

Full paper/Mémoire

#### Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

### **Comptes Rendus Chimie**



www.sciencedirect.com

# $H_3PW_{12}O_{40}/MCM-41$ nanoparticles as efficient and reusable solid acid catalyst for the synthesis of quinoxalines

### Mohammad Abdollahi-Alibeik\*, Ezzat Heidari-Torkabad

Department of Chemistry, Yazd University, Yazd 89195-741, Iran

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 10 November 2011 Accepted after revision 10 April 2012 Available online 7 June 2012

Keywords: Mesoporous silica Nanoparticles Catalyst Solid acid Quinoxalines

#### ABSTRACT

The condensation reaction of 1,2-diketones and o-phenylenediamines was investigated in the presence of nano-sized mesoporous silica (MCM-41) supported 12-tungstophosphoric acid (TPA) as solid acid catalyst. Nano-sized MCM-41 was synthesized and the catalysts with different loading amounts of TPA (5–15 wt.%) were prepared and characterized by XRD, FT-IR and SEM techniques. The results confirm good dispersion of TPA on the solid support. The catalyst is reusable many times without loss in its activity.

© 2012 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

Mesoporous materials have been used technically as adsorbents, catalysts and catalyst supports because of their high surface area. The first synthesis of an ordered mesoporous material was described in the literature in 1971 [1]. However due to a lack of analysis, the remarkable features of this material were not recognized [2]. The mesoporous material based on silica has been investigated for practical applications. In particular, the success of Mobil scientists in the discovery of a new family of mesoporous molecular sieves with hexagonal ordering of pore system (MCM-41) has been followed by an enormous amount of research worldwide [3]. MCM-41 with uniformly sized nanochannels, tunable pore size (1–10 nm) and high surface area ( $\sim 1000 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ ) have been a focus of recent applications of catalysts, catalytic supports, molecular separation, chemical sensors, electronic and optical devices, and advanced materials [4].

\* Corresponding author. E-mail address: abdollahi@yazduni.ac.fr (M. Abdollahi-Alibeik).

12-Tungstophosphoric acid (TPA), as a Keggin type heteropoly acid, has attracted considerable attention as acid catalyst in organic transformations because of its high Brønsted acidity and thermal stability [5]. However, the use of bulk TPA has some disadvantages such as low surface area and high solubility in polar solvents which have reduced its application in some organic transformations. The use of TPA in supported form, especially over high surface area materials has attracted much attention. A TPA cluster with  $\sim$ 1.2 nm diameter allows it to be introduced inside the MCM-41 pores [6]. Therefore, the large surface area of the supported TPA on MCM-41 makes it potential solid acid catalyst. Besides that, its large pore size plays a major role to overcome small pore obstruct of bulky organic molecules. MCM-41 supported 12-tungstophosphoric acid (TPA/MCM-41) has been used as catalyst in many organic transformations [5,7,8].

Quinoxaline and its derivatives exhibit various biological activities such as antiviral, antibacterial, antiinflammatory and as kinase inhibitors [9]. A number of synthetic methodologies have been developed for the preparation of substituted quinoxalines. The most common method is the condensation of 1,2-dicarbonyles and o-phenylenediamines in the presence of an acidic

<sup>1631-0748/\$ –</sup> see front matter © 2012 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.crci.2012.04.005



Scheme 1.

catalyst such as SSA [10], PEG-400 [11]  $ZrO_2/Ga_2O_3/MCM-41$  [12], Ga(OTf)<sub>3</sub> [13], cellulose sulfuric acid [14],  $ZrCl_4$  [15], NaHSO<sub>3</sub> [16], NH<sub>4</sub>Cl [17] and ultrasonic irradiation [18]. However, many of these methods suffer from some limitations such as hard reaction conditions, low yield and long reaction times.

In continuation of our studies on the application of solid-supported reagents in organic transformations [7,19–21], in the present study, we report preparation, characterization and catalytic application of TPA/MCM-41 in the reaction of diketones and 1,2-phenylenediamines for the synthesis of quinoxalines (Scheme 1).

#### 2. Experimental

#### 2.1. Materials and methods

All chemicals were commercial products. All reactions were monitored by TLC and all yields refer to isolated products. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl<sub>3</sub> on a Bruker (DRX-500 AVANCE) 500 MHz spectrometer. Infrared spectra of the catalysts and reaction products were recorded on a Bruker FT-IR Equinax-55 spectrophotometer in KBr with absorption in cm<sup>-1</sup>. X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded on a Bruker fltered Cu K $\alpha$  radiation. The morphology was studied using a Philips XL30 scanning electron microscopy.

