$44.07\pm0.56\ i$	$10.33\pm0.06\ b$	$\textbf{22.57} \pm \textbf{0.24k}$	$12.52\pm0.09\ f$	$15.43\pm0.16h$	$1.56\pm0.05\ bc$	$4.22\pm0.03k$	$0.12\pm0.00~\text{a}$	$0.27\pm0.00~\text{fg}$	
4% FA	$27.68 \pm 1.36~\mathbf{c}$	$32.97\pm0.56g$	$12.30\pm0.14\ d$	$18.46\pm0.28\ h$	$10.48\pm0.14~d$	$10.78\pm0.15~d$	$1.85\pm0.07~de$	$3.13\pm0.06~gh$	$0.18\pm0.01\ bc$	$0.29\pm0.00~g$	
6% FA	$20.74\pm0.83~\text{a}$	$23.12\pm0.50\ b$	$8.30\pm0.09~\text{a}$	$15.54\pm0.22~\text{g}$	$6.72\pm0.15~\text{a}$	$8.44\pm0.11\ b$	$1.04\pm0.03~\text{a}$	$2.44\pm0.10\ f$	$0.16\pm0.00\ b$	$0.29\pm0.01~\text{g}$	
90 DAS											
0% FA	$27.65\pm1.05\ c$	$54.66 \pm 1.12 k \\$	$11.79\pm0.10\ c$	$19.23\pm0.12\ i$	$12.48\pm0.09\ f$	$16.30\pm0.07\ i$	$2.06\pm0.04~e$	$\textbf{3.46} \pm \textbf{0.08}~i$	$0.17\pm0.00\ bc$	$0.21\pm0.00~d$	
2% FA	$35.26\pm0.34h$	$65.18 \pm \mathbf{1.18l}$	$13.25\pm0.12~\text{e}$	$\textbf{30.01} \pm \textbf{0.18l}$	$14.74\pm0.25g$	$21.44 \pm 0.24 k$	$3.26\pm0.09~hi$	$7.04\pm0.06m$	$0.22\pm0.01~d$	$0.33\pm0.00\ h$	
4% FA	$32.44\pm0.84~\text{fg}$	$52.27\pm0.58\ j$	$18.26\pm0.03\ h$	$21.60\pm0.22\ j$	$11.32\pm0.18~e$	$18.48\pm0.24\ j$	$3.34\pm0.20\ hi$	$\textbf{4.79} \pm \textbf{0.02l}$	$0.30\pm0.02~g$	$0.26\pm0.00~e$	
6% FA	$29.20\pm0.18\ de$	$27.23\pm0.64\ c$	$10.50\pm0.22\ b$	$18.65\pm0.22\ h$	$9.48\pm0.27~c$	$10.44\pm0.11~d$	$1.78\pm0.11~cd$	$3.85\pm0.05\ j$	$0.19\pm0.02\ c$	$0.37\pm0.01\ i$	
F-statistics	df										
Harvest (H)	1.32	865.214***		1954.217***		1737.875***		1423.923***		160.931***	
AM	1.32	1203.20		8347.556***	1332.969***		2127.958***		502.648***		
FA	3.32	397.845***	997.134***		1092.142***		474.316***		84.100***		
$H\timesAM$	1.32	189.711		25.309***		235.155***		76.423***		13.017***	
$H\timesFA$	3.32	20.395	33.757***		25.930***		49.927***		7.745***		
$AM \times FA$	3.32	154.50		517.742***		64.790		151.506***		64.462***	
$H \times AM \times FA$	3.32	42.102***		65.598	84.620***		6.159***		32.153***		

Means \pm S.E. for a variable followed by some alphabet letter are not significantly different (P > 0.05) according to DMRT. ^a –AM and +AM indicates uninoculated and AM-inoculated, respectively. ^{***} Significant at P < 0.001.

Pearson's correlation coefficients (r) for plant growth, tissue nutrient content and arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) colonization.											
	Plant grov	vth			Tissue nut	Tissue nutrients					
	RL	SDW	RDW	R/S	Р	К	Ca	Mg	Na		
SL RL SDW RDW R/S P K Ca Mg Na	0.840***	0.951 ^{***} 0.785 ^{***}	0.857*** 0.960*** 0.849***	0.365 0.766** 0.312 0.747***	0.915 ^{***} 0.811 ^{***} 0.922 ^{***} 0.868 ^{***} 0.423	0.785 ^{***} 0.884 ^{***} 0.820 ^{***} 0.939 ^{****} 0.721 ^{***}	0.904 0.864 0.913 0.901 0.522 0.949 0.938	0.851 0.841 0.887 0.907 0.560 0.936 0.936 0.950 0.981	0.884 0.809 0.910 0.876 0.477 0.978 0.892 0.966 0.974	0.750** 0.667** 0.774*** 0.795*** 0.514* 0.785*** 0.759*** 0.755*** 0.815*** 0.842***	

SL: shoot length; RL: root length; SDW: shoot dry weight; RDW: root dry weight; R/S: root/shoot ratio; P: shoot P; K: shoot K; Ca: shoot Ca; Mg: shoot Mg; Na: shoot Na.

