



INSTITUT DE FRANCE  
Académie des sciences

# *Comptes Rendus*

---

## *Géoscience*

*Sciences de la Planète*

Domenico Di Giacomo and Dmitry A. Storchak

**Digitization of BCIS bulletins and the ISC quest to verify pre-digital earthquakes**

Volume 355 (2023), p. 23-34

Published online: 12 January 2023

<https://doi.org/10.5802/crgeos.185>



This article is licensed under the  
CREATIVE COMMONS ATTRIBUTION 4.0 INTERNATIONAL LICENSE.  
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



*Les Comptes Rendus. Géoscience — Sciences de la Planète sont membres du  
Centre Mersenne pour l'édition scientifique ouverte*

[www.centre-mersenne.org](http://www.centre-mersenne.org)

e-ISSN : 1778-7025



Original Article — Seismology (source and structures)

# Digitization of BCIS bulletins and the ISC quest to verify pre-digital earthquakes

Domenico Di Giacomo<sup>\*, a</sup> and Dmitry A. Storchak<sup>® a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> International Seismological Centre, Pipers Lane, Thatcham, Berkshire, RG19 4NS, UK

E-mails: domenico@isc.ac.uk (D. Di Giacomo), dmitry@isc.ac.uk (D. A. Storchak)

**Abstract.** We report on the effort of the International Seismological Centre (ISC, [www.isc.ac.uk](http://www.isc.ac.uk)) to digitise station measurements for earthquakes as well as other types of seismic events that are currently listed in the ISC database without station data. The association of instrumental measurements to a seismic event is fundamental to verify its occurrence and it facilitates, when possible, its hypocentre relocation. We search for relevant station data in the Bureau Central de l'Association Internationale de Sismologie (BCIS). The BCIS bulletins were produced at the same time of the International Seismological Summary (ISS) but they appear to list more events with data than the ISS from the 1950s. Unfortunately, BCIS bulletins have not been digitised in a systematic way. We show that during the period going from the mid-1950s up to 1963 the BCIS is a unique source of station data that allowed us to verify thousands of earthquakes worldwide and significantly expand the global record of seismicity in those years. The data digitised in this work will play a key role in future works regarding earthquakes in the pre-digital era.

**Keywords.** Digitisation, Phase arrival times, Seismic stations, Earthquake parameters, Bulletins.

*Manuscript received 16 August 2022, revised 8 November 2022, accepted 15 November 2022.*

## 1. Introduction

The production of instrumental seismic bulletins is performed in different capacities by several seismological agencies working at local, regional and global scales. Among those providing seismic bulletins up to 1960s for worldwide earthquakes, the International Seismological Summary [ISS, 1918–1963] and the Bureau Central de l'Association Internationale de Sismologie [BCIS, 1933–1968] stand out as the most long-term and comprehensive ones. Rothé [1981] outlines historical details about the establishment of

the BCIS in Strasbourg (France) and the ISS in the United Kingdom. Both ISS and BCIS usually list seismic event location parameters (e.g., origin time, epicentre and depth of earthquakes as well as other types of seismic sources such as rock bursts, nuclear explosions and so forth) and the associated arrival times of seismic waves gathered from stations worldwide. Examples of the content of ISS and BCIS for 1963 is shown in Figure 1. After the event parameter block, the ISS lists travel times whereas BCIS lists the absolute arrival times. This feature makes the BCIS station listing independent on the event origin time.

Recently, the ISS hypocentres [Villaseñor and Engdahl, 2005] and associated data has been digitised after a significant undertaking over several years by

\* Corresponding author.

