ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Comptes Rendus Chimie



www.sciencedirect.com

Full paper/Mémoire

Synthesis and characterisation of a novel ferrimagnetic chain based on copper(II) and rhenium(IV)



Carlos Rojas-Dotti, Adrián Sanchis-Perucho, Marta Orts-Arroyo, Francesc Lloret, José Martínez-Lillo^{*}

Instituto de Ciencia Molecular (ICMol)/Departament de Química Inorgànica, Universitat de València, c/Catedrático José Beltrán 2, Paterna, Valencia, 46980, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 20 December 2018 Accepted 11 April 2019 Available online 14 May 2019

Dedicated to Prof. Michel Verdaguer on the occasion of his 75th anniversary.

Keywords: Copper(II) Rhenium(IV) X-ray diffraction Ferrimagnetic ordering

Mots clés: Cuivre(II) Rhénium(IV) Diffraction des rayons X Ordre ferrimagnétique

ABSTRACT

A novel one-dimensional copper(II)–rhenium(IV) coordination polymer of formula $\{[Re^{IV}Br_4(\mu-ox)Cu^{II}(ppim)_2]\cdot MeCN\}_n$ (1) [ox = oxalate anion, pyim = 2-(2'-pyridyl)imid-azole] has been prepared and characterised. Powder X-ray diffraction measurements on a sample of 1 support the purity of the bulk sample, whereas single-crystal X-ray diffraction shows that 1 crystallises in the orthorhombic system with space group *Pbca*. The crystal structure of 1 is made up of $[Cu^{II}(ppim)_2]^{2+}$ cations and $[ReBr_4(ox)]^{2-}$ anions linked through bridging bromide and oxalate groups, which generate alternating Cu^{II} and Re^{IV} chains. Variable-temperature magnetic susceptibility measurements performed on 1 reveal an antiferromagnetic coupling between the Cu^{II} and Re^{IV} ions; at lower temperatures, this interaction leads to the occurrence of ferrimagnetic behaviour in 1. Compound 1 is the first ferrimagnetic compound obtained with the $[ReBr_4(ox)]^{2-}$ precursor.

© 2019 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

RÉSUMÉ

Un nouveau polymère de coordination unidimensionnel à base de cuivre(II) et de rhénium(IV), de formule {[Re^{IV}Br₄(µ-ox)Cu^{II}(pyim)₂]·MeCN}_n(1) [ox = anion oxalate, pyim = 2-(2'-pyridyl) imidazole], a été préparé et caractérisé. Les mesures de diffraction des rayons X sur poudre ont confirmé la pureté de l'échantillon, alors que la diffraction des rayons X sur monocristal montre que 1 cristallise dans le système orthorhombique et le groupe d'espace *Pbca*. La structure du composé 1 contient des cations [Cu^{II}(pyim)₂]²⁺ et anions [ReBr₄(ox)]²⁻, lesquels sont connectés par des groupes bromure et oxalate, générant des chaînes de Cu^{II} et Re^{IV} alternés. La susceptibilité magnétique dc de 1 révèle un couplage antiferromagnétique entre ions Cu^{II} et Re^{IV}, mais aussi un comportement typique d'une chaîne ferrimagnétique. 1 est le premier composé ferromagnétique obtenu avec le précurseur [ReBr₄(ox)]²⁻.

© 2019 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: f.jose.martinez@uv.es (J. Martínez-Lillo).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crci.2019.04.004

1631-0748/© 2019 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The first one-dimensional systems displaying ferrimagnetic behaviour were designed, prepared and investigated during the decade of the 1980s [1–9], and they certainly helped to stimulate progress in the field of molecular magnetism [10]. Most of these systems were mainly based on Cu^{II} and Mn^{II} metal ions [1–9], although subsequent studies incorporated also organic radicals [11,12].

The magnetic behaviour of ferrimagnetic chains is governed, at least in part, because the antiferromagnetic interaction between distinct spin carriers cannot completely cancel the alternating magnetic moments, thus inducing a short-range magnetic order [11]. Hence, to get this type of systems, it seems a good strategy to make use of the combination of couples of paramagnetic 3d and 5d metal ions exhibiting different magnetic spins.

In this way, in 1999, it was reported the first ferrimagnetic chain based on Cu^{II} (3d⁹ ion with S = 1/2) and Re^{IV} (5d³ ion with S = 3/2), which was obtained with the $[\text{ReCl}_4(\text{ox})]^{2-1}$ metalloligand (ox = oxalate anion), thus demonstrating that the choice of this pair of 3d/5d ions was a promising synthetic route to get ferrimagnetic systems [13]. Indeed, four studies dealing with ferrimagnetic chains based on Cu^{II} and Re^{IV} were later reported [14–17], by using the building block $[\text{ReCl}_4(\text{ox})]^{2-}$ and as terminal ligands towards the Cu^{II} ion the organic macrocycles *N-dl*-5,7,7,12,14,14-hexamethyl-1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradeca-4,11-diene [14] and N-meso-5,12-Me₂-7,14-Et₂-[14]-4,11-dieneN₄ [15,17], or the 2-(2'pyridyl)imidazole ligand [16]. In all cases, the alternating Cu^{II} and Re^{IV} ions exhibit antiferromagnetic coupling between them and the thus obtained chains behave as ferrimagnetic compounds at very low temperatures [13–17].

More recently, the bromo derivative $[\text{ReBr}_4(\text{ox})]^{2-}$ metalloligand has been studied and used to prepare polynuclear complexes with paramagnetic 3d and 4f ions [18–23], some of them behaving as single-molecule magnet [20]. However, no ferrimagnetic chain containing the $[\text{ReBr}_4(\text{ox})]^{2-}$ precursor has been reported so far.

As a continuation of our investigation on the magnetic properties of rhenium(IV)-based compounds, we report herein the synthesis and magnetostructural characterisation of a novel copper(II)—rhenium(IV) compound of formula {[$Re^{IV}Br_4(\mu-ox)Cu^{II}(pyim)_2$]·MeCN}_n (1) [ox = oxalate anion, pyim = 2-(2'-pyridyl)imidazole]. Compound 1 is the third reported copper(II)—rhenium(IV) complex obtained with the [$ReBr_4(ox)$]^{2–} precursor and the first [$ReBr_4(ox)$]^{2–}-containing compound to exhibit magnetic behaviour typical of ferrimagnetic chain.

