## Account/Revue

# $d^{6}$ metal systems for white phosphorus activation 

Massimo Di Vaira ${ }^{\text {a }}$, Maurizio Peruzzini ${ }^{\text {b }}$, Piero Stoppioni ${ }^{\text {a,* }}$<br>${ }^{\text {a }}$ Dipartimento di Chimica, Università di Firenze, via della Lastruccia, 3, 50019 Sesto Fiorentino, Firenze, Italy<br>${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ ICCOM CNR, via Madonna del Piano,10, 50019 Sesto Fiorentino, Firenze, Italy

## ARTICLE I N F O

## Article history:

Received 19 January 2010
Accepted after revision 7 July 2010
Available online 23 August 2010

## Keywords:

$\mathrm{P}_{4}$
$\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$
Coordination
$d^{6}$ Metal fragments
Hydrolysis


#### Abstract

This short review describes a breakthrough provided by the synthesis of $\mathrm{d}^{6}$ metal complexes containing the intact molecules $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$. The coordinated cage molecules acquire unexpected reactivity and undergo dismutation reactions in mild conditions in the presence of water. The outcomings are obtained either in form of free or coordinated molecules; the former are hypophosphorous and phosphorous acids, the latter comprise, besides phosphine, $\mathrm{PH}_{3}$, such species as thiophosphinous acid, $\mathrm{PH}_{2} \mathrm{SH}$, diphosphane, $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$, 1-hydroxytriphosphane, $\mathrm{PH}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{PHPH}_{2}$ and 1,1,4-tris-hydroxytetraphosphane, $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} \mathrm{PHPHPH}(\mathrm{OH})$, which are either unknown or extremely reactive as free molecules. The formation of the above molecules provides a clue to the hydrolytic activation of the $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ cage molecules.


© 2010 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

The activation and functionalization of small, readily available, molecules represent important processes for the advancement of knowledge on chemical reactivity, which, in addition, may open the way to many industrial applications. This is particularly important at the present time, when more and more stringent legislation requires that industries devote increasing attention to the environmental impact of any newly implemented processes.

A huge number of studies have focused on the activation of simple molecules, either largely available in nature, such as $\mathrm{CH}_{4}[1,2], \mathrm{CO}_{2}[3,4]$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}[5,6]$ or easily produced in industrial plants, such as $\mathrm{H}_{2}[7,8]$ or $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ [9,10]. Very often transition metal reagents are employed to carry out these processes, with the final aim of developing a true catalytic cycle that directly converts the feedstock species into valuable chemical products.

Another simple, small, molecule that deserves attention is elemental white phosphorus, which is produced in

[^0]massive amount from natural phosphorite rocks. $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ is indeed readily available and relatively cheap and provides the key for the preparation of the myriad organophosphorus compounds, which are among the chemical specialties with the largest worldwide production. Organophosphorus compounds are synthesized commercially from $\mathrm{P}_{4}$, which, upon oxidation with chlorine to $\mathrm{PCl}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{PCl}_{5}$, is straightforwardly transformed into the target products [11,12]. The reactivity of white phosphorus with transition metal complexes has been extensively investigated in the past few decades and a great variety of transition metal complexes, which contain $\mathrm{P}_{n}$ units originating from either the coupling or degradation of the cage molecule or from the recombination of smaller fragments into polyatomic aggregates, have been described. Such a research field has been exhaustively considered in some reviews [13-17]. Recently, the $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ molecule, which has dangerous environmental effects due to its high reactivity and toxicity, has received specific attention directed both to neutralize the dangerous effects and to achieve its functionalization in mild conditions, that could be of relevance to industrial processes. The former goal has been smartly reached by Nitsche et al. through the reversible incorporation of $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ into an air stable self-assembled tetrahedral capsule [18]. The latter task has been pursued with much effort to
achieve the functionalization of white phosphorus in mild conditions. Two approaches have been considered in this respect, either reacting $P_{4}$ with carbenes and heavier carbene analogues or studying the reactivity of the intact molecule, mediated by the use of transition metal complexes. In the former approach tetraphosphorus frameworks, which contain P atoms with different connectivities and geometries have been obtained [1927]. Such polyphosphorus units are endowed with high reactivity and, in principle, are useful to obtain organophosphorus derivatives, although up to now such possibilities have been scarcely considered and have not been accomplished yet in a catalytic fashion.