JANUARY 5 13.H 16.M 38.S EPICENTRE -10.09 123.92 DEPTH= 0.4M									
A=0.54945 B= 0.81720 C=0.17405 D= 0.8299 E= 0.5580 G= 0.0971 H=0.1444 K=0.9847 MT= 6.5									
SE= 2.14									
	DELTA DEG.	AZ. DEG.	P M S	D-C S	S M S	O-C S	MPP M S	SUPP. M S	
LEMBANG	16.44	284.1	3 54K	0	7 6	9			
DJAKARTA	17.35	281.6	4 7	1	7 31	13			
TANGARANG	17.55	281.5	4 6 -2		7 30	8			
PORT MORESBY	22.91	90.3	5 6 -1						
MUNDARING	22.91	197.0	5 4 -3						
PERTH	23.01	197.8	5 10	2	9 17	2		5 33 PP	
CHARTERS TS.	23.73	117.4	5 15	0	9 38	10			
MANILA	24.76	353.4	5 28	3	9 28	-17			
BAGUIO CITY	26.54	352.8	5 19	-23	10 29	14			
NIHATRANG	26.55	326.3	5 42	0					
ADELAIDE	28.21	153.6	5 56K	-1	10 45	3		6 24	
MEDAN	28.57	297.1	5 57	-3	10 46	-2			
TOOLANGI	33.56	148.1	6 44A	0	11 51	-15	6 54	7 52 PP	
HONG KONG	33.58	343.5	6 55	11	12 8	1			
CANBERRA	33.95	141.6	6 47A	-1	12 12	0		9 31 PCP	
RIVERVIEW	36.38	137.6	6 52A	1	12 22	3		12 45	
TARRALEAH	37.68	152.3	7 20	1				9 16	
PORT BLAIR	37.80	304.1						8 42	
MOORLANDS	38.13	151.7	7 25	2					
KUNMING	40.63	329.8	7 46A	2	13 48	-6			
SIAM	42.34	342.8	8 35	0					
SHILLONG	47.22	319.2	8 38A	-1	15 28	-2		10 27 PP	
MATUISHIRO	48.29	15.4	8 45	0					
MADRAS	49.11	297.0	8 52	0	15 49	-8		10 47 PP	
LANCHOW	49.65	338.6	8 56A	0	15 55	-9			
BOHARO	50.24	312.7	9 1	1				16 19	
PEKING	50.38	352.3	9 0	-1					
LHASA	50.63	322.3	9 5A	2	16 15	-3			
MACQUARIE I.	52.29	155.0	9 16	0					
CHATEAU	54.23	151.3	9 30	0					
WELLINGTON	54.36	139.0	9 29	-2	17 42	34		21 28 55	
WILKES	56.92	186.4	9 47	-3					
ULAN-BATOR	59.69	346.8	10 7	-2					
ESEN BULAK	61.45	338.5	10 21	0	18 46	4			
LAHORE	62.97	313.1	10 29	-2	18 52	-9			
IRKUTSK	64.36	346.8	10 34	-6	19 12	-6			
WARSAK DAM	66.25	314.0	10 52	0					
QUETTA	67.80	308.4	11 1	-1	20 6	6			
KHODG	68.12	317.2	11 5	1	20 3	-1			

Janvier 1963 - 31 -									
VII. 5 Janvier									
Timor, 10°0 S, 124°0 E, h=33 km ca. -V=									
H=13.16mmAS. (USGS)									
9°4 S, 124°2 E, H=13.16mmAS. (Moskva)									
M=6.1 (Quetta); 5 1/2-6 (Port Moresby); 5 1/2 (Tangerang);									
5 1/4 (Peking)									
Lumbang	1P	13 20 32 D	eS	13 23 44					
Tangerang	eP	44	e(S)	24 08				1955	17,6
Mundaring	eP	42,0						2560	23,0
Djakarta	eP	45	eS	09				1935	17,4
Port Moresby	eP	21 44						2555	22,6
Charters Towers	1P	55,3	1S	28 16				2850	23,7
Baguio	P	57,0	S	27 07,0				2940	26,5
Manila	e1P	22 06,5	1S	28 06,5				2740	24,7
Nhatrang	eP	20,5						2945	26,5
Medan	eP	35	e	27 24					
Brisbane	1P	23 10,8						3570	32,1
Toolangi	1P	21,8	e	23 32,0				3730	33,6
Hong-Kong	P	(33)	S	28 18				3725	33,5
Tarraleah	eP	58,0	1	25 54,5				4190	37,7
Moorlands	eP	24 05,0						4240	38,2
Tasmania Uni	1P	05,9	1	35,2				4520	38,6
Kunming	P	24	eS	30 20				4510	40,6
Siem	P	25 08						5140	46,3
Chillong	P	14,0						5245	47,2
Matsushiro	1P	23,0						5355	48,2
Lanchow	1P	34	eS	32 33				5510	49,6
Peking	1P	35						5590	50,3
Lhasa	1P	43	eS	53				5620	50,6
Macquarie Island	eP	54						5815	52,3
Wilkes	eP	26 25,0						6335	57,0
Oulan Bator	eP	45						6625	59,6
Esen Bulak	P	65	eS	35 24					
Lahore	P	27 07	S	30				6965	63,0
Irkutsk	eP	27 12	eS	50				7145	64,3
Warsak	P	30						7380	66,4
Quetta	eP	33,4	eS	38 44,0				7535	67,8
Alma Ata	eP	41,0						7645	67,9
Bodajbo	eP	42							
Khorag	1P	43	eS	41				7685	68,1
Lwiro	e	47	L	14 02				10525	94,7
Frounze	1P	49 C						7660	69,2
Douchambé	eP	55	eS	13 37 08				7655	70,5
Semipalatinsk	P	28 00						7905	71,2
Tachkent	1P	04 C						7980	71,8
Yakovtsk	1P	06 C						7990	71,9
Magaon	P	20						8065	72,6
Vannovskaya	P	39						8655	77,7
Chiraz	1P	47,0	eS	08 41				8800	79,2
Tiksi	1P	58 C	1S	39 07				9055	81,5
Uinta	eSXS	58	eS	30 58				13770	123,9
Tehran	eP	29 03	eS	39 15				9115	82,0
Sverdlovsk	P	14 D						9370	84,3
Byrd	1	16,0						9405	84,6
Kirovabad	eP	26,0	eS	30 05				9695	87,2
Novo Lazarevskaya	1P	30	eS	10					
Tbilisi	1P	37	eS	17				9850	88,6
Rheis	eP	30 08						10855	98,0


