Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Comptes Rendus Chimie

www.sciencedirect.com

Full paper/Mémoire

Monitoring metal ions present in the effluent discharged from a surface treatment plant: Analytical results

Élise Euvrard, Nadia Morin-Crini^{*}, Coline Druart, Amandine Poupeney, Grégorio Crini

UMR 6249 USC INRA, Université de Franche-Comté, Chrono-environnement, 16, route de Gray, 25000 Besançon, France

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 2 May 2014 Accepted after revision 27 May 2014 Available online 30 June 2014

Keywords: Surface treatment industry Discharge water Metal ions Analytical monitoring

ABSTRACT

Precise data concerning the metals occurring in industrial discharge water from the surface treatment sector as well as their qualitative and quantitative fluctuations have to our knowledge never been reported. In the present study, we monitored 28 metals in the effluent from a surface treatment plant every week for about a year. The effluent studied was taken at the outlet of a depollution plant that removed a large proportion of the metals as insoluble forms. We report and discuss the analytical results obtained on a total of 49 samples. The results, expressed both as concentrations and as fluxes, showed high levels of polymetallic contamination of the effluent. Of the 28 metals tested for, 13 were detected and 6 (Co, Cr, Fe, Mn, Ni, and Zn) were systematically present at quantifiable levels. Our findings also indicated how Cr, Fe, Ni and Zn levels were strongly dependent on certain conditions prevailing at the inlet of the wastewater treatment unit. Even though the levels of each metal was far from negligible, with a calculated total of 264 kg of metals being discharged each year.

© 2014 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The current European policy on water results from the Water Framework Directive (WFD) of 2000 [1], which establishes guidelines for the protection of surface water, underground water and coastal water in Europe. The main objective of the WFD is to maintain or restore the quality of water in Europe with the aim of reaching good chemical and ecological conditions, before a deadline set for 2015, by controlling the effects brought about by human activity [2–4]. The WFD of 2000 was adapted to the different European member states, and was followed up by other directives, notably that of 2006 concerning dangerous substances (Directive 2006/11/EC). In France, the WFD was

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: nadia.crini@univ-fcomte.fr (N. Morin-Crini). incorporated into French legislation by a law passed on 21 April 2004 [4]. Among the numerous actions that took place following this law, was the campaign known as "Monitoring and Reduction of Substances present in Water" (or in French: "Recherche et réduction des substances présentes dans l'eau"; circular dated 7 May 2007). As part of this national campaign, a list was set up of the substances to be monitored in the aim of reducing their presence or suppressing them completely. There were two categories of unwanted substances: priority substances (PS) presenting a significant risk for the environment, and dangerous priority substances (DPS) considered to be persistent, highly toxic or to lead to bioaccumulation [2,4]. The selection of priority substances can either be based on individual substances or families of substances (metals, chlorobenzenes, anilines, alkylphenols, etc), or on the basis of the industrial site or sector (agro-food industry, chemicals industry, etc). In France, 50 substances are concerned and

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.crci.2014.05.011

1631-0748/© 2014 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.





CrossMark

have been divided into four main categories (DPS list, PS list, list I and list II) each with their own national objectives of reduction and/or elimination. Of these 50 substances, 41 (13 DPS + 20 PS + 8 S) give information on the chemical state of the water, and certain metal ions and their derivatives, such as the DPS Hg and Cd (objective of 50% reduction by 2015 and total elimination from discharge water by 2021-2028) and the PSs Ni and Pb (objective: 30% reduction by 2015). Eight major metals, namely As, Cd, Cu, Cr, Hg, Ni, Pb, and Zn, are a particular focus of attention in that they are used in the calculation of an indicator known as the metox index (for toxic metals) which is used to quantify certain toxic forms of pollution. It is an officially recognized index developed by the French Water Authority, a public organization involved in actions to counter pollution, and is used to calculate the taxes that certain high-risk installations (those susceptible of becoming a serious threat, causing pollution or other treats to the environment) must then pay to the Water Authority.

The human origins of the metals present in the environment are diverse [4]. They arise either from localized urban or industrial discharges or from dispersed sources such as transport or spreading on agricultural land. Many industries are concerned by the issue of metal release [5-8]. We can mention waste incineration, fossil fuel power plants, the timber industry, wine production, the plastics industry, paper or cardboard pulp manufacturers, the glass industry, surface-finishing installations and the steel industry. The presence of metals in wastewater can be accounted for either by their direct use during various industrial processes or by their presence in chemical reagents and/ or related materials commonly used in the various activities of the factories producing the effluent. Since the end of the 1970s, industry, and particularly the surface treatment or surface-finishing sector (ST sector) that is widespread across France and the whole of Europe, has made a serious effort to limit its impact on aquatic environments [7,8]. In spite of the considerable improvements made in decontamination, this sector of industry still has a poor image [9–11]. Even though industrial wastewater respects current legislation, the effluent from ST plants, which is decontaminated using physical and chemical approaches, still contains a nonnegligible load of metals that can be a cause for concern [12–14]. The metals make their way to the rivers, either by direct discharge or indirectly passing first by municipal wastewater treatment plants.

