

# Γ-convergence of nonlinear functionals in thin reticulated structures

Leonid Pankratov

Département de mathématiques, Institut des Basses Températures (FTINT), 47, av. Lénine,  
61164 Kharkov, Ukraine

Received 14 May 2001; accepted 3 June 2002

Note presented by Philippe G. Ciarlet.

---

**Abstract** We study the  $\Gamma$ -convergence of nonlinear functionals considered in nonperiodic 2D lattice-like structures. The  $\Gamma$ -limit functional is obtained in the explicit form. *To cite this article:* L. Pankratov, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 335 (2002) 315–320. © 2002 Académie des sciences/Éditions scientifiques et médicales Elsevier SAS

## Γ-convergence des fonctionnelles non linéaires dans des structures réticulées de faible épaisseur

**Résumé** On étudie la  $\Gamma$ -convergence de fonctionnelles non linéaires considérées dans des structures non périodiques de type de grille dans l'espace  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . La fonctionnelle  $\Gamma$ -limite est obtenue sous forme explicite. *Pour citer cet article :* L. Pankratov, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 335 (2002) 315–320. © 2002 Académie des sciences/Éditions scientifiques et médicales Elsevier SAS

---

## Version française abrégée

On étudie la  $\Gamma$ -convergence de fonctionnelles  $J^{(\varepsilon)} : W^{1,m}(\Omega^{(\varepsilon)}) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  définies par (1)–(4) dans des domaines  $\Omega^{(\varepsilon)}$  ayant la forme d'une grille. De façon précise on considère une grille non périodique  $\Omega^{(\varepsilon)}$ ,  $\Omega^{(\varepsilon)} \subset \Omega \equiv (0, H)^2 \subset \mathbf{R}^2$ , constituée de deux systèmes de bandes minces dont les axes sont parallèles aux axes de coordonnées. On suppose que la distance entre les axes de bandes est égale à  $\varepsilon$ . On note par  $x_1^r$  et  $x_2^q$  les points d'intersection des axes de bandes avec les axes de coordonnées  $Ox_2$  et  $Ox_1$ . On définit alors les épaisseurs de bandes parallèles aux axes  $Ox_1$  et  $Ox_2$  par  $d_\varepsilon \psi_1(x_2^q)$  et  $d_\varepsilon \psi_2(x_1^r)$ , respectivement. Ici  $d_\varepsilon = o(\varepsilon)$  lorsque  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\psi_i(t)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) sont des fonctions lisses à valeurs dans l'espace  $\mathbf{R}$ . Les problèmes elliptiques linéaires dans les grilles minces périodiques ont été considérés dans [1,4,12].

On introduit ensuite la notion de la  $\Gamma$ -convergence pour les fonctionnelles définies dans  $W^{1,m}(\Omega^{(\varepsilon)})$ , où  $\text{meas}(\Omega^{(\varepsilon)}) \rightarrow 0$  lorsque  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  (voir Définition 2, Paragraphe 2 de la version anglaise). Cette définition est très proche de la définition de la  $\Gamma$ -convergence pour des fonctionnelles définies dans  $W^{1,m}(\Omega)$  (voir [6,13]) et aussi de la définition pour des fonctionnelles définies dans  $W^{1,m}(\Omega^{(\varepsilon)})$ , où  $\Omega^{(\varepsilon)}$  est un domaine perforé

---

E-mail address: pankratov@ilt.kharkov.ua (L. Pankratov).

tel que  $\text{meas}(\Omega^{(\varepsilon)}) \geq a_0 > 0$  (voir [8]). Finalement, en utilisant des idées des articles [5,7,10] on obtient le résultat fondamental de cette Note.

**THÉORÈME 1.** — Soit  $J^{(\varepsilon)}[u^\varepsilon]$  la fonctionnelle définie par (1)–(4). Alors la suite des fonctionnelles  $J^{(\varepsilon)} : W^{1,m}(\Omega^{(\varepsilon)}) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ ,  $\Gamma$ -converge vers la fonctionnelle  $J_{\text{hom}} : W^{1,m}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  définie par (5), (6).

