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#### Abstract

We give an example of a $\mathcal{D}$-module on a Grassmann variety in positive characteristic with non-vanishing first cohomology group. This is a counterexample to $\mathcal{D}$-affinity and the Beilinson-Bernstein equivalence for flag manifolds in positive characteristic. To cite this article: M. Kashiwara, N. Lauritzen, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 335 (2002) 993-996. © 2002 Académie des sciences/Éditions scientifiques et médicales Elsevier SAS

\section*{Cohomologie locale et $\mathcal{D}$-affinité en caractéristique positive}

Résumé On donne un exemple d'un $\mathcal{D}$-module sur une variété grassmannienne en caractéristique positive avec premier groupe de cohomologie non nul. On obtient ainsi un contre-exemple à la $\mathcal{D}$-affinité et à équivalence de Beilinson-Bernstein pour les variétés des drapeaux en caractéristique positive. Pour citer cet article : M. Kashiwara, N. Lauritzen, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 335 (2002) 993-996. © 2002 Académie des sciences/Éditions scientifiques et médicales Elsevier SAS


## 1. Introduction

Let $k$ be a field. Consider the polynomial ring

$$
R=k\left[\begin{array}{lll}
X_{11} & X_{12} & X_{13} \\
X_{21} & X_{22} & X_{23}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and $I \subset R$ the ideal generated by the three $2 \times 2$ minors

$$
f_{1}:=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
X_{12} & X_{13} \\
X_{22} & X_{23}
\end{array}\right|, \quad f_{2}:=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
X_{13} & X_{11} \\
X_{23} & X_{21}
\end{array}\right| \quad \text { and } \quad f_{3}:=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
X_{11} & X_{12} \\
X_{21} & X_{22}
\end{array}\right|
$$

If $k$ is a field of positive characteristic, the local cohomology modules $\mathrm{H}_{I}^{j}(R)$ vanish for $j>2$ (see Chapitre III, Proposition 4.1 in [3]). However, if $k$ is a field of characteristic zero, $\mathrm{H}_{I}^{3}(R)$ is non-vanishing (see Proposition 2.1 of this paper or Remark 3.13 in [5]).

Consider the Grassmann variety $X=\operatorname{Gr}(2, V)$ of 2-dimensional vector subspaces of a 5-dimensional vector space $V$ over $k$. Let us take a two dimensional subspace $W$ of $V$. Then the singularity $R / I$ appears in the Schubert variety $Y \subset X$ of 2-dimensional subspaces $E$ such that $\operatorname{dim}(E \cap W) \geqslant 1$. Therefore $\mathcal{H}_{Y}^{3}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ does not vanish in characteristic zero while it does vanish in positive characteristic.

[^0]In this paper we show how this difference in the vanishing of local cohomology translates into a nonvanishing first cohomology group for the $\mathcal{D}_{X}$-module $\mathcal{H}_{Y}^{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ in positive characteristic.

Previous work of Haastert [4] showed the Beilinson-Bernstein equivalence [1] to hold for projective spaces and the flag manifold of $S L_{3}$ in positive characteristic. However, as we show in this paper, $\mathcal{D}$-affinity breaks down for the flag manifold of $S L_{5}$ in all positive characteristics. The Beilinson-Bernstein equivalence, therefore, does not carry over to flag manifolds in positive characteristic. On the other hand, for the sheaf of differential operators without divided powers, Bezrukavnikov, Mirković and Rumynin have recently proved that the Beilinson-Bernstein equivalence may be restored for large primes as an equivalence of bounded derived categories (see [2]).

## 2. Local cohomology

Keep the notation from Section 1. A topological proof of the following proposition is given in Section 5.
PROPOSITION 2.1. - $\mathrm{H}_{I}^{3}(R)$ does not vanish in characteristic zero.
COROLLARY 2.2. - $\mathcal{H}_{Y}^{3}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ does not vanish in characteristic zero.
The local to global spectral sequence

$$
\mathrm{H}^{p}\left(X, \mathcal{H}_{Y}^{q}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)\right) \Rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{Y}^{p+q}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)
$$

and $\mathcal{D}$-affinity in characteristic zero [1] implies

$$
\mathrm{H}_{Y}^{3}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)=\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{H}_{Y}^{3}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)\right) \neq 0
$$

On the other hand, if $k$ is a field of positive characteristic, $\mathcal{H}_{Y}^{q}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)=0$ if $q \neq 2$, since $Y$ is a codimension two Cohen Macaulay subvariety of the smooth variety $X$ ([3], Chapitre III, Proposition 4.1). This gives a totally different degeneration of the local to global spectral sequence. In the positive characteristic case we get

$$
\mathrm{H}^{p}\left(X, \mathcal{H}_{Y}^{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)\right) \cong \mathrm{H}_{Y}^{p+2}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)
$$

We will prove that $\mathrm{H}_{Y}^{3}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right) \neq 0$ even if $k$ is a field of positive characteristic. This will give the desired non-vanishing

$$
\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{H}_{Y}^{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)\right) \neq 0
$$

in positive characteristic.