2. Experimental section

2.1. Materials

All manipulations were performed under aerobic conditions, using chemicals as received from Sigma–Aldrich. Type 4 molecular sieves were used to dry the CH₃CN and CH₃NO₂ solvents before use. The precursor (NBu₄)₂[Re-Br₄(ox)] was prepared following the synthetic method described in the literature [18,23].

2.2. Synthesis

2.2.1. { $[ReBr_4(\mu-ox)Cu(pyim)_2]$ ·MeCN}_n (1)

(NBu₄)₂[ReBr₄(ox)] (53.9 mg, 0.05 mmol) was dissolved in 20 mL of a CH₃NO₂/CH₃CN (4:1, v/v) mixture and was added dropwise to a solution of $Cu(NO_3)_2 \cdot 3H_2O$ (12.1 mg, 0.05 mmol) and 2-(2'-pyridyl)imidazole (14.5 mg, 0.10 mmol) dissolved in the same solvent mixture (20 mL). The resulting pale green solution was left to evaporate at room temperature. Dark green crystals of 1 were obtained in 2 weeks and were suitable for X-ray diffraction (XRD) studies. Yield: ca. 60%. Found: C, 24.4; H, 1.6; N, 9.4. Calcd for C₂₀H₁₇N₇O₄Br₄CuRe (1): C, 24.3; H, 1.7; N, 9.9%. X-ray microanalysis gave Re/Cu and Re/Br molar ratios of 1:1 and 1:4, respectively. IR peaks (KBr pellets/cm⁻¹): 3358 (m), 3129 (m), 2930 (w), 1704 (vs), 1651 (s), 1619 (m), 1570 (m), 1549 (m), 1500 (m), 1475 (s), 1404 (w), 1366 (s), 1300 (w), 1161 (m), 1098 (m), 1020 (w), 970 (w), 931 (w), 890 (w), 800 (m), 786 (m), 747 (m), 700 (m), 659 (w), 542 (m), 460 (w).

2.3. Physical measurements

Elemental analysis (C, H, N) were performed using a CE Instruments EA 1110 CHNS analyser. Infrared spectra were recorded using a Thermo-Nicolet 6700 FT-IR spectrophotometer in the 4000–400 cm⁻¹ region. The Re/Cu and Re/Br molar ratios were analysed using a Philips XL-30 scanning electron microscope equipped with a system of X-ray microanalysis from the Central Service for the Support to Experimental Research at the University of Valencia. Magnetic susceptibility measurements of **1** were carried out with a Quantum Design SQUID magnetometer in the temperature range 1.9–300 K and under an applied magnetic field of 0.1 T. All of the experimental magnetic data were corrected for the diamagnetic contributions of the constituent atoms in **1**, through the Pascal's constants [24], and also for the sample holder.

2.4. Crystallographic data collection and structure determination

Powder XRD measurements were performed using a PANalytical Empyrean diffractometer with a hybrid monochromator (CuK_a1 radiation), a PIXcel detector and a capillary sample holder. XRD data of a single crystal of 1, with dimensions $0.42 \times 0.18 \times 0.15$, were collected using a Bruker D8 Venture diffractometer with PHOTON II detector and using monochromatized MoK_a radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å). The structure was solved by standard direct methods and subsequently completed by Fourier recycling using SHELXTL [25–28]. The final full-matrix least-squares refinements on F^2 , minimising the function $\Sigma w(|F_0| - |F_c|)^2$, reached convergence with values of the discrepancy indices given in Table 1. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. All hydrogen atoms of the MeCN molecule were set in calculated positions and refined as riding atoms. Graphical manipulations were performed using DIAMOND [29]. Main interatomic bond lengths and angles for 1 are given in Table 2. CCDC 1885666 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for compound 1.

Table 1

Crystal data and structure refinement for $\{[\text{ReBr}_4(\mu-\text{ox})\text{Cu}(\text{pvim})_2]$ $MeCN_n(1)$.

CCDC	1885666
Formula	C20H17Br4N7O4CuRe
Formula weight	988.8
Crystal system	Orthorhombic
Space group	Pbca
Ζ	8
a (Å)	18.977(4)
b (Å)	14.282(3)
<i>c</i> (Å)	19.809(5)
α (°)	90
β(°)	90
γ (°)	90
$V(Å^3)$	5369(2)
$D_{c} (g \text{ cm}^{-3})$	2.449
F(000)	3696
$\mu (\mathrm{mm}^{-1})$	11.293
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.415
$R_1 \left[I > 2\sigma(I) \right]^a$	0.0831
$wR_2^{b,c}$	0.1958

 $R_1 = \Sigma ||F_0| - |F_c|| / \Sigma |F_0|.$

h

Table 2	
Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for 1	1.