As far as we are aware, no studies have been devoted to determining the precise levels of the metals contained in ST effluent and its variability both quantitative and qualitative. It is in this context that we monitored 28 metals in the discharge from a surface-finishing plant. The discharge was taken at the outlet of a decontamination setup based on a process to render the metals insoluble. The present study reports and discusses the analytical results of a one-year follow up. The values are expressed both as concentrations and as total fluxes, to facilitate comparison with the limits laid down by the law.

2. Experimental

2.1. Industrial site

The study was carried out in collaboration with Galvanoplast (Les Aynans, France), a company specialised in chemical surface treatment of parts mainly for the automotive and building industries. During the different stages of treatment of the parts (mainly galvanization and flake coating) several metal species are released in the process water. This wastewater can be more dilute as in rinsing water, or more concentrated as in the bath solutions varying the load of pollutants released. Spent process water, i.e. rinse water and baths of the same type are stored together making 4 broad categories of effluent depending on their chemical composition: acido-basic effluent, chromic effluent, Zn and Ni effluent and concentrated acid effluent. These effluents, which are mainly polluted with Zn, Ni and Cr(VI), are the main issues to be dealt with by the industry. They are sent to a precipitation decontamination plant working with a continuous process. Only two categories of wastewater receive specific pre-treatment: chromic effluent undergoes a dechromatation step (reduction of Cr(VI) to Cr(III) by sodium bisulphite) and Zn-Ni baths are decomplexed by treatment with hypochlorite (bleach). The effluents are then known as pre-treated and decomplexed effluents. Note that chromic decomplexation effluent (containing mainly Cr(III) and Co) and decomplexed effluent (containing mainly Zn, Ni, and F) are not sent to the main treatment part at the same time, at least a day is left between processing these two types of effluent. All the water is then treated by metal sulphide precipitation and the resulting purified water, termed discharge water, is directed straight into the river.

2.2. Industrial discharge

To carry out our monitoring programme, every week we sampled the discharge characteristic of a day's work. Overall, the metal composition of 49 effluent samples was determined over a 1-year period. To respect the standards laid down by the local authorities (Table 1), the ST plant is required to carry out regular analyses of its discharge: levels of Cr(VI), Ni and Zn must be tested daily and three other metals, Cr(III), Cu and Fe, weekly. Each of the aliquots for analysis came from a sample characteristic of a day's work in the plant. The results for these 6 metals are expressed as mean daily concentration (MDC in $mg \cdot L^{-1}$) and as maximum daily flux (MDF in $g \cdot day^{-1}$) calculated knowing that the flow of purified water at the discharge outlet is 192 m³ per day.

2.3. Assaying the metals

The metals (Ag, Al, As, Ba, Cd, Co, Cu, Cr, Cr(VI), Fe, Hg, Li, Mn, Mo, Ni, Pb, Pd, Pt, Sb, Se, Sn, Sr, Te, Tl, Ti, V, W, and Zn) were assayed by a certified laboratory following a standard protocol using an ICP-AES instrument [13]. The results are expressed in μ g·L⁻¹. The pH was measured using a portable pH meter (model 3110 WTW, Alès, France) and

			, e		
Metal	Analysis frequency	MDC^{a} authorised in $\mu g \cdot L^{-1}$	MDC ^b discharged in μg·L ⁻¹	MDF ^c authorised in g·day ⁻¹	MDF ^b discharged in g∙day ⁻¹
Zinc	Daily	3000	956 ± 1444	1300	184 ± 277
Nickel	Daily	2000	701 ± 1045	860	135 ± 201
Chromium VI	Daily	100	1.33 ± 4.62	-	$\textbf{0.26} \pm \textbf{0.89}$
Chromium III	Weekly	2000	490 ± 558	-	94.1 ± 107
Copper	Weekly	2000	3.32 ± 7.56	860	$\textbf{0.64} \pm \textbf{1.45}$
Iron	Weekly	5000	1626 ± 2029	2160	312 ± 390

Authorised levels of emission for the concentration ($\mu g \cdot L^{-1}$) and flux ($g \cdot day^{-1}$) for industrial discharges.