Figure 1. Example of ISS (left) and BCIS (right) content for an earthquake on the 5th of January 1963.

various investigators [Engdahl and Villaseñor, 2002, Di Giacomo et al., 2015b, 2018]. The results of such works provided earthquake parameters for about 25,000 earthquakes between 1918 and 1963 and approximately 2.8 M seismic wave arrival times from over 1000 stations. With regard to BCIS, however, apart from most of its hypocentres that have been digitised at different times by a number of investigators and included in an augmented version of the Centennial Catalog [Engdahl and Villaseñor, 2002] as well as the Bulletin of the International Seismological Centre [ISC Bulletin, International Seismological Centre, 2022b], the digitisation of the associated station data has not been pursued in a systematic way. Thousands of earthquakes in the 1950s and up to 1963 that are in the ISC database have no station data associated. This is summarised in Figure 2. Within the seismological community working in the pre-digital era [here intended as the instrumental period before the formal start of the rebuilt ISC Bulletin

in 1964, Storchak et al., 2017, 2020], it is well-known that the BCIS is more complete than the ISS particularly in the years 1954–1963, when ISS significantly reduced their listings due to lack of resources. Therefore, the arrival times contained in the BCIS are possibly the most (if not the only) comprehensive collection of instrumental data for thousands of earthquakes in the 1950s–early 1960s that are not listed in the ISS.

There are several reasons to add station data in the pre-digital era:

- verification and validation of the occurrence of an earthquake or any seismic event. This is often an aspect of underestimated importance by groups working in catalogue compilations, particularly for earthquakes in the pre-digital era. Indeed, the event parameter digitisation from printed bulletins is at times affected by typos and inaccuracies in



**Figure 2.** Number of seismic events per year in the ISS with station data associated (grey) and in the ISC database without station data at the time of writing (black, also referred to as targeted events in the text). In the latter we do not include earthquakes in the historical dataset of the Japanese Meteorological Agency (JMA) as the associated data is included in the ISC database.

origin time and/or coordinates (e.g., wrong date or sign for latitude and longitude) that, if unchecked or unsupported by instrumental data, can remain for several years in catalogues and used for research. Examples in this respect are discussed by Di Giacomo and Dewey [2020] for the mythical Peru earthquake of 12 December 1908 and Okal and Langenhorst [2000] for the 11 July 1960 earthquake (origin time at 07:33:32). More cases in this sense will be summarised later;

- if enough data is available, we can perform earthquake relocations using modern techniques, magnitude reassessment and hence improve both the instrumental record and the picture of the seismicity of an area (as discussed in Section 4);
- we can improve the instrumental history of hundreds of seismic stations worldwide. This not only will preserve such unique instrumental data for future generations of seismologists (i.e., legacy purposes) but it is also a way to recognise the work done by thousands of station operators, analysts and seismologists over the decades in observatories worldwide.

With this contribution we report on a significant undertaking by the ISC to search and digitise the station data for all events in the BCIS 1950–1963 that have no instrumental record in the ISC database. After a brief description of the digitisation approach, we summarise and discuss the main features of the dataset so generated, point out some of the benefits to the Earth’s seismicity record and its importance for future activities.

## 2. Digitising BCIS arrival times

As previously mentioned, the digitisation of the station measurements in the BCIS bulletins is aimed only for those seismic events that have no associated measurements in the ISC database. With the latter we refer to a working account of the database that at the time of writing included the pre-1964 ISC Bulletin with the entire ISS content, hypocentres from an augmented version of the Centennial Catalogue [Engdahl and Villaseñor, 2002] as well as the data of the Japanese Meteorological Agency (JMA) network since 1923 and other sources used for the production of the ISC-GEM Catalogue [Di Giacomo et al., 2015b, 2018]. In this context, we selected seismic events in the ISC database that have no associated data between 1950 and 1963 and searched for those events in the BCIS. Hereafter we refer to those as targeted events. We also looked in BCIS before 1950 but the bulk of the events without data in the ISS (but likely in BCIS) are mostly from the 1950s onward.