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Re(1)–O(1)	2.052(1)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Re(1)-O(2)	2.057(1)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$\operatorname{Re}(1)-\operatorname{Br}(1)$	2.499(1)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$\operatorname{Re}(1)-\operatorname{Br}(2)$	2.468(1)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Re(1)-Br(3)	2.458(1)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Re(1)–Br(4)	2.491(1)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Cu(1)–O(4)	2.682(1)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Cu(1)-N(1)	1.995(1)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Cu(1)–N(2)	1.994(1)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Cu(1)–N(4)	2.056(1)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Cu(1)–N(5)	1.941(1)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	O(1)-Re(1)-O(2)	78.9(4)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	O(1) - Re(1) - Br(2)	92.4(3)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	O(2) - Re(1) - Br(2)	171.1(3)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	O(1) - Re(1) - Br(3)	172.7(3)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	O(2)-Re(1)-Br(3)	93.8(3)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Br(2)-Re(1)-Br(3)	94.9(1)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	O(1) - Re(1) - Br(4)	87.5(3)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	O(2) - Re(1) - Br(4)	88.5(3)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Br(2)-Re(1)-Br(4)	93.2(1)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Br(3)-Re(1)-Br(4)	92.1(1)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	O(1) - Re(1) - Br(1)	89.4(1)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	O(2) - Re(1) - Br(1)	85.8(3)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Br(2)-Re(1)-Br(1)	92.2(1)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Br(3)-Re(1)-Br(1)	90.3(1)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Br(4)-Re(1)-Br(1)	173.9(1)
$\begin{array}{lll} N(5)-Cu(1)-N(1) & 166.4(5) \\ N(2)-Cu(1)-N(1) & 83.1(5) \\ N(5)-Cu(1)-N(4) & 82.0(5) \\ N(2)-Cu(1)-N(4) & 157.8(5) \\ N(1)-Cu(1)-N(4) & 100.8(5) \\ C(3)-N(1)-Cu(1) & 132.9(1) \\ C(7)-N(1)-Cu(1) & 113.3(10) \\ C(8)-N(2)-Cu(1) & 110.0(10) \\ C(10)-N(2)-Cu(1) & 145.7(12) \\ C(11)-N(4)-Cu(1) & 126.8(11) \\ C(15)-N(4)-Cu(1) & 113.0(11) \\ C(16)-N(5)-Cu(1) & 111.4(10) \\ C(18)-N(5)-Cu(1) & 142.2(11) \\ O(4)-C(1)-O(1) & 122.8(14) \\ \end{array}$	N(5)-Cu(1)-N(2)	99.3(5)
$\begin{array}{lll} N(2)-Cu(1)-N(1) & 83.1(5) \\ N(5)-Cu(1)-N(4) & 82.0(5) \\ N(2)-Cu(1)-N(4) & 157.8(5) \\ N(1)-Cu(1)-N(4) & 100.8(5) \\ C(3)-N(1)-Cu(1) & 132.9(1) \\ C(7)-N(1)-Cu(1) & 113.3(10) \\ C(8)-N(2)-Cu(1) & 110.0(10) \\ C(10)-N(2)-Cu(1) & 145.7(12) \\ C(11)-N(4)-Cu(1) & 126.8(11) \\ C(15)-N(4)-Cu(1) & 113.0(11) \\ C(16)-N(5)-Cu(1) & 111.4(10) \\ C(18)-N(5)-Cu(1) & 140.2(11) \\ O(4)-C(1)-O(1) & 122.8(14) \\ \end{array}$	N(5)-Cu(1)-N(1)	166.4(5)
$\begin{array}{lll} N(5)-Cu(1)-N(4) & 82.0(5) \\ N(2)-Cu(1)-N(4) & 157.8(5) \\ N(1)-Cu(1)-N(4) & 100.8(5) \\ C(3)-N(1)-Cu(1) & 132.9(1) \\ C(7)-N(1)-Cu(1) & 113.3(10) \\ C(8)-N(2)-Cu(1) & 110.0(10) \\ C(10)-N(2)-Cu(1) & 145.7(12) \\ C(11)-N(4)-Cu(1) & 126.8(11) \\ C(15)-N(4)-Cu(1) & 113.0(11) \\ C(16)-N(5)-Cu(1) & 111.4(10) \\ C(18)-N(5)-Cu(1) & 140.2(11) \\ O(4)-C(1)-O(1) & 122.8(14) \\ \end{array}$	N(2)-Cu(1)-N(1)	83.1(5)
$\begin{array}{lll} N(2)-Cu(1)-N(4) & 157.8(5) \\ N(1)-Cu(1)-N(4) & 100.8(5) \\ C(3)-N(1)-Cu(1) & 132.9(1) \\ C(7)-N(1)-Cu(1) & 113.3(10) \\ C(8)-N(2)-Cu(1) & 110.0(10) \\ C(10)-N(2)-Cu(1) & 145.7(12) \\ C(11)-N(4)-Cu(1) & 126.8(11) \\ C(15)-N(4)-Cu(1) & 113.0(11) \\ C(16)-N(5)-Cu(1) & 111.4(10) \\ C(18)-N(5)-Cu(1) & 140.2(11) \\ O(4)-C(1)-O(1) & 122.8(14) \\ \end{array}$	N(5)-Cu(1)-N(4)	82.0(5)
$\begin{array}{lll} N(1)-Cu(1)-N(4) & 100.8(5) \\ C(3)-N(1)-Cu(1) & 132.9(1) \\ C(7)-N(1)-Cu(1) & 113.3(10) \\ C(8)-N(2)-Cu(1) & 110.0(10) \\ C(10)-N(2)-Cu(1) & 145.7(12) \\ C(11)-N(4)-Cu(1) & 126.8(11) \\ C(15)-N(4)-Cu(1) & 113.0(11) \\ C(16)-N(5)-Cu(1) & 111.4(10) \\ C(18)-N(5)-Cu(1) & 140.2(11) \\ O(4)-C(1)-O(1) & 122.8(14) \end{array}$	N(2)-Cu(1)-N(4)	157.8(5)
$\begin{array}{lll} C(3)-N(1)-Cu(1) & 132.9(1) \\ C(7)-N(1)-Cu(1) & 113.3(10) \\ C(8)-N(2)-Cu(1) & 110.0(10) \\ C(10)-N(2)-Cu(1) & 145.7(12) \\ C(11)-N(4)-Cu(1) & 126.8(11) \\ C(15)-N(4)-Cu(1) & 113.0(11) \\ C(16)-N(5)-Cu(1) & 111.4(10) \\ C(18)-N(5)-Cu(1) & 140.2(11) \\ O(4)-C(1)-O(1) & 122.8(14) \end{array}$	N(1)-Cu(1)-N(4)	100.8(5)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	C(3) - N(1) - Cu(1)	132.9(1)
$\begin{array}{lll} C(8)-N(2)-Cu(1) & 110.0(10) \\ C(10)-N(2)-Cu(1) & 145.7(12) \\ C(11)-N(4)-Cu(1) & 126.8(11) \\ C(15)-N(4)-Cu(1) & 113.0(11) \\ C(16)-N(5)-Cu(1) & 111.4(10) \\ C(18)-N(5)-Cu(1) & 140.2(11) \\ O(4)-C(1)-O(1) & 122.8(14) \end{array}$	C(7) - N(1) - Cu(1)	113.3(10)
$\begin{array}{lll} C(10)-N(2)-Cu(1) & 145.7(12) \\ C(11)-N(4)-Cu(1) & 126.8(11) \\ C(15)-N(4)-Cu(1) & 113.0(11) \\ C(16)-N(5)-Cu(1) & 111.4(10) \\ C(18)-N(5)-Cu(1) & 140.2(11) \\ O(4)-C(1)-O(1) & 122.8(14) \end{array}$	C(8) - N(2) - Cu(1)	110.0(10)
$\begin{array}{lll} C(11) - N(4) - Cu(1) & 126.8(11) \\ C(15) - N(4) - Cu(1) & 113.0(11) \\ C(16) - N(5) - Cu(1) & 111.4(10) \\ C(18) - N(5) - Cu(1) & 140.2(11) \\ O(4) - C(1) - O(1) & 122.8(14) \end{array}$	C(10)-N(2)-Cu(1)	145.7(12)
$\begin{array}{lll} C(15)-N(4)-Cu(1) & 113.0(11) \\ C(16)-N(5)-Cu(1) & 111.4(10) \\ C(18)-N(5)-Cu(1) & 140.2(11) \\ O(4)-C(1)-O(1) & 122.8(14) \end{array}$	C(11)-N(4)-Cu(1)	126.8(11)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	C(15)-N(4)-Cu(1)	113.0(11)
C(18)-N(5)-Cu(1) 140.2(11) O(4)-C(1)-O(1) 122.8(14)	C(16) - N(5) - Cu(1)	111.4(10)
O(4)-C(1)-O(1) 122.8(14)	C(18) - N(5) - Cu(1)	140.2(11)
	O(4) - C(1) - O(1)	122.8(14)

