

#### Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

# **Comptes Rendus Chimie**



www.sciencedirect.com

### Preliminary communication/Communication

# Phenylboronic acid as an efficient and convenient catalyst for a three-component synthesis of tetrahydrobenzo[b]pyrans

Sara Nemouchi<sup>a</sup>, Raouf Boulcina<sup>a</sup>, Bertrand Carboni<sup>b</sup>, Abdelmadjid Debache<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Laboratoire des produits naturels d'origine végétale et de synthèse organique, département de chimie, faculté des sciences exactes, université Mentouri de Constantine, 25000 Constantine, Algeria

<sup>b</sup> Sciences chimiques de Rennes, UMR 6226 CNRS-université de Rennes 1, campus de Beaulieu, 35042 Rennes cedex, France

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 30 October 2011 Accepted after revision 5 January 2012 Available online 5 February 2012

Keywords: Multi-component reaction One-pot synthesis Tetrahydrobenzo[b]pyrans Phenylboronic acid

Mots clés : Réaction multicomposants Synthèse en une seule étape Tetrahydrobenzo[b]pyrans Acide phénylboronique

#### ABSTRACT

Phenylboronic acid, a non-toxic compound, is used as catalyst for an efficient, rapid, and one-pot three-component synthesis of tetrahydrobenzo[*b*]pyrans in good to excellent yields. This new procedure has the advantages of operational simplicity, shorter reaction time, higher yields and minimum pollution of the environment.

© 2012 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

#### RÉSUMÉ

L'acide phénylboronique, composé non-toxique, est utilisé comme catalyseur dans une synthèse à composants multiples efficace, rapide et en une seule étape de tétrahydrobenzo[*b*]pyranes avec des rendements bons à excellents. Cette nouvelle approche a les avantages de la simplicité de mise en œuvre, des temps de réaction courts, des rendements élevés et une pollution minimum de l'environnement.

© 2012 Académie des sciences. Publié par Elsevier Masson SAS. Tous droits réservés.

#### 1. Introduction

Benzo[*b*]pyrans and their derivatives constitute an important class of organic compounds due to their attractive pharmacological and biological properties [1,2]. They are widely used as anticoagulant, anticancer, diuretic, spasmolitic and antianaphylactin agents [3,4] and can be used as cognitive enhancers for the treatment of neurodegenerative disease, including Alzheimer's disease, Huntington's disease, Parkinson's disease and Down's syndrome as well as for the treatment of schizophrenia and myoclonus [5,6]. 4*H*-pyrans occur in a series of natural products [7,8] and some of 2-amino-4*H*-pyrans have photochemical activities [9]. Consequently, many methods for the synthesis of these compounds have been reported, including the use of microwave [10], ultrasonic irradiations [11] and a variety of reagents like sodium bromide [10], hexadecyldimethyl benzyl ammonium bromide [12], tetramethyl ammonium hydroxide [13], diammonium hydrogen phosphate [14], fluoride ion [15], magnesium oxide [16], sodium selenate [17], iodine [18],  $H_6P_2W_{12}O_{62}$ . $H_2O$  [19], tetrabutylammonium bromide [20], cerium(III) chloride [21], lithium bromide [22], Amberlite IRA-40 (OH<sup>-</sup>) [23], acidic ion liquids [24], L-proline [25], ZnO-beta Zeolite [26], trisodium citrate [27], and basic ionic liquids [28].

Arylboronic acids have been used as catalysts in several reactions such as formation of ethers [29], 1,3-transposition of allylic alcohols [30], Diels Alder cycloadditions

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. E-mail address: a\_debache@yahoo.fr (A. Debache).



Scheme 1.

[31], [3+2] dipolar cycloaddition [32] and amidation of carboxylic acids [33–35].

Phenylboronic acid, a commercially available material, has been exploited previously by us in Biginelli and Hantzsch three-component reactions [36,37] as a nontoxic, inexpensive, easy handling and mild catalyst. Now we wish to report here the catalytic activity of PhB(OH)<sub>2</sub> in the one-pot synthesis of tetrahydrobenzo[*b*]pyrans **4** between aromatic aldehydes **1**, dimedone or 1,3-cyclohexanedione **2** and malonitrile (or ethyl cyanoacetate) **3** in refluxing H<sub>2</sub>O/EtOH (Scheme 1).