Le schéma de la démonstration du Théorème 1 est présenté dans le Paragraphe 3 (voir la version anglaise). On considère le problème variationnel (7) correspondant à la fonctionnelle (1)–(4). On calcule alors les caractéristiques géométriques (8), (9) du domaine  $\Omega^{(\varepsilon)}$  et la caractéristique locale non linéaire (10) de  $\Omega^{(\varepsilon)}$  est attachée au problème (7). Cette dernière est calculée à partir des fonctions  $\hat{v}^\varepsilon(x)$  définies par (11). La démonstration du Théorème 1 se décompose alors en trois étapes.

*Étape 1.* Soit  $u^\varepsilon(x)$  la solution du problème variationnel (7). En utilisant la fonction test  $w^\varepsilon(x)$  définie par (13) on obtient (14).

*Étape 2.* Pour toute suite  $\{z^\varepsilon(x)\} \subset \mathcal{A}(u)$  (voir Définition 2 de la version anglaise) on montre (15). En particulier, cette inégalité est vérifiée pour la solution  $u^\varepsilon(x)$  du problème (7). Dans ce cas la fonction  $u(x)$  dans (15) est la solution du problème variationnel pour la fonctionnelle (5), (6).

*Étape 3.* En utilisant alors la forme explicite (13) de la fonction  $w^\varepsilon(x)$ , on construit les fonctions  $\tilde{u}^\varepsilon(x)$  de l'ensemble  $\mathcal{A}(u)$  qui vérifient (16).

---

## 1. Introduction

The paper is devoted to the homogenization of nonlinear variational problems in nonperiodic 2D lattice-like domains  $\Omega^{(\varepsilon)}$ , where  $\varepsilon > 0$  is a parameter characterizing the scale of the microstructure. Notice that there is a considerable number of papers devoted to the homogenization of PDE considered in strongly perforated domains or those with strongly oscillating coefficients (see, e.g., [1–4,11,13] containing extensive bibliography).

The structure of the lattice (see Section 2) implies that  $\text{meas}(\Omega^{(\varepsilon)}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . The linear elliptic problems in 2D rectangular lattice-like structures having this property were studied in [1,4] and in domains of degenerating measure without any periodicity condition in [12] (for the definitions, see also [5]). In [12] the convergence result is given in terms of the D-convergence. Let us recall this notion.

**DEFINITION 1.** — A sequence of functions  $\{u^\varepsilon\} \subset W^{1,m}(\Omega^{(\varepsilon)})$  is said to D-converge in  $W^{1,m}(\Omega^{(\varepsilon)})$  to a function  $u \in W^{1,m}(\Omega)$  if there exists an approximating sequence of functions  $\{u_M \in \text{Lip}_1(C_M, \Omega), M = 1, 2, \dots\}$  that converges in  $W^{1,m}(\Omega)$  to  $u$ , and

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\lim}_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\text{meas}(\Omega^{(\varepsilon)})} \|u^\varepsilon - u_M\|_{1,\Omega^{(\varepsilon)}}^m = 0.$$

Here  $\text{Lip}_1(C_M, \Omega) = \{u \in C^1(\Omega) : |D^\alpha u(x)| \leq M, |\alpha| \leq 1; |D^\alpha u(x) - D^\alpha u(y)| \leq M|x - y|, |\alpha| = 1; x, y \in \Omega\}; \alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n), |\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i; \|\cdot\|_{1,\mathcal{O}}$  is the norm in  $W^{1,m}(\mathcal{O})$ .

The results of [12] are extended in [5] to nonlinear variational problems. In [5] we also develop the method of discontinuous approximations which allow us to obtain explicitly the homogenized model for 2D lattice-like periodic structures in the case  $m = 4$ .

The main goal of the present paper is to extend the methods developed [5] to nonperiodic 2D lattice-like structures and arbitrary  $m \geq 2$ . The homogenization result is formulated in terms of the  $\Gamma$ -convergence (see Definition 2 below).