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Crystal structure of $\{[ReBr_4(\mu-ox)Cu(pyim)_2] \cdot MeCN\}_n$ (1)

Compound 1 crystallises in the orthorhombic system with space group Pbca (Table 1). The crystal structure is made up of $[Cu(pyim)_2]^{2+}$ cations and $[ReBr_4(ox)]^{2-}$ anions, which are mainly linked through alternating oxalato and bromo bridges generating a Cu^{II}-Re^{IV} chain of repeating $[\text{ReBr}_4(\mu-\text{ox})\text{Cu}(\text{pyim})_2]$ units. A MeCN molecule along with a dinuclear $[\text{ReBr}_4(\mu-\text{ox})\text{Cu}(\text{pyim})_2]$ complex forms the asymmetric unit in 1. Although selected bonds lengths and angles are listed in Table 2, a perspective drawing showing the metal-based ions in **1** is given in Fig. 1.

There exist a couple of significant structural differences between **1** and the previously reported $[ReCl_4(\mu-ox)]$ $Cu(pyim)_2$ (2) and $[ReBr_4(\mu-ox)Cu(bpy)_2]$ (3) compounds that we would like to point out [16,19]. Compounds 2 and 3 crystallise in the monoclinic system with space group $P2_1/$ n, but besides that, 2 and 3 do not contain solvent molecules of crystallisation. Remarkably, the coordination of the oxalate group to the Cu^{II} ion is through the O(1) atom in **2**, whereas it is by means of the O(4) atom in 1 and 3. Only in 3 there exist short halogen ... halogen contacts connecting the adjacent Cu^{II}-Re^{IV} chains [19].

In 1, rhenium(IV) ion is six-coordinate by four bromide anions and two oxygen atoms in a distorted octahedral geometry. The main cause of such a distortion is the reduced bite angle of the oxalate group [the value of the O(1)-Re(1)-O(2) angle is 78.9(1)°], which exhibits bidentate and monodentate bridging modes towards the Re^{IV} and Cu^{II} ions, respectively. The O(1), O(2), Br(2) and Br(3) set of atoms constitute the best equatorial plane around the Re^{IV} ion, the largest deviation from planarity being 0.070 Å for O(2). The average value of the Re^{IV} -Br [2.479(1) Å] and Re^{IV} –O [2.055(1)Å] bond lengths, and also the bond angles,



Fig. 1. Molecular structure of the dinuclear $[Re^{IV}Br_4(\mu\text{-}ox)Cu^{II}(pyim)_2]$ unit showing the atom numbering of the Cu^{II} and Re^{IV} metal ions along with those of their chromophores in compound 1. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

found in **1** are in agreement with those previously reported for complexes containing the anionic $[\text{ReBr}_4(\text{ox})]^{2-}$ entity [18–22].

Each Cu^{II} ion in **1** is mainly five-coordinate and bonded to four nitrogen atoms from two pyim molecules and an oxygen atom from the oxalate group of the closer $[\text{ReBr}_4(\text{ox})]^{2-}$ anion, in a distorted square pyramidal geometry. The Cu^{II}–O bond length is 2.682(1) Å and the average value of the Cu^{II}–N bond lengths is 1.997(1) Å. Nevertheless, having into account the Br(1a) ion that would occupy a sixth position generating the one-dimensional motif [the Cu(1)…Br(1a) distance is ca. 3.23 Å; (a) = x, 1/ 2 - y, 1/2 + z], the Cu^{II} ion could also be seen in a very distorted octahedral environment, as previously described in similar chloro-derivative Cu^{II}–Re^{IV} systems [13,16].

The intramolecular Cu^{II}...Re^{IV} distance through the oxalato bridge is 5.457(1) Å, whereas this intermetallic distance through the bromo bridge is somewhat shorter [Cu(1a)...Re(1) distance of 5.263(1) Å; (a) = x, 1/2 - y, 1/2 + z]. The average C–C and C–N bond length values of the pyim ligand show the expected values for this molecule when coordinated to a metal ion [30–67].

In the crystal packing of **1**, the $[\text{ReBr}_4(\mu-\text{ox})\text{Cu}(\text{pyim})_2]$ units are arranged helicoidally forming chains that grow along the *c*-axis direction (Fig. 2). These Cu^{II}-Re^{IV} chains are extended to layers, on the crystallographic bc plane (Fig. 3), by means of bifurcated hydrogen-bonding interactions between oxalate and N-H groups of coordinated pyim ligands [the N(6) \cdots O(3b) and N(6) \cdots O(4b) distances are 2.87(2) and 2.93(2) Å, respectively; (b) = 3/2 - x, 1 - y, -1/2 + z]. Likewise, $\pi \cdots \pi$ type interactions between the aromatic rings of neighbouring pyim ligands connect the Cu^{II}–Re^{IV} chains along the crystallographic *ab* plane (the shortest intercentroid distance being approximately 3.42 Å). The value of the shortest intermolecular Cu^{II}...Re^{IV} distance between adjacent chains is 8.822(2) Å [Cu(1)… Re(1c), (c) = 3/2 - x, -y, -1/2 + z], whereas the shortest intermolecular Cu^{II}...Cu^{II} and Re^{IV}...Re^{IV} distances are 7.385(3) and 9.161(2) Å [Cu(1)…Cu(1d) and Re(1)…Re(1d), (d) = 3/2 - x, -1/2 + y, z, respectively.

In addition, weak C–H···Br interactions that vary in the range 3.72–3.78 Å link parallel planes and contribute to stabilising the supramolecular structure of **1**.

Finally, the phase purity of the bulk sample of **1** was confirmed through powder XRD patterns (Fig. 4).

