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1. Introduction

When discretizing the Poisson equation with Lagrange finite elements, flux equilibrated error estimators can be employed to build polynomial-degree-robust (or $p$-robust for short) a posteriori error estimators [1, 9]. This property, which is particularly important for $hp$-adaptivity (see for
instance [4] and the references therein), means that the local a posteriori error estimator is, up to
data oscillation, a lower bound of the local approximation error, up to a constant that is independent of the polynomial degree (the constant can depend on the shape-regularity of the mesh). It turns out that one of the cornerstones of $p$-robust local efficiency is a $p$-robust $H(\text{div})$-stability result of a discrete minimization problem posed in a single mesh tetrahedron. More precisely, let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a non-degenerate tetrahedron and let $\phi \in \mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_K$ be a (sub)set of its faces. Then there is a constant $C$ such that for every polynomial degree $p \geq 0$ and all polynomial data $r_F \in \mathcal{P}_p(K)$ and $r_F \in \mathcal{P}_p(F)$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}$, such that $(r_F, 1)_F = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} (r_F, 1)_F$ if $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_K$ (detailed notation is explained below), one has

$$
\min_{\nabla \cdot v_p = r_K} \|v_p\|_{0,K} \leq C \min_{\nabla \cdot v = r_K} \|v\|_{0,K}.
$$

This result is shown in [10, Lemma A.3], and its proof relies on [7, Theorem 7.1] and [3, Proposition 4.2]. Importantly, the constant $C$ in (1) only depends on the shape-regularity of $K$, that is, the ratio of its diameter to the diameter of its largest inscribed ball. Notice that the converse bound of (1) trivially holds with constant 1. The stability result stated in (1) is remarkable since it states that the minimizer from the discrete minimization set performs as well as the minimizer from the continuous minimization set, up to a $p$-robust constant.

The main contribution of the present work is to establish the counterpart of (1) for the Nédélec finite elements of order $p \geq 0$ and the Sobolev space $H(\text{curl})$. As in the $H(\text{div})$ case, our discrete stability result relies on two key technical tools: a stable polynomial-preserving lifting of volume data from [3, Proposition 4.2], and stable polynomial-preserving liftings of boundary data from [5–7]. Our main result, Theorem 2 below, may appear as a somewhat expected consequence of these lifting operators, but our motivation here is to provide all the mathematical details of the proofs, which turn out to be nontrivial and in particular more complex than in [10, Lemma A.3]. In particular the notion of tangential traces in $H(\text{curl})$ is somewhat delicate, and we employ a slightly different definition compared to [5–7]. Theorem 2 is to be used as a building block in the construction of a $p$-robust a posteriori error estimator for curl-curl problems. This construction is in particular analyzed in [2].

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. We introduce basic notions in Section 2 so as to state our main result, Theorem 2. Then Section 3 presents its proof.

2. Statement of the main result

2.1. Tetrahedron

Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be an arbitrary tetrahedron. We assume that $K$ is non-degenerate, i.e., the volume of $K$ is positive. We employ the notation

$$
h_K := \max_{x, y \in K} |x - y|, \quad \rho_K := \max \left\{ d \geq 0 \middle| \exists x \in K; B \left( x, \frac{d}{2} \right) \subset K \right\},
$$

for the diameter of $K$ and the diameter of the largest closed ball contained in $\overline{K}$. Then $\kappa_K := h_K / \rho_K$ is the so-called shape-regularity parameter of $K$. Let $\mathcal{F}_K$ be the set of faces of $K$, and for every face $F \in \mathcal{F}_K$, we denote by $n_F$ the unit vector normal to $F$ pointing outward $K$.

2.2. Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces

The space of square-integrable scalar-valued (resp. vector-valued) functions on $K$ is denoted by $L^2(K)$ (resp. $L^2(K)$), and we use the notation $(\cdot, \cdot)_K$ and $\|\cdot\|_{0,K}$ for, respectively, the inner product
and the associated norm of both $L^2(K)$ and $L^2(K)$. $H^1(K)$ is the usual Sobolev space of scalar-valued functions with weak gradient in $L^2(K)$, and $H^1(K)$ is the space of vector-valued functions having all their components in $H^1(K)$, with $\cdot |_{1,K}$ denoting the $L^2(K)$ norm of the weak gradient.

If $F \in \mathcal{F}_K$ is a face of $K$, then $L^2(F)$ is the set of vector-valued functions that are square-integrable with respect to the surfacic measure of $F$. For all $w \in H^1(K)$, we define the tangential component of $w$ on $F$ as

$$\pi_F^T(w) := w|_F - (w|_F \cdot n_F)n_F \in L^2(F).$$

More generally, if $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_K$ is a nonempty (sub)set of the faces of $K$, we employ the notation $\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}} \subseteq \partial K$ for the corresponding part of the boundary of $K$, and $L^2(\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}})$ is the associate Lebesgue space of square-integrable functions over $\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}$.