Our intention is to emulate to the highest extent possible the format of the BCIS printed bulletin (see Figure 1). In short, that is composed of the event information block and the station data listing: station name, onset, phase name, arrival time, polarity, and, if any, a second set of information for a second arrival. The last field at the end of the line is the distance as calculated at the time of the BCIS production. The digitisation process is performed in two steps: first, the station name list is created and used to match the station code in the International Seismograph Station Registry [IR, International Seismological Centre, 2022a]. Once all stations are properly identified in the IR we calculate for each station (based on the station information in the IR) the theoretical arrival time of the first P-wave and the arrival time of the first S-wave truncated to the minute. The S-wave arrival truncation is due to the fact that

for most stations only one P-wave arrival is available. At this point the manual revision takes place and the arrival times initially generated are edited to reproduce the arrival times in the BCIS as well as all other parameters (onset, phase, polarity). The distance (in km) at the end of each line is calculated using the ISC database prime location and the station coordinates in the IR and is used only for guidance whilst editing the file to help addressing inconsistencies in the listing (e.g., minute error, wrong station). Figure 3 shows an example of the file generated with predicted arrivals for an earthquake in January 1963 and its final version. With this approach the ISC data entry officer working on the file (see Acknowledgments) usually needs to check and (eventually) edit only onset, phase, minute-second of the first arrival time and complete the timestamp of the second arrival if available. Polarities and comments are also added. The initial truncation of the second arrivals reduces the amount of manual checks (if no second arrival is available there is no need for further editing), and incomplete arrival times will not be included in the ISC database.

After the file editing is completed, checks are run to verify its format integrity, the time residuals (i.e., difference between observed and calculated travel time) as well as onset, phase and polarity entries. If such checks return with errors and/or warnings about large residuals, the ISC data entry officer prompts an investigation to address the issue (e.g., typos, possible minute mark error, phase misidentification, wrong station and so forth). Null residuals are also rechecked to avoid having theoretical arrival times in the final version of the file. We will see in Section 3.2 that with such checks we have been able to rectify some errors in the starting location parameters. However, we cannot comment on the potential presence of any bias or trend in BCIS epicentres as we only limit to digitise phase data, whereas processing (relocation) is the subject of future activities (see Section 4).

Depending on the number of stations for an event the digitisation can take from 5 to over 15 min (usually for events with more than 40 stations). More time may be needed if any issue is found after running the checks (e.g., investigation about large residual warnings). On average, between 25 and 35 events per working day have been digitised by a single data entry officer.

### 3. Station data digitised for the targeted events in the ISC database

We began to digitise the BCIS content for the targeted events at the end of January 2020 and finished in July 2022 (with a few exceptions, the work has been carried out by one data entry officer at any given time). The events distribution and their annual counts are shown in Figure 4. The annual number of events listed in the BCIS for which we added data is much smaller for 1950–1952 compared to 1953–1963. As mentioned earlier, this corresponds to the years where ISS reduced their listings (see also Figure 2). In addition to the events with no data of Figure 2, we also looked for teleseismic data for the earthquakes in the Japanese archipelago having only data from the historical JMA network (i.e., data mostly from stations in the Japanese area, hence mostly recording at local and regional distances). As a result, from 1953 the annual event counts for which we added data from BCIS is marginally larger than the annual counts of the targeted events shown in Figure 2. There is an apparent dip in the annual count for years 1961–1963, which contradicts the increasing trend in annual counts between 1953 and 1960. This is also the case in Figure 2. We will address this feature in Section 3.1.

Figure 5 shows the distribution of the stations in BCIS we digitised for the targeted events. Among the most recurrent stations reporting data for the targeted events there are College, Eureka, Hungry Horse, Tucson and Boulder City in North America (IR codes CMO, EUR, HHM, TUO and BCN, respectively), Kiruna, Uppsala and Stuttgart in Europe (IR codes KIR, UPP and STU, respectively) and outside those more densely instrumented areas we have in key positions stations such as Charters Towers and Brisbane in Australia (IR codes CTA and BRBS, respectively), Quetta in Pakistan (IR code QUE), Tamanrasset in Algeria (IR code TAM) and Matsushiro in Japan (IR code MAT). About 58% of the targeted events have data from more than 10 stations, and about 17% have more than 25 stations. A direct comparison with the ISS content in the same years is not straightforward as the whole ISS has been digitised (i.e., including large earthquakes) whilst for BCIS we digitised data only for targeted events (most likely below magnitude 6, with possibly a few exceptions). However, about 1730 and 670 events

IX. 3 Janvier									
Vers 36°1/2 N, 25° E, H= 14h.27,6mn (BCIS)									
ressenti dans l'île de Crète (IV à V à Arehanal et Zoeros)									
(d'après Athènes)									
Athènes	ePn	14 28 26,4	D	e1Sg	14 29 15,3	330	3,0		
Istanbul UTI	eP	30,9							
Kasperské Hory	e1	31 27,5		e1	31 46,5				
Dourbes	e	32(22)							
Téhéran	eP	22							
Chiraz	e1P	53,5		eS	43 24	2680	24,1		
Blue Mountains	eP	40 53,4							