#### 2.2. Preparation of MCM-41

The synthesis of nano-sized MCM-41 was carried out using tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS) as Si source, cetyl-trimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) as template and ammonia as pH control agent with the gel composition of SiO<sub>2</sub>:CTAB:NH<sub>4</sub>OH:H<sub>2</sub>O = 22.5:2.74:53.5:11.11.

In a typical procedure, CTAB (1 g, 2.74 mmol) was dissolved in deionised water (200 mL). After 10 min, TEOS (5 mL) was slowly added to the solution under continuous stirring for 0.5 h at 70 °C. Then the pH of the solution was adjusted to 10.5 by adding 12 wt.% ammonia solution for 2 h ( $\sim$ 4 mL). The mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature and was stirred for 12 h. The gel was recovered by centrifuge and washed with distilled water (2 × 2 mL). The obtained solid was dried in oven at 120 °C for 2 h and calcined in air at 550 °C for 4 h.

#### 2.3. Preparation of 10 wt.% TPA/MCM-41

10 wt.% TPA/MCM-41 catalyst was prepared by mixing of TPA (10 mg), MCM-41 (90 mg) and deionised water (15 mL). The resulting suspension was stirred for 12 h followed by evaporation to dryness. The solid was dried

at 120  $^\circ\text{C}$  for 2 h, powdered and calcined at 550  $^\circ\text{C}$  in air for 4 h.

#### 2.4. General procedure for the synthesis of quinoxalines

A mixture of diketone (1 mmol) and diamine (1 mmol) in the presence of 10 wt.% TPA/MCM-41 (15 mg, 0.05 mol% TPA) was stirred at room temperature in EtOH for an appropriate time. The progress of the reaction was followed by TLC using 5% EtOAc in *n*-hexane as eluent. After completion of the reaction, the catalyst was recovered and washed with EtOH ( $2 \times 2$  mL). The solvent was evaporated and the product was obtained in high purity. Further purification was achieved by column chromatography on silica gel using EtOAc:*n*-hexane, 5:95 as eluent. The pure quinoxalines were obtained in 82–99% yields (Table 2).

#### 2.5. Physical and spectroscopic data for selected compound

#### 2.5.1. 2,3-Diphenylquinoxaline (Table 2, entry 1)

White solid, mp 127–129 °C (Lit. [22] 126–127 °C); IR (Neat):  $\upsilon_{max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3057, 1542, 1520, 1478, 761, 729; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 7.36–7.40 (m, 6H), 7.56 (dd, 4H, *J* = 8, 1.8 Hz), 7.80 (dd, 2H, *J* = 6.4, 3.6 Hz), 8.21 (dd, 2H, *J* = 6.4, 3.2 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.62 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 128.30, 128.83, 129.24, 129.86, 129.99, 139.10, 141.26, 153.50.

#### 2.5.2. 6-Methyl-2,3-diphenylquinoxaline (Table 2, entry 2)

White solid, mp 115–117 °C (Lit. [22] 116–117 °C); IR (Neat):  $\nu_{max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3055, 2920, 1620, 1555, 1484, 1344, 880, 695, 622; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 2.6 (S, 3H), 7.36–7.56 (m, 10H), 7.63 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.4 Hz), 7.99 (S, 1H), 8.1 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.5 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125.77 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 22.35, 28.48, 128.66 (4 C), 129.06, 129.120, 129.158, 130.27 (2 C), 130.29 (2 C), 132.73, 139.69, 140.161, 140.91, 141.75, 153.015, 153.76.

#### 2.5.3. 6-Benzoyl-2,3-Diphenylquinoxaline (Table 2, entry 3)

White solid, mp 151–153 °C (Lit. [18] 139–140 °C); IR (Neat):  $v_{max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3057, 2922, 1659, 1600, 1598, 1444; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 7.26–7.33 (m, 6H), 7.44–7.50 (m, 7H), 7.82–7.84 (m, 2H), 7.83 (dd, 2H, *J* = 8, 1.4 Hz), 8.21–8.22 (m, 2H), 8.47 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.62 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 128.41, 128.55, 129.21, 129.36, 129.70, 129.79, 129.92, 130.16, 132.46, 132.85, 137.18, 138.36, 138.52, 138.58, 140.19, 142.92, 154.63, 155.14, 195.82.