This short review focuses on the second approach, that of the coordination chemistry of white phosphorus and the ensuing activation of the coordinated intact molecule, which has been mostly developed in Florence in the last decade. In the course of these studies several reaction pathways, which are peculiar to coordinated white phosphorus, have been discovered. These have put in evidence the much more controllable reactivity of the $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ tetrahedron once it is coordinated to a transition metal ligand system.

## 2. Synthesis of intact $P_{4}$ complexes

The approach to the activation of $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ bound to metal fragments requires the availability in gram amounts of metal complexes containing the intact molecule and then the large-scale synthesis of these generally elusive complexes. Indeed, the number of complexes featuring the intact cage as ligand were limited, up to few years ago, to very few examples, consisting of unstable and/or difficult to handle compounds [28-31]. Recently, 16electron rhenium $[32,33]$, iron and ruthenium $[34] \mathrm{d}^{6}-$ metal platforms have been found useful to straightforwardly coordinate the intact $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ and the related tetraphosphorus trisulfide, $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$, which presents four phosphorus atoms at the vertices of a tetrahedron and three sulfur atoms in bridging positions [35]. The latter molecule, besides being a possible source of phosphorus derivatives, may be handled with lower risk with respect to $\mathrm{P}_{4}$. In particular, the platforms $\mathrm{Cp}^{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{ML}_{2}\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{\mathrm{R}}=\right.$ cyclopentadienyl ( Cp ); pentamethylcyclopentadienyl ( $\mathrm{Cp}^{*}$ ). $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Ru}, \mathrm{Os}$; $\mathrm{L}=$ phosphane donor) form stable and soluble compounds, which may be prepared in gram amounts and may be stored indefinitely at room temperature under nitrogen. The pentamethylcyclopentadienyl derivatives $\left[\mathrm{Cp}^{*} \mathrm{ML}_{2}\left(\eta^{1}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)\right] \mathrm{Y}\left(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Fe} ; \mathrm{L}_{2}=\mathrm{dppe} . \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Ru} ; \mathrm{L}=\mathrm{PEt}_{3} ; \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{BPh}_{4}, \mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)$ are very easily obtained through the spontaneous substitution of $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ for the chloride in the parent [ $\left.\mathrm{Cp}^{*} \mathrm{ML}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right]$ [34]. The cyclopentadienyl [ $\left.\mathrm{CpML}_{2}\left(\eta^{1}-\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)\right] \mathrm{Y}$ complexes $(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Ru}[36]$, Os [37]; $\mathrm{L}^{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{3}$. $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Fe}$ [38], Ru [39]; $\mathrm{L}_{2}=\mathrm{dppe} . \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{OTf}, \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ ) are readily synthesized by removing the coordinated chloride in the starting $\left[\mathrm{CpML}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right]$ compound with an appropriate chloride scavenger ( $\mathrm{AgOTf}^{2}, \mathrm{TlPF}_{6}$ ) in the presence of $\mathrm{P}_{4}$. Almost all the new tetraphosphorus complexes, which are obtained in excellent yields, have been structurally characterized; the crystal structure of the cation $\left[\mathrm{CpRu}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\eta^{1}-\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)\right]^{+}$is reported in Fig. 1. The $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ molecule is found to undergo only small deformations upon