E:	16974075	16460804	BCIS	1963-01-03	14:27:36	36.500	25.000		
ATH Athens			e P	1963-01-03	14:28:08.000		e S	1963-01-03 14:28:	199
IST Istanbul UTI			e P	1963-01-03	14:28:59.000		e S	1963-01-03 14:30:	617
KHC Kasperske Hory			e P	1963-01-03	14:31:10.000		e S	1963-01-03 14:33:	1682
DOU Dourbes			e P	1963-01-03	14:32:10.000		e S	1963-01-03 14:35:	2228
TEH Tehran			e P	1963-01-03	14:32:24.000		e S	1963-01-03 14:36:	2369
SHI Chiraz			e P	1963-01-03	14:32:52.000		e S	1963-01-03 14:37:	2668
BMO Blue Mountains			e P	1963-01-03	14:40:47.000		e S	1963-01-03 14:49:	10244

E:	16974075	16460804	BCIS	1963-01-03	14:27:36	36.500	25.000		
C:	Felt in Crete island - IV - V at Arehanal and Zoeros - According to Athens								
ATH Athens			e Pn	1963-01-03	14:28:26.400	D	e Sg	1963-01-03 14:29:15.300	199
IST Istanbul UTI			e P	1963-01-03	14:30:54.000		e S	1963-01-03 14:30:	617
KHC Kasperske Hory			e	1963-01-03	14:31:27.500		e	1963-01-03 14:31:46.500	1682
DOU Dourbes			e	1963-01-03	14:32:22.000		e S	1963-01-03 14:35:	2228
TEH Tehran			e P	1963-01-03	14:32:22.000		e S	1963-01-03 14:36:	2369
SHI Chiraz			e P	1963-01-03	14:32:53.500		e S	1963-01-03 14:43:24.000	2668
BMO Blue Mountains			e P	1963-01-03	14:40:53.400		e S	1963-01-03 14:49:	10244

**Figure 3.** Example of a file generated for an earthquake in January 1963. On top it is shown the listing in the BCIS bulletin (original content can be viewed at page 19 of the PDF scan available at [http://www.isc.ac.uk/printedStnBulletins/Bulletins\\_scans/France/Strasbourg/BCIS/BCIS\\_63A.pdf](http://www.isc.ac.uk/printedStnBulletins/Bulletins_scans/France/Strasbourg/BCIS/BCIS_63A.pdf)). In the middle it is shown the first step of the digitisation process and at the bottom its final version after manual editing. The second arrival times that have no complete timestamp (e.g., second arrival for stations IST, DOU, TEH, BMO in this example) will not be parsed in the ISC database and will be removed from the files listed in the supplementary material of Di Giacomo [2022]. Note that we also added the comment in the second line and the IR code in front of the station name. On the event lines (i.e., starting with “E:”) there are two database identifiers: the event and prime hypocentre ids. The first serves to identify a physical event in our database, the second to identify the event prime hypocentre that is used to associate phase data to the event.


between 1953 and 1963 have more than 30 and 40 stations, respectively, as digitised from BCIS. Considering the results discussed in Di Giacomo et al. [2018], we expect that several earthquakes with magnitude between 5 and 6 are not in the ISC in those years.

### 3.1. Events not in the ISC database between 1961 and 1963

As already mentioned, Figure 2 shows an apparent decrease in the annual number of the targeted events in 1961–1963 compared to the trend observed in previous years. As the BCIS digitisation for 1961 began, we noticed that many events with data in the BCIS were being skipped simply because they are not included in our database. To address this shortcoming, we proceeded to the identification of events with at least 5–6 stations that are missing in our database. As

a result we ended up with 1111, 1105 and 2520 events to add in 1961, 1962 and 1963, respectively. Although such an occurrence could have been expected to some extent, we were surprised by the large number of events not in our database in those three years. The reason behind the absence of so many events in the ISC database is not entirely clear. It is possible that due to time and resource limitations in the 1960s the ISC staff only created digital hypocentres for selected earthquakes (i.e., up to an amount that was possible to manage with the limited resources of the time). Nevertheless, such an addition to our database will allow us to significantly augment the Earth’s seismicity record in the immediate years before the start in 1964 of the rebuilt ISC Bulletin [Storchak et al., 2017, 2020].

The procedure to digitise these new events is only marginally different to the one described earlier. Instead of using a known event in our database,



**Figure 4.** Map (top) of the distribution of the targeted events in the ISC database for which station data in the BCIS has been digitised (symbols colour-coded by number of stations) and the corresponding annual event counts (bottom). Events classified as nuclear explosions are shown with crosshair symbols.


we have to create the event using the hypocentre parameters in the BCIS (i.e., origin time, latitude, longitude, depth if available, author) and use that as input to create the file with the predicted P-wave arrival and S-wave arrival truncated to the minute. The file is then edited and checked as already described and the hypocentre information used to create the new event in the database.