2.3. Nédélec and Raviart–Thomas polynomial spaces

For any polynomial degree $p \geq 0$, the notation $\mathcal{P}_p(K)$ stands for the space of vector-valued polynomials such that all their components belong to $\mathcal{P}_p(K)$ which is composed of the restriction to $K$ of real-valued polynomials of total degree at most $p$. Following [12, 13], we define the polynomial spaces of Nédélec and Raviart–Thomas functions as follows:

$$\mathcal{N}_p(K) := \mathcal{P}_p(K) + x \times \mathcal{P}_p(K) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{RT}_p(K) := \mathcal{P}_p(K) + x \mathcal{P}_p(K).$$

Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_K$ be a nonempty (sub)set of the faces of $K$. On $\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}$, we define the (piecewise) polynomial space composed of the tangential traces of the Nédélec polynomials

$$\mathcal{N}^T(\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}) := \{w_{\mathcal{F}} \in L^2(\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}) \mid \exists v_p \in \mathcal{N}_p(K); w_F := (w_{\mathcal{F}})|_F = \pi_F^T(v_p) \ \forall F \in \mathcal{F}\}. \quad (3)$$

Note that $w_{\mathcal{F}} \in \mathcal{N}^T(\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}})$ if and only if $w_F \in \mathcal{N}_p(\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}})$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and whenever $\mathcal{F}$ contains two or more faces, $|\mathcal{F}| \geq 2$, for every pair $(F_-, F_+)$ of distinct faces in $\mathcal{F}$, the compatibility condition $(w_F)|_F \cdot \tau_e = (w_F)|_e \cdot \tau_e$ holds true on their common edge $e := F_+ \cap F_-$, i.e., the tangential trace is continuous along $e$; here $\tau_e$ stands for a unit tangent vector orienting the edge $e$. For all $w_{\mathcal{F}} \in \mathcal{N}_p^T(\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}})$, we define its surface curl as

$$\text{curl}_F(w_F) := (\nabla \times v_p)|_F \cdot n_F \quad \forall F \in \mathcal{F}, \quad (4)$$

where $v_p$ is any element of $\mathcal{N}_p(K)$ such that $w_F = \pi_F^T(v_p)$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}$. This function is well-defined independently of the choice of $v_p$.

2.4. Weak tangential traces for fields in $H(\text{curl}, K)$ by integration by parts

Let $H(\text{curl}, K) := \{v \in L^2(K) \mid \nabla \times v \in L^2(K)\}$ denote the Sobolev space composed of square-integrable vector-valued fields with square-integrable curl. We equip this space with the norm $\|v\|_{\text{curl}, K}^2 := \|\nabla \times v\|_{0,K}^2 + \ell_K^2 \|\nabla \times v\|_{0,K}^2$, where $\ell_K$ is a length scale associated with $K$, e.g., $\ell_K := h_K$ (the choice of $\ell_K$ is irrelevant in what follows).

For any field $v \in H^1(K)$, its tangential trace on a face $F \in \mathcal{F}_K$ can be defined by using (2). This notion of (tangential) trace is defined (almost everywhere) on $F$ without invoking test functions. The situation for a field in $H(\text{curl}, K)$ is more delicate. The tangential trace over the whole boundary of $K$ can be defined by duality, but it is not straightforward to define the tangential trace on a part of the boundary of $K$. While it is possible to use restriction operators [5–7], we prefer a somewhat more direct definition based on integration by parts. This approach is also more convenient when manipulating (curl-preserving) covariant Piola transformations (see, e.g., [8, Section 7.2] and Section 3.3 below), which is of importance, e.g., when mapping tetrahedra of a mesh to a reference tetrahedron.

In this work, we consider the following definition of the tangential trace on a (sub)set $\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}} \subseteq \partial K$. 
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Definition 1 (Tangential trace by integration by parts). Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a non-degenerate tetrahedron and let $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}_K$ be a nonempty (sub)set of its faces. Let $r_\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{N}_p^r(\Gamma_\mathcal{F})$ as well as $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{H}(\text{curl}, K)$. We will employ the notation "$\mathbf{v}|_{\mathcal{F}} = r_\mathcal{F}$" to say that
\[
(\nabla \times \mathbf{v}, \phi)_K - (\mathbf{v}, \nabla \times \phi)_K = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} (r_F, \phi \times \mathbf{n}_F)_F \quad \forall \phi \in \mathbf{H}^1_{\text{tangential}}(K),
\]
where
\[
\mathbf{H}^1_{\text{tangential}}(K) := \{ \mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{H}^1(K) \mid \mathbf{\pi}_F^T(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{0} \quad \forall \mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{F}^c := \mathcal{F}_K \setminus \mathcal{F} \}.
\]
Whenever $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{H}^1(K)$, $\mathbf{v}|_{\mathcal{F}} = r_\mathcal{F}$ if and only if $\mathbf{\pi}_F^T(\mathbf{v}) = r_F$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}$.