* Significant at *P* < 0.05.

" Significant at *P* < 0.01.

*** Significant at P < 0.001.

3.4. Effect of fly ash on nutrient content of shoot and nutrientuse efficiencies

Nutrient concentrations in the shoots of kodo millet were significantly affected by fly ash amendment and AM fungus inoculation (Table 3). In addition, the interaction between the various factors was also highly significant for all the nutrients studied. Fly ash amendment reduced the concentration of P in kodo millet shoots at both the harvests, except for those raised on 2% fly-ash-amended soils at



Fig. 2. (Colour online.) Influence of fly-ash (FA) amendment and arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungus inoculation on grain numbers (a) and grain weight (b) of kodo millet. Error bars indicate ± 1 S.E. Bars bearing same letter(s) are not significantly different (P > 0.05) according to DMRT.

60 DAS. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus inoculation improved the shoot P by 10% to 76% and 22% to 65% at 60 and 90 DAS respectively compared to non-mycorrhizal plants raised in soils amended with similar rates of fly ash. Application of 2% of fly ash significantly improved shoot K content in kodo millet both at 60 and 90 DAS, whereas a decline in shoot K content was observed at 4% and 6% fly-ash application rates. Inoculation of AM fungus significantly improved the shoot's K content at all fly-ash amendment rates. Although 2% of fly ash amendment significantly improved the concentrations of Ca, Mg and Na at 60 DAS, a further increase in fly-ash application rates decreased the nutrient contents. The Ca, Mg, and Na content of kodo millet shoots at 90 DAS were lower in all concentrations of fly ash amendment in uninoculated plants. However, Ca, Mg and Na contents in shoots at 90 DAS were significantly improved by AM fungus inoculation and were higher than those in uninoculated plants (Table 3). Shoot nutrient contents were significantly and positively correlated to the extent of AM fungal colonization as well as to plant-growth parameters (Table 2).

Fly ash amendment and AM fungus inoculation significantly affected the nutrient-use efficiencies of kodo millet (Table 4). Application of 6% fly ash in the absence of AM fungus increased P, K, Ca, Mg and Na use efficiencies of kodo millet by 46%, 58%, 210%, 149%, and 185% respectively. Inoculation of AM fungus reduced the P use efficiency of kodo millet plants by 9% to 43% compared to plants raised on soils amended with similar rates of fly ash. However, K, Ca, Mg and Na use efficiencies were respectively 137%, 31%, 50%, and 107% higher for kodo millet plants inoculated with the AM fungus compared to uninoculated plants when grown on soils amended with 4% fly ash. Potassium and Mg use efficiencies were 6% and 10% higher for AM-inoculated plants raised on 2% fly-ash-amended and unamended soils, respectively, compared to non-AM plants raised on same levels of fly ash amendment.

4. Discussion

Like most of the fly ash produced in India, the fly ash used in the present study was alkaline in its nature