Figure 6 shows the distribution of the newly digitised events and the box-and-whisker plot of the number of stations associated to these events in each year. The vast majority of these events is located along subduction zones of the Pacific Ocean, but several events have been digitised around the Western US and mid-oceanic ridges. All events are earthquakes with the exception of 52 nuclear tests and one rock burst. Only a limited number of events has

been added in Eurasia and Australia-New Zealand, and none in the Japanese area. The latter feature is mostly due to the fact that our database contains the pre-digital JMA network bulletin.

It is worth mentioning that the depth we use to create these events is the one reported in the BCIS bulletins, and for about 30% of those it is 33 km. This was the default depth value generally adopted for shallow earthquakes by various authors (e.g., CGS, US Coast and Geodetic Survey). Such a default depth is likely to be revised for earthquakes that will be relocated in future activities (see Section 4).

The summary of the number of stations shown in Figure 6 outlines a similar pattern as discussed for the events in Figures 4, 5. Hence, we expect that within these earthquakes we have several of magnitude between 5 and 6.



**Figure 5.** Map (top) of the distribution of the stations (triangles) between 1950 and 1963 in the BCIS for the targeted events in Figure 4. Symbols colour-coded by number of targeted events for which each station contributed. Box-and-whisker plot (bottom) of the number of stations per event in each year. The box represents the 25%–75% quantile, the band inside the box the median and the ends of the whiskers represent the minimum and maximum of all data.

### 3.2. Event corrections


One additional benefit of this work, as already mentioned in the Introduction, is the verification of the event parameters in our record. Indeed, when digitising earthquake parameters from printed bulletins, it is possible to have cases where either coordinates and/or origin time are wrong, and only by digitising the associated data one can rectify faulty entries. One of such examples was pointed out by Okal and Langenhorst [2000] for the 11 July 1960 earthquake at 07:33:32 that in the ISC Bulletin is currently

listed at latitude =  $-54.0$  and longitude =  $-140.5$  (Flinn-Engdahl geographic region = Pacific-Antarctic ridge). When attempting to relocate this event, Okal and Langenhorst [2000] pointed out that the initial hypocentre is affected by a typographic error in the longitude, which is actually  $+140.5$  (Flinn-Engdahl geographic region = West of Macquarie Islands).

By digitising stations for the targeted events and with the post-editing file checks described earlier allowed us to correct several events with:

- wrong event type (e.g., earthquakes that in fact are mine-related events or nuclear





**Figure 6.** Map (top) of the distribution of the 1961–1963 newly digitised events originally missing in the ISC database (symbols colour-coded by the event depth reported in BCIS). Events classified as nuclear explosions are shown with crosshair symbols. As in Figure 5, box-and-whisker plot (bottom) of the number of stations per event in each year.

explosions). This has been possible thanks to the comments within the BCIS event block information;


- errors in origin time (e.g., wrong hour);
- errors that require an event to be banished or with errors in origin time and/or coordinates that once identified resulted in the event being regrouped (i.e., merged with another event);
- errors in coordinates (e.g., wrong sign for longitude or latitude, swapped latitude-longitude and other typographical errors).

An overview of the events affected by all types of corrections is shown in Figure 7. The details of the original data and the corresponding corrections are

available in a dedicated file in the supplementary material of Di Giacomo [2022].

### 3.3. *Events without associated data*

Despite our efforts, we could not associate station data to all targeted events in the ISC database. The geographical distribution and timeline of the events left without associated data is summarised in Figure 8. Most of them are likely too small to be listed in a global bulletin such as ISS and BCIS, particularly for all of those located in the California and western US. For example, 95 events occur in that area in 1950 and with magnitude about 4.5 and below. A few events in north Japan are immediate aftershocks of the 1952-03-04 Tokachi-Oki earthquake ( $M_w = 8.1$ ). For the



**Figure 7.** Summary of the events with updated event type (top left), corrected origin time (top right), merged or banished (bottom left) and with coordinate corrections (bottom right). In the latter black filled circles and purple stars represent the original and corrected epicentre, respectively, that are connected by a black line. The timeline of these events is shown below the maps with each segment colour-coded according to the correction applied (see maps).


events in other areas still lacking associated data, we plan to search for data in individual printed station bulletins [Di Giacomo et al., 2022], ideally from close-by stations or networks. If in doing so instrumental data is found, we will be able to verify and validate these events. In Section 3.2 we have shown how important this aspect is for removing spurious entries in the record of Earth's seismicity. This will be part of a set of future activities as detailed in the next section.

#### 4. Future activities

The annual files of the BCIS digitisation resulting from this work are included in the supplementary material of Di Giacomo [2022]. These files list the events digitised in chronological order. They are formatted as shown at the bottom of Figure 3, but with

the exception of incomplete arrival times being removed.