## 2. Homogenization result

Let  $\Omega = (0, H)^2$  be a square in  $\mathbf{R}^2$  with edge lengths  $H$ , and  $\mathcal{L}^{(\varepsilon)} \subset \Omega$  a 2D rectangular lattice-like structure consisting of two systems of thin strips oriented in the coordinate directions. The axes of the strips form a periodic lattice in  $\mathbf{R}^2$  with the period  $\varepsilon$ . The widths of the strips are defined as follows. Denote the points of intersection of the axes of the strips and the coordinate axes  $Ox_2$  and  $Ox_1$  by  $x_1^r$  and  $x_2^q$ , respectively. Let  $\psi_1(t)$  and  $\psi_2(t)$  be smooth real functions. Then we assume that the width of the strip parallel to axis  $Ox_1$  ( $Ox_2$ ) is equal to  $d_\varepsilon \psi_1(x_2^q)$  ( $d_\varepsilon \psi_2(x_1^r)$ , respectively), where  $d_\varepsilon = o(\varepsilon)$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . We set  $\Omega^{(\varepsilon)} = \Omega \cap \mathcal{L}^{(\varepsilon)}$ ; then  $\text{meas}(\Omega^{(\varepsilon)}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

Consider the functionals  $J^{(\varepsilon)} : W^{1,m}(\Omega^{(\varepsilon)}) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  defined by:

$$J^{(\varepsilon)}[u^\varepsilon] = \mu^\varepsilon \int_{\Omega^{(\varepsilon)}} \{ |\nabla u^\varepsilon|^m + F(x, u^\varepsilon) \} dx, \quad (1)$$

$$\mu^\varepsilon = H^2 (\text{meas}(\Omega^{(\varepsilon)}))^{-1}. \quad (2)$$

Here  $F(x, u)$  is a continuous function,  $F(x, u) \in C(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbf{R})$ , having the partial derivative  $F_u$ ,  $F_u(x, u) \in C(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbf{R})$ , and satisfying the following conditions:

$$|F(x, u) - F(x, v)| \leq A_1 (1 + |u| + |v|)^{m-1} |u - v|, \quad (3)$$

$$F(x, u) \geq A_2 (|u|^m - 1). \quad (4)$$

To formulate the main result of the paper, introduce the notion of the  $\Gamma$ -convergence for functionals  $J^{(\varepsilon)} : W^{1,m}(\Omega^{(\varepsilon)}) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ . This notion is similar to that for functionals defined in  $W^{1,m}(\Omega)$  (see, e.g. [3,6]), and also in  $W^{1,m}(\Omega^{(\varepsilon)})$ , where  $\Omega^{(\varepsilon)}$  is a sequence of perforated domains such that  $\text{meas}(\Omega^{(\varepsilon)}) \geq a_0 > 0$  (see, e.g. [8]).

For any  $u \in W^{1,m}(\Omega)$  we denote by  $\mathcal{A}(u)$  the set of all sequences  $\{u^\varepsilon(x)\}$  such that

- (a)  $u^\varepsilon \in W^{1,m}(\Omega^{(\varepsilon)})$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,
- (b)  $u^\varepsilon(x)$  D-converges in  $L^m(\Omega^{(\varepsilon)})$  to a function  $u \in W^{1,m}(\Omega)$ ,
- (c)  $\sup_\varepsilon \mu^\varepsilon \|u^\varepsilon\|_{1,\Omega^{(\varepsilon)}}^m < \infty$ .

**DEFINITION 2.** – A sequence of functionals  $J^{(\varepsilon)} : W^{1,m}(\Omega^{(\varepsilon)}) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is said to  $\Gamma$ -converge to a functional  $J : W^{1,m}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ , if, for any  $u \in W^{1,m}(\Omega)$ ,  $\overline{\lim}_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} J^{(\varepsilon)}[u^\varepsilon] \geq J[u]$  for any  $\{u^\varepsilon(x)\} \subset \mathcal{A}(u)$ , and there exists a sequence  $\{w^\varepsilon(x)\} \subset \mathcal{A}(u)$  such that  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} J^{(\varepsilon)}[w^\varepsilon] = J[u]$ .