2.5. Main result

We are now ready to state our main result. The proof is given in Section 3.

Theorem 2 (Stability of $\mathbf{H}(\text{curl})$ discrete minimization in a tetrahedron). Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a non-degenerate tetrahedron and let $\phi \subset \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}_K$ be a (sub)set of its faces. Then, for every polynomial degree $p \geq 0$, for all $r_K \in \mathcal{N}_p(\Gamma_K)$ such that $\mathbf{r} \cdot r_K = 0$, and, if $\phi \neq \mathcal{F}$, for all $r_\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{N}_p^r(\Gamma_\mathcal{F})$ such that $r_K \cdot \mathbf{n}_F = \text{curl}_F(r_F)$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}$, the following holds:
\[
\min_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{H}(\text{curl}, K)} \| \mathbf{v}_p \|_{0,K} \leq C_{st,K} \min_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{H}(\text{curl}, K)} \| \mathbf{v} \|_{0,K},
\]
where the condition on the tangential trace in the minimizing sets is null if $\phi = \mathcal{F}$. Both minimizers in (5) are uniquely defined and the constant $C_{st,K}$ only depends on the shape-regularity parameter $\kappa_K$ of $K$, so that it is in particular independent of $p$.

3. Proof of the main result

The discrete minimization set in (5), which is a subset of the continuous minimization set, is nonempty owing to classical properties of the Nédélec polynomials and the compatibility conditions imposed on the data $r_K$ and $r_\mathcal{F}$. This implies the existence and uniqueness of both minimizers owing to standard convexity arguments.

The proof of the bound (5) proceeds in three steps. First we establish in Section 3.1 the bound for minimization problems without trace constraints. This first stability result crucially relies on [3] and is established directly on the given tetrahedron $K \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. Then we establish in Section 3.3 the bound for minimization problems with homogeneous curl constraints. This second stability result crucially relies on the results of [6, 7]. Since the notion of tangential trace employed therein slightly differs from the present one, we first establish in Section 3.2 some auxiliary results on tangential traces. We then prove the stability result by first working on the reference tetrahedron in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and then by mapping the fields defined on the given tetrahedron $K \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ to fields defined on the reference tetrahedron. In all cases, the existence and uniqueness of the minimizers follows by the same arguments as above. Finally, in Section 3.4 we combine both results so as to prove Theorem 2.

To simplify the notation we write $A \lesssim B$ for two nonnegative numbers $A$ and $B$ if there exists a constant $C$ that only depends on the shape-regularity parameter $\kappa_K$ of $K$ but is independent of $p$ such that $A \leq CB$. The value of $C$ can change at each occurrence.
3.1. Step 1: Minimization without trace constraints

Lemma 3 (Minimization without trace constraint). Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a non-degenerate tetrahedron. Let $r_K \in \mathcal{RT}_p(K)$ be such that $\nabla \cdot r_K = 0$. The following holds:

$$\min_{\nu_p \in \mathcal{N}_p(K)} \|\nu_p\|_{0,K} \leq \min_{\nu \in H(\text{curl},K) \atop \nabla \times \nu = r_K} \|\nu\|_{0,K}. \quad (6)$$

Proof. (1). Let us first show that

$$\|r_K\|_{-1,K} \leq \min_{\nu \in H(\text{curl},K) \atop \nabla \times \nu = r_K} \|\nu\|_{0,K}. \quad (7)$$

Indeed, for every $\nu \in H(\text{curl},K)$ such that $\nabla \times \nu = r_K$, we have

$$\|r_K\|_{-1,K} := \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{H}_1^0(K)} (r_K,\phi)_K = \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{H}_1^0(K)} (\nabla \times \nu,\phi)_K \quad (8)$$

$$= \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{H}_1^0(K)} (\nu,\nabla \times \phi)_K \leq \|\nu\|_{0,K} \left( \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{H}_1^0(K)} \|\nabla \times \phi\|_{0,K} \right) \leq \|\nu\|_{0,K},$$

since $\|\nabla \times \phi\|_{0,K} \leq |\phi|_{1,K}$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{H}_1^0(K)$. The claim follows by taking the minimum (which exists owing to standard convexity arguments) over all $\nu \in H(\text{curl},K)$ such that $\nabla \times \nu = r_K$.