#### 2.5.4. 6-Nitro-2,3-diphenylquinoxaline (Table 2, entry 4)

White solid, mp 193–194 °C (Lit. [22] 192–193 °C); IR (Neat): υ<sub>max</sub> (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3055, 2922, 1615, 1515, 1500, 1469,

Table 1

Optimization of reaction conditions for the synthesis of quinoxalines in the presence of catalytic amount of TPA/MCM-41.

Entry	Catalyst	Solvent	Catalyst amount (mg/mol%)	Time (min)	Yield (%)
1	5% TPA/MCM-41	EtOH	15/0.026	2	98
2	10% TPA/MCM-41	EtOH	15/0.052	0.25	99
3	15% TPA/MCM-41	EtOH	15/0.078	2	94
4	10% TPA/MCM-41	EtOH	10/0.035	5	90
5	10% TPA/MCM-41	EtOH	20/0.07	10	95
6	10% TPA/MCM-41	EtOAc	15/0.052	1	99
7	10% TPA/MCM-41	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	15/0.052	20	99
8	10% TPA/MCM-41	$CH_2Cl_2$	15/0.052	44	92
9	10% TPA/MCM-41	CHCl <sub>3</sub>	15/0.052	21	99

1446, 1340; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ (ppm) = 7.39– 7.48 (m, 6H), 7.60 (t, 4H, *J* = 6.5 Hz),8.33 (d, 1 H, *J* = 9.1 Hz), 8.56 (dd, 1H, *J* = 9.1, 2.2 Hz), 9.19 (d, 1H, *J* = 2.3 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125.77 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ (ppm) = 123.73, 126.06, 128.89, 130.07, 130.20, 130.26, 130.33, 131.192, 138.47, 138.54, 140.42, 144.02, 148.32, 156.13, 156.75.

#### 2.5.5. 2,3-Dihydro-5,6-diphenylpyrazine (Table 2, entry 5)

White solid, mp 160–163 °C (Lit. [23] 163 °C); IR (Neat):  $v_{max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3050, 2945, 1560, 1552, 1443; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 3.73 (s, 4H), 7.28 (t, 4H, *J* = 7.5 Hz), 7.34 (t, 2H, *J* = 7 Hz), 7.43 (d, 4H, *J* = 7.5 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125.77 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 46.25, 128.33, 128.54, 130.05, 138.21, 160.73.

#### 2.5.6. 2,3-Difuran-2-yl-quinoxaline (Table 2, entry 6)

Pale brown solid, mp 132–134 °C (Lit. [24] 129–130 °C); IR (Neat):  $v_{max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3107, 1571, 1535, 1479, 1163, 1058; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 6.59–6.60 (m, 2 H), 6.7 (d, 2 H, J = 3.31 Hz), 7.6 (d, 2H, J = 1.02 Hz), 7.77–7.79 (m, 2 H), 8.15–8.18 (m, 2 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125.77 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 112.35, 113.43, 129.56, 130.83, 141.074, 143.09, 144.66, 151.25.

## 2.5.7. 6-Methyl-2,3-difuran-2-yl-quinoxaline (Table 2, entry 7)

Pale brown solid, mp 118–120 °C (Lit. [18] 112–114 °C); IR (Neat):  $v_{max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3110, 2900, 1618, 1569, 1490, 1350, 1147, 1062; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 2.52 (s, 3H), 6.48–6.49 (m, 2H), 6.54–6.56 (m, 2H), 7.51 (dd, 2H, *J* = 8.6, 1.8 Hz), 7.54–7.55 (m, 1H), 7.84 (s, 1H), 7.95 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.4 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.62 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 21.95, 111.87, 111.89, 112.58, 112.83, 127.99, 128.64, 132.80, 139.12, 140.74, 141.14, 141.87, 142.62, 144.01, 144.14, 150.92.