Already as it is, such dataset will give us the possibility to augment the content of the ISC Bulletin 1950–1963. However, the BCIS digitisation for the targeted events give us the possibility to proceed with further activities. These include relocation [Bondár et al., 2015] and further search of magnitude related data [as the ISS, BCIS does not list amplitudes and periods required for magnitude reassessment, Di Giacomo et al., 2015a]. The relocation task would not be possible without the BCIS data digitised with this work. Indeed, compiling arrival times from individual printed bulletins of stations worldwide is a very time consuming effort and may not be possible for many stations since original printed bulletins may not have been produced or no longer available. With



**Figure 8.** Summary of the events in the ISC database still without associated data (geographical distribution on top and timeline at the bottom).

regard to the magnitude reassessment, it is our plan to search in station bulletins for data that will allow us to recompute, in particular, the surface wave magnitude (MS). When searching for magnitude related data in the station bulletins we will also search for any phase arrival time (i.e., not necessarily listing amplitude and periods of surface waves) to associate (and hence validate) to the events still without data discussed in Section 3.3. Once such tasks are fulfilled, we expect to see significant improvements in terms of completeness both for the ISC Bulletin and the ISC MS dataset [Di Giacomo and Storchak, 2022] during 1953–1963. The largest earthquakes (about magnitude 5 and above) will also be added to the ISC-GEM Catalogue [Storchak et al., 2013, 2015, Di Giacomo et al., 2018].

Finally, we reiterate that the BCIS digitisation has been done only for a fraction of the events. We targeted only those events for which we have more to gain (i.e., events that previously had no associated data). However, even if an event is listed in the ISS with several measurements, it would be desirable to digitise the corresponding BCIS content. This would

give the possibility to confirm the data digitised from the ISS and potentially add new seismic arrivals. The BCIS digitisation procedure used here works well for events with up to a few dozen stations, but it would become cumbersome for large earthquakes, where BCIS listing can go over several pages. Therefore, to digitise all other events in BCIS we encourage the application of automatic techniques to the BCIS scans (e.g., optical character recognition and/or machine learning techniques) to produce digital files for each page of the scanned volumes. Once digital files are available, integrity checks can be run in the similar way as done during this work (i.e., checks on arrival times, phase names and so forth). The dataset we generated for the targeted events during 1950–1963 can be used as a benchmark to assess the potential of automatic digitisation techniques.

## 5. Conclusion

We described the effort by the ISC to digitise and make available to the community station data listed in the BCIS bulletins for targeted seismic events

between 1950 and 1963. Before this work, such events had no associated measurements in the ISC database. Thanks to the BCIS digitisation we have been able to verify the initial event parameter information in our record and rectify cases affected by various types of errors (e.g., wrong epicentral coordinates, change in event type and so forth). In the process we also identified and digitised data for about 4700 events in 1961–1963 originally not in the ISC database.

In total we have added nearly 450,000 seismic wave arrival times belonging to about 20,500 seismic events between 1950 and 1963. In doing so we improved the station histories and the preservation of such data is a way to recognise the work by thousands of station operators, analysts and seismologists around the world. Such dataset will be the starting point for further work, which primarily involves relocation and magnitude reassessment. The ultimate goal is to add as many earthquakes as possible in other products (e.g. ISC Bulletin, ISC MS dataset, ISC-GEM Catalogue) to improve their completeness in the 1950s and early 1960s. This way better inputs for seismological research (e.g., Earth's seismicity patterns, magnitude calibration, seismic hazard) will be available to the community.

Finally, more digitisation work can be done with BCIS by groups specialising in automatic character recognition of printed books. That would be important for all the large earthquakes we did not target since already listed in the ISS, whose content is fully digitised. The dataset we generated from our digitisation effort can be used as benchmark for automatic digitisation procedures.

## Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## Dedication

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

## Acknowledgments

Daniela Olaru, Rian Harris and Susana Carvalho were instrumental to this work for digitising the arrival

times of BCIS bulletins. The historical dataset of the Japanese Meteorological Agency (JMA) has been kindly provided by Nobuo Hamada. James Harris provided useful suggestions whilst we developed the procedure to digitise the BCIS bulletins. We are grateful to two anonymous reviewers for their comments that helped us to improve the manuscript. The ISC is able to continue its mission thanks to the support of its members (<http://www.isc.ac.uk/members/> last access: August 2022), including the National Science Foundation (NSF) Award 1811737. The authors are also grateful to sponsors that help to fund the ISC development projects: CTBTO, FM Global, the Lighthill Risk Network, AXA XL, SARA, GeoSIG and MS&AD. All figures were drawn using the Generic Mapping Tools [Wessel et al., 2013].

## Supplementary data

The dataset created for the work discussed in this article is available at the ISC Seismological Dataset Repository at <https://doi.org/10.31905/1KEW73S1>. ISS and BCIS scans are available on the ISC Electronic Archive of Printed Bulletins [Di Giacomo et al., 2022].