(2). Since $\nabla \cdot r_K = 0$, [3, Proposition 4.2] ensures the existence of an element $w_p \in \mathcal{N}_p(K)$ such that $\nabla \times w_p = r_K$ and

$$\|w_p\|_{0,K} \leq \|r_K\|_{-1,K}. \quad (9)$$

We can conclude using (1) since

$$\min_{\nu_p \in \mathcal{N}_p(K) \atop \nabla \times \nu_p = r_K} \|\nu_p\|_{0,K} \leq \|w_p\|_{0,K} \leq \|r_K\|_{-1,K} \leq \min_{\nu \in H(\text{curl},K) \atop \nabla \times \nu = r_K} \|\nu\|_{0,K}. \quad (10)$$

This proves (6). \qed

3.2. Auxiliary results on the tangential component

We first establish a density result concerning the space composed of $H(\text{curl},K)$ functions with vanishing tangential trace on $\Gamma_\mathcal{F}$ in the sense of Definition 1. We consider the subspace

$$H_{\Gamma_\mathcal{F}}(\text{curl},K) := \{ \nu \in H(\text{curl},K) \mid |\nu|_{\Gamma_\mathcal{F}} = 0 \}, \quad (11)$$

equipped with the $\|\|_{\text{curl},K}$-norm defined above.

Lemma 4 (Density). Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a non-degenerate tetrahedron and let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_K$ be a nonempty (sub)set of its faces. The space $\mathcal{C}_\mathcal{F}^\infty(K) := \{ \nu \in \mathcal{C}_\mathcal{F}^\infty(K) \mid |\nu|_{\Gamma_\mathcal{F}} = 0 \}$ is dense in $H_{\Gamma_\mathcal{F}}(\text{curl},K)$.

Proof. Recalling [11, Remark 3.1], if $w \in H^{-1/2}(\partial K)$, we can define its restriction $w|_{\Gamma_\mathcal{F}} \in (H_0^{1/2}(\Gamma_\mathcal{F}))'$ by setting

$$\langle w|_{\Gamma_\mathcal{F}}, \phi \rangle_\partial := \langle w, \phi \rangle_\partial \quad \forall \phi \in H_0^{1/2}(\Gamma_\mathcal{F}), \quad (12)$$

where $\phi \in H^{1/2}(\partial K)$ denotes the zero-extension of $\phi$ to $\partial K$. Following [11], we then introduce the space

$$V_{\Gamma_\mathcal{F}}(K) := \{ \nu \in H(\text{curl},K) \mid (\nu \times n)|_{\Gamma_\mathcal{F}} = 0 \}. \quad (13)$$

Proposition 3.6 of [11] states that $\mathcal{C}_\mathcal{F}^\infty(K)$ is dense in $V_{\Gamma_\mathcal{F}}(K)$. Thus, it remains to show that $H_{\Gamma_\mathcal{F}}(\text{curl},K) \subset V_{\Gamma_\mathcal{F}}(K)$. Let $\nu \in H_{\Gamma_\mathcal{F}}(\text{curl},K)$. For all $\theta \in H_0^{1/2}(\Gamma_\mathcal{F})$, we have $\overline{\theta} \in H^{1/2}(\partial K)$, and **C. R. Mathématique, 2020, 358, no 9-10, 1101-1110**
there exists \( \phi \in H^1(K) \) such that \( \tilde{\theta} = \phi|_{\partial K} \). In addition, since \( \tilde{\theta}|_{\partial K \cap \Gamma_\mathcal{F}} = 0 \), we have \( \phi \in H^1_{\mathcal{F}}(K) \), and in particular \( \phi \in H^1_{\Gamma_\mathcal{F}}(K) \). Then using (8), integration by parts, and Definition 1, we have
\[
\langle (v \times n)|_{\Gamma_\mathcal{F}}, \theta \rangle = \langle v \times n, \tilde{\theta} \rangle_{\partial K} = \langle (v \times n, \phi)|_{\partial K} \rangle_{\partial K} = \langle (v, \nabla \times \phi)|_K - (\nabla \times v, \phi)|_K = 0,
\]
since \( v \in H_{\Gamma_\mathcal{F}}(\text{curl}, K) \). Hence \( (v \times n)|_{\Gamma_\mathcal{F}} = 0 \), and therefore \( v \in V_{\Gamma_\mathcal{F}}(K) \). \( \square \)

Since we are going to invoke key lifting results established in [6, 7], we now recall the main notation employed therein (see [6, Section 2]). Let
\[
\text{trc}^T_K : H(\text{curl}, K) \to H^{-1/2} (\partial K)
\]
be the usual tangential trace operator obtained as in Definition 1 with \( \mathcal{F} := \mathcal{F}_K \) and let us equip the image space
\[
X^{-1/2}(\partial K) := \text{trc}^T_K (H(\text{curl}, K))
\]
with the quotient norm
\[
\|w\|_{X^{-1/2}(\partial K)} := \inf_{\text{trc}^T_K (v) = w} \|v\|_{H(\text{curl}, K)}.
\]
(9)