3.2. Magnetic properties

Direct current magnetic susceptibility measurements were carried out on a microcrystalline sample of 1 in the 1.9-300 K temperature range and under an external magnetic field of 0.1 T. The $\gamma_{M}T$ versus T plot (γ_{M} being the molar magnetic susceptibility per $Cu^{II}Re^{IV}$ pair) of **1** is shown in Fig. 5. At room temperature the $\chi_M T$ value is 1.96 cm³ mol⁻¹ K, which is very close to that expected for a pair of uncoupled Cu^{II} ($3d^9$, S = 1/2 with g = 2.2) and Re^{IV} $(5d^3, S = 3/2 \text{ with } g = 1.8) \text{ ions } [14-18]$. Upon cooling, the $\chi_{\rm M}T$ value decreases slowly with decreasing temperature, more abruptly at approximately 50 K, reaching a minimum value of 0.50 cm³ mol⁻¹ K at 2.0 K. Then, the $\gamma_M T$ value increases giving a final value of 0.58 $\text{cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ K at 1.9 K. No maximum of the magnetic susceptibility is detected in the $\chi_{\rm M}$ versus T plot. The magnetic behaviour observed at higher temperatures would be because of the large zero-field splitting of the Re^{IV} ion, together with antiferromagnetic interaction between the Re^{IV} and Cu^{II} centres, whereas the final increases in the $\gamma_M T$ value at very low temperatures would account for a ferrimagnetic behaviour for **1** [13–16].

The field dependence of the molar magnetisation (*M*) plot for **1** at 2.0 K is given in Fig. 6, which exhibits a continuous increase in *M* with the applied magnetic field and neither saturation nor hysteresis loop was observed. The lack of saturation of *M* at this temperature is likely because the applied magnetic field would overcome the weak intrachain antiferromagnetic interaction [13,16]. The *M* versus *H* plot also supports the presence of antiferromagnetic interactions in **1**, given that the maximum value of *M* per Cu^{II}Re^{IV} pair (ca. 1.19 µ_B) is smaller than that of the mononuclear [ReBr₄(ox)]^{2–} complex isolated as its tetra-*n*-butylammonium salt (ca. 1.50 µ_B) [23].

$$\chi_{//} = \frac{N\beta^2}{4kT} \left(g_{Cu_{//}}^2 + g_{Re_{//}}^2 \frac{1+9\exp(-D/kT)}{1+\exp(-D/kT)} \right) F_{J_{//}}$$
(1)

$$\chi_{\perp} = \frac{N\beta^{2}}{4kT} \left(g_{Cu\perp}^{2} + g_{Re\perp}^{2} \frac{4 + 6kT/D(1 - \exp(-D/kT))}{1 + \exp(-D/kT)} \right) F_{J\perp}$$
(2)

where



Fig. 2. Perspective view showing the one-dimensional motif of 1 along the *a*-axis direction. Hydrogen atoms and MeCN molecules have been omitted for clarity. Colour code: pink, Re; pale blue, Cu; green, Br; red, O; blue, N; black, C.



Fig. 3. View along the crystallographic *a* axis of a fragment of the crystal packing of **1** showing the arrangement of $[Cu(pyim)_2]^{2+}$ cations and $[ReBr_4(ox)]^{2-}$ anions linked through oxalato and bromo bridges. Hydrogen atoms and MeCN molecules have been omitted for clarity. Colour code: pink, Re; pale blue, Cu; green, Br; red, O; blue, N; black, C.

$$F_{J_{j/j}} = \frac{\exp(J_{+}/kT) + R^{2} \exp(-J_{+}/2kT)}{(1+R^{2})\cosh(J_{-}/2kT)};$$

$$F_{J_{\perp}} = \frac{2kT}{j} \tan gh\left(\frac{j}{4kT}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\sec h^{2}\left(\frac{j}{4kT}\right);$$

$$J_{\pm} = \frac{J_{\pm}j}{2}; \quad g_{\pm} = \frac{g_{\text{Re}} \pm g_{\text{Cu}}}{2}; \quad R = \frac{g_{-}}{g_{+}}$$

Taking into account both the structural description of **1** (see above) and the presence of a minimum at very low temperature in the $\chi_M T$ versus *T* plot, we can consider that compound **1** behaves as a ferrimagnetic chain [13–16]. Thus, to analyse the magnetic properties of **1**, the experimental magnetic susceptibility data have been treated through Eqs 1 and 2 and the following spin Hamiltonian (Eq 3):

of **1** in the high temperature region may be described by two contributions: one from the ${}^{4}A_{2g}$ term of the Re^{IV} ion and the other from the uncoupled Cu^{II} ion. In the low temperature region it would be described as a chain of $S_{\text{Re}} = S_{\text{eff}} = 1/2$ with different local g_{Cu} and g_{Re} Landé factors [13–16].

To avoid overparameterisation, we have also assumed that $g = g_{||} = g_{\perp}$ for the Cu^{II} and Re^{IV} ions. This approach has previously been used for fitting the magnetic data of similar heterometallic Cu^{II}Re^{IV} chains [13–16,58]. A least-squares fit of the experimental data in the 1.9–300 K temperature range afforded the following parameters for **1**: J = -6.3, j = -5.4, $g_{Cu} = 2.25$, $g_{Re} = 1.87$, and $|D_{Re}| = 64.2$ cm⁻¹ with $R = 6.4 \times 1 \cdot 0^{-5}$ {R being the agreement factor defined as Σi [($\chi_M T$)_{obs}(i) – ($\chi_M T$)_{calc}(i)]²/ Σi [($\chi_M T$)_{obs}(i)]²}. As shown in Fig. 5, the theoretical curve for **1** (red solid line) matches quite well with the experimental magnetic data in the

$$\widehat{H} = \sum_{i} \begin{pmatrix} -J \widehat{S}_{2i-1}^{z} \cdot \widehat{S}_{2i}^{z} - j \widehat{S}_{2i}^{z} \cdot \widehat{S}_{2i-1}^{z} + g_{Cu//\beta} \widehat{S}_{2i-1}^{z} H^{z} + g_{Re//\beta} \widehat{S}_{2i}^{z} H^{z} \\ + g_{Cu\perp} \beta \left(\widehat{S}_{2i-1}^{x} H^{x} + \widehat{S}_{2i-1}^{y} H^{y} \right) + g_{Re\perp} \beta \left(\widehat{S}_{2i}^{x} H^{x} + \widehat{S}_{2i}^{y} H^{y} \right) + D_{Re} \left[\left(\widehat{S}_{2i}^{z} \right)^{2} - 5 / 4 \right) \right] \end{pmatrix}$$
(3)