**THEOREM 1.** – Let  $J^{(\varepsilon)} : W^{1,m}(\Omega^{(\varepsilon)}) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be the functional defined by (1)–(4). Then  $J^{(\varepsilon)}$   $\Gamma$ -converges to

$$J_{\text{hom}}[u] = \gamma \int_{\Omega} \{ \psi_1(x_2) u_{x_1}^m + \psi_2(x_1) u_{x_2}^m + (\psi_1(x_2) + \psi_2(x_1)) F(x, u) \} dx, \quad (5)$$

where  $x = \{x_1, x_2\}$  and

$$\gamma^{-1} = H^{-1} \int_0^H (\psi_1(t) + \psi_2(t)) dt. \quad (6)$$

The proof of Theorem 1 is based on the ideas of [5,7,10]. The sketch of the proof is given in the following section.

## 3. Sketch of the proof

Consider the variational problem for the functional (1)–(4):

$$J^{(\varepsilon)}[u^\varepsilon] \rightarrow \inf, \quad u^\varepsilon \in W^{1,m}(\Omega^{(\varepsilon)}), \quad (7)$$

in the 2D nonperiodic lattice-like domains  $\Omega^{(\varepsilon)}$ . Notice that no problems arise from the complicated structure of the domain  $\Omega^{(\varepsilon)}$  in the proof of the existence of a solution of the variational problem (7) (see, e.g. [9], Chapter 5).

First we obtain certain local characteristics of  $\Omega^{(\varepsilon)}$ . The geometric characteristics of  $\Omega^{(\varepsilon)}$  are the following:

$$\mu^\varepsilon \sim \gamma \varepsilon (d_\varepsilon)^{-1}, \quad (8)$$

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mu^\varepsilon h^{-2} \operatorname{meas}[K_y^h \cap \Omega^{(\varepsilon)}] = \gamma (\psi_1(y_2) + \psi_2(y_1)), \quad (9)$$

where  $K_y^h$  is the square centered at  $y \in \Omega$  with edge lengths  $h$ ,  $1 \gg h \gg \varepsilon > 0$ , and  $\gamma$  is defined by (6).

Introduce the nonlinear local characteristic of  $\Omega^{(\varepsilon)}$  related to the variational problem (7). Consider the functional

$$C(y, \varepsilon, h; b) = \inf_v \mu^\varepsilon \int_{K_y^h \cap \Omega^{(\varepsilon)}} \{|\nabla v|^m + h^{-m-\tau} |v - (x - y, b)|^m\} dx \equiv \inf_v I_b^{(\varepsilon)}[v], \quad (10)$$

where  $m > \tau > 0$ ,  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the scalar product in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ ,  $b = \{b_1, b_2\}$ ; the infimum in (10) is taken over  $v \in W^{1,m}(K_y^h \cap \Omega^{(\varepsilon)})$ . To calculate  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} h^{-2} C(y, \varepsilon, h; b)$  we make use of the method of discontinuous approximations (see [5]). Namely, let  $P_{1qq'}^{(\varepsilon)}$  and  $P_{2rr'}^{(\varepsilon)}$  be the rectangles parallel to the axes  $Ox_1$  and  $Ox_2$ , respectively, and  $Q_{rq}^{(\varepsilon)}$  be the rectangles formed by the intersections of the strips. Here  $q$  and  $r$  are the numbers of the strips and  $p', q'$  are the numbers of the rectangles in the corresponding strips. We denote by  $x_1^r$  and  $x_2^q$  the points of intersections of the axes of the strips and the coordinate axes and by  $x^{rq}$  the centers of the squares.

Denote by  $v^\varepsilon(x)$  the minimiser of the functional (10). Define the functions  $\hat{v}^\varepsilon(x)$  approximating  $v^\varepsilon(x)$  as follows:

$$\hat{v}^\varepsilon(x) = \begin{cases} b_2 x_2 - (y, b) + b_1 x_1^r, & x \in P_{2rr'}^{(\varepsilon)} \cap K_y^h, \\ b_1 x_1 - (y, b) + b_2 x_2^q, & x \in P_{1qq'}^{(\varepsilon)} \cap K_y^h, \\ C_1 x_1 + C_2 x_2 + C_3, & x \in Q_{rq}^{(\varepsilon)} \cap K_y^h, \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

where the coefficients  $C_1, C_2$  satisfy the system of equations  $(C_1^2 + C_2^2)^{(m-2)/2} C_i = b_i |b_i|^{m-2}$  and  $C_3$  is defined by:  $\hat{v}^\varepsilon(x^{rq}) = (x^{rq} - y, b)$ . Then  $\hat{v}^\varepsilon(x)$  has the following properties:

- (1)  $\hat{v}^\varepsilon(x)$  is a ‘good’ approximation of the function  $(x - y, b)$  in any subdomain  $P_{1qq'}^{(\varepsilon)}, P_{2rr'}^{(\varepsilon)}$ , and  $Q_{rq}^{(\varepsilon)}$  of  $\Omega^{(\varepsilon)}$ , i.e.  $|\hat{v}^\varepsilon(x) - (x - y, b)| = O(d_\varepsilon)$ ;
- (2) the normal derivative of  $\hat{v}^\varepsilon(x)$  vanishes on  $\partial\Omega^{(\varepsilon)}$ , and  $|\nabla \hat{v}^{(\varepsilon)}|^{m-2} \partial \hat{v}^{(\varepsilon)} / \partial v$  is continuous across the inner boundaries of  $P_{1qq'}^{(\varepsilon)}, P_{2rr'}^{(\varepsilon)}$ , and  $Q_{rq}^{(\varepsilon)}$ ;
- (3)  $\sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (|\nabla \hat{v}^\varepsilon|^{m-2} \partial \hat{v}^\varepsilon / \partial x_i) = 0$  in  $P_{1qq'}^{(\varepsilon)}, P_{2rr'}^{(\varepsilon)}$ , and  $Q_{rq}^{(\varepsilon)}$ ;
- (4) the jumps of  $\hat{v}^\varepsilon(x)$  across the inner boundaries of  $P_{1qq'}^{(\varepsilon)}, P_{2rr'}^{(\varepsilon)}$ , and  $Q_{rq}^{(\varepsilon)}$  are  $O(d_\varepsilon)$ .

Using the explicit form of  $\hat{v}^\varepsilon(x)$  and the inequality:

$$F(u + v) \geq F(u) + \theta F(v) + F_{x_i} v_{x_i} + F_u v,$$

where  $F(u) = |\nabla u|^m + |u|^m$ ,  $0 < \theta \leq 1$ , one can show that the residual  $w^\varepsilon(x) = v^\varepsilon(x) - \hat{v}^\varepsilon(x)$  gives a vanishing contribution (as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0, h \rightarrow 0$ ) to the functional (10). Finally, we obtain:

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} h^{-2} C(y, \varepsilon, h; b) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} h^{-2} I_b^{(\varepsilon)}[\hat{v}^\varepsilon] = \gamma (b_1^m \psi_1(y_2) + b_2^m \psi_2(y_1)). \quad (12)$$

The proof of Theorem 1 uses the local characteristics (8), (9), (12) and consists of three main steps.

*Step 1.* Let  $\{x^\alpha\}$  be a set of points in  $\Omega$  forming a space lattice with a period  $h - r$ , where  $r = h^{1+\tau/m}$ . Cover  $\Omega$  by the squares  $K_\alpha^h = K(x^\alpha, h)$  centered at  $x^\alpha$  with edge lengths  $h \gg \varepsilon > 0$ . Associate, with this covering, a partition of unity  $\{\varphi_\alpha(x)\} : 0 \leq \varphi_\alpha(x) \leq 1; \varphi_\alpha(x) = 0$  for  $x \notin K_\alpha^h; \varphi_\alpha(x) = 1$  for  $x \in K_\alpha^h \setminus \bigcup_{\beta \neq \alpha} K_\beta^h; \sum_\alpha \varphi_\alpha(x) = 1$  for  $x \in \Omega; |\nabla \varphi_\alpha(x)| \leq Ch^{-1-\tau/m}$ .