For each face \( F \in \mathcal{F}_K \), there exists a Hilbert function space \( X^{-1/2}(F) \) and a (linear and continuous) “restriction” operator \( R_F : X^{-1/2}(\partial K) \to X^{-1/2}(F) \) that coincides with the usual pointwise restriction for smooth functions. In particular, we have
\[
R_F(\text{trc}^T_K (v_p)) = \pi_F^T(v_p) \quad \forall \ v_p \in \mathcal{N}_p(K),
\]
with the tangential trace operator defined in (2). We have thus introduced two notions of “local traces” for \( H(\text{curl}, K) \) functions. On the one hand, Definition 1 defines an equality for traces on \( \Gamma_\mathcal{F} \) based on integration by parts. On the other hand, the restriction operators \( R_F \) provide another notion of trace on any face \( F \in \mathcal{F} \). The following result provides a connection between these two notions.

Lemma 5 (Trace restriction). Let \( K \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \) be a non-degenerate tetrahedron and let \( \mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_K \) be a nonempty (sub)set of its faces. For all \( r_\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{N}^T_p(\Gamma_\mathcal{F}) \) and all \( \phi \in H(\text{curl}, K) \), if \( \phi|_{\Gamma_\mathcal{F}} = r_\mathcal{F} \) according to Definition 1, then
\[
R_F(\text{trc}^T_K (\phi)) = r_F \quad \forall \ F \in \mathcal{F}.
\]

Proof. Let \( r_\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{N}^T_p(\Gamma_\mathcal{F}) \). Recalling definition (3) of \( \mathcal{N}^T_p(\Gamma_\mathcal{F}) \) and the last line of Definition 1, there exists \( v_p \in \mathcal{N}_p(K) \) such that \( v_p|_{\partial K} = r_\mathcal{F} \). Consider an arbitrary function \( \phi \in H(\text{curl}, K) \) satisfying \( \phi|_{\Gamma_\mathcal{F}} = r_\mathcal{F} \) and set \( \tilde{\phi} := \phi - v_p \in H(\text{curl}, K) \). By linearity we have \( \tilde{\phi}|_{\Gamma_\mathcal{F}} = 0 \). Using again the fact that \( v_p \) is smooth (recall that it is a polynomial), we also have
\[
R_F(\text{trc}^T_K (v_p)) = r_F \quad \forall \ F \in \mathcal{F}.
\]
Thus, by linearity, it remains to show that \( R_F(\text{trc}^T_K (\tilde{\phi})) = 0 \) for all \( F \in \mathcal{F} \). Recalling (7), the identity \( \tilde{\phi}|_{\Gamma_\mathcal{F}} = 0 \) means that \( \tilde{\phi} \in H_{\Gamma_\mathcal{F}}(\text{curl}, K) \). By Lemma 4, there exists a sequence \( (\phi_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{N}^\infty_{\Gamma_\mathcal{F}}(K) \) that converges to \( \tilde{\phi} \) in \( H_{\Gamma_\mathcal{F}}(\text{curl}, K) \). Now consider a face \( F \in \mathcal{F} \). Since each function \( \phi_m \) is smooth, we easily see that \( \|R_F(\text{trc}^T_K (\phi_m))\|_{X^{-1/2}(F)} = 0 \). Then, since the map \( H(\text{curl}, K) \ni v \mapsto \|R_F(\text{trc}^T_K (v))\|_{X^{-1/2}(F)} \) is continuous, we have
\[
\|R_F(\text{trc}^T_K (\tilde{\phi}))\|_{X^{-1/2}(F)} = \lim_{m \to +\infty} \|R_F(\text{trc}^T_K (\phi_m))\|_{X^{-1/2}(F)} = 0,
\]
so that \( R_F(\text{trc}^T_K (\tilde{\phi})) = 0 \), which concludes the proof. \( \square \)
3.3. Step 2: Minimization with homogeneous curl constraints

To avoid subtle issues concerning the equivalence of norms, we first establish the stability result concerning minimization with homogeneous curl constraints on the reference tetrahedron \( \hat{K} \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \) with vertices \((1,0,0), (0,1,0), (0,0,1), \) and \((0,0,0)\).