Table 2

Treatments	s Phosphorus (%)		Potassium (%)		Calcium (%)		Magnesium (%)		Sodium (%)	
	^a –AM	+AM	-AM	+AM	-AM	+AM	-AM	+AM	-AM	+AM
60 DAS										
0% FA	$0.97\pm0.009~d$	$1.07\pm0.015\ f$	$0.44\pm0.007~d$	$0.53\pm0.01~\mathrm{f}$	$0.32\pm0.007\ c$	$0.46\pm0.019~f$	$0.35\pm0.012\ b$	$0.51\pm0.009~d$	$0.26\pm0.010\ bc$	$0.37\pm0.009~e$
2% FA	$1.01\pm0.038~e$	$1.54\pm0.013\ k$	$0.49\pm0.012~e$	$0.94\pm0.012~j$	$0.40\pm0.010~de$	$0.85\pm0.010~j$	$0.49\pm0.009~d$	$0.98\pm0.007~i$	$0.34\pm0.015~d$	$0.65\pm0.013~i$
4% FA	$0.74\pm0.012\ b$	$1.30\pm0.012\ i$	$0.31\pm0.010\ b$	$0.83\pm0.009~i$	$0.27\pm0.009\ b$	$\textbf{0.75} \pm \textbf{0.015}~i$	$0.32\pm0.003\ b$	$0.84\pm0.007~g$	$0.24\pm0.012~b$	$0.53\pm0.013~g$
6% FA	$0.66\pm0.012~a$	$0.87\pm0.009\ c$	$0.25\pm0.012~a$	$0.68\pm0.003\ h$	$0.22\pm0.010~a$	$0.37\pm0.007~d$	$0.28\pm0.015~a$	$0.39\pm0.012\ c$	$0.20\pm0.017~a$	$0.28\pm0.007\ c$
90 DAS										
0% FA	$1.63\pm0.009l$	$1.99\pm0.009\ n$	$0.67\pm0.009~gh$	$0.84\pm0.007~i$	$0.69\pm0.010\ h$	$0.96\pm0.009\ k$	$0.94\pm0.009\ h$	$0.99\pm0.015~i$	$0.79\pm0.009\ j$	$0.88\pm0.007\ k$
2% FA	$1.39\pm0.009~j$	$\textbf{2.74} \pm \textbf{0.0120}$	$0.84\pm0.007~i$	$1.42\pm0.018\ m$	$0.64\pm0.017~g$	$1.34\pm0.013\ m$	$0.80\pm0.013~f$	$1.64\pm0.020\ k$	$0.60\pm0.010~h$	$1.26\pm0.009\ m$
4% FA	$1.13\pm0.015~g$	$1.87\pm0.017\ m$	$0.64\pm0.015~g$	$1.33\pm0.015l$	$0.43\pm0.010~ef$	$1.20\pm0.010l$	$0.55\pm0.009~e$	$1.44\pm0.009~j$	$0.48\pm0.003~f$	$1.00\pm0.012l$
6% FA	$0.98\pm0.003~de$	$1.25\pm0.007\ h$	$0.40\pm0.007~c$	$1.06\pm0.012\ k$	$0.30\pm0.007\ bc$	$0.69\pm0.012\ h$	$0.47\pm0.003~d$	$1.00\pm0.017~i$	$0.35\pm0.010~de$	$0.62\pm0.010~h$
F-statistics	df									
Harvest (H)	1.32	6887.53***		3789.61	3315.005***		641	4.821 ***	5179.042***	
AM	1.32	5000.95		6504.684	5445.658***		6048.337***		2967.76***	
FA	3.32	1767.1***		722.807***	911.759 ^{***}		1026.505***		714.427***	
$H\timesAM$	1.32	502.898		189.203	411.005***		492.821***		284.575***	
$H\timesFA$	3.32	241.091		60.435	77.686***		31.845		120.184	
$AM \times FA$	3.32	562.301		374.484		357.672	632.407***		287.952	
$H \times AM \times FA$	3.32	135.301		7.749***		9.455	11	1.445	49.669***	

 Table 3

 Influence of fly ash (FA) amendment and arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungus inoculation on shoot nutrient content of kodo millet at 60 and 90 days after sowing (DAS).

Means \pm S.E. for a variable followed by some alphabet letter are not significantly different (P > 0.05) according to DMRT.^a –AM and +AM indicates uninoculated and AM-inoculated, respectively. *** Significant at P < 0.001. Table 4

Treatments ^a	Nutrient use effici	Nutrient use efficiency (mg/mg) ^b									
	Phosphorus	Potassium	C	Calcium	Magnesium	Sodium					
0% FA,-AM 2% FA,-AM 4% FA,-AM 6% FA,-AM 0% FA,+AM 2% FA,+AM 4% FA,+AM 6% FA,+AM	$\begin{array}{c} 103.46 \pm 0.95 \ d\\ 98.96 \pm 3.62 \ cd\\ 135.20 \pm 2.11 \ f\\ 150.85 \pm 2.77 \ g\\ 93.78 \pm 1.28 \ c\\ 64.80 \pm 0.56 \ a\\ 76.74 \pm 0.71 \ b\\ 114.53 \pm 1.15 \ e\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 81.63 \pm 0.58 \ e\\ 35.62 \pm 4.30 \ b\\ 20.78 \pm 2.18 \ a\\ 129.30 \pm 8.85 \ f\\ 63.01 \pm 1.98 \ d\\ 37.82 \pm 1.42 \ bc\\ 49.17 \pm 1.68 \ c\\ 37.72 \pm 3.12 \ b\end{array}$	1	$\begin{array}{l} 63.66 \pm 0.49 \ c \\ 50.55 \pm 6.34 \ ab \\ 41.73 \pm 3.58 \ a \\ 97.53 \pm 7.01 \ d \\ 46.39 \pm 2.15 \ ab \\ 38.31 \pm 0.11 \ a \\ 54.50 \pm 0.70 \ bc \\ 49.73 + 3.44 \ ab \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 42.35 \pm 0.60 \text{ b} \\ 38.23 \pm 3.49 \text{ ab} \\ 29.13 \pm 3.01 \text{ a} \\ 105.28 \pm 8.63 \text{ c} \\ 46.62 \pm 3.17 \text{ b} \\ 29.92 \pm 0.56 \text{ a} \\ 43.78 \pm 0.55 \text{ b} \\ 28.21 \pm 2.20 \text{ a} \end{array}$	$48.27 \pm 2.22 \text{ bc} \\ 47.49 \pm 4.68 \text{ bc} \\ 29.38 \pm 4.28 \text{ a} \\ 137.76 \pm 7.86 \text{ d} \\ 47.50 \pm 2.80 \text{ bc} \\ 35.64 \pm 0.43 \text{ ab} \\ 60.74 \pm 1.12 \text{ c} \\ 48.98 \pm 3.83 \text{ bc} \\ \end{cases}$					
F-statistics	df										
FA AM FA × AM	3.16 1.16 3.16	239.228*** 641.924*** 53.160***	81.217 52.631 88.143	188.319 ^{***} 226.873 ^{***} 175.281 ^{***}	31.738 ^{***} 89.539 ^{***} 61.317 ^{***}	72.799 ^{***} 37.479 ^{***} 79.257 ^{***}					