Fig. 1. The crystal structure of the cation $\left[\mathrm{CpRu}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\eta^{1}-\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)\right]^{+}$.
coordination, which are marginally affected by the nature of the metal and of the coligand(s) and consist in a shortening of the P-P distances formed by the coordinating phosphorus atom with respect to those among the distal uncoordinated P atoms. The cyclopentadienyl ruthenium platform $\mathrm{CpRuL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~L}=\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right.$ or bidentate phosphine) also coordinates the intact $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ cage. However, due to the existence of several distinct potential coordination sites of the molecule, only a mixture of coordination isomers of formula $\left[\mathrm{CpRuL}_{2}\left(\eta^{1}-\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{3}\right)\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ is obtained [35], with the cage bound to the metal either through the apical or one of the three basal P atoms. Compounds with S coordination were not detected in any of the studied systems. The monometal derivatives, from both cage types, which present phosphorus and sulfur atoms still endowed with coordinating ability, easily react with a second equivalent of the metal synthon $\mathrm{CpRuL}_{2}$ yielding the stable bimetallic $\left[\left\{\mathrm{CpRu}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}_{2}\left(\mu, \eta^{1: 1}-\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)\right](\mathrm{OTf})_{2}$ and $[\{\mathrm{CpRu}$ $\left.\left.\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}_{2}\left(\mu, \eta^{1: 1}-P_{\text {apical }}-P_{\text {basal }}-\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{3}\right)\right](\mathrm{OTf})_{2}$ compounds, respectively. The crystallographic analyses [40] have shown that the cage molecules tether two ruthenium moieties. A view of the complex bimetallic dication of the $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ derivative is reported in Fig. 2. As for the monometallic derivatives, both cages exhibit small distortions from the regular geometry of the free molecule. In particular, in the $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ complex the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}$ bond formed by the coordinating phosphorus atoms is the shortest and the opposite bond is the longest among the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}$ bonds in the cage. The


Fig. 2. The complex bimetallic dication of the $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ derivative.
distortions of the $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ cage from regularity essentially involve the two $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{S}$ bonds formed by the sulfur atom bound to the coordinating phosphorus atoms, which are slightly shorter ( $\mathrm{P}_{\text {apical }}-\mathrm{S}$ ) and, respectively, slightly longer ( $\mathrm{P}_{\text {basal }}-\mathrm{S}$ ), than the other $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{S}$ bonds in the cage. In order to better investigate the deformations of the cage molecules bound to two different metal platforms, the synthesis of hetero bimetallic complexes has been considered. Only the heterobimetallic compound $\left[\left\{\mathrm{CpRu}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}\left\{\mathrm{CpOs}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}\right.$ $\left.\left(\mu, \eta^{1: 1}-\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)\right](\mathrm{OTf})_{2}$ has been characterized up to now [37]. Also in such a case the coordinated $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ molecule presents the same deformations observed for the ruthenium homo bimetallic complex, probably due to the similarity of the $\mathrm{CpM}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Ru}\right.$, Os) moieties. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR data of all compounds clearly show that the cage molecules remain tightly bound to the metal fragments also in solution. The coordinated $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ yield first order spin systems, which are characterized by large downfield shifts of the coordinated phosphorus atoms and a moderate deshielding of the uncoordinated phosphorus atoms with respect to the free molecule values.

## 3. Reactivity of the coordinated $P_{4}$ and $P_{4} S_{3}$ cage molecules

The most intriguing property of the coordinated $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ ligands with respect to the free molecules has to do with somewhat spectacular changes of their reactivity. In particular, it is well known from undergraduate chemistry that the cage molecule $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ is stable in the presence of water so that $P_{4}$ has to be kept and stored under water due to its high reactivity in the presence of air. Also $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ does not react with water under ordinary conditions. Coordination of $\mathrm{P}_{4}$, or $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$, to the metal changes completely this picture and results in a completely different behaviour towards water. Thus, the monometal $\left[\mathrm{CpML}_{2}\left(\eta^{1}-\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)\right] \mathrm{Y}\left(\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right.$; $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Ru}$ [36], Os [37]. $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{dppe} ; \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Ru}$ [39], Fe [38]) derivatives, treated with an excess amount of water, undergo hydrolysis even at room temperature. These complexes yield basically the same hydrolysis products
containing oxygenated species, among which hypophosphorous, $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{2}$, and phosphorous, $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{3}$, acids and $\mathrm{PH}_{3}$, which are trapped through coordination to the $\mathrm{CpML}_{2}$ ( $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Ru}, \mathrm{Os}$ ) platforms in the $\left[\mathrm{CpRuL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PH}_{3}\right)\right] Y$ compounds. The nature of these products reveals that dismutation of the $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ molecule occurs in exceedingly mild conditions, with the coordinated P atom undergoing hydrogenation. Furthermore, the dismutation appears to be regulated by fine electronic and steric properties of the metal fragments as the structurally-related $\left[\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{*} \mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\eta^{1}-\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)\right] \mathrm{Y}$ [34] compound does not undergo hydrolysis in the same conditions. It is known that the catalytic activity of the $\mathrm{CpRuL}_{2}$ moiety ( $\mathrm{L}=$ monodentate phosphine) is promoted by the dissociation of one phosphine [41]. Such a mechanism, however, should not be available to ruthenium platforms containing bidentate ligands, like dppe, which are reluctant to dissociate from the metal. The identical products obtained from the hydrolysis of the $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ and dppe derivatives suggest that the water molecules directly attack the coordinated molecule rather than moving preliminarily into the coordination sphere. In contrast to Ru and Os complexes, the addition of water to the iron $\left[\mathrm{CpFe}(\mathrm{dppe})\left(\eta^{1}-\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)\right] Y$ compound mostly brings about detachment of $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ from the metal [38]; this result, which is consistent with a weaker bond between iron and the $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ molecule, shows that a sufficiently strong interaction between the metal and the cage is required for hydrolysis to occur.