#### 2. Results and discussion

In order to optimize the conditions, we studied the reaction of benzaldehyde 1a with dimedone 2a, malonitrile **3**, and 20 mol % of phenylboronic acid as a simple model substrate in various conditions. First, we tested the effect of various solvents at different temperatures. When using aprotic polar solvent such as CH<sub>3</sub>CN, the reaction afforded the corresponding 4H-benzopyran 4a with a modest yield of after 6 h at reflux (Table 1, entry 1). However, the reactions in refluxing protic solvents such as H<sub>2</sub>O or EtOH gave better yields (entries 2 and 3); nevertheless, it was not completed even after 3 days at ambient temperature (entry 4). Similarly, the reaction without any solvent at 80 °C was not very successful (entry 5). The 50% aqueous ethanol is proven to be the most suitable solvent for this condensation in terms of yield and reaction time (entry 6).

We also evaluated the amount of phenylboronic acid required for the reaction. It was found that when decreasing the amount of the catalyst from 20 to 10 mol %, the yield increased from 85 to 88% (entry 7). The use of 5 mol% of PhB(OH)<sub>2</sub> maintaining the yield at 88%, so this amount is sufficient to promote the reaction. In the presence of more than this amount of the catalyst, neither the yield nor the reaction time were improved (entries 9 and 10). Thus, the best result was obtained with 5 mol % of catalyst in 50% aqueous ethanol at reflux (entry 8).

In comparison with  $PhB(OH)_2$ , the use of  $Ph-CH=CH-B(OH)_2$  as catalyst in the model reaction under refluxing condition showed good catalytic effects and afforded comparable yield of the desired product (entry 11).

In contrast, other Lewis acid catalysts such as  $CeCl_3.7H_2O$  gave lower yield (entry 12).

All reactions delivered good to excellent products yields and accommodated a wide range of aromatic aldehydes containing electron-donating and electron-withdrawing groups (entries 1–12) without any significant substituent effect. This three-component condensation reaction also proceeded with heteroaromatic aldehyde, such as 2-furaldehyde and give the corresponding product in high yield (entry 13). The scope of this one-pot reaction was further extended by replacing dimedone **2a** with cyclohexane-1,3-dione **2b** and various highly functionalized 4*H*-benzo[*b*]pyrans were produced in good yields (entries 14–16). However, aliphatic aldehydes such as acetaldehyde, propionaldehyde and isobutyraldehyde needed longer reaction times to provide moderate yields of the corresponding products (entries 17–19).

To assess the generality and versatility of the catalyst, the same reaction conditions as described above were applied for the synthesis of ethyl 2-amino-4*H*-benzo[*b*]-pyrans-3-carboxylate by replacing malonitrile **3a** with ethyl cyanoacetate **3b**. The expected compounds were then obtained in good yields (entries 20–21).

In all cases, the final products were isolated by simple filtration, washed with cold water and purified by recrystallization from ethanol<sup>1</sup>.

We propose the following mechanism to account for the reaction. We checked that, at reflux for 30 min in EtOH/  $H_2O(1/1)$  without phenylboronic acid, malonitrile reacts quite quantitatively with aromatic aldehyde **1** in a Knoevenagel transformation, while only small amounts of **4** was produced in such conditions. 1,3-Dicarbonyl compound would be therefore first activated by phenylboronic acid to give a boron enolate **2**'. Addition to

**2-Amino-7,7-dimethyl-5-oxo-4-phenyl-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-4H-benzopyran-3-carbonitrile (4a)**. IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>)  $\nu$ : 3402, 2966, 2195, 1651, 1369; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (250 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$ : 7,37–7.24 (m, 2H), 7.21–7.13 (m, 3H), 7.03 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 4.18 (s, 1H), 3.37 (s, 2H), 2.23 (d, *J* = 16.1HZ, 1H), 2.13 (d, *J* = 16.1 Hz, 1H), 1.05 (s,3H), 0.96 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (62.9 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$ : 195.6, 162.5, 158.5, 144.7, 128.3, 127.1, 126.5, 119.7, 112.7, 58.2, 50.0, 38.7, 35.6, 31.4, 28.4, 26.8. **2-Amino-4-(4-nitrophenyl)-5-oxo-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-4H-benzopyran-3-carbonitrile (40)**. IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>)  $\nu$ : 3384, 2982, 2195, 1690, 1516, 1346; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (250 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$ : 8.10 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.37 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 6.63 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 4.37 (s, 1H), 3.28 (s, 2H), 2.60–2.51 (m, 2H), 2.27–2.24 (m, 2H), 2.05–1.85 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (62.9 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$ : 196.0, 164.8, 158.9, 152.0, 146.6, 128.7, 123.6, 119.54, 113.6, 57.8, 39.6, 36.6, 35.9, 27.1, 20.1