Influence of fly-ash (FA) amendment and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus (AM) inoculation on nutrient-use efficiencies of kodo millet.

^a -AM and +AM, uninoculated and AM fungus-inoculated, respectively.

^b Means \pm S.E. in a column followed by the same alphabet letter(s) are not significantly (P > 0.05) different according to DMRT.

^{***} Significant at P < 0.001.

[46]. Our study demonstrated that fly ash could promote plant growth and yield of kodo millet, which is in line with the studies where fly ash application to soil has resulted in better yield in terms of various parameters like plant height, biomass, and productivity in different plant species. The increased seed yield of kodo millet in flyash-amended soils is in congruence with studies where fly ash amendment has been shown to improve yields of crops like barley (Hordeum vulgare), sunflower (Helianthus annuus), rye grass (Secale cereale), brinjal (Solanum melongena), potato (Solanum tuberosum), and garden pea (Pisum sativum) (see 5 and references therein). The application of fly ash has been shown to influence soil pH and provide plant-available nutrients, resulting in increased plant growth and productivity [46]. In addition, Babu and Reddy [33] also showed that the inoculation of AM fungi increased the organic carbon content of the fly ash, resulting in an increased growth of bamboo (Dendrocala-Dendrocalamus strictus). Nevertheless, the plant benefits were dependent on the concentration of the amending fly ash. Usually, at 2% fly ash stimulated plant growth, nutrient uptake and yield, whereas a further increase in amendment rates (4% and 6%) was found to be inhibitory. Singh and Siddiqui [47] also reported that fly ash up to 5.0 g/plant was beneficial for plant growth and yield in all the cultivars of wheat (Triticum aestivum) varieties (HD-2009, HD-2329, and Lok-1), but above this concentration fly ash had an adverse effect on these parameters. Growth and yield of tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) were mostly enhanced in response to amendment with 50% or 60% of fly ash added to the field's soil. Even so, an increase above 60% tended to reduce plant growth and yield considerably [4]. It has also been observed that the addition of smaller doses of fly ash improves the ability of the soil to support plant growth through an increase in the biomass and diversity of the soil microbiota [5]. The inhibitory effect of fly ash at high concentrations has often been attributed to the presence of high concentrations of Ca, K, and Na and trace elements such as As, B, Mo, Se, and Sr. Therefore, application of unweathered fly ash to soil may cause a potential increase in soil salinity and build-up of the potentially toxic elements, including heavy metals [5].

It is interesting to note the absence of AM colonization in millet roots at 60 DAS, suggesting the low levels of infective propagule of AM fungi in the studied soils. Nevertheless, the application of fly ash and fungal inoculation increased AM colonization in millet roots. Limited studies have explored the effect of fly ash on AM colonization and sporulation. Root colonization by AM fungi and spore numbers were enhanced by application of low levels (2%) of fly ash amendment. However, root colonization and spore numbers tended to decline with increasing concentrations of fly ash. This is in accordance with the surveys reporting low levels of AM colonization and spore numbers in the rhizosphere of plants colonizing fly-ash-contaminated soils [28,29]. Selvam and Mahadevan [31] also showed that the application of fly pond soil at 1/1 or 1/2 ratios to red soil reduced AM colonization and spore numbers of native AM fungi. The reduction in AM colonization and spore numbers in response to increasing concentrations of fly ash in the soil can be attributed to changes in soil conditions brought about by the fly ash. It is well known that fly ash application could bring in changes in soil nutrient levels, reactivity and microbial populations and their activity, all of which are known to affect mycorrhization and sporulation of AM fungi. Though previous studies have demonstrated that the AM fungal colonization of plant roots could be affected by soil P availability [48–50], this could not be the reason behind the low AM fungal colonization in the present study as the P content in the fly ash was lower than that in the native soil. Another phenomenon observed in the present study was the existence of a strong positive correlation between AM colonization and spore numbers in the soil. This suggests that both the fungal variables respond to the existing or changing conditions similarly. Selvam and Mahadevan [31] also reported the existence of a positive correlation between AM fungal colonization and spore numbers in onion (Allium cepa) growing on fly ash soils.