## 2.5.8. 6-Benzoyl-2,3-difuran-2-yl-quinoxaline (Table 2, entry 8)

Pale brown solid, mp 118–120 °C (Lit. [13] 132–134 °C); IR (Neat):  $v_{max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3135, 1654, 1597, 1154, 1065; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 6.60–6.63 (m, 2H), 6.76– 6.79 (m, 2H), 7.53–7.57 (m, 3H), 7.64–7.69 (m, 2H), 7.90– 7.92 (m, 2H), 8.27 (d, 2H, *J* = 1.6 Hz), 8.50 (t, 1H, *J* = 1.2 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.62 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 112.09, 112.22, 113,62, 114,26, 128.55, 129.59, 130.11, 130.29, 132.29, 132.84, 137.11, 138.55, 139.54, 142.42, 143.47, 143.97, 144.56, 144,89, 150.52, 195.67. 2.5.9. 6-Nitro-2,3-difuran-2-yl-quinoxaline (Table 2, entry 9)

Orange solid, mp 171–173 °C (Lit. [18] 164–166 °C); IR (Neat):  $v_{max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3129, 1617, 1563, 1520, 1467, 1300, 1160, 1058; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 6.63–6.66 (m, 2H), 6.85 (dd, 1H, *J* = 3.4, 0.6 Hz), 6.91 (dd, 1H, *J* = 3.4, 0.6 H), 7.69–7.71 (m, 2H), 8.25 (d, 2H, *J* = 9.2 Hz), 8.51 (dd, 2H, *J* = 9.2, 3.2 Hz), 9.04 (d, 1H, *J* = 3.2); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.62 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 112.31, 112.44, 114.51, 115.37, 123.66, 125.37, 130.47, 139.26, 143.02, 144.23, 144.73, 145.01, 145.49, 147.95, 149.40, 150.17.

### 2.5.10. 2,3-Bis(4-methoxyphenyl)quinoxaline (Table 2, entry 10)

White solid, mp 151–152 °C (Lit. [25] 151–152 °C); IR (Neat):  $v_{max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3010, 3090, 1605, 1511, 1394, 1346, 1240, 1026; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 3.8 (S, 6 H), 6.91 (d, 4 H, *J* = 6.73 Hz), 7.53 (d, 4H, *J* = 6.63 Hz), 7.76(dd, 2 H), 8.16 (dd, 2 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125.77 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 55.74, 114.21, 129.44, 129.95, 131.68, 132.17, 141.51, 153.46, 160.60.

# 2.5.11. 6-Methyl-2,3-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)quinoxaline (Table 2, entry 11)

White solid, mp 123–126 °C (Lit. [26] 124–126 °C); IR (Neat):  $v_{max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3050, 2900, 1655, 1604, 1511, 1343, 1246, 1027; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 2.52 (s, 3H), 3.75 (s, 6H), 6.79 (d, 4H, *J* = 7.2 Hz), 7.40 (d, 4H, *J* = 7.2 Hz), 7.47 (d, 1H, 7.6 Hz), 7.84 (s, 1H), 7.93 (d, 1H, 7.6 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.62 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 21.89, 55.31, 113.74, 127.88, 128.53, 131.20, 131.24, 132.87, 139.54, 140.00, 141.14, 152.17, 152.90, 160.01.

#### 3. Result and discussion

#### 3.1. Catalyst characterization

The structure of TPA/MCM-41 is well known and has been reported in many papers. However, the small difference in the procedure of preparation of the MCM-41 still requires some characterization experiments.

The morphology of the MCM-41 was studied by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (Fig. 1). SEM image shows the MCM-41 as agglomerated nanoparticles with the size range less than 100 nm.

The FT-IR spectra of TPA, MCM-41 and TPA/MCM-41 with 10 wt.% loading amounts of TPA are shown in Fig. 2. The bulk TPA shows four peaks at 1080, 981, 887 and

#### Table 2

Synthesis of quinoxalines by the reaction of 1,2-phenylenediamines and 1,2-diketones in the presence of 10 wt.% TPA/MCM-41 at room temperature in EtOH.

ArO	H <sub>2</sub> N	10 wt.% TPA/MCM-41		
Ar O +	H <sub>2</sub> N -	EtOH/r.t. Ar N		
Ι	II	III		
Entry	Ar	Diamine (II)	Time (min)	Yield (%)
1		NH <sub>2</sub> NH <sub>2</sub>	0.25	99
2		Me NH <sub>2</sub>	0.25	90
3		NH <sub>2</sub>	390	91
4		O <sub>2</sub> N NH <sub>2</sub> NH <sub>2</sub>	300	93
5			40	96
6 <sup>a</sup>		NH <sub>2</sub> NH <sub>2</sub>	0.25	99
7 <sup>a</sup>		Me NH <sub>2</sub> NH <sub>2</sub>	0.25	91
8 <sup>a</sup>		NH <sub>2</sub>	60	91
9 <sup>a</sup>		O <sub>2</sub> N NH <sub>2</sub> NH <sub>2</sub>	180	88
10	MeO	NH <sub>2</sub> NH <sub>2</sub>	88	86
11	MeO	Me NH <sub>2</sub> NH <sub>2</sub>	28	82

<sup>a</sup> The reaction was carried out in EtOAc as solvent.