The approach that we have used to fit the experimental data consists in assuming that the magnetic susceptibility is given by that of the ${}^{4}A_{2g}$ term (ground state for a d³ ion in an octahedral environment), including the zero-field splitting, and modulated by a factor predicted from the Ising model of the magnetic exchange with the parameters *J* and *j* that would be assigned to the magnetic exchange pathways of the alternating oxalato and bromo bridges, respectively (and defined as indicated in Eqs 1 and 2) [16,17]. This is possible because the magnetic susceptibility

studied temperature range. The values of the *J* and *j* magnetic exchanges that we have obtained by this approach are referred to an $S_{\text{eff}} = 1/2$ and aiming at comparing them with the D_{Re} value (which is referred to a real $S_{\text{Re}} = 3/2$), they should be reduced by a factor of about 3/5 ($3J/5 = -3.8 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $3j/5 = -3.2 \text{ cm}^{-1}$), as previously reported [13,16,58]. The calculated values for the g_{Cu} , g_{Re} and D_{Re} parameters are in agreement with those previously computed for similar one-dimensional Cu^{II}Re^{IV} systems [13–16,58]. The calculated values of the *J* and *j* magnetic





Fig. 6. Plot of the variable-field magnetisation versus applied field at 2.0 K for **1** (see text).

Fig. 4. Plot of the simulated (top) and experimental XRD (bottom) patterns profile in the $2\theta/^{\circ}$ range $0-45^{\circ}$ for 1.

exchanges support antiferromagnetic interactions between the Re^{IV} and Cu^{II} centres across the two magnetic pathways, that is, through the alternating oxalato and bromo bridges in **1**. According to the orthogonality of the involved magnetic orbitals [e_g for Cu^{II} and t_{2g} for Re^{IV}], a priori, a ferromagnetic exchange would be expected. However, this orthogonality is broken because of the asymmetry of the bridges and the distorted coordination geometry of the Cu(II) ion, resulting in a very poor overlap of the magnetic



Fig. 5. Thermal variation of the $\chi_M T$ (o) product for 1. The solid line is the calculated curve and the inset shows a detail of the low temperature range (see text).

orbitals and, hence, in a weak antiferromagnetic exchange between these metal ions.

Despite showing a chain motif in its crystal structure, compound **3** behaves magnetically as a tetranuclear $[\text{Re}^{\text{IV}}_{2}\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}_{2}]$ species [19]. Compound **3** does not exhibit behaviour of ferrimagnetic chain given that contains in their crystal lattice significant Re–Br···Br–Re interactions (Br···Br separation of ca. 4.8 Å) between adjacent Re^{IV-}Cu^{II} chains. These intermolecular interactions in the rhenium(IV) chemistry are usually very strong and can easily overcome the intramolecular ones, accounting for the magnetic behaviour observed in the $\chi_{\text{M}}T$ versus *T* variation [68]. Nevertheless, this fact has not been reported for ferrimagnetic chains based on other 5d metal ions [69].

As far as we know, compound **1** is the first system containing the $[\text{ReBr}_4(\text{ox})]^{2-}$ metalloligand to exhibit magnetic behaviour of ferrimagnetic chain and, therefore, any comparison would be precluded. Nonetheless, we have tried to compare our results with those obtained for the previously studied Cu^{II}Re^{IV} chains based on the chloroderivative $[\text{ReCl}_4(\text{ox})]^{2-}$ complex [13-17]. Thus, we have plotted the *J* values versus the Re^{IV} ...Cu^{II} distances and the Re–X–Cu angle (°) (X = Cl and Br) for ferrimagnetic Cu^{II}Re^{IV} chains (Table 3). As shown in Fig. 7, there exists a certain trend in these systems: when the Re···Cu separation shortens and the Re-X-Cu angle decreases, the value of the antiferromagnetic coupling also decreases (red solid line in Fig. 7). Although we cannot talk about a magnetostructural correlation, given that more data of Cu^{II}Re^{IV} systems would be needed to complete the study in detail, this trend could help at least to design new ferrimagnetic Cu^{ll}Re^{IV} compounds and similar systems, having into account the high magnetic anisotropy that Re^{IV} ion exhibits [70–75].

Compound	Space group	$d(Re\cdots M)(Å)$	$J, j (cm^{-1})$	$ D (cm^{-1})$	g _{Re}	gCu	Ref.
[ReCl ₄ (µ-ox)Cu(bpy) ₂]	$P2_1/n$	4.798, 4.658	-25.0, -13.0	53.0	1.84	2.17	[13]
$[\text{ReCl}_4(\mu_3\text{-}\text{ox})\text{Cu}(L_1)]$	P21	5.568, 5.870	-3.4, NA	49.6	1.91	2.27	[14]
$[\text{ReCl}_4(\mu\text{-}\text{ox})\text{Cu}(L_2)]$	Pī	4.684, 4.718	-18.1, -0.7	63.0	1.89	2.20	[15]
[ReCl ₄ (µ-ox)Cu(pyim) ₂]	$P2_1/n$	4.544, 4.805	-7.8, -6.0	54.8	1.80	2.29	[16]
$[\text{ReCl}_4(\mu\text{-}\text{ox})\text{Cu}(L_2)]$	$P2_1/c$	6.030, 4.769	-14.2, -8.7	54.5	1.81	2.24	[17]
[ReBr ₄ (µ-ox)Cu(pyim) ₂]	Pbca	5.457, 5.263	-6.3, -5.4	64.2	1.87	2.25	This work

^a bpy = 2,2'-bipyridine; pyim = 2-(2'-pyridyl)imidazole; $L_1 = N$ -*dl*-5,7,7,12,14,14-hexamethyl-1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradeca-4,11-diene; $L_2 = N$ -*meso*-5,12-Me₂-7,14-Et₂-[14]-4,11-dieneN₄; NA = not available.



Fig. 7. Dependence of the *J* parameter (cm⁻¹) on the Re^{IV}...Cu^{II} distance (Å) and the Re–X–Cu angle (°) for ferrimagnetic Cu^{II}Re^{IV} chains. The solid line represents the linear best fit.