^a Mean daily concentration.

^b Mean daily concentration (or weekly concentration, depending on the metal) over one year (data provided by the company).

^c Maximum daily flux.

Table 1

the values of the discharge pH were found to be relatively stable at around 7.9 \pm 0.1, which was the mean value for all discharge samples.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Analytical monitoring of the discharge

The primary aim of the study was to identify and quantify the metals present in the 49 samples taken over a year. The number of metals detected out of the 28 potential candidates varied between 6 and 13 with an average of 9 ± 2 (Fig. 1). Thirteen metals were found at least once: Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Hg, Li, Mn, Mo, Ni, Pb, Se, Sn and Zn. This first result shows strong contamination with multiple metals concerning a relatively constant number of elements (RSD = 22%). Of the 13 metals found, 6 systematically occurred at detectable levels i.e. Co, Cr, Fe, Mn, Ni and Zn, which were essentially due to the industrial activity. The seven other metals, i.e. Hg, Se, Cu, Pb, Li, Mo and Sn, were found 7, 16, 21, 21, 22, 27 and 28 times respectively in the 49 samples. It is more difficult to determine the origin of these metals [7,14]. However, it can be assumed that the rarity of certain metals in the samples such as Sn and Mo is related to activities involving a variety of products (different parts treated at different times) and a variety of treatments (several different processes for different substrates) [12].

Fig. 2 shows the variations of the concentrations (in μ g·L⁻¹) of the six metals found systematically in all 49 discharge samples. For Co and Mn a low variability in the

quantities involved was noted (RSD values of 18 and 20% respectively). On the other hand, for the four remaining metals, the results (Fig. 2) show high variability (RSD of 70%, 81%, 56% and 62% for Cr, Fe, Ni and Zn respectively) with regular peaks. Table 2 illustrates examples of concentration of several metallic substances found in 5 of the industrial discharges (sample Nos. 1, 10, 19, 31 and 45). The levels of pollution were expressed both as concentrations in $\mu g L^{-1}$ and discharge flux in $g day^{-1}$. Overall, the results (Fig. 1 and Table 2) indicate the high variability of the discharges, confirming the annual values given to us by the ST company itself (high RSDs in Table 1). This can be accounted for by the great variability in the number of parts to be treated. As could be expected, the greater the industrial activity, the higher the concentrations of metals in the effluents at the inlet of the decontamination plant [14,15]. However, the decontamination plant's operation is constant and does not take into account these variations in the intensity of the factory's activity which can explain the higher concentrations found in the outflow [7,8,14,15].

3.2. Impact of the effluent at the inlet of the decontamination plant on the composition at the outlet

The second aim of the study was to find a relationship between the concentrations of metals in the effluent and the different types of wastewater at the inlet of the decontamination plant. In the results reported in Fig. 2, it can be noted that the levels of Co and especially of Mn



Fig. 1. Number of metals found in each effluent sample over 49 weeks of sampling (1 sample taken per week).



Fig. 2. Concentrations of Co, Cr, Fe, Mn, Ni and Zn ($\mu g \cdot L^{-1}$) in the 49 weekly sampling.

remained relatively stable while for Cr, Fe, Ni and Zn concentration peaks occurred regularly. The reason could be that sending dechromated effluent into the decontamination plant leads to an increase of the Cr levels in the discharge. Six discharge samples (Nos. 7, 13, 19, 28, 35 and 49) were taken 24 h after dechromated effluent entered the decontamination unit. In these effluent samples, we observed a strong increase in the concentrations of Cr. Nine discharge samples (Nos. 4, 13, 19, 22, 25, 31, 37, 43 and 47) were also taken 24 h after decomplexed effluent (from the treatment of Zn-Ni baths by treatment with hypochlorite) entered the decontamination unit. In these samples, we also noted a strong increase in the concentrations of Zn, Ni and Fe (Fig. 2). When these two effluents are sent in a short span of time, Cr, Zn and Ni peaks can be seen together (samples 13 and 19). This shows the impact of these two types of effluents that are sent into the decontamination plant on the concentrations found in the final discharge. To demonstrate the impact of decomplexation effluent, four campaigns of daily discharge sampling were carried out. They served to monitor the concentrations of Zn and Ni as a function of the presence or absence of decomplexation effluent (campaigns 1,2 and 4 with and campaign 3 without). For this part of the study, the first samples were taken just before the fresh effluent was sent in, i.e. at t = 0 (campaign 1), t = 168 h (campaign 2) and t = 540 h (campaign 4) (Fig. 3). A sharp rise was noted in the concentrations of Ni and Zn in the effluents of the three campaigns 24 h after the decomplexation effluent was introduced (t = 24 h, t = 192 h and t = 528 h). The sample taken at t = 8 h (campaign 1) showed no significant increase in the concentrations of Zn or Ni. Eight hours was

Table 2

Examples of concentrations of metals in five representative samples of industrial wastewater (daily average concentration in $\mu g \cdot L^{-1}$ and flux discharged in $g \cdot day^{-1}$; flow rate = 192 m³ \cdot day^{-1}).