Fig. 1. SEM image of MCM-41.



Fig. 2. FT-IR spectra of (a) MCM-41; (b) 10 wt.% TPA/MCM-41, (c) pure TPA.

806 cm<sup>-1</sup> which are assigned to the stretching vibrations of P-O<sub>a</sub>, W-O<sub>d</sub>, W-O<sub>b</sub>-W and W-O<sub>c</sub>-W, respectively. As shown in the spectra of TPA/MCM-41, two characteristic peaks of TPA (887, 1080 cm<sup>-1</sup>) are overlapped over the main peaks of MCM-41 (812 and 1084 cm<sup>-1</sup>). However, the peak at 806 cm<sup>-1</sup> was observed in the TPA/MCM-41 spectrum without any change compared to bulk TPA, and the peak at 960 cm<sup>-1</sup> was observed in this spectrum corresponding to the peak at 981 cm<sup>-1</sup> for the bulk TPA spectrum. These results confirm presence of TPA with Keggin structure on the MCM-41.



Fig. 3. The low angle XRD patterns of (a) MCM-41; (b) 5 wt.% TPA/MCM-41, (c) 10 wt.% TPA/MCM-41, (d) 15 wt.% TPA/MCM-41.

The presence and dispersion state of TPA on the MCM-41 were investigated by XRD technique (Fig. 3). XRD patterns of the catalysts with different loading amount of TPA were compared with MCM-41 and bulk TPA. The characteristic peaks of the MCM-41 are at  $2\theta = 2.3$ , 4 and  $4.6^{\circ}$ . As shown in XRD patterns of TPA/MCM-41 (Fig. 3b-d), supporting of MCM-41 with TPA can affect the width and intensity of these characteristic peaks. These results suggest that the long-range order of MCM-41 was decreased when increasing the loading amount of TPA.

The dispersion state of TPA was investigated by high angle XRD (Fig. 4). As shown in Fig. 4a, no diffraction peaks of TPA appear in the spectra of catalyst of 10 wt.% TPA/MCM-41. This result indicates that the TPA is highly dispersed on the 10 wt.% TPA/MCM-41.

### 3.2. Catalytic activity of TPA/MCM-41 in the synthesis of quinoxalines

In order to investigate the catalytic activity of TPA supported on the MCM-41 nanoparticles in the synthesis of quinoxalines, the reaction of various types of 1,2-diketones and 1,2-phenylenediamines were studied in the presence of TPA/MCM-41.

Initially, the optimization experiments were performed in the reaction of 1,2-phenylenediamine (1 mmol) and benzil (1 mmol) as the model reaction and the results are shown in Table 1.

To investigate the effect of the loading amount of TPA on the catalytic activity of TPA/MCM-41, the model reaction was performed in the presence of 15 mg of the catalyst with 5–15 wt.% TPA (Table 1, entries 1–3). The results show that 10 wt.% TPA/MCM-41 has the best catalytic activity.



Fig. 4. The high angle XRD patterns of (a) 10 wt.% TPA/MCM-41, (b) TPA.

In order to optimize the amount of the 10 wt.% TPA/ MCM-41, the model reaction was performed with various amounts of the catalyst and in terms of reaction time and yield of the product, 15 mg of the catalyst (0.052 mol%) for the reaction of 1 mmol benzil in the model reaction was selected as the best amount (Table 1, entries 3–5).

To investigate the effect of solvent in the reaction, the model reaction in the presence of 15 mg 10 wt.% TPA/ MCM-41 was carried out in the various solvents (Table 1, entries 3, 7–11). The best solvent is EtOH with the best yield and time for the reaction (Table 1, entry 3).

Efficiency of the catalyst in the reaction was also examined in a blank reaction, without catalyst, in EtOH at room temperature and reflux condition and the reactions were completed after 20 h and 3.5 h, respectively.