**2-Amino-7,7-dimethyl-4-ethyl-5-oxo-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-4H-benzopyran-3-carbonitrile (4s)**. IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>)  $\nu$ : 3417, 2191, 1654, 1380; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (250 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$ : 6.16 (s, 2H), 3.20 (t, J = 4.4 Hz, 1H), 2.31 (s, 2H), 2.17 (s, 2H), 1.53–1.38 (m, 2H), 1.03 (s, 3H), 1.01 (s, 3H), 0.69 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (62.9 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$ : 201.30, 168.06, 164.90, 125.05, 117.63, 61.31, 55.50, 36.70, 35.08, 33.87, 32.71, 32.09, 31.77.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> General procedure to synthesis of tetrahydrobenzo[b]pyran derivatives **4** using PhB(OH)<sub>2</sub> as catalyst: a mixture of aldehyde (1 mmol), cyclohexane-1,3-dione or dimedone (1 mmol), malonitrile or ethyl cyanoacetate (1 mmol) and phenylboronic acid (5 mol%) in 6 ml of EtOH/H<sub>2</sub>O (v:v:1:1) was refluxed for 30 min (the reaction was monitored by TLC). After completion of the reaction, the mixture was cooled to room temperature and cold water was added and stirring was continued for 10 min. The crude products were filtered, washed with water and recrystallized from ethanol (95%) to afford pure products which were identified by IR, <sup>1</sup>H NMR, <sup>13</sup>C NMR and melting points. Spectral data for:

3	9	6

Table 1				
Optimization	of the reaction	on using diffe	erent conditions. <sup>a</sup>	

Entry	Solvent	Catalyst (mol %)	5) Time (h) Temperature (°C)		Yield <sup>b</sup> (%)
1	CH₃CN	PhB(OH) <sub>2</sub> 20	6	Reflux	38
2	H <sub>2</sub> O	PhB(OH) <sub>2</sub> 20	1	Reflux	75
3	EtOH	PhB(OH) <sub>2</sub> 20	3	Reflux	61
4	EtOH	PhB(OH) <sub>2</sub> 20	72	Ambient	10
5	None	PhB(OH) <sub>2</sub> 20	6	80	54
6	EtOH/H <sub>2</sub> O	PhB(OH) <sub>2</sub> 20	0.5	Reflux	85
7	EtOH/H <sub>2</sub> O	PhB(OH) <sub>2</sub> 10	0.5	Reflux	88
8	EtOH/H <sub>2</sub> O	PhB(OH) <sub>2</sub> 5	0.5	Reflux	88
9	EtOH/H <sub>2</sub> O	PhB(OH) <sub>2</sub> 50	0.5	Reflux	83
10	EtOH/H <sub>2</sub> O	PhB(OH) <sub>2</sub> 100	0.5	Reflux	83
11	EtOH/H <sub>2</sub> O	Ph-CH=CH-B(OH) <sub>2</sub> 5	0.5	Reflux	85
12	EtOH/H <sub>2</sub> O	CeCl <sub>3</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O 5	0.5	Reflux	57

<sup>a</sup> The reactions were conducted by condensation of benzaldehyde **1a** (1 equiv.), dimedone **2a** (1 equiv.), and malonitrile **3** (1 equiv.). <sup>b</sup> Isolated yields.



Scheme 2.

## Table 2

Synthesis of tetrahydrobenzo[b]pyrans **4a-w** by condensation of aldehydes, malonitrile or ethyl cyanoacetate and dimedone or 1,3-cyclohexanedione using PhB(OH)<sub>2</sub> as catalyst in refluxing H<sub>2</sub>O:EtOH (1:1).