Inoculation of AM fungi in the present study either enhanced the positive benefits or reduced the deleterious effect of fly ash on plant growth and yield. This is in line with the observations of Garampalli et al. [51], where three different concentrations of fly ash (10 g, 20 g and 30 g fly ash/kg soil) improved the infectivity and effectiveness of AM fungus (Glomus aggregatum) on pigeon pea (Cajanus cajan L.) cv Maruti. Babu and Reddy [33] also showed that dual inoculation of AM fungus and phosphate solubilizing fungus (Aspergillus tubingensis) in fly ash ponds could improve plant growth and nutrient uptake of bamboo (Dendrocalamus strictus). These observations suggested that AM fungal inoculation with fly ash application could successfully enhance plant growth through the increased availability of nutrients, especially P and minerals such as Zn, Cu, K and Ca, available in the soil and fly ash to the host plants [52]. In spite of the presence of many essential plant nutrients in fly ash, their availability to the plants may be limited, as reported by different authors [53,54]. Bryan et al. [55] have demonstrated that the contribution of mycorrhizae was highest in the survival of Withania somnifera in fly ash due to reduction of stresses, creating a congenial environment in the rhizosphere. Further, fly ash additions are also known to cause a reduction in some of the soil nutrients like N [4]. The dependence of kodo millet on AM fungi is evidenced by the presence of a strong positive correlation between plant growth and AM fungal variables.

The increase in root mass in response to AM fungus inoculation observed in the present study could be the result of plant hormones produced by AM fungi [56] or induced by AM fungi [57]. Changes in root morphology mediated by AM fungi resulting in increased root dry weights have been reported in Allium cepa [58], Casuarina equisetifolia [19], and Prunus cerasifera [59]. In contrast to the general assumption that AM association lowers the R/S ratio of plants, the R/S ratios of kodo millet plants inoculated with the AM fungus both in fly-ash-amended, and unamended soils were higher. This indicates that the plants invest more resources in roots to increase the capture of nutrients from the soil [60]. As R/S ratios are also altered by nutrient application, the effect of mycorrhizae on R/S ratios could probably be nutritional, in addition to the hormonal effect discussed earlier [61].

In our study, P concentrations in kodo millet shoots was lowered by 4% and 6% fly ash amendment to the soil, which is in accordance with the studies where fly ash application has been known to lower shoot P concentrations [62,63]. Similarly, plant availability of K in fly ash has also been reported to be low, in spite of its high concentration in fly ash [64]. In the present study, nutrient concentrations like P, K, Ca, Mg and Na were maximal in the shoot tissues of kodo millet inoculated with AM fungi and raised on soils amended with 2% fly ash. Further, nutrient concentrations in tissues of AM-inoculated plants were always higher than in uninoculated plants. This is in agreement with Bi et al. [32], who reported that maize (Zea *mays*) plants inoculated with AM fungi accumulated more nutrients in their shoots compared to non-mycorrhizal plants when grown on soils overlying coal fly ash. The increased concentration of tissue nutrients in different levels of fly ash amendments could be attributed to the contribution of the external hyphae of AM fungi in the uptake of available nutrients both from the soil and weathering of fly ash [32]. The existence of a positive correlation between shoot nutrient content and AM fungal

colonization levels clearly suggests the role of AM fungi mediated nutrient uptake in kodo millet.

Kodo millet plants inoculated with AM fungus had lower nutrient-use efficiencies than uninoculated seedlings. This is similar to the observations made by Stribley et al. [65] and Koide [45], where mycorrhizal plants had low nutrient-use efficiencies compared to their nonmycorrhizal counterparts. Koide [45] suggested that any increase in nutrient content for plants with sufficient concentrations of nutrients would produce a depression in nutrient-use efficiency. However, plants could use such nutrient reserves later for continued growth, even if uptake from the soil was no longer possible under nutrientstressed conditions [45].

In addition to plant nutrients, fly ash may also contain non-essential elements like Al, Fe, Cu, Pb, Cr, Ni, Zn, and Hg, as well as other elements that may be toxic at elevated concentrations [5]. The mechanisms involved in AMmediated nutrient uptake and accumulation in plant tissues also includes the suppression of the toxic effects of elements affecting plant growth, sequestration of the toxic metals in the fungal structures, and the development of tolerance by the AM fungi [66].

5. Conclusions

This study clearly indicates that the mycorrhizal benefit to plants in fly-ash-amended soils is more concentrationdependent. Further, long-term studies carried out on the effect of fly ash on soil fertility and crop yields has clearly revealed that optimum concentrations of fly ash can be effectively used for improving the productivity of soils and increasing the yield of crops, vegetables, and cereals without affecting the food quality and soil fertility [67]. The present study, therefore, leads to practical agronomic application of fly ash along with AM fungi as a promising strategy to maximize crop growth and yield in low fertile soils. The results of the present study also emphasize the need to understand the response of AM colonized plants as they may behave differently depending on the fly ash concentrations. However, more studies in different soil types and with different AM fungal species are needed to further ascertain and understand the influence of fly ash amendment on AM symbiosis and its benefits.