The scope and generality of this catalytic reaction is illustrated with respect to the reaction of different 1,2-phenylenediamines with 1,2-diketones and the results are summarized in Table 2.

Table 3

Reusability of 10 wt.% TPA/MCM-41 in the reaction of 1,2-phenylene-diamine and benzil.

Run	Time (s)	Yield (%)
1	15	99
2	15	98
3	15	98
4	15	98

As shown in Table 2, the reaction of 1,2-phenylenediamine with benzil was carried out in the presence of 15 mg 10 wt.% TPA/MCM-41 (0.052 mol%) at room temperature in EtOH and the corresponding quinoxaline was obtained in 99% yield. The reaction of various types of 1,2-phenylenediamines and 1,2-diketones with both electron donating and electron withdrawing substituents were carried out and the corresponding quinoxalines were obtained in high to excellent yields (Table 2, entries 2–11).

The workup and catalyst recovery is very easy. After the completion of the reaction (monitored by TLC, eluent; EtOAc:*n*-hexane, 5:95), EtOH was added to the reaction mixture and the catalyst was separated by centrifuge and washed with EtOH. After evaporation of EtOH the crude product was obtained in high purity. Further purification was achieved by column chromatography on silica gel using EtOAc:*n*-hexane, 5:95 as eluent.

To study the reusability of the TPA/MCM-41, the recovered catalyst from the model reaction was washed with EtOH and dried in an oven at 120 °C for 2 h. The recovered catalyst was reused in the same reaction three times. The reaction proceeded smoothly with a yield of 99–98% (Table 3). Although a serious problem of heteropoly acid catalysts is coke deposition during the reaction process, the obtained results indicate that the catalyst does not appreciable change in its activity and shows only a slight decrease in the yield after first run.

The efficiency of 10 wt.% TPA/MCM-41 was also compared with other similar heterogeneous catalytic systems in the model reaction (Table 4). It is noteworthy that many heterogeneous catalysts listed in Table 4 are not available and their preparation requires harsh condition. Nonetheless, advantages of solid acid catalysts especially their reusability makes them attractive for synthetic methodology.

However, the results of Table 4 show that the present catalyst in terms of catalyst amount, yield or reaction time is comparable with other heterogeneous catalyst.

Table 4

Comparison of the catalytic activity of 10 wt.% TPA/MCM-41 with other heterogeneous catalysts in the synthesis of quinoxalines.

Entry	Catalyst	Catalyst amount	Solvent, Reaction condition	Reaction time (min)	Yield (%)	Ref.
1	10% TPA/MCM-41	0.052 mol%	EtOH, r.t.	0.25	99	-
2	Montmorillonite K-10	10 mol%	H <sub>2</sub> O, r.t.	150	100	[27]
3	Polyaniline sulfate salt	5 wt.% <sup>a</sup>	H <sub>2</sub> O, r.t.	40	95	[28]
4	SbCl <sub>3</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub>	2.5 mol%	CH <sub>3</sub> OH, r.t.	5	100	[26]
5	Zn <sup>2+</sup> -K10-Clay	125 mg	$H_2O/CH_3CN$ , r.t.	150	89	[29]
6	17%ZrO <sub>2</sub> /4%Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /MCM-41	200 mg	CH₃CN, r.t.	120	97	[12]
7	Cellulose sulfuric acid	10 mg	EtOH, r.t.	60	93	[14]
8	Sulfated titania	100 mg	EtOH, r.t.	5	99	[30]
9	Sulfated titania	100 mg	EtOH, MW	1	99	[31]
10	Acidic alumina	200 mg	–, 80 °C	2	96	[32]

<sup>a</sup> wt.% relative to dicarbonyl compound

#### 4. Conclusion

In summary, we have demonstrated that TPA on the MCM-41 nanoparticles can be used as efficient and reusable catalyst for the synthesis of quinoxalines by the reaction of 1,2-phenylenediamines and 1,2-diketones. The simple experimental procedure, mild reaction conditions, ease of catalyst recovery and reusability are some other advantages of this method.

#### Acknowledgements

We are thankful to the Yazd University Research Council for partial support of this work.