Let  $w(x)$  be an arbitrary smooth function in  $\Omega$ . Define in  $\Omega^{(\varepsilon)}$  the function:

$$w^\varepsilon(x) = \sum_\alpha \{w(x) + v^{\alpha(\varepsilon)}(x) - (x - x^\alpha, \nabla w(x^\alpha))\} \varphi_\alpha(x), \quad (13)$$

where  $v^{\alpha(\varepsilon)}(x)$  is the minimiser of the functional (10) in  $K_\alpha^h$ . Let  $u^\varepsilon(x)$  be a solution of the variational problem (7). Then we show that

$$\overline{\lim}_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} J^{(\varepsilon)}[u^\varepsilon] \leq \overline{\lim}_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} J^{(\varepsilon)}[w^\varepsilon] \leq J_{\text{hom}}[w] \quad (14)$$

for any  $w \in W^{1,m}(\Omega)$ .

*Step 2.* We prove that

$$\underline{\lim}_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} J^{(\varepsilon)}[z^\varepsilon] \geq J_{\text{hom}}[u] \quad (15)$$

for any sequence  $\{z^\varepsilon(x)\} \subset \mathcal{A}(u)$ . In particular, this estimate is valid for the sequence of solutions  $\{u^\varepsilon(x)\}$  of the variational problem (7). In this case, the function  $u(x)$  in (15) is the solution of the variational problem for the functional (5), (6).

*Step 3.* Using the explicit form of the test function  $w^\varepsilon(x)$  in (13), where  $w(x)$  is taken to be  $u(x)$ , the solution of the variational problem for the functional (5), (6), we obtain  $\tilde{u}^\varepsilon(x) \in \mathcal{A}(u)$  such that

$$\underline{\lim}_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} J^{(\varepsilon)}[\tilde{u}^\varepsilon] = J_{\text{hom}}[u], \quad (16)$$

that completes the proof.

**Acknowledgements.** This paper was completed while L. Pankratov were visiting the University Paris-6. His research was supported by the NATO grant. L. Pankratov is grateful to Professor F. Murat for his attention and hospitality and to Professors A. Blouza and D. Shepelsky for their help.

## References

- [1] N.S. Bakhvalov, G.P. Panasenko, Homogenization: Averaging Processes in Periodic Media, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 1989.
- [2] A. Bensoussan, J.-L. Lions, G. Papanicolaou, Asymptotic Analysis for Periodic Structures, Stud. Math. Appl., Vol. 5, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.
- [3] A. Braides, A. Defranceschi, Homogenization of Multiple Integrals, Oxford Lecture Ser. Math. Appl., Vol. 12, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1998.
- [4] D. Cioranescu, J. Saint Jean Paulin, Homogenization of Reticulated Structures, Appl. Math. Sci., Vol. 136, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999.
- [5] D. Cioranescu, M.V. Goncharenko, F. Murat, L.S. Pankratov, Homogenization of nonlinear variational problems in domains of degenerating measure, prepared for publication.
- [6] G. Dal Maso, An Introduction to  $\Gamma$ -Convergence, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.
- [7] E. Ya Khruslov, L.S. Pankratov, Homogenization of the Dirichlet variational problems in Orlicz–Sobolev spaces, in: Oper. Theory Appl., Fields Inst. Commun., Vol. 25, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000, pp. 345–366.
- [8] A.A. Kovalevskij, Conditions of the  $\Gamma$ -convergence and homogenization of integral functionals with different domains of the definition, Dokl. Akad. Nauk Ukrainsk 4 (1991) 5–8 (in Russian).
- [9] O.A. Ladyzhenskaya, N.N. Ural'tseva, Linear and Quasilinear Elliptic Equations, Academic Press, New York, 1973.

- [10] L.S. Pankratov, On convergence of the solutions of variational problems in weakly connected domains, Preprint 53-88, Institute for Low Temperature Physics and Engineering, Kharkov, 1988 (in Russian).
- [11] É. Sanchez-Palencia, Nonhomogeneous Media and Vibration Theory, Lecture Notes in Phys., Vol. 127, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980.
- [12] E.V. Svischeva, Asymptotic behavior of the solutions of the second boundary value problem in domains of decreasing volume, in: Operator Theory and Subharmonic Functions, Naukova Dumka, Kiev, 1991, pp. 126–134 (in Russian).
- [13] V.V. Zhikov, S.M. Kozlov, O.A. Oleinik, Homogenization of Differential Operators and Integral Functionals, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.