More intriguing and interesting are the reproducible hydrolyses of the bimetallic compounds. The $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ derivative $\left[\left\{\mathrm{CpRu}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}_{2}\left(\mu, \eta^{1: 1}-\mathrm{P}_{\text {apical }}-\mathrm{P}_{\text {basal }}-\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{3}\right)\right](\mathrm{OTf})_{2}$ readily reacts at room temperature with an excess amount of water and the outcomings comprise (Scheme 1) hydrogen sulfide, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$, free hypophosphorous, $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{2}$, and phosphorous, $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{3}$, acids and the complexes $\left[\mathrm{CpRu}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right.$ $\left.\left\{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}\right\}\right] \mathrm{OTf}$ and $\left[\mathrm{CpRu}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{PH}_{2} \mathrm{SH}\right)\right] \mathrm{OTf}$. The four phosphorus products are basically obtained in the same amounts and each one is in a $1: 1$ ratio with the parent complex. This finding points to the occurrence of a stoichiometric process in which eight molecules of water


Scheme 1.
add regiospecifically to the bridging $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ molecule. The $\left[\mathrm{CpRu}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\left\{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}\right\}\right] \mathrm{OTf}$ complex is an intriguing species as it contains the phosphane tautomer, $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$, of the phosphorous acid bound to the $\mathrm{CpRu}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ moiety [42]. Remarkably, the pyramidal tautomer, $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$, of the phosphorous acid, which is stabilized by coordination to the ruthenium moiety, is unknown in the free state as the tautomeric equilibrium is almost completely shifted towards the $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{3}$ species [42]. In keeping with the formation of such $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ complex from the controlled hydrolysis of doubly coordinated $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$, the reaction of $\left[\mathrm{CpRu}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right]$ with phosphorous acid results in the quantitative generation of $\left[\mathrm{CpRu}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\left\{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}\right\}\right]^{+}$via ruthenium promoted tautomerization of $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{3}$ into $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ [42]. The compound $\left[\mathrm{CpRu}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{PH}_{2} \mathrm{SH}\right)\right] \mathrm{OTf}$ contains the thiophosphinous acid, $\mathrm{PH}_{2} \mathrm{SH}$, coordinated through the phosphorus atom to the $\mathrm{CpRu}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ platform, Fig. 3. The stability of the coordinated $\mathrm{PH}_{2} \mathrm{SH}$ molecule, which furthermore may be easily deprotonated and alkylated [43], is quite remarkable in view the extreme instability of the free compound that has been obtained in


Fig. 3. The compound $\left[\mathrm{CpRu}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{PH}_{2} \mathrm{SH}\right)\right] \mathrm{OTf}$.
very harsh conditions [44,45]. By considering the oxidation numbers of the $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ atoms and those of the outcomings, it appears that the addition of water promotes dismutation of only two P atoms of the coordinated cage. In fact, the sulphur atoms of $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$, yielding $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}^{-\mathrm{II}}$ and $\mathrm{PH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}^{-\mathrm{II}} \mathrm{H}$, do not change their oxidation number during the hydrolysis. The apical and the three basal phosphorus atoms of the free cage have oxidation numbers + III and +I , respectively, and they yield $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{P}^{+\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{P}^{-\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SH}$ and two molecules of $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{P}^{+I I I} \mathrm{O}_{3}$. According to these experimental findings and assuming that the coordinated phosphorus atoms undergo their transformations in the metal coordination sphere, it is likely that the complex $\left[\mathrm{CpRu}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\left\{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}\right\}\right] \mathrm{OTf}$ forms from the hydroxylation of the apical phosphorus, which therefore does not change its oxidation state. In this mechanistic picture, the thiophosphinous acid derivative, $\left[\mathrm{CpRu}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{PH}_{2} \mathrm{SH}\right)\right] O T f$, is likely obtained from the reduction of the coordinated basal phosphorus, that formally acquires two electrons from one of the uncoordinated P -atoms. The latter P atoms, after adding water, form $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{P}^{+I I I} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{P}^{+1} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, which are among the observed products in a $1: 1$ ratio with respect to each other and to the metal coordinated $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ and $\mathrm{PH}_{2} \mathrm{SH}$ molecules.