Entry	$\mathbb{R}^1$	R <sup>2</sup>	R <sup>3</sup>	Time (h)	Product	Yield (%) <sup>a</sup>	Mp (°C) Measured	Mp (°C) Reported
1	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	Me	CN	0.5	4a	88	236-238	234–235 [15]
2	4-Me-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	Me	CN	0.5	4b	65	215-218	210-212 [13]
3	4-MeO-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	Me	CN	0.5	4c	87	204–205	200-201 [26]
4	2-MeO-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	Me	CN	0.5	4d	81	194–196	195-197 [38]
5	$4-(NO_2)-C_6H_4$	Me	CN	0.5	4e	88	180–182	177-178 [26]
6	3-(NO <sub>2</sub> )-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	Me	CN	0.5	4f	85	210-212	213-215 [16]
7	4-(OH)-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	Me	CN	0.5	4g	84	216-218	213-214 [26]
8	$4-(Br)-C_6H_4$	Me	CN	0.5	4h	86	199–200	207-208 [26]
9	$4-(Cl)-C_6H_4$	Me	CN	0.5	4i	84	207–209	209-210 [26]
10	3-(Cl)-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	Me	CN	0.5	4j	70	228–229	230-232 [13]
11	4-Me <sub>2</sub> N-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	Me	CN	0.5	4k	86	218-220	217-218 [15]
12	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> -CH=CH-	Me	CN	0.5	41	74	208-210	205-207 [39]
13	2-Furyl	Me	CN	0.5	4m	85	222-224	226-228 [15]
14	4-MeO-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	Н	CN	0.5	4n	72	198–200	190-192 [16]
15	4-(NO <sub>2</sub> )-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	Н	CN	0.5	<b>4o</b>	76	240-241	235-237 [16]
16	$4-(Cl)-C_{6}H_{4}$	Н	CN	0.5	4р	61	229–230	225-227 [16]
17	CH <sub>3</sub>	Me	CN	2	4q	43	177–179	171-173 [40]
18	CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub>	Me	CN	2	4r	41	193–194	190-194 [17]
19	$(CH_3)_2CH$	Me	CN	2.5	4s	38	154–156	156-157 [40]
20	3-(NO <sub>2</sub> )-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	Me	CO <sub>2</sub> Et	1	4t	80	170-172	179-181 [13]
21	4-(Cl)-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	Me	CO <sub>2</sub> Et	1	4u	65	155–157	157-159 [13]

<sup>a</sup> The reaction was conducted in a non-toxic conditions.

arylidenemalonitrile **5** would lead to the formation of **6**. After a spontaneous deborylation that usually occurs when a boronated group is in an  $\alpha$ -position to a cyano or keto group, tautomerism and intramolecular cyclization afforded the final product **4** (Scheme 2).

With the above results, we can realize that the phenylboronic acid catalyses only the second step of the reaction (Table 2).

#### 3. Conclusion

In conclusion, we have reported an easy, convenient, inexpensive and friendly environmental synthetic approach for the preparation of tetrahydrobenzo[*b*]pyrans catalyzed by PhB(OH)<sub>2</sub> using a three-component condensation in aqueous ethanol. This procedure offers advantages like high yields, operational simplicity, non-toxic catalyst and solvents, short reaction time and minimum pollution of the environment, which makes it a useful and attractive process for the preparation of these compounds.

#### References

- G.R. Green, J.M. Evans, A.K. Vong, in: A.R. Ktritsky, C.W. Rees, E.F.V. Scriven (Eds.), Comprehensive heterocyclic chemistry II, 5, Permagon Press, Oxford, 1995, p. 469.
- [2] W.O. Foye, Principi di Chemico Farmaceutica, Piccunm Italy (1991) 416.
- [3] L. Bonsignore, G. Loy, D. Secci, A. Calignano, J. Org. Chem. 28 (1993) 517.
- [4] L.L. Andreani, E. Lepi, Bull. Chim. Farm. 99 (1960) 583.
- [5] C.S. Konkoy, D.B. Fick, S.X. Cai, N.C. Lan, J.F.W. Keana, Chem. Abstr. (2000) 134, 29313a.
- [6] C.S. Konkoy, D.B. Fick, S.X. Cai, N.C. Lan, J.F.W. Keana, PCT Int. Appl. (2000) WO 00 75 123.
- [7] S. Hatakeyama, N. Ochi, H. Numata, S. Takano, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. (1988) 1202.
- [8] X.S. Wang, S.T. Tu, C.S. Yao, Synth. Commun. 33 (2003) 119.
- [9] D. Arnesto, W.M. Horspool, N. Martin, A. Ramos, C. Seaone, J. Org. Chem. 54 (1989) 3069.
- [10] I. Devi, P.J. Bhuyan, Tetrahedron Lett. 45 (2004) 8625.

