

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



C. R. Chimie 7 (2004) 865-869

Preliminary communication/Communication

Reaction of AlEt₂Cl with the diiminepyridine ligand: an unexpected product

Quinten Knijnenburg, Jan M.M. Smits, Peter H.M. Budzelaar *

Metal-Organic Chemistry, University of Nijmegen, Toernooiveld 1, 6525 ED Nijmegen, The Netherlands

Received 18 November 2003; accepted 24 March 2004

Available online 12 August 2004

Abstract

Reaction of AlEt₂Cl with 2,6-bis(1-(2,6-diisopropylphenylimino)ethyl)pyridine offered an unexpected tricyclic complex, which was characterized by X-ray diffraction. The dimerisation reaction leading to this product has been studied by DFT calculations. This symmetry-forbidden cyclisation reaction was found to follow a biradical pathway with an unusual low energy barrier. *To cite this article: Q. Knijnenburg et al., C. R. Chimie 7 (2004)*. © 2004 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier SAS. All rights reserved.

Résumé

La réaction de AlEt₂Cl avec 2,6-bis(1-(2,6-diisopropylphénylimino)éthyl)pyridine conduit à un complexe tricyclique surprenant, qui a été caractérisé par analyse aux rayons X. La réaction de dimérisation menant à ce composé a été étudiée par calculs DFT. Cette réaction de cyclisation de symétrie interdite suit une route diradicalaire, avec une barrière d'énergie anormalement basse. *Pour citer cet article : Q. Knijnenburg et al., C. R. Chimie 7 (2004)*. © 2004 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier SAS. All rights reserved.

Keywords: DFT calculations; Diethylaluminium chloride; Diiminepyridine

Mots-clés : Calculs DFT ; Chlorure de diéthylaluminium ; Pyridine diimine

1. Introduction

Ever since the parallel discovery by the groups of Brookhart [1] and Gibson [2] of the performance of iron and cobalt complexes bearing pyridine diimine ligands in the field of olefin oligomerisation and poly-

* Corresponding author.

merisation, numerous studies have been dedicated to this particular ligand [3]. In the case of cobalt, we [4] and others [5] showed that, in the activation pathway, MAO reduces the dichloride complex prior to alkylation. Several other alkylating agents could achieve this as well.

Complexes of other metals show different behaviour in reaction with alkylating agents [6–12]. Of particular interest to the present work is the reaction of

E-mail address: budz@sci.kun.nl (P.H.M. Budzelaar).

^{1631-0748/\$ -} see front matter 0 2004 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier SAS. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.crci.2004.03.012



Fig. 1. Reaction mechanism for the double C–C coupling in the chromium complex as proposed by Gambarotta et al. [10].

 $LCrCl_3$ [L = 2,6-bis(1-(2,6-diisopropylphenylimino) ethyl)pyridine] with three equivalents of BzMgCl to form an unexpected dimer (Fig. 1) [10]. This was suggested to involve reduction to LCrCl₂, alkylation of the metal centre and the pyridine C4 position to give [L+Bz]CrBz and finally dimerisation via a double carbon–carbon coupling at the pyridine C3,5 positions (see Fig. 1).

We have been studying the reaction of L with alkylaluminum compounds and found that it is unexpectedly complex and does not always only yield the imine adducts described by Gibson and Grassi [11,12]. In the present preliminary communication, we report, as a part of this study, the formation of a tricyclic dimer similar to the one described by Gambarotta [10], but formed in the absence of any transition metal.

2. Results and discussion

2.1. General

2.1.1. $L + AlEt_2Cl$

Immediately upon mixing L and one equivalent of AlEt₂Cl in toluene, a colour change from yellow to green is observed. Refluxing (110 °C) this solution overnight resulted in a colour change to deep purple. ¹H-NMR showed that a complex mixture of products has formed. By crystallisation, we were able to structurally identify two components out of this mixture. According to ¹H and ¹³C NMR, the mixture contains several additional components, and clear separation proved impossible. Also, we cannot assign the NMR



Scheme 1. Structures of 1 and 5.

signals of **1** in the mixture, so we cannot estimate how much of it is actually formed in this reaction. Details on the reaction of several alkylaluminum compounds will be reported in a forthcoming full paper (Q. Knijnenburg, T.Vissers, P.H.M. Budzelaar, to be published). From the purple solution in toluene, very dark purple trapezium-shaped crystals of **1** could be grown at room temperature. On cooling the mother liquor to -40° C, a few cube-like light-orange crystals were formed as well. The orange crystals were of very poor quality. X-ray diffraction studies, though inconclusive, indicated the presence of an imine adduct **5** (see supporting information) similar to the ones described by the groups of Gibson and Milione (Scheme 1) [11,12].