**Lemma 6 (Curl-free minimization, reference tetrahedron).** Let \( \hat{K} \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \) be the reference tetrahedron and let \( \hat{\mathcal{F}} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{\hat{K}} \) be a nonempty (sub)set of its faces. Then, for every polynomial degree \( p \geq 0 \) and for all \( \hat{r} \in \mathcal{N}_p^\text{tr}(\hat{\mathcal{F}}) \) such that \( \text{curl}(\hat{r}) = 0 \) for all \( \hat{F} \in \hat{\mathcal{F}} \), the following holds:

\[
\min_{v_p \in \hat{\mathcal{N}}_p(\hat{K})} \|v_p\|_{0,\hat{K}} \lesssim \min_{v \in H(\text{curl}, \hat{K})} \|v\|_{0,\hat{K}}. \tag{11}
\]

**Proof.** The proof proceeds in two steps.

(1). Using a key lifting result that is a direct consequence of [6, 7], let us first establish that

\[
\min_{v_p \in \hat{\mathcal{N}}_p(\hat{K})} \|v_p\|_{0,\hat{K}} \lesssim \min_{v \in H(\text{curl}, \hat{K})} \|v\|_{0,\hat{K}}. \tag{12}
\]

Let us define \( \hat{w}^* := \text{tr}_{\hat{K}}(v^*) \in X^{-1/2}(\partial \hat{K}) \). Since \( \nabla \times \hat{w}^* = 0 \), we have \( \|\hat{w}^*\|_{\text{curl}, \hat{K}} = \|\hat{w}^*\|_{0,\hat{K}} \), and the definition (9) of the quotient norm of \( X^{-1/2}(\partial \hat{K}) \) implies that

\[
\|\hat{w}^*\|_{X^{-1/2}(\partial \hat{K})} \leq \|\hat{w}^*\|_{0,\hat{K}}. \tag{13}
\]

Since \( R_{\hat{F}}(\text{tr}_{\hat{K}}(v^*)) = \hat{r} \), we have \( R_{\hat{F}}(\hat{w}^*) = \hat{r} \) for all \( \hat{F} \in \hat{\mathcal{F}} \). We assume that the faces \( \mathcal{F}_{\hat{K}} \) of \( \hat{K} \) are numbered as \( \hat{F}_1, \ldots, \hat{F}_4 \) in such a way that the \( n := |\hat{\mathcal{F}}| \) first faces are the elements of \( \hat{\mathcal{F}} \). We introduce a “partial lifting” \( \hat{v}_p \in \mathcal{N}_p(\hat{K}) \) of \( v^* \) using [6, Equation (7.1)] but taking only the \( n \) first summands. Then, one sees from [6, Proof of Theorem 7.2] that

\[
\|\hat{v}_p\|_{\text{curl}, \hat{K}} \lesssim \|v^*\|_{X^{-1/2}(\partial \hat{K})} \tag{14}
\]

and \( R_{\hat{F}}(\text{tr}_{\hat{K}}(\hat{v}_p)) = \hat{r} \) for all \( \hat{F} \in \hat{\mathcal{F}} \). Thus, relying on (10) we have \( \pi_{\hat{F}}(\hat{v}_p) = \hat{r} \) for all \( \hat{F} \in \hat{\mathcal{F}} \), and we notice that the last line of Definition 1 also equivalently gives \( \hat{v}_p|_{\hat{F}} = \hat{\mathcal{F}} \).

We must now check that \( \nabla \times \hat{v}_p = 0 \). This is possible since the \( H(\text{curl}, \hat{K}) \) and \( H(\text{div}, \hat{K}) \) trace liftings introduced in [6, 7] commute in an appropriate sense. Specifically, recalling that \( \text{curl}_{\hat{F}}(\hat{r}) = 0 \) for all \( \hat{F} \in \hat{\mathcal{F}} \), using the identity \( \nabla \times \hat{v}_p = \nabla \times \hat{v}_p \cdot n_{\hat{F}} \) valid for all \( \hat{F} \in \hat{\mathcal{F}} \) (recall that \( n_{\hat{F}} \) conventionally points outward \( \hat{K} \)), see (4), and with the help of Theorem 3.1 and Propositions 4.1, 5.1, and 6.1 of [7], one shows by induction on the summands that \( \nabla \times \hat{v}_p = 0 \).

Now, since \( \hat{v}_p \) belongs to the discrete minimization set and using (14) and (13), (12) follows from

\[
\|v_p\|_{0,\hat{K}} \leq \|\hat{v}_p\|_{0,\hat{K}} = \|\hat{v}_p\|_{\text{curl}, \hat{K}} \lesssim \|v^*\|_{X^{-1/2}(\partial \hat{K})} \leq \|\hat{w}^*\|_{0,\hat{K}}. \tag{15}
\]