## References

- BCIS (1933–1968). Bureau Central International de Séismologie, 38, Boulevard d'Anvers, Strasbourg, France. Monthly issues. Available at <http://www.isc.ac.uk/printedStnBulletins/townpublist.php?townid=482>.
- Bondár, I., Engdahl, E. R., Villaseñor, A., Harris, J., and Storchak, D. (2015). ISC-GEM: Global Instrumental Earthquake Catalogue (1900–2009), II. Location and seismicity patterns. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.*, 239, 2–13.
- Di Giacomo, D. (2022). BCIS dataset for events with no data in the ISS for 1950–1963. *ISC Seismological Dataset Repository*.
- Di Giacomo, D., Bondár, I., Storchak, D. A., Engdahl, E. R., Bormann, P., and Harris, J. (2015a). ISC-GEM: Global Instrumental Earthquake Catalogue (1900–2009), III. Re-computed MS and mb, proxy MW, final magnitude composition and completeness assessment. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.*, 239, 33–47.
- Di Giacomo, D. and Dewey, J. W. (2020). The (Mythical) M 8.2 Off Coast of Peru earthquake of 12 December 1908. *Seismol. Res. Lett.*, 91(1), 488–498.

- Di Giacomo, D., Engdahl, E. R., and Storchak, D. A. (2018). The ISC-GEM Earthquake Catalogue (1904–2014): status after the Extension Project. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 10(4), 1877–1899.
- Di Giacomo, D., Harris, J., Villaseñor, A., Storchak, D. A., Engdahl, E. R., and Lee, W. H. (2015b). ISC-GEM: Global Instrumental Earthquake Catalogue (1900–2009), I. Data collection from early instrumental seismological bulletins. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.*, 239, 14–24.
- Di Giacomo, D., Olaru, D., Armstrong, A., Harris, J., and Storchak, D. A. (2022). The ISC electronic archive of printed station and network bulletins. *Seismol. Res. Lett.*, 93(2A), 749–752.
- Di Giacomo, D. and Storchak, D. A. (2022). One hundred plus years of recomputed surface wave magnitude of shallow global earthquakes. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 14(2), 393–409.
- Engdahl, E. R. and Villaseñor, A. (2002). Global seismicity: 1900–1999. In Lee, W., Kanamori, H., Jennings, J., and Kisslinger, C., editors, *International Handbook of Earthquake and Engineering Seismology*, volume A, pages 665–690. Academic Press, San Diego. Chapter 41.
- International Seismological Centre (2022a). International Seismograph Station Registry (IR).
- International Seismological Centre (2022b). On-line bulletin.
- ISS (1918–1963). International Seismological Summary. Quarterly issues. Available at <http://www.isc.ac.uk/printedStnBulletins/townpublist.php?townid=544>.
- Okal, E. A. and Langenhorst, A. R. (2000). Seismic properties of the Eltanin Transform System, South Pacific. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.*, 119(3–4), 185–208.
- Rothé, J.-P. (1981). Fifty years of history of the International Association of Seismology (1901–1951). *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 71(3), 905–923.
- Storchak, D. A., Di Giacomo, D., Bondár, I., Engdahl, E. R., Harris, J., Lee, W. H. K., Villaseñor, A., and Bormann, P. (2013). Public release of the ISC-GEM Global Instrumental Earthquake Catalogue (1900–2009). *Seismol. Res. Lett.*, 84(5), 810–815.
- Storchak, D. A., Di Giacomo, D., Engdahl, E. R., Harris, J., Bondár, I., Lee, W. H. K., Bormann, P., and Villaseñor, A. (2015). The ISC-GEM Global Instrumental Earthquake Catalogue (1900–2009): Introduction. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.*, 239, 48–63.
- Storchak, D. A., Harris, J., Brown, L., Lieser, K., Shumba, B., Verney, R., Di Giacomo, D., and Korger, E. I. M. (2017). Rebuild of the bulletin of the International Seismological Centre (ISC), part 1: 1964–1979. *Geosci. Lett.*, 4, article no. 32.
- Storchak, D. A., Harris, J., Brown, L., Lieser, K., Shumba, B. T., and Di Giacomo, D. (2020). Rebuild of the Bulletin of the International Seismological Centre (ISC)—part 2: 1980–2010. *Geosci. Lett.*, 7(1), article no. 18.
- Villaseñor, A. and Engdahl, E. (2005). A digital hypocentre catalog for the International Seismological Summary. *Seismol. Res. Lett.*, 76, 554–559.
- Wessel, P., Smith, W. H. F., Scharroo, R., Luis, J., and Wobbe, F. (2013). Generic mapping tools: improved version released. *Eos, Trans. Am. Geophys. Union*, 94(45), 409–410.