The purple crystals proved to contain a dinuclear species, resulting from coupling of two separate molecules at the C3 and C5 positions, presumably preceded by an attack of an ethyl group at the C4 position (Fig. 2). It is very similar to the dimer described by Gambarotta et al. for chromium [10], as mentioned in the introduction. Remarkably, no transition metal seems to be necessary for the generation of this unusual tricyclic ligand skeleton. We will return to the mechanism of its formation later on.

The geometry around the aluminium centres is distorted square pyramidal. The distances of 1 are shown in Fig. 3, together with those of the calculated structure 1a (vide infra) and of the similar chromium compound 2 described by Gambarotta [10]. The distances suggest a conjugated π system for the NCCNCCN framework.

The calculated structure for model complex **1a** lacking the bulky aryl groups (vide infra) has a trigonal bipyramidal geometry. Distortions from trigonal bipyramidal to square pyramidal as seen in **1**, induced by the bulky $2,6-iPrC_6H_3$ substituents, have been observed before [13,14]. The conjugated frameworks are very similar in the three structures; the main difference between **1** and **2** is the somewhat larger size of the metal in **2**.



Fig. 2. Thermal ellipsoid of **1**, drawn at 50% probability. Hydrogens and isopropyl groups are omitted for reasons of clarity.



Fig. 3. Bond distances (Å) of the structure of 1 (X-ray and calculated) and of the chromium dimer 2 [10].

2.2. Computational studies

Dimer formation was studied by unrestricted DFT methods. As a model system we used the reaction between simplified ligand L' and AlEt₂Cl (Fig. 4).

Approach of two monomers of C4-adduct **3a** easily leads to *single* C–C coupling with a modest barrier of 13.4 kcal mol⁻¹. Product **4a** is essentially a biradical ($\langle S^2 \rangle = 1.01$). After coupling, the two halves of the molecule rotate with respect to each other around the new C–C bond to let the radical centres approach each other and form the second C–C bond. The energy



Fig. 4. Reactions studied computationally, with energies relative to monomer **3a** of minima and transition states in kcal mol^{-1} .

profile for this rotation is extremely flat. The final stages of the approach were followed by constrained geometry optimisations; Fig. 5 shows the energy profile and the decrease in biradical character on giving final product **1a**.

The direct, synchronous 2+2 dimerisation path from **3a** to **1a** is symmetry-forbidden (alternative, synchronous and closed-shell approaches were examined but did not lead to low-energy dimerisation paths). This explains why, in our calculations, a non-synchronous biradical path is followed. The barrier for this path is surprisingly low. We believe that this is caused by the more efficient delocalisation of negative charge over the two imine nitrogens in **4a** and **1a** (in **3a**, it is formally localized on the pyridyl nitrogen). In addition, **3a** is cross-conjugated, which is in general less favourable than the linear conjugation over the NC-CNCCN path seen in **1a**. However, we do not believe that the calculated barrier of 13.4 kcal mol⁻¹ for the



Fig. 5. Profile for formation of the second C–C bond of **1a**: energy relative to dimer **1a** (\blacklozenge) and $\langle S^2 \rangle$ value (\blacksquare)

biradical path is very accurate, since it is notoriously difficult to calculate accurate relative energies for open-shell and closed-shell species. Presumably, the most one can say on the basis of our calculations is that C–C coupling is 'relatively easy'.

3. Conclusion

Our work shows that in the reaction between L and AlEt₂Cl a tricyclic dimer is formed, which is very similar to the one reported earlier for chromium. However, in our case, the dimerisation was accomplished in the absence of a transition metal. DFT calculations show that the dimerisation follows a non-synchronous biradical pathway. The newly formed six-membered ring has all substituents in defined stereoselective orientation, which suggests that this reaction might have some potential in organic synthesis.

4. Experimental section

4.1. General

All manipulations were carried out under an atmosphere of argon using standard Schlenk techniques or in a conventional nitrogen-filled glovebox. Toluene was distilled over Na/benzophenone prior to use. NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker spectrometers at ambient temperature. All chemicals were obtained commercially and used as received. Ligand L was synthesized according to literature procedures [13].