Disclosure of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest concerning this article.

References

- D.C. Adriano, A.L. Page, A.A. Elseewi, A.C. Chang, I. Straughan, Utilization and disposal of fly ash and other coal residues in terrestrial ecosystems: a review, J. Environ. Qual. 9 (1980) 333–344.
- [2] R.L. Davison, D.F.S. Natusch, J.R. Wallace, C.A. Evans Jr., Trace elements in fly ash: dependence of concentration on particle size, Environ. Sci. Technol. 8 (1974) 1107–1113.
- [3] M. Ghodrati, J.L. Sims, B.S. Vasilas, Evaluation of fly ash as a amendment for the Atlantic coastal plain I. Soil hydraulic properties and elemental leaching, Water Soil Air Pollut. 81 (1995) 349–361.

- [4] M. Khan, J.M.W. Khan, The effect of fly ash on plant growth and yield of tomato, Environ. Pollut. 92 (1996) 105–111.
- [5] A. Malik, A. Thapliyal, Eco-friendly fly ash utilization: potential for land application, Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol. 39 (2009) 333–366.
- [6] J. Prabakar, N. Dendorkar, R.K. Morchhale, Influence of fly ash on strength behaviour of typical soils, Constr. Build. Mater. 18 (2004) 263–267.
- [7] S.K. Rautaray, B.S. Ghosh, B.N. Mittra, Effect of fly ash, organic wastes and chemical fertilizers on yield, nutrient uptake, heavy metal content and residual fertility in a rice-mustard cropping sequence under acid lateritic soils, Bioresour. Technol. 90 (2003) 275–283.
- [8] N. Parab, S. Mishra, S.R. Bhonde, Prospects of bulk utilization of fly ash in agriculture for integrated nutrient management, Bull. Nat. Inst. Ecol. 23 (2012) 31–46.
- [9] P. Ray, U.G. Reddy, F. Lapeyne, A. Adholeya, Effect of coal ash on growth and metal uptake by some selected ectomycorrhizal fungi *in vitro*, Int. J. Phytorem. 7 (2013) 199–216.
- [10] D.C. Adriano, I.J. Weber, Influence of fly ash on soil physical properties and turf grass establishment, J. Environ. Qual. 30 (2001) 596–601.
- [11] S.W. Budi, F. Christina, Coal waste powder amendment and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi enhance the growth of Jabon (*Anthocephalus* cadamba Miq) seedling in Ultisol soil medium, J. Trop. Soils 18 (2013) 59–66.
- [12] R.J. Haynes, Reclamation and revegetation of fly ash disposal sites: challenges and research needs, J. Environ. Manag. 90 (2009) 43–53.
- [13] P.H. Telone, Fly ash: reuse, environmental problems and related uses, Nova Science Publishers, New York, USA, 2010.
- [14] V. Kumar, G. Singh, R. Rai, Fly ash: a material for another green revolution, Fly Ash India, New Delhi, 2005.
- [15] V.C. Pandey, B. Singh, Rehabilitation of coal fly ash basins: current need to use ecological engineering, Ecol. Eng. 49 (2012) 190–192.
- [16] K.V. Kumar, D.D. Patra, Alteration in yield and chemical composition of essential oil of *Mentha piperita* L. plant: effect of fly ash amendments and organic wastes, Ecol. Eng. 47 (2013) 237–241.
- [17] R.M. Miller, J.D. Jastrow, Vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizae and biogeochemical cycling, in: F.L. Pfleger, R.G. Linderman (Eds.), Mycorrhizae and Plant Health., APS Press, The Am Phytopath. Soc, St. Paul, Minnesota, 1994, pp. 189–212.
- [18] M. Fillion, J. Brisson, J. Guidi, M. Labrecque, Increasing phosphorus removal in willow and poplar vegetation filters using arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, Ecol. Eng. 37 (2011) 199–205.
- [19] T. Muthukumar, K. Udaiyan, Growth response of Casuarina equisetifolia to bioinoculants under tropical nursery conditions, New Forests 40 (2010) 101–118.
- [20] T. Muthukumar, K. Udaiyan, P. Shanmughavel, Mycorrhiza in sedges: an overview, Mycorrhiza 14 (2004) 65–77.
- [21] E.R. Masto, M.D. Ansari, J. Goerge, V.A. Selvi, L.C. Ram, Co-application of biochar and lignite fly ash on soil nutrients and biological parameters at different crop growth stages of *Zea mays*, Ecol. Eng. 58 (2013) 314–322.
- [22] C.A. Call, C.M. Mc Kell, Field establishment of four wing saltbush in processed oil shale and disturbed native soil as influenced by vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizae, Great Basin Naturalist 44 (1984) 363–371.
- [23] A. Channabasava, H.C. Lakshman, AM fungi and mine spoil consortium: a microbial approach for enhancing proso millet biomass and yield, Int. J. Pharm. Biol. Sci. 3 (2012) 676–684.
- [24] D.A. Jasper, A.D. Robson, L.K. Abbott, Revegetation in an iron ore mine nutrient requirements for plant growth and the potential role of vesicular arbuscular (VA) mycorrhizal fungi, Aust. J. Soil Res. 26 (1988) 497–507.
- [25] H.C. Lakshman, Occurrence and pollution tolerance of VAM fungi inoculated plants growing on polluted soils, J. Nat. Con. 12 (2000) 1–18.
- [26] J. Piñeiro, F.T. Maestre, L. Bartolomé, A. Valdecantos, Ecotechnology as a tool for restoring degraded dry lands: a meta-analysis of field experiments, Ecol. Eng. 61 (2013) 133–144.
- [27] G. Oliveira, A. Nunes, A. Clemente, O. Correia, Effect of substrate treatments on survival and growth of Mediterranean shrubs in a revegetated quarry: an eight-year study, Ecol. Eng. 37 (2011) 255–259.
- [28] A. Selvam, A. Mahadevan, Distribution of mycorrhizas in an abandoned fly ash pond and mined sites of Neyveli Lignite Corporation, Tamil Nadu, India, Basic App. Ecol. 3 (2002) 277–284.
- [29] A.G. Babu, M.S. Reddy, Diversity of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi associated with plants growing in fly ash pond and their potential role in ecological restoration, Curr. Microbiol. 63 (2011) 273–280.
- [30] S. Bedini, A. Turrini, C. Rigo, E. Argese, M. Giovannetti, Molecular characterization and glomalin production of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi colonizing a heavy metal polluted ash disposal island, downtown Venice, Soil Biol. Biochem. 42 (2010) 758–765.
- [31] A. Selvam, A. Mahadevan, Effect of ash pond soil and amendments on the growth and arbuscular mycorrhizal colonization of *Allium cepa* and