(2). Let us now establish (11). We first invoke Lemma 5. If \( v \in H(\text{curl}, \hat{K}) \) satisfies \( v|_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}} = \hat{\mathcal{F}} \), it follows that \( R_{\hat{F}}(\text{tr}_{\hat{K}}(v)) = \hat{r} \) for all \( \hat{F} \in \hat{\mathcal{F}} \). As a result, we have

\[
\min_{v \in H(\text{curl}, \hat{K})} \|v\|_{0,\hat{K}} \leq \min_{v \in H(\text{curl}, \hat{K})} \|v\|_{0,\hat{K}}. \tag{16}
\]
the minimization set of the left-hand side being (possibly) larger. Invoking (12) then gives
\[
\min_{\nu_p \in \mathcal{N}_p(\hat{K})} \| \nu_p \|_{0,\hat{K}} \leq \min_{\nu \in H(\text{curl}, K)} \| \nu \|_{0,\hat{K}},
\]
and we conclude the proof by observing that
\[
\min_{\nu_p \in \mathcal{N}_p(\hat{K})} \| \nu_p \|_{0,\hat{K}} = \min_{\nu \in H(\text{curl}, K)} \| \nu \|_{0,\hat{K}},
\]
the two notions of local trace being equivalent for the discrete functions in \( \mathcal{N}_p(\hat{K}) \).

To establish the counterpart of Lemma 6 in a generic non-degenerate tetrahedron \( K \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \), we are going to invoke the covariant Piola mapping (see, e.g., [8, Section 7.2]). Consider any invertible affine geometric mapping \( T : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \) such that \( K = T(\hat{K}) \). Let \( J_T \) be the (constant) Jacobian matrix of \( T \) (we do not require that \( \det J_T \) is positive, and in any case we have \( | \det J_T | = |K|/|\hat{K}| \)). The affine mapping \( T \) can be identified by specifying the image of each vertex of \( \hat{K} \). The covariant Piola mapping \( \psi_T : H(\text{curl}, K) \rightarrow H(\text{curl}, \hat{K}) \) is defined as follows:
\[
\tilde{v} := \psi_T(v) = (J_T)^T (v \circ T). \tag{15}
\]
It is well-known that \( \psi_T \) maps bijectively \( \mathcal{N}_p(K) \) to \( \mathcal{N}_p(\hat{K}) \) for any polynomial degree \( p \geq 0 \). Moreover, for all \( v \in H(\text{curl}, K) \), we have
\[
\nabla \times v = 0 \iff \nabla \times \tilde{v} = 0, \tag{16}
\]
as well as the following \( L^2 \)-stability properties:
\[
\frac{\rho_K}{h_K} \| v \|_{0,K} \leq | \det J_T |^{\frac{1}{2}} \| \psi_T(v) \|_{0,\hat{K}} \leq \frac{h_K}{\rho_K} \| v \|_{0,K}. \tag{17}
\]
Finally the covariant Piola mapping preserves tangential traces. This implies in particular that for all \( F \in \mathcal{F}_K \), setting \( \hat{F} := T^{-1}(F) \), we have for all \( v \in H^1(K) \)
\[
\pi_{\hat{F}}(v) = 0 \iff \pi_\hat{F}(\tilde{v}) = 0. \tag{18}
\]
Finally, for all \( v \in H(\text{curl}, K) \), for every nonempty (sub)set \( \mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_K \), and for all \( r_{\mathcal{F}} \in \mathcal{N}_p^1(\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}) \), we have
\[
\tilde{v}|_{\mathcal{F}} = r_{\mathcal{F}} \iff \tilde{v}|_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}} = \tilde{r}|_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}, \hat{F}}, \tag{19}
\]
where \( \hat{\mathcal{F}} := T^{-1}(\mathcal{F}) \) and \( \tilde{r}_{\hat{F}} := \pi_{\hat{F}}(\tilde{r}) \) is defined such that \( \tilde{r}_{\hat{F}} := (\tilde{r}_{\hat{F}})|_{\hat{F}} := \pi_{\hat{F}}(\tilde{r}) \) for all \( \hat{F} \in \hat{\mathcal{F}} \), where \( \tilde{r} := \psi_T(r_{\mathcal{F}}) \) and \( r_{\mathcal{F}} \) is any function in \( \mathcal{N}_p(\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}) \) such that \( r_{\mathcal{F}} := (r_{\mathcal{F}})|_{\mathcal{F}} := \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(r_{\mathcal{F}}) \) for all \( F \in \mathcal{F} \). The equivalence (19) is established by using Definition 1, the properties of the covariant Piola mapping, and the fact that \( \phi \in H^1(\mathcal{F}_K, K) \) if and only if \( \psi_T^*(\phi) \in H^1_{\Gamma,\mathcal{F}}(\hat{K}) \), which follows from (18).