#### References

- V. Chiola, J. Ritsko, C. Vanderpool, in, US Patent 35,567,253,556,725, 1971.
- [2] F. Di Renzo, H. Cambon, R. Dutartre, Microporous Mater 10 (1997) 283.
- [3] C.T. Kresge, M.E. Leonowicz, W.J. Roth, J.C. Vartuli, J.S. Beck, Nature 359 (1992) 710.
- [4] A. Corma, Chem Rev 91 (1997) 2373.
- [5] A.S. Dias, M. Pillinger, A.A. Valente, Microporous Mesoporous Mater 94 (2006) 214.
- [6] T. Okuhara, N. Mizuno, M. Misono, in: W.O.H.D.D. Eley, G. Bruce (Eds.), Advances in Catalysis, Academic Press, 1996, p. 113.
- [7] M. Abdollahi-Alibeik, M. Pouriayevali, Reac. Kinet. Mech. Cat. 104 (2011) 235.
- [8] B. Rabindran Jermy, A. Pandurangan, Catal. Commun. 9 (2008) 577.
- [9] G. Sakata, K. Makino, Y. Kurasawa, Heterocycles 27 (1988) 2481.
- [10] C. Srinivas, C.N.S.S.P. Kumar, V.J. Rao, S. Palaniappan, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 265 (2007) 227.

- [11] X.Z. Zhang, J.X. Wang, Y.J. Sun, H.W. Zhan, Chin. Chem. Lett. 21 (2010) 395.
- [12] S. Ajaikumar, A. Pandurangan, Appl. Catal. A-Gen. 357 (2009) 184.
- [13] J.-J. Cai, J.-P. Zou, X.-Q. Pan, W. Zhang, Tetrahedron Lett. 49 (2008) 7386.
- [14] A. Shaabani, A.H. Rezayan, M. Behnam, M. Heidary, C. R. Chimie 12 (2009) 1249.
- [15] K. Aghapoor, H.R. Darabi, F. Mohsenzadeh, Y. Balavar, H. Daneshyar, Transition Met. Chem. 35 (2010) 49.
- [16] B.A.D.S. Neto, A.S. Lopes, M. Wüst, V.E.U. Costa, G. Ebeling, J. Dupont, Tetrahedron Lett. 46 (2005) 6843.
- [17] H.R. Darabi, F. Tahoori, K. Aghapoor, F. Taala, F. Mohsenzadeh, J. Braz. Chem. Soc. 19 (2008) 1646.
- [18] W.X. Guo, H.L. Jin, J.X. Chen, F. Chen, J.C. Dinga, H.Y. Wu, J. Braz. Chem. Soc. 20 (2009) 1674.
- [19] M. Abdollahi-Alibeik, I. Mohammadpoor-Baltork, Z. Zaghaghi, B.H. Yousefi, Catal. Commun. 9 (2008) 2496.
- M. Abdollahi-Alibeik, M. Moosavifard, Synth. Commun. 40 (2010) 2686.
  I. Mohammadpoor-Baltork, M. Abdollahi-Alibeik, Can. J. Chem. 83 (2005) 110.
- [22] K. Niknam, D. Saberi, M. Mohagheghnejad, Molecules 14 (2009) 1915.
- [23] F. Mohsenzadeh, K. Aghapoor, H.R. Darabi, J. Braz. Chem. Soc. 18 (2007)
- 297.
- [24] D.Q. Shi, G.L. Dou, Synth. Commun. 38 (2008) 3329.
- [25] M. Lande, M. Navgire, S. Rathod, S. Katkar, A. Yelwande, B. Arbad, J. Ind. Eng. Chem. (2011).
- [26] H.Ř. Darabi, K. Aghapoor, F. Mohsenzadeh, F. Taala, N. Asadollahnejad, A. Badiei, Catal. Lett. 133 (2009) 84.
- [27] T.-k. Huang, R. Wang, L. Shi, X.-x. Lu, Catal. Commun. 9 (2008) 1143.
- [28] C. Srinivas, C.N.S.S.P. Kumar, V.J. Rao, S. Palaniappan, Catal. Lett. 121 (2008) 291.
- [29] A. Dhakshinamoorthy, K. Kanagaraj, K. Pitchumani, Tetrahedron Lett. 52 (2011) 69.
- [30] B. Krishnakumar, M. Swaminathan, J. Organomet. Chem. 695 (2010) 2572.
- [31] B. Krishnakumar, M. Swaminathan, J. Mo.l Catal. A: Chem. 350 (2011) 16.
- [32] M. Jafarpour, A. Rezaeifard, M. Danehchin, Appl. Catal. A-Gen. 394 (2011) 48.