germination of Arachis hypogaea, Lycopersicon esculentum, and Vigna mungo seeds, Soil Sediment Contam. 11 (2002) 673–686.

- [32] Y.L. Bi, X.L. Li, P. Christie, Z.Q. Hu, M.H. Wong, Growth and nutrient uptake of arbuscular mycorrhizal maize in different depths of soil overlying coal fly ash, Chemosphere 50 (2003) 863–869.
- [33] A.G. Babu, M.S. Reddy, Dual inoculation of arbuscular mycorrhizal and phosphate solubilizing fungi contributes in sustainable maintenance of plant health in fly ash pond, Water Air Soil Pollut. 219 (2011) 3–10.
- [34] M.M. O'Kennedy, A. Grootboom, P.R. Shewry, Harnessing Sorghum and millet biotechnology for food and health, J. Cereal Sci. 44 (2006) 224–235.
- [35] M.L. Jackson, Soil chemical analysis, Prentice Hall, New Delhi, 1971.
- [36] A. Channabasava, H.C. Lakshman, Diversity and efficacy of AM fungi on Jatropa curcas L., and Panicum miliaceum L., in mine spoils, J. Agric. Technol. 9 (2013) 83–93.
- [37] T. Muthukumar, K. Udaiyan, Spore in spore syndrome in vesiculararbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and its seasonality in a tropical grassland, Nova Hedwigia 68 (1999) 339–349.
- [38] N.C. Schenck, Y. Perez, Manual for identification of vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. (INVAM), University of Florida, Gainesville, 1990.
- [39] A. Schüßler, C. Walker, The Glomeromycota: a species list with new families and new genera, The Royal Botanic Garden Kew, 2010, p. 56.
- [40] M. Giovannetti, B. Mosse, An evaluation of techniques for measuring vesicular-arbuscular infection in roots, New Phytol. 84 (1980) 489–500.
- [41] J.M. Phillips, D.S. Hayman, Improved procedure for clearing and staining parasite and vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi for rapid assessment of infection, Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc. 55 (1970) 158–160.
- [42] A.L. Page, R.H. Miller, D.R. Keeney, Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2, 2nd ed., Agronomy Monograph 9, ASA and SSSA, Madison, WI, USA, 1982.
- [43] R.E. Kitson, M.G. Mellon, Colorometric determination of phosphorus as molybdovanadophosphoric acid. Industrial and Engineering Chemistry, Analytical Edition, 16, 1944, p. 379.
- [44] J.F. Johnson, C.P. Vance, D.L. Allan, Phosphorus deficiency in *Lupinus albus*. Altered lateral root development and enhanced expression of phopenolpyruvate carboxylase, Plant Physiol. 112 (1996) 31–41.
- [45] R.T. Koide, Nutrient supply, nutrient demand and plant response to mycorrhizal infection, New Phytol. 117 (1991) 365–386.
- [46] M. Basu, M. Pande, P.B.S. Bhadoria, S.C. Mahapatra, Potential fly-ash utilization in agriculture: a global review, Prog. Nat. Sci. 19 (2009) 1173–1186.
- [47] L.P. Singh, Z.A. Siddiqui, Effects of Alternaria triticina and foliar ash deposition on growth, yield, photosynthetic pigments, protein and lysine contents of three cultivars of wheat, Bioresour. Technol. 86 (2003) 189–192.
- [48] M. Bucher, Functional biology of plant phosphate uptake at root and mycorrhiza interfaces, New Phytol. 173 (2007) 11–26.
- [49] S.J. Sabannavar, H.C. Lakshman, Effect of rock phosphate solubilization using mycorrhizal fungi and phosphobacteria on two high yielding varieties of *Sesamum indicum* L., World J. Agr. Sci. 5 (2009) 470–479.
- [50] H. Vierheilig, Regulatory mechanisms during the plant -arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus interaction, Can. J. Bot. 82 (2004) 1166–1176.