## 3. Lifting to $\mathbb{Z}$

To deduce the non-vanishing of $\mathrm{H}_{Y}^{3}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ in positive characteristic, we need to compute the local cohomology over $\mathbb{Z}$ and proceed by base change. Flag manifolds and their Schubert varieties admit flat lifts to $\mathbb{Z}$-schemes. In this section $X_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $Y_{\mathbb{Z}}$ will denote flat lifts of a flag manifold $X$ and a Schubert variety $Y \subset X$ respectively. The local Grothendieck-Cousin complex (cf. [6], Section 8) of the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{X_{0} / X_{1}}^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{X_{1} / X_{2}}^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}\right) \rightarrow \cdots \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X_{i}$ denotes the union of Schubert schemes of codimension $i$, is a resolution of $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}$, since $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}$ is Cohen Macaulay, codim $X_{i} \geqslant i$ and $X_{i} \backslash X_{i+1} \rightarrow X$ are affine morphisms for all $i$ (see [6], Theorem 10.9). The sheaves in this resolution decompose into direct sums

$$
\mathcal{H}_{X_{i} / X_{i+1}}^{i}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}\right)=\bigoplus_{\operatorname{codim}(C)=i} \mathcal{H}_{C}^{i}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}\right)
$$

of local cohomology sheaves $\mathcal{H}_{C}^{i}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}\right)$ with support in Bruhat cells $C$ of codimension $i$. The degeneration of the local to global spectral sequence gives

$$
\mathrm{H}_{Y_{\mathbb{Z}}}^{p}\left(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{H}_{C}^{c}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}\right)\right)=\mathrm{H}_{C \cap Y_{\mathbb{Z}}}^{p+c}\left(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}\right)
$$

since $\mathcal{H}_{C}^{i}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}\right)=0$ if $i \neq c=\operatorname{codim}(C)$. Since the scheme $X_{i} \backslash X_{i+1}$ is affine it follows that $\mathrm{H}_{C}^{p}\left(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}\right)=0$ if $p \neq \operatorname{codim}(C)$ ([6], Theorem 10.9). This shows that the resolution (1) is acyclic for the functor $\Gamma_{Y_{\mathbb{Z}}}$ and

$$
\Gamma_{Y_{\mathbb{Z}}}\left(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{H}_{C}^{c}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}\right)\right)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } C \not \subset Y_{Z} \\ \mathrm{H}_{C}^{c}\left(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}\right) & \text { if } C \subset Y_{\mathbb{Z}}\end{cases}
$$

where $c=\operatorname{codim}(C)$. Applying $\Gamma_{Y_{\mathbb{Z}}}\left(X_{\mathbb{Z}},-\right)$ to (1) we get the complex

$$
M_{Y}^{\bullet}: \mathrm{H}_{C_{Y}}^{c}\left(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}\right) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\operatorname{codim}(C)=c+1, C \subset Y_{\mathbb{Z}}} \mathrm{H}_{C}^{c+1}\left(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}\right) \rightarrow \cdots,
$$

where $c$ is the codimension of $Y_{\mathbb{Z}}, C_{Y}$ is the open Bruhat cell in $Y_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{C_{Y}}^{c}\left(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}\right)$ sits in degree $c$. Notice that $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(M_{Y}^{\bullet}\right)=\mathrm{H}_{Y_{\mathbb{Z}}}^{i}\left(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}\right)$ and that $M_{Y}^{\bullet}$ is a complex of free abelian groups. In fact the individual entries $\mathrm{H}_{C}^{i}\left(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}\right)$ are direct sums of weight spaces, which are finitely generated free abelian groups (cf. [6], Theorem 13.4). By weight spaces we mean eigenspaces for a fixed $\mathbb{Z}$-split torus $T$. The differentials in $M_{Y}^{\bullet}$ being $T$-equivariant, the complex $M_{Y}^{\bullet}$ is a direct sum of complexes of finitely generated free abelian groups. Since $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(M_{Y}^{\bullet}\right)=\mathrm{H}_{Y_{\mathbb{Z}}}^{i}\left(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}\right)$, one obtains the following lemma:

LEMMA 3.1.- Every local cohomology group $H_{Y_{\mathbb{Z}}}^{i}\left(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}\right)$ is a direct sum of finitely generated abelian groups. In the codimension $c$ of $Y_{\mathbb{Z}}$ in $X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathrm{H}_{Y_{\mathbb{Z}}}^{c}\left(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}\right)$ is a free abelian group.