**Lemma 7 (Curl-free minimization, generic tetrahedron).** Let \( K \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \) be a non-degenerate tetrahedron and let \( \mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_K \) be a nonempty (sub)set of its faces. Then, for every polynomial degree \( p \geq 0 \) and for all \( r_{\mathcal{F}} \in \mathcal{N}_p^1(\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}) \) such that \( \text{curl}_T(r_{\mathcal{F}}) = 0 \) for all \( F \in \mathcal{F} \), the following holds:
\[
\min_{\nu_p \in \mathcal{N}_p(K)} \| \nu_p \|_{0,K} \leq \min_{\nu \in H(\text{curl}, K)} \| \nu \|_{0,K}. \tag{20}
\]
Then, we define the mapping defined in (15). Let us set

\[ V(\tilde{K}) := \{ \tilde{v} \in H(\text{curl}, \tilde{K}) \mid \nabla \times \tilde{v} = 0, \ \tilde{v}|_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}} = \tilde{r}_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}} \}, \]

\[ V_p(\tilde{K}) := V(\tilde{K}) \cap \mathcal{N}_p(\tilde{K}), \]

\[ V(K) := \{ v \in H(\text{curl}, K) \mid \nabla \times v = 0, v|_{\mathcal{F}} = r_{\mathcal{F}} \}, \]

\[ V_p(K) := V(K) \cap \mathcal{N}_p(K), \]

where \( \tilde{r}_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}} \) is defined from \( r_{\mathcal{F}} \) as above. Owing to (16) and (19), we infer that

\[ \psi^C(\mathcal{N}(K)) = V(\tilde{K}), \quad \psi^C(T\mathcal{N}(K)) = V_p(\tilde{K}). \]  

One readily checks that \( \tilde{r}_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}} \) satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 6, so that

\[ \min_{\tilde{v} \in V_p(\tilde{K})} \| \tilde{v} \|_{0, \tilde{K}} \lesssim \min_{\tilde{v} \in V(\tilde{K})} \| \tilde{v} \|_{0, \tilde{K}}. \]

Invoking the stability properties (17) and the identities (21), we conclude that

\[ \min_{v \in V_p(K)} \| v \|_{0, K} \leq \frac{h_K}{\rho_K} |\det J_T|^{\frac{1}{2}} \min_{\tilde{v} \in V(\tilde{K})} \| \tilde{v} \|_{0, \tilde{K}} \lesssim \frac{h_K}{\rho_K} |\det J_T|^{\frac{1}{2}} \min_{\tilde{v} \in V(\tilde{K})} \| \tilde{v} \|_{0, \tilde{K}} \leq \frac{h_K}{\rho_K} \frac{h_K}{\rho_K} \min_{v \in V(K)} \| v \|_{0, K}. \]

This completes the proof. \( \square \)

3.4. Step 3: Conclusion of the proof

We are now ready to conclude the proof of Theorem 2. We first apply Lemma 3 on the tetrahedron \( K \) and infer that there exists \( \xi_p \in \mathcal{N}_p(K) \) such that \( \nabla \times \xi_p = r_K \) and

\[ \| \xi_p \|_{0, K} \lesssim \min_{v \in H(\text{curl}, K)} \| v \|_{0, K}, \]

Then, we define \( \tilde{r}_F \in \mathcal{N}_p(\Gamma_3) \) by setting \( \tilde{r}_F := r_F - \pi_F^T(\xi_p) \) for all \( F \in \mathcal{F} \). Since \( \text{curl}_F(\pi_F^T(\xi_p)) = \nabla \times \xi_p \cdot n_F = r_K \cdot n_F \), we see that \( \text{curl}_F(\tilde{r}_F) = 0 \) for all \( F \in \mathcal{F} \). It follows from Lemma 7 that there exists \( \tilde{\xi}_p \in \mathcal{N}_p(K) \) such that \( \nabla \times \tilde{\xi}_p = 0, \tilde{\xi}_p|_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}} = \tilde{r}_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}} \), and

\[ \| \tilde{\xi}_p \|_{0, K} \lesssim \min_{v \in H(\text{curl}, K)} \| v \|_{0, K}. \]

We then define \( \mathbf{w}_p := \xi_p + \tilde{\xi}_p \in \mathcal{N}_p(K) \). We observe that \( \mathbf{w}_p \) belongs to the discrete minimization set of (5). Thus we have

\[ \min_{v_p \in \mathcal{N}_p(K)} \| v_p \|_{0, K} \leq \| \mathbf{w}_p \|_{0, K} \leq \| \xi_p \|_{0, K} + \| \tilde{\xi}_p \|_{0, K}. \]

Finally we observe that

\[ \| \xi_p \|_{0, K} \lesssim \min_{\nabla \times v = r_K} \| v \|_{0, K} \]

and

\[ \| \tilde{\xi}_p \|_{0, K} \lesssim \min_{\nabla \times v = 0} \| v \|_{0, K} \]

\[ = \min_{\nabla \times v = r_K} \| v \|_{0, K} \]

\[ \leq \| \xi_p \|_{0, K} + \| \tilde{\xi}_p \|_{0, K} \]
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