4.1.1. $L + AlEt_2Cl$

508 mg L (1.05 mmol) and 1.15 ml 1 M AlEt₂Cl (1.15 mmol; 1.08 equiv) were put in 30 ml toluene. The green solution was refluxed overnight, which made the colour change to purple. Upon storage of this purple solution, a small amount of very small, dark purple, trapezium-shaped crystals were formed that were characterized by X-ray difffraction as dimer **1**. Cooling of the mother liquor offered a few cubic orange crystals of **5**, also characterized by X-ray difffraction.

4.2. X-ray structure determinations

Crystal data and a summary of the data collection and structure refinement are given in Table 1. The

Compound	1
Crystal colour	dark purple-black
Crystal shape	regular fragment
Crystal size	$0.18 \times 0.17 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$
Empirical formula	C ₃₇ H ₅₃ AlClN ₃
Formula weight	602.25
Temperature	293(2) K
Radiation / Wavelength	MoKα (graphite
-	monocrystal)/0.71073 A
Crystal system, space group	Orthorhombic, Pbca
Unit cell dimensions	<i>a</i> , $\alpha = 17.628(4)$ Å, 90°
20087 reflections	$b, \beta = 18.311(7) \text{ Å}, 90^{\circ}$
$5.020 < \theta < 24.990$)	$c, \gamma = 22.904(11) \text{ Å}, 90^{\circ}$
Volume	7393(5) Å ³
Z, Calculated density	8, 1.082 Mg m ⁻³
Absorption coefficient	0.154 mm^{-1}
Diffractometer / scan	Nonius Kappa CCD with area
	detector θ and ω scan [19]
F(000)	2608
Theta range for data collection	5.02 to 24.99°
Index ranges	$-20 \le h \le 20, -21 \le k \le 21,$ $-27 \le l \le 25$
Reflections collected / unique	76729/6452 [<i>R</i> (int) = 0.1016]
Reflections observed	3930 ([$I_0 > 2 \sigma(I_0)$])
Absorption correction	SADABS multiscan correction
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F^2
Computing	SHELXL-97 [15]
Data / restraints / parameters	6452 / 0 / 402
Goodness-of-fit on F^2	1.032
SHELXL-97 weight parameters	0.0543, 6.1337
Final <i>R</i> indices $[I > 2 \sigma(I)]$	R1 = 0.0600, wR2 = 0.1269
<i>R</i> indices (all data)	R1 = 0.1170, wR2 = 0.1529
Largest diffraction peak and hole	0.276 and $-0.299 \text{ e } \text{A}^{-3}$

structure was solved by the PATTY option [15] of the DIRDIF program system [16]. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined [17] with anisotropic temperature factors. The hydrogen atoms were placed at calculated positions, and refined isotropically in riding mode.

The structure and atomic numbering for **1** are shown in Fig. 1. Selected bond distances are shown in Fig. 2. Geometrical calculations [18] revealed neither unusual geometric features, nor unusual short intermolecular contacts. The calculations revealed no higher symmetry and no (further) solvent accessible areas. Carbon atom C2 is disordered over two distinct positions, C2A and C2B, with occupancy factors of 0.40 and 0.60, respectively. Some other end-of-chain carbon atoms have rather large thermal displacement parameters. Because only a few of them (not even the ones with the largest displacements) could split up in the least squares refinement, it was decided not to split them up at all. Crystals of **5** were of poor quality. The (partial) X-ray structure (details given in supplementary information) was sufficient to establish its connectivity, but does not warrant publication or inclusion in the CCDC.

5. Supplementary material

Crystallographic data (excluding structure factors) for 1 have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publication CCDC 224139. Copies of available material can be obtained, free of charge, on application to the Director, CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK, (fax: +44-(0) 1223-336033 or e-mail: teched@chemcrys.cam.ac.uk). More details can be found in the supporting information.

6. Calculations

All calculations were carried out with the Turbomole program [21,22] coupled to the PQS Baker optimiser [23,24]. Geometries were fully optimised as minima or transition states at the unrestricted bp86 [25,26]/RIDFT [27] level using the Turbomole SV(P) basisset on all atoms. All stationary points were characterized by vibrational analyses (numerical frequencies); ZPE and thermal (enthalpy *and* entropy) corrections (1 bar, 273 K) from these analyses are included. All energies mentioned in text and tables are free energies.

References

- B.L. Small, M. Brookhart, A.A. Bennett, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 120 (1998) 4049.
- [2] G.J.P. Britovsek, V.C. Gibson, B.S. Kimberley, P.J. Maddox, S.J. McTavish, G.A. Solan, A.J.P. White, D.J. Williams, Chem. Commun. 18 (1998) 849.
- [3] V.C. Gibson, S.K. Spitzmesser, Chem. Rev. 103 (2003) 283.
- [4] T.M. Kooistra, Q. Knijnenburg, J.M.M. Smits, A.D. Horton, P.H.M. Budzelaar, A.W. Gal, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 40 (2001) 4719.