The hydrolysis of the bimetallic $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ derivative $\left[\left\{\mathrm{CpRu}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}_{2}\left(\mu, \eta^{1: 1}-\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)\right](\mathrm{OTf})_{2}$ is even more intriguing for both the nature of the products and the time needed to complete the reaction are strongly affected by the relative amounts of the complex and water. The dinuclear complex is completely hydrolyzed within 6 days in the presence of 20 equivalents of water to yield a mixture of several products. The two most abundant derivatives, $c a .65 \%$ of the parent compound, are $\left[\left\{\mathrm{CpRu}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}\left\{\mathrm{CpRu}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}\left\{\mu^{1,4: 3}, \eta^{2: 1}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} \mathrm{PHPHPH}(\mathrm{OH})\right\}\right](\mathrm{OTf})_{2}$ and $\left[\left\{\mathrm{CpRu}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}\{\mathrm{CpRu}\right.$ $\left.\left.\left.\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}\left\{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}\right)\right\}\left(\mu, \eta^{1: 1}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right](\mathrm{OTf})_{2}$. The remaining species comprise the new $\left[\left\{\mathrm{CpRu}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}_{2}\left(\mu, \eta^{1: 1}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right](\mathrm{OTf})_{2}$ and the known $\left[\mathrm{CpRu}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\left\{\mathrm{PR}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\right\}\right] \mathrm{OTf}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH})$ and $\left[\mathrm{CpRu}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{PH}_{3}\right)\right] \mathrm{OTf}$ compounds, as well as free phosphorous acid, $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{3}$. The crystal structure of the first of these complexes, Fig. 4, presents the 1,1,4-tris-hydroxytetraphosphane molecule, $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} \mathrm{PHPHPH}(\mathrm{OH})$, which chelates with its two hydroxylated ends the metal atom bearing


Fig. 4. The 1,1,4-tris-hydroxytetraphosphane molecule, $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} \mathrm{PHPHPH}(\mathrm{OH})$.
one triphenylphosphane and is bound through one of its intermediate phosphorus atoms to the other metal atom in the cation, which is still coordinated by both triphenylphosphanes, as in the original $\left\{\mathrm{CpRu}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}$ fragment. Notably, the complex spin system of the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum of the compound is in line with that expected on the basis of the Xray structure, pointing to a good stability of the coordinated molecule also in solution. The 1,1,4-tris-hydroxytetraphosphane molecule, stabilised by $\mu, \eta^{2: 1}$-coordination to two $\{\mathrm{CpRu}\}$ moieties, is particularly intriguing as it represents a member of the practically unknown family of polyhydroxyphosphanes, i.e., compounds of general formula $\mathrm{P}_{n} \mathrm{H}_{[(n+2)-m]}(\mathrm{OH})_{m}(m \leq n+2)$, which may be related to the slightly more investigated, but still elusive, family of polyphosphorus hydrides $\left(\mathrm{P}_{n} \mathrm{H}_{n+2}\right)$, by substitution of OH groups for one or more H atoms of the hydrides. The latter linear phosphanes are formally isoelectronic with the corresponding alkanes but are quite reactive, undergoing exothermic decomposition through facile disproportionation, even at low temperature [46]. Remarkably, no compound formed by their reaction with oxygen has been fully characterized, as they spontaneously ignite yielding, as the final product, phosphoric acid.