- [11] S.J. Tu, H. Jiang, Q.Y. Zhung, C.B. Miu, D.Q. Shi, X.S. Wang, Y. Gao, Chin. J. Org. Chem. 23 (2003) 488.
- [12] T.S. Jin, A.Q. Wang, F. Shi, L.S. Han, L.B. Liu, T.S. Li, ARKIVOC xiv (2006) 78.
- [13] S. Balalaie, M. Shiekh-Ahmadi, M. Barazjanian, Cat. Commun. 8 (2007) 1724.
- [14] S. Abdolmohammadi, S. Balalaie, Tetrhedron Lett. 48 (2007) 3299.
- [15] S. Gao, C.H. Tsai, C. Tseng, C.F. Yao, Tetrahedron 64 (2008) 9143.
- [16] M. Seifi, H. Sheibani, Catal. Lett. (2008) 275.
- [17] R. Hekmatshor, S. Majedi, K. Bakhtiari, Cat. Commun. 9 (2008) 307.
- [18] Y.M. Ren, C. Cai, Cat. Commun. 9 (2008) 1017.
- [19] M.M. Heravi, B.A. Jani, F. Derikvand, F.F. Bamoharram, H.A. Oskooie, Cat. Commun. 10 (2008) 272.
- [20] J.M. Khurana, S. Kumar, Tetrahedron Lett. 50 (2009) 4125.
- [21] G. Shabitha, K. Harundhathi, K. Sudhakar, B.S. Sartry, J.S. Yadav, Synth. Commun. 39 (2009) 433.
- [22] W.O. Sun, P. Zhang, J. Fan, S.H. Chen, Z.H. Zhang, Synth. Commun. 40 (2010) 587.
- [23] M.M. Khodaei, K. Bahrami, A. Farrokhi, Synth. Commun. 40 (2010) 1492.
- [24] D. Fang, H.B. Zhang, Z.L. Liu, J. Heterocycl. Chem. 47 (2010) 63.
- [25] Y. Li, H. Chen, C. Shi, S. Ji, J. Comb. Chem. 12 (2010) 231.
- [26] S.S. Katkar, M.K. Lande, B.R. Arbad, S.T. Gaikwad, Chin. J. Chem. 29 (2011) 199.
- [27] J. Zheng, Y.Q. Li, Scholar Research Library 3 (2011) 381.
- [28] P.P. Salvi, A.M. Mandhare, A.S. Sartape, D.K. Pawar, S.H. Han, S.S. Kolekar, C. R. Chimie 14 (2011) 878.
- [29] R.L. Letsinger, D.B. MacLean, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 85 (1963) 2230.
- [30] H. Zheng, M. Lejkowski, D.G. Hall, Chem. Sci. 2 (2011) 1305.
- [31] H. Zheng, D.G. Hall, Tetrahedron Lett. 51 (2010) 3561.
- [32] H. Zheng, R. MacDonald, D.G. Hall, Chem. Eur. J. 16 (2010) 5454.
- [33] R. Al-Zoubi, O. Marion, D.G. Hall, Angew Chem, Int Ed. 47 (2008) 2876.
- [34] K. Arnold, B. Davies, D. Hérault, A. Whiting, Angew Chem. 47 (2008) 2673.
- [35] For a review on boron-catalysed direct amide formation reactions, see: H. Charville, D. Jackson, G. Hodges, A. Whiting Chem. Commun. 46 (2010) 1813.
- [36] A. Debache, B. Boumoud, M. Amimour, A. Belfaitah, S. Rhouati, B. Carboni, Tetrahedron Lett. 47 (2006) 5697.
- [37] A. Debache, R. Boulcina, A. Belfaitah, S. Rhouati, B. Carboni, Synlett (2008) 509.
- [38] F.F. Abdel-Latif, M.M. Mashaly, E.H. El-Gawish, J. Chem. Res. Synsp. (1995) 178.
- [39] S. Gurumurthi, V. Sundari, R. Valliappan, E-J. Chem. 6 (S1) (2009) S466.
- [40] G.V. Klokol, S.G. Krivokolysko, V.D. Dyachenko, V.P. Litvinov, E-J. Chem. 35 (1999) 1183.