- [5] V.C. Gibson, M.J. Humphries, K.P. Tellmann, D.F. Wass, A.J.P. White, D.J. Williams, Chem. Commun. 21 (2001) 2252.
- [6] D. Enright, S. Gambarotta, G.P.A. Yap, P.H.M. Budzelaar, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 41 (2002) 3873.
- [7] I. Khorobkov, S. Gambarotta, G.P.A. Yap, P.H.M. Budzelaar, Organometallics 21 (2002) 3088.
- [8] D. Reardon, G. Aharonian, S. Gambarotta, G.P.A. Yap, Organometallics 21 (2002) 786.
- [9] D. Reardon, F. Conan, S. Gambarotta, G. Yap, Q. Wang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 121 (1999) 9318.
- [10] H. Sugiyama, G. Aharonian, S. Gambarotta, G.P.A. Yap, P.H.M. Budzelaar, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 124 (2002) 12268.
- [11] M. Bruce, V.C. Gibson, C. Redshaw, G.A. Solan, A.J.P. White, D.J. Williams, Chem. Commun. 18 (1998) 2523.
- [12] S. Milione, C. Cavallo, C. Tedesco, A. Grassi, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. 8 (2002) 1839.
- [13] G.J.P. Britovsek, M. Bruce, V.C. Gibson, B.S. Kimberley, P.J. Maddox, S. Mastroianni, S.J. McTavish, C. Redshaw, G.A. Solan, S. Strömberg, A.J.P. White, D.J. Williams, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 121 (1999) 8728.
- [14] T.M. Kooistra, K.F.W. Hekking, Q. Knijnenburg, B. de Bruin, P.H.M. Budzelaar, R. de Gelder, J.M.M. Smits, A.W. Gal, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 648 (2003).
- [15] P.T. Beurskens, G. Beurskens, M. Strumpel, C.E. Nordman, in: J.P. Glusker, B.K. Patterson, M. Rossi (Eds.), Patterson and Pattersons, Clarendon Press, UK, Oxford, 1987, p. 356.
- [16] P.T. Beurskens, G. Beurskens, W.P. Bosman, R. de Gelder, S. Garcia-Granda, R.O. Gould, R. Israel, J.M.M. Smits, Dirdif-96, A computer program system for crystal structure determination by Patterson methods and direct methods applied to difference structure factors, Crystallography Laboratory, University of Nijmegen, The Netherlands, 1996.
- [17] G.M. Sheldrick, SHELXL-97. Program for the refinement of crystal structures, University of Göttingen, Germany, 1997.
- [18] A.L. Spek, PLATON. A Multipurpose Crystallographic Tool, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands, 2003.
- [19] COLLECT, data collection software, Nonius B.V., 1999.
- [20] G.M. Sheldrick, SADABS. Program for Emperical Absorption Correction, University of Göttingen, Germany, 1996.
- [21] R. Ahlrichs, M. Bär, H.-P. Baron, R. Bauernschmitt, S. Böcker, M. Ehrig, K. Eichkorn, S. Elliott, F. Furche, F. Haase, M. Häser, C. Hättig, H. Horn, C. Huber, U. Huniar, M. Kattannek, A. Köhn, C. Kölmel, M. Kollwitz, K. May, C. Ochsenfeld, H. Öhm, A. Schäfer, U. Schneider, O. Treutler, K. Tsereteli, B. Unterreiner, M. von Arnim, F. Weigend, P. Weis, H. Weiss, Turbomole Version 5, Theoretical Chemistry Group, University of Karlsruhe, Germany, January 2002.
- [22] O. Treutler, R. Ahlrichs, J. Chem. Phys. 102 (1995) 346.
- [23] PQS version 2.4, 2001, Parallel Quantum Solutions, Fayetteville, Arkansas, USA (the Baker optimizer is available separately from PQS upon request).
- [24] J. Baker, J. Comput. Chem. 7 (1986) 385.
- [25] A.D. Becke, Phys. Rev. A 38 (1988) 3089.
- [26] J.P. Perdew, Phys. Rev. B 33 (1986) 8822.
- [27] K. Eichkorn, F. Weigend, O. Treutler, R. Ahlrichs, Theor. Chem. Acc. 97 (1997) 119.