4. Conclusions

We have reported the synthesis, characterisation and magnetic properties of a novel $Cu^{II}Re^{IV}$ compound of formula $\{[Re^{IV}Br_4(\mu-ox)Cu^{II}(pyim)_2] \cdot MeCN\}_n$ (1) [ox = oxalate anion, pyim = 2-(2'-pyridyl)imidazole]. The analysis of the magnetic properties of 1 through variable-temperature magnetic susceptibility data revealed a magnetic behaviour typical of ferrimagnetic chain, which is consistent with its crystal structure. Remarkably, compound 1 is the first reported copper(II)-rhenium(IV) complex obtained with the $[ReBr_4(ox)]^{2-}$ metalloligand that exhibits such a magnetic behaviour.

In addition, by comparing our results with those reported in the literature, we have observed a trend associated with the family of ferrimagnetic Cu^{II}Re^{IV} chains, although more systems would be needed to complete a study that establishes a proper magnetotructural correlation.

Acknowledgements

Financial support from the Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades (projects MDM-2015-0538 and CTQ2016-75068-P) and "Ramón y Cajal" Programme is gratefully acknowledged. The authors wish to thank Prof. Michel Verdaguer for his superb guidance and continuous support to the younger researchers throughout his scientific career.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data related to this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crci.2019.04.004.

References

- [1] A. Gleizes, M. Verdaguer, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 103 (1981) 7373.
- [2] D. Beltran, E. Escrivá, M. Drillon, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 78 (1982) 1773.
- [3] M. Verdaguer, M. Julve, A. Michalowicz, O. Kahn, Inorg. Chem. 22 (1983) 2624.
- [4] A. Gleizes, M. Verdaguer, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106 (1984) 3727.
- [5] R. Georges, J. Curély, M. Drillon, J. Appl. Phys. 58 (1985) 914.
- [6] E. Coronado, M. Drillon, A. Fuertes, D. Beltran, A. Mosset, J. Galy, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 108 (1986) 900.
- [7] Y. Pei, O. Kahn, J. Sletten, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 108 (1986) 3143.
- [8] Y. Pei, M. Verdaguer, O. Kahn, J. Sletten, J.P. Renard, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 108 (1986) 7428.
- [9] Y. Pei, M. Verdaguer, O. Kahn, J. Sletten, J.P. Renard, Inorg. Chem. 26 (1987) 138.
- [10] J. Ferrando-Soria, J. Vallejo, M. Castellano, J. Martínez-Lillo, E. Pardo, J. Cano, I. Castro, F. Lloret, R. Ruiz-García, M. Julve, Coord. Chem. Rev. 339 (2017) 17.
- [11] A. Caneschi, D. Gatteschi, P. Rey, R. Sessoli, Inorg. Chem. 27 (1988) 1756.
- [12] T. Ise, T. Ishida, D. Hashizume, F. Iwasaki, T. Nogami, Inorg. Chem. 42 (2003) 6106.
- [13] R. Chiozzone, R. González, C. Kremer, G. De Munno, J. Cano, F. Lloret, M. Julve, J. Faus, Inorg. Chem. 38 (1999) 4745.
- [14] A. Tomkiewicz, J. Mroziński, I. Brüdgam, F. Hartl, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. (2005) 1787.
- [15] A. Bieńko, J. Kłak, J. Mroziński, R. Kruszyński, D.C. Bieńko, R. Boča, Polyhedron 27 (2008) 2464.
- [16] J. Martínez-Lillo, D. Armentano, G. De Munno, F. Lloret, M. Julve, I. Faus. Dalton Trans. (2008) 40.
- [17] A. Bieńko, R. Kruszyński, D. Bieńko, Polyhedron 75 (2014) 1.
- [18] R. Chiozzone, A. Cuevas, R. González, C. Kremer, D. Armentano, G. De Munno, J. Faus, Inorg. Chim. Acta 359 (2006) 2194.
- [19] R. Chiozzone, R. González, C. Kremer, D. Armentano, G. De Munno,
- M. Julve, F. Lloret, Inorg. Chim. Acta 370 (2011) 394. [20] J. Martínez-Lillo, T.F. Mastropietro, G. De Munno, F. Lloret, M. Julve,
- J. Faus, Inorg. Chem. 50 (2011) 5731. [21] J. Martínez-Lillo, L. Cañadillas-Delgado, J. Cano, F. Lloret, M. Julve,
- J. Faus, Chem. Commun. 48 (2012) 9242.
 [22] J. Martínez-Lillo, D. Armentano, G. De Munno, M. Julve, F. Lloret, J. Faus, Dalton Trans. 42 (2013) 1687.
- [23] J. Martínez-Lillo, T.F. Mastropietro, E. Lhotel, C. Paulsen, J. Cano, G. De Munno, J. Faus, F. Lloret, M. Julve, S. Nellutla, J. Krzystek, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 135 (2013) 13737.
- [24] G.A. Bain, J.F. Berry, J. Chem. Educ. 85 (2008) 532.
- [25] M. Nardelli, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 28 (1995) 659.
- [26] G.M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr. A 64 (2008) 112.
- [27] SHELXTL NT-Version 5.1 Copyright, Bruker Analytical X-ray Systems Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA, 1998.