Metal	LQ ^a	Sample 1		Sample 1	0	Sample 1	9	Sample 3	31	Sample 4	5
		DC ^b	FD ^c								
Hg	0.1	< LQ	-	0.5	0.096	< LQ	-	< LQ.	-	< LQ	-
Cu	5	< LQ	-	96	18.4	20	3.8	< LQ	-	19	3.6
Li	10	15	2.9	28	5.4	13	2.5	< LQ	-	< LQ	-
Se	10	< LQ	-	25	4.8	< LQ	-	< LQ	-	31	5.9
Pb	10	20	3.8	< LQ	-	< LQ	-	19	3.6	27	5.2
Sn	10	< LQ	-	< LQ	-	96	18.4	77	14.8	< LQ	-
Mo	10	17	3.3	21	4	< LQ	-	< LQ	-	< LQ	-
Со	10	417	80	920	176	629	121	654	125	614	118
Cr	5	156	29.9	776	149	1670	320	399	76.6	710	136
Fe	5	676	129	1398	268	5020	963	5296	1016	1025	196
Ni	10	368	70.6	680	130	1698	326	1500	288	520	99
Zn	10	410	78	878	168	4365	838	2337	448	702	134
Mn	10	28	5.4	51	9.8	33	6.3	47	9	61	11.7

^a Limit of quantification in $\mu g \cdot L^{-1}$.

^b DC = mean daily concentration in μ g·L⁻¹.

^c FD = flux discharged in g·day⁻¹.

not sufficient to observe the impact, at the outlet, of an increase in pollution at the inlet of the plant. It was necessary to wait at least 72 h after the peak before concentrations returned to values similar to those noted before the decomplexation effluent was introduced. The same was observed in campaigns 1, 2 and 4. To sum up, the impact of introducing an effluent is notable for 4 days.

3.3. Discharge metal flux and current legislation

To calculate the values of the annual discharge flux, the Water Authority enables industries to consider values as zero when they are below the LQ [13]. We used the same approach to calculate the daily mean concentrations and the mean fluxes from our data reported in Table 3. The

three main preoccupations in the ST industry are Zn, Ni and Cr(VI). The latter substance was never found (concentrations lower than the LQ) in any of the samples taken indicating the efficiency of the dechromatation treatment. The mean daily fluxes of Ni and Zn were 145 and 227 g per day. These values were very similar to those provided by the company in their compulsory annual declaration i.e. 135 g·day⁻¹ Ni and 184 g·day⁻¹ Zn (Table 1). The values for Cr and Fe were also comparable (Table 1). Moreover, the company's effluent respects currently authorized levels both for Zn and Ni and also for Cr(III), Cu and Fe, the three other metals that the company must monitor to maintain its industrial licence (Table 1). However, if the metal discharged is expressed in kilogrammes per year, the quantities can be seen to be non-negligible. For the six



Fig. 3. Influence of the introduction of decomplexation effluent (campaigns 1, 2 and 4) or not (campaign 3) on the concentrations of Ni and Zn (in μ g·L⁻¹) in the discharge sampled over a period of one month. The arrows indicate the time of introduction of the decomplexation effluent into the decontamination plant.

Table 3Mean daily concentration (MDC) and maximum daily flux (MDF) for the13 metals found in the discharge.