The complexes $\left[\left\{\mathrm{CpRu}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}_{2}\left(\mu, \eta^{1: 1}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right](\mathrm{OTf})_{2}$ (crystal structure of the cation is shown in Fig. 5) and $\left[\left\{\mathrm{CpRu}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}\left\{\mathrm{CpRu}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\left\{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}\right)\right\}\left(\mu, \eta^{1: 1}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right](\mathrm{OTf})_{2}$ contain the diphosphane, $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$, molecule bridging two ruthenium moieties: these are equivalent in the former complex, whereas in the latter one of them has likely undergone substitution of a molecule of phosphorous acid for one of the triphenylphosphanes. These compounds provide the first fully characterized examples of complexes containing the highly reactive $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ ligand. Remarkably, the diphosphane molecule, which in the free state can be handled only under special safety precautions, is incredi-
bly stabilized through coordination to two ruthenium moieties [46].

The hydrolysis in the presence of a larger amount of water ( $1: 100$ ) reaches completion in a few hours and the outcomings contain free phosphorous acid and the compounds, $\left[\left\{\mathrm{CpRu}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}_{2}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right](\mathrm{OTf})_{2},\left[\mathrm{CpRu}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right.$ $\left.\left\{\mathrm{PR}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\right\}\right](\mathrm{OTf})(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH})$ and $\left[\mathrm{CpRu}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{PH}_{3}\right)\right](\mathrm{OTf})$, which have been described above. It is worthwhile to note that such reaction conditions favour the formation of phosphorus compounds that contain only one or two phosphorus atoms from the starting $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ via further hydrolytic degradation of intermediate polyhydroxylated polyphosphorus chains.

Unexpectedly, the addition of 500 equivalents of water to one equivalent of the complex yields completion of the hydrolysis in a few minutes through a simple process (Scheme 2) that leads to the formation of one equivalent of $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{3}$ and to the new complex $\left[\left\{\mathrm{CpRu}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}_{2}\left\{\mu^{1: 3}, \eta^{1: 1}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{PH}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{PHPH}_{2}\right\}\right](\mathrm{OTf})_{2}$ (the crystal structure of the cation is shown in Fig. 6), which contains the previously unknown 1-hydroxytriphosphane, $\mathrm{PH}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{PHPH}_{2}$ bridging two $\mathrm{CpRu}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ moieties through the phosphorus atoms of the $\mathrm{PH}(\mathrm{OH})$ and $\mathrm{PH}_{2}$ end groups. The NMR data confirm that the solid-state structure is retained in solution and substantiates the presence of two diastereoisomers in agreement with the presence of two P-chiral atoms in the coordinated triphosphane. Such outcomings point to the occurrence of a stoichiometric process in which four molecules of water formally add to the bridging $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ ligand. The addition favours a disproportionative redox process where three electrons are removed from one $P$ atom of the tetraphosphorus, which is consequently oxidized to $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{3}$. These three electrons are unequally redristibuted among the remaining three P atoms of the $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ molecule in the simultaneous reductive process of the disproportionation


Fig. 5. Crystal structure of the cation, $\left[\left\{\mathrm{CpRu}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}_{2}\left(\mu, \eta^{1: 1}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right](\mathrm{OTf})_{2}$.


Scheme 2.


Fig. 6. Crystal structure of the cation, $\left[\left\{\mathrm{CpRu}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}_{2}\left\{\mu^{1: 3}, \eta^{1: 1}-\mathrm{PH}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{PHPH}_{2}\right\}\right](\mathrm{OTf})_{2}$.
to yield the 1-hydroxytriphosphane, $\mathrm{P}^{0} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{P}^{-\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{HP}^{-\mathrm{II}} \mathrm{H}_{2}$, which is eventually stabilized by coordination to the ruthenium atoms.

The numerous species containing up to four phosphorus atoms, i.e. $\mathrm{PH}_{3}, \mathrm{PH}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{PH}_{2} \mathrm{PHPH}(\mathrm{OH})$ and $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} \mathrm{PHPHPH}(\mathrm{OH})$, obtained by the various processes of hydrolysis may be assumed as thermodynamic
minima along the degradation pathway of the dimetallated $P_{4}$ ligand. Their number and nature suggest that several routes may be activated during the hydrolysis, depending on the reaction conditions, particularly on the amount of added water. Therefore, although no rigorous and comprehensive mechanistic picture of the hydrolysis of the dimetallated $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ may be proposed in the absence of an in-