- [28] SHELXTL-2013/4, Bruker Analytical X-Ray Instruments, Madison, WI. 2013.
- [29] DIAMOND 4.5.0. Crystal Impact GbR. CRYSTAL IMPACT. 2018.
- [30] J. Carranza, C. Brennan, J. Sletten, F. Lloret, M. Julve, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (2002) 3164.
- [31] J. Carranza, C. Brennan, J. Sletten, B. Vangdal, P. Rillema, F. Lloret, M. Julve, New J. Chem. 27 (2003) 1775.
- [32] G.S. Matouzenko, G. Molnar, N. Brefuel, M. Perrin, A. Bousseksou, S.A. Borshch, Chem. Mater. 15 (2003) 550.
- [33] A. Morsali, A. Ramazani, M. Babaee, F. Jamali, F. Gouranlou, H. Arjmandfar, A. Yanovsky, J. Coord. Chem. 56 (2003) 455.
- [34] D.-X. Zhu, Y.-O. Lan, Y.-M. Fu, Z.-M. Su, Acta Crystallogr. E: Struct. Rep. Online 62 (2006) m3479.
- [35] T.I.A. Gerber, E. Hosten, P. Mayer, Z.R. Tshentu, J. Coord. Chem. 59 (2006) 243.
- [36] H. Mishra, R. Mukherjee, J. Organomet. Chem. 691 (2006) 3545.
- [37] K. Pachhunga, B. Therrien, K.A. Kreisel, G.P.A. Yap, M.R. Kollipara, Polyhedron 26 (2007) 3638.
- [38] G.S. Matouzenko, M. Perrin, B. Le Guennic, C. Genre, G. Molnar, A. Bousseksou, S.A. Borshch, Dalton Trans. (2007) 934.
- [39] F.S. Delgado, F. Lahoz, F. Lloret, M. Julve, C. Ruiz-Perez, Cryst. Growth Des. 8 (2008) 3219.
- [40] B.A. Leita, B. Moubaraki, K.S. Murray, J.P. Smith, Polyhedron 24 (2005) 2165.
- [41] L. Zhang, Y.-Y. Ge, F. Peng, M. Du, Inorg. Chem. Commun. 9 (2006) 486
- [42] J. Carranza, M. Julve, J. Sletten, Inorg. Chim. Acta 361 (2008) 2499.
- [43] J. Martínez-Lillo, D. Armentano, G. De Munno, J. Faus, Polyhedron 27 (2008) 1447.
- [44] C. Yuste, D. Armentano, N. Marino, L. Cañadillas-Delgado, F.S. Delgado, C. Ruiz-Perez, D.P. Rillema, F. Lloret, M. Julve, Dalton Trans. (2008) 1583.
- [45] Y.-Q. Lan, S.-L. Li, Y.-M. Fu, Y.-H. Xu, L. Li, Z.-M. Su, Q. Fu, Dalton Trans. (2008) 6796.
- [46] J. Carranza, J. Sletten, F. Lloret, M. Julve, Polyhedron 28 (2009) 2249.
- [47] J. Carranza, J. Sletten, F. Lloret, M. Julve, Inorg. Chim. Acta 362 (2009) 2636.
- [48] H.-Y. Zang, Y.-Q. Lan, Z.-M. Su, G.-S. Yang, G.-J. Xu, D.-Y. Du, L. Chen, L.-K. Yan, Inorg. Chim. Acta 363 (2010) 118.
- [49] T. Ghosh, S. Das, S. Pal, Polyhedron 29 (2010) 3074.
- [50] O. Schott, J. Ferrando-Soria, A. Bentama, S.-E. Stiriba, J. Pasán, C. Ruiz-Pérez, M. Andruh, F. Lloret, M. Julve, Inorg. Chim. Acta 376 (2011) 358.
- [51] C.-J. Li, J.-M. Lu, F. Tu, J.-Y. Chen, Y.-J. Li, Acta Crystallogr. E: Struct. Rep. Online 67 (2011) m269.

- [52] G. Yuan, K.-Z. Shao, D.-Y. Du, X.-L. Wang, Z.-M. Su, Solid State Sci. 13 (2011) 1083.
- [53] S. Yue, N. Li, J. Bian, T. Hou, J. Ma, Synth. Met. 162 (2012) 247.
- [54] F.R. Fortea-Pérez, J. Vallejo, M. Inclán, M. Deniz, J. Pasán, E. García-España, M. Julve, J. Coord. Chem. 66 (2013) 3349.
- [55] T. Hou, J. Bian, X. Yue, S. Yue, J. Ma, Inorg. Chim. Acta 15 (2013) 394.
- [56] W. Hou, J. Guo, Z. Wang, Y. Xu, J. Coord. Chem. 66 (2013) 2434.
 [57] G.-X. Liu, X.-F. Wang, H. Zhou, J. Solid State Chem. 199 (2013) 305. [58] J. Martínez-Lillo, J. Kong, W.P. Barros, J. Faus, M. Julve, E.K. Brechin, Chem. Commun. 50 (2014) 5840.
- [59] W. Hou, J. Guo, X. Xu, Z. Wang, D. Zhang, H. Wan, Y. Song, D. Zhu, Y. Xu, Dalton Trans. 43 (2014) 865.
- [60] G. Hu, Y. Dong, X. He, H. Miao, S. Zhou, Y. Xu, Inorg. Chem. Commun. 60 (2015) 33.
- [61] H. Miao, G. Hu, J. Guo, H. Wan, H. Mei, Y. Zhang, Y. Xu, Dalton Trans. 44 (2015) 694.
- [62] Y.-H. Luo, B. Li, X.-Y. Yu, C.-M. Han, X.-X. Lu, H. Zhang, X. Chen, Polyhedron 85 (2015) 705.
- [63] Z. Setifi, F. Setifi, B.M. Francuski, S.B. Novakovic, H. Merazig, Acta Crystallogr. E: Struct. Rep. Online 71 (2015) 346.
- [64] M. López-Jorda, M. Giménez-Marques, C. Desplanches, G. Mínguez-Espallargas, M. Clemente-Leon, E. Coronado, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. (2016) 2187.
- [65] M.-G. Alexandru, D. Visinescu, S. Shova, W.X.C. Oliveira, F. Lloret, M. Julve, Dalton Trans. 47 (2018) 6005.
- [66] M.-G. Alexandru, D. Visinescu, S. Shova, M. Andruh, F. Lloret, M. Julve, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 360 (2018). [67] X.-Q. Wei, Q. Pi, F.-X. Shen, D. Shao, H.-Y. Wei, X.-Y. Wang, Dalton
- Trans. 47 (2018) 11873.
- [68] J. Martínez-Lillo, J. Faus, F. Lloret, M. Julve, Coord. Chem. Rev. 289-290 (2015) 215.
- [69] H. Zhou, K. Wu, C. Chen, R. Dong, Y. Liu, X. Shen, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. (2017) 3946.
- [70] D. Armentano, J. Martínez-Lillo, Inorg. Chim. Acta 380 (2012) 118.
- J. Martínez-Lillo, D. Armentano, G. De Munno, N. Marino, F. Lloret, [71] M. Julve, J. Faus, CrystEngComm 10 (2008) 1284.
- [72] J. Martínez-Lillo, A.H. Pedersen, J. Faus, M. Julve, E.K. Brechin, Cryst. Growth Des. 15 (2015) 2598.
- [73] D. Armentano, J. Martínez-Lillo, RSC Adv. 5 (2015) 54936.
- D. Armentano, J. Martínez-Lillo, Cryst. Growth Des. 16 (2016) 1812. [74]
- [75] C.H. Woodall, G.A. Craig, A. Prescimone, M. Misek, J. Cano, J. Faus, M.R. Probert, S. Parsons, S. Moggach, J. Martínez-Lillo, M. Murrie, K.V. Kamenev, E.K. Brechin, Nat. Commun. 7 (2016) 13870.