Metal ion	MDC discharged ($\mu g \cdot L^{-1}$)	MDF discharged $(g \cdot day^{-1})$
Со	586 ± 103	113 ± 20
Cr	656 ± 457	126 ± 88
Fe	2172 ± 1577	417 ± 303
Ni	756 ± 423	145 ± 81
Zn	1184 ± 731	227 ± 140
Mn	45 ± 9	$\textbf{8.69} \pm \textbf{1.76}$
Hg	0.1 ± 0.2	0.02 ± 0.04
Cu	14 ± 24	2.73 ± 4.66
Li	11 ± 14	2.17 ± 2.64
Se	9 ± 13	1.66 ± 2.46
Pb	10 ± 13	2.01 ± 2.48
Sn	46 ± 41	8.82 ± 7.93
Мо	16 ± 18	3.10 ± 3.41

The values are the means of 49 weekly sampling.

metals systematically found in the effluent, the discharge flux was 28 kg·yr⁻¹ Co, 31 kg·yr⁻¹ Cr, 104 kg·yr⁻¹ Fe, 36 kg·yr⁻¹ Ni, 57 kg·yr⁻¹ Zn and 2 kg·yr⁻¹ Mn. In total, 264 kg of metals (total for the 13 metals detected) were discharged per year, stressing that residual pollution in the effluent is far from negligible. Similar conclusion was published by several researchers working on effluents from mining industries [14–16].

4. Conclusion

The present study reports the analytical results obtained while monitoring the metal levels in the liquid discharge from a surface treatment plant. It is, to our knowledge, the first study to accurately detail the qualitative and quantitative composition, and the variability over time during a whole 1-year cycle of sampling. Of the 28 metals analyzed, 13 were detected and 6 (Co, Cr, Fe, Mn, Ni and Zn) were systematically present at quantifiable levels. This showed broad and highly variable polymetallic contamination. Authorized levels of discharge were never exceeded. The analytical results also showed the strong dependence of the levels in the discharge on the effluent at the inlet of the decontamination plant. It would be interesting to use the results obtained to optimize the management of the pollution peaks by fine-tuning the decontamination operation when problem effluent is to be disposed of. Moreover, in the framework of setting up a finishing treatment based on the use of selective ion exchange resins, to back up the current decontamination treatment by sulphide precipitation, the data in the present study could guide the company in its choice of chelating resins.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the Agence de l'eau Rhône–Méditerranée–Corse, the Conseil régional de Franche-Comté and the Fonds européen de développement économique et régional (FEDER) for financial support (Project NIRHOFEX 2013-2016, "Extraction, Quantification, Removal and Risk Evaluation of Emerging Pollutants in Water Discharge from Treatment Surface Industries"). The authors also thank Peter Winterton (University Toulouse-3, Toulouse, France) for his critical reading of this paper, and Philippe Antoine (Galvanoplast Industrie, Les Aynans, France) for helpful discussions.

References

- DCE, Directive cadre sur l'eau. Directive 2000/60/EC du Parlement européen et du conseil établissant le cadre de l'action communautaire dans le domaine de l'eau, 2000.
- [2] M. Fuerhacker, Water Sci. Technol. 57 (2008) 9.
- [3] M. Fuerhacker, Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 16 (2009) 92.
- [4] G. Crini, P.-M. Badot, in: G. Crini, P.-M. Badot (Eds.), Traitement et épuration des eaux industrielles polluées, Presses universitaires de Franche-Comté, Besançon, France, 2007 (in French).
- [5] J.-F. Blais, S. Dufresne, G. Mercier, J. Water Sci. 12 (1999) 687.
- [6] S.E. Kentish, G.W. Stevens, Chem. Eng. J. 84 (2001) 149.
- [7] M. Cox, P. Négré, L. Yurramendi, in: M. Cox, P. Négré, L. Yurramendi (Eds.), Industrial Liquid Effluents, INASMET-Tecnalia, Spain, 2006.
- [8] G. Crini, P.-M. Badot, in: G. Crini, P.-M. Badot (Eds.), Sorption Processes and Pollution, Presses universitaires de Franche-Comté, Besançon, France, 2010.
- [9] M.A. Barakat, Arabian J. Chem 4 (2011) 361.
- [10] F. Fu, Q.J. Wang, J. Environ. Manage. 92 (2011) 407.
- [11] J. Charles, B. Sancey, N. Morin-Crini, P.-M. Badot, F. Degiorgi, G. Trunfio, G. Crini, Ecotoxicol. Environ. Safe. 74 (2011) 2057.
- [12] N. Morin-Crini, G. Crini, Int. J. Chem. Eng. 5 (2012) 143.
- [13] N. Morin-Crini, C. Druart, S. Gavoille, C. Lagarrigue, G. Crini, J. Environ. Prot. 4 (2013) 53.
- [14] W.W. Eckenfelder Jr., in: W.W. Eckenfelder, Jr. (Ed.), Industrial Water Pollution Control, McGraw-Hill, USA, 2001.
- [15] N. Kuyucak, Int. J. Environ. Pollut. 10 (1998) 315.
- [16] R.W. Smith, Min. Proc. Ext. Met. Rev. 16 (1996) 1.