Scheme 3.
depth kinetic study, it nevertheless seems to be possible to trace some hypotheses about the initial steps of the process, particularly those leading to formation of 1,1,4-tris-(hydroxy)tetraphosphane. Indeed the formation of the $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} \mathrm{PHPHPH}(\mathrm{OH})$ suggests that these species may result from the addition of three water molecules to the doubly coordinated $\mathrm{P}_{4}$. An assignment of oxidation numbers shows that a disproportionative reshuffle occurs, yielding P -atoms encompassing the oxidation numbers $+2,-1,-1$ and 0 for the four P atoms of the molecule, in the above order. A pictorial description of a possible route for the stepwise addition of three water molecules to $\mathbf{1}$, with cleavage of three P-P bonds, however, without total disruption of the diruthenium-tetraphosphorus assembly, is shown in Scheme 3. Whatever the detailed order of addition may be, one may assume that the first two water molecules add across the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}$ bonds connecting the pair of unmetallated P atoms and across the opposite bond connecting the metallated pair, yielding the (undetected) species 1,2-(dihydroxy)tetraphosphacyclobutane (A) 1,3dicoordinated to two $\left\{\mathrm{CpRu}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}$ moieties. Then, in order that formation of the 1,1,4-tris(hydroxy)tetraphosphane is rationalised, the addition of the third water molecule to A should take place with complete 1,2regioselectivity, resulting in the intermediate $\mathbf{B}$. From this point on, the process should be straightforward and formation of $\left[\left\{\mathrm{CpRu}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}\left\{\mathrm{CpRu}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}\left\{\mu^{1,4: 3}, \eta^{2: 1}-\right.\right.$
$\left.\left.\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} \mathrm{PHPHPH}(\mathrm{OH})\right\}\right](\mathrm{OTf})_{2}$ would be brought about by coordination of the pending $\mathrm{PH}(\mathrm{OH})$ end of the opened tetraphosphane to the ruthenium center already coordinated by the distal $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ end, with associated decoordination of one triphenylphosphane ligand. By contrast, the alternative addition of the third water molecule across the $3,4-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}$ bond in $\mathbf{A}$ would yield, after passing through $\mathbf{C}$ and the addition of a fourth water molecule, the triphosphorus chain featuring the 1-hydroxytriphosphane ligand, $\mathrm{PH}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{PHPH}_{2}$, which has been characterized in the complex $\left[\left\{\mathrm{CpRu}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}_{2}\left\{\mu^{1: 3}, \eta^{1: 1}-\mathrm{PH}_{2} \mathrm{PHPH}(\mathrm{OH})\right\}\right](\mathrm{OTf})_{2}$. The formation of this compound is accompanied by that of one equivalent of $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$, which, once released in the reaction medium, retrotautomerises to the more stable oxyacid form, $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{3}$.

From this point on the mechanism of the hydrolytic reaction should be unambiguous asking for the addition of two further molecules of water to go to completion. This last hydrolytic step results in the intermediate formation of the diphosphane compound $\left[\left\{\mathrm{CpRu}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}_{2}(-\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right](\mathrm{OTf})_{2}$, and, at the very end of the process, the two monophosphorus compounds $\left[\mathrm{CpRu}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\left\{\mathrm{PH}_{2}(\mathrm{O}-\right.\right.$ $\mathrm{H})\}](\mathrm{OTf})$ and $\left[\mathrm{CpRu}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{PH}_{3}\right)\right](\mathrm{OTf})$ should be formed. Noticeably, while the $\mathrm{PH}_{3}$ species has been isolated and thoroughly characterized [36,37], we have not yet been able to detect the formation of $\mathrm{PH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ either as a coordinated ligand or a free molecule. The formation of
the elusive phosphinous acid, $\mathrm{PH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$, molecule, which represents the phosphane tautomer of the unknown $\mathrm{PH}_{3}$ oxide, finds some justification and mechanistic support in the formation of the related $\mathrm{PH}_{2} \mathrm{SH}$ molecule, which has been detected and crystallographycally characterised in the ruthenium complex $\left[\mathrm{CpRu}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\left\{\mathrm{PH}_{2}(\mathrm{SH})\right\}\right](\mathrm{OTf})$ obtained from the hydrolysis of the $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ derivative [40].
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