- [51] R.H. Garampalli, S. Deene, C.N. Reddy, Infectivity and efficacy of *Glomus aggregatum* and growth response of *Cajanus cajan* (L.) Mill sp. in fly ash amended sterile soil, J. Environ. Biol. 26 (2005) 705–708.
- [52] S.F.J. Chou, M.I.M. Chou, W.S. Joseph, D. Warnock, J.A. Chemler, M.A. Peppie, Plant growth in sandy soil/compost mixture and commercial peat moss both amended with Illinois coal fly ash, in: World of Coal Ash (WOCA), April 11–15, 2005, Lexington, Kentucky, USA, 2005.
- [53] V. Pandey, J. Mishra, S.N. Singh, N. Singh, M. Yunus, K.J. Ahmad, Growth response of Helianthus annus L., grown on fly ash amended soil, J. Environ. Biol. 15 (1994) 117–125.
- [54] A.K. Singh, R.B. Singh, A.K. Sharma, R. Gauraha, S. Sagar, Response of flyash on growth of *Albizia procera* in coal mine spoil and skeletal soil, Environ. Ecol. 15 (1997) 585–591.
- [55] D.L. Bryan, A. Alarcon, A.D. Cartmill, L.A. Valdez-Aguilar, Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi enhance tolerance of *Vinca* to high alkalinity in irrigation water, Sci. Hort. 115 (2008) 275–284.
- [56] J.M. Barea, C. Azcón-Aguilar, Production of plant growth-regulating substances by vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus *Glomus mos-seae*, Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 43 (1982) 810–813.
- [57] M.F. Allen, T.S. Moore, M. Christensen, Phytohormone changes in Bouteloua gracilis infected by vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizae. II. Altered levels of gibberellin-like substances and abscisic acid in the host plant, Can. J. Bot. 60 (1982) 468–471.
- [58] M. Toro, R. Azcón, J.M. Barea, Improvement of arbuscular mycorrhiza development by inoculation of soil with phosphate-solubilizing rhizobacteria to improve rock phosphate bioavailability (32P) and nutrient cycling, Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 63 (1997) 4408–4412.

- [59] G. Berta, A. Trotta, A. Fusconi, J.E. Hooker, M. Munro, D. Atkinson, et al., Arbuscular mycorrhizal induced changes to plant growth and root system morphology in *Prunus cerasifera*, Tree Physiol. 15 (1995) 281–293.
- [60] A. Hodge, G. Berta, C. Doussan, F. Merchan, M. Crespi, Plant root growth, architecture and function, Plant Soil 321 (2009) 153–187.
- [61] B.A.D. Hetrick, Mycorrhizas and root architecture, Experientia 47 (1991) 355–362.
- [62] A.A. Elseewi, I.R. Straughan, A.L. Page, Sequential cropping of fly ashamended soils: effects on soil chemical properties and yield and elemental composition of plants, Sci. Total Environ. 15 (1980) 247–259.
- [63] A.M. Moliner, J.J. Street, Effect of fly ash and lime on growth and composition of corn (*Zea mays* L.) on acid sandy soils, Proc. Soil Crop Sci. Soc. Fla. 41 (1982) 217–220.
- [64] D.C. Martens, M.G. Schnappinger Jr., L.W. Zelazny, The plant availability of potassium in fly ash, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc. 34 (1970) 453–456.
- [65] D.P. Stribley, P.B. Tinker, J. Rayner, Relation of internal phosphorus concentration and plant weight in plants infected by vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizas, New Phytol. 86 (1980) 261–266.
- [66] S.E. Smith, D.J. Read, Mycorrhizal symbiosis, 2nd Ed., Academic Press, London, 1997.
- [67] M. Saxena, P. Asokan, Long term effect of fly ash on soil fertility and crop yield at NTPC., Rihand Nagar, Northern India, Report, Regional Research Laboratory, Bhopal and Fly Ash Mission, Technology Information Forecasting and Assessment Council, Department of Science and Technology, Government of India, New Delhi, India, 2001.