## 4. The counterexample

For a field $k$, let us set $X_{k}=X_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes k$ and $Y_{k}=Y_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes k$. Then one has $\mathrm{H}_{Y_{k}}^{q}\left(X_{k}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{k}}\right)=\mathrm{H}_{Y_{\mathbb{Z}}}^{q}\left(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}} \otimes k\right)$. Since $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}$ is flat over $\mathbb{Z}$, one has a spectral sequence

$$
\operatorname{Tor}_{-p}^{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{Y_{\mathbb{Z}}}^{q}\left(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}\right), k\right) \Rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{Y_{k}}^{p+q}\left(X_{k}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{k}}\right)
$$

This shows that the natural homomorphism $\mathrm{H}_{Y_{\mathbb{Z}}}^{i}\left(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}\right) \otimes k \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{Y_{k}}^{i}\left(X_{k}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{k}}\right)$ is an injection, and it is an isomorphism if the field $k$ is flat over $\mathbb{Z}$. In our example (cf. Section 1), one has $\mathrm{H}_{Y_{\mathbb{Z}}}^{3}\left(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}\right) \otimes \mathbb{C} \cong$ $H_{Y_{\mathbb{C}}}^{3}\left(X_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{C}}}\right) \neq 0$.

By Lemma 3.1, the cohomology $\mathrm{H}_{Y_{\mathbb{Z}}}^{3}\left(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}\right)$ must contain $\mathbb{Z}$ as a direct summand. Therefore the injection $\mathrm{H}_{Y_{\mathbb{Z}}}^{3}\left(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}\right) \otimes k \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{Y_{k}}^{3}\left(X_{k}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{k}}\right)$ shows that $\mathrm{H}_{Y_{k}}^{3}\left(X_{k}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{k}}\right)$ is non-vanishing for any field $k$ of positive characteristic. Since $H_{Y_{k}}^{3}\left(X_{k}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{k}}\right) \cong \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(X_{k}, \mathcal{H}_{Y_{k}}^{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X_{k}}\right)\right)$, one obtains the following result.

PROPOSITION 4.1. $-\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(X_{k}, \mathcal{H}_{Y_{k}}^{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X_{k}}\right)\right) \neq 0$ if $k$ is of positive characteristic.

## 5. Proof of non-vanishing of $\mathrm{H}_{I}^{3}(R)$

In this section, we shall give a topological proof of Proposition 2.1. We may assume that the base field is the complex number field $\mathbb{C}$.

The local cohomologies $\mathrm{H}_{I}^{*}(R)$ are the cohomology groups of the complex

$$
R \rightarrow R\left[f_{1}^{-1}\right] \oplus R\left[f_{2}^{-1}\right] \oplus R\left[f_{3}^{-1}\right] \rightarrow R\left[\left(f_{1} f_{2}\right)^{-1}\right] \oplus R\left[\left(f_{2} f_{3}\right)^{-1}\right] \oplus R\left[\left(f_{1} f_{3}\right)^{-1}\right] \rightarrow R\left[\left(f_{1} f_{2} f_{3}\right)^{-1}\right] .
$$

Hence one has

$$
\mathrm{H}_{I}^{3}(R)=\frac{R\left[\left(f_{1} f_{2} f_{3}\right)^{-1}\right]}{R\left[\left(f_{1} f_{2}\right)^{-1}\right]+R\left[\left(f_{2} f_{3}\right)^{-1}\right]+R\left[\left(f_{1} f_{3}\right)^{-1}\right]} .
$$

In order to prove the non-vanishing of $\mathrm{H}_{I}^{3}(R)$, it is enough to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{f_{1} f_{2} f_{3}} \notin R\left[\left(f_{1} f_{2}\right)^{-1}\right]+R\left[\left(f_{2} f_{3}\right)^{-1}\right]+R\left[\left(f_{1} f_{3}\right)^{-1}\right] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the 6-cycle

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma & =\left\{\left(\begin{array}{lll}
-t_{2} u+t_{3} \bar{v} & u & -t_{1} \bar{v} \\
-t_{2} v-t_{3} \bar{u} & v & t_{1} \bar{u}
\end{array}\right) ;\left|t_{1}\right|=\left|t_{2}\right|=\left|t_{3}\right|=1,|u|^{2}+|v|^{2}=1\right\} \\
& =\left\{k\left(\begin{array}{lll}
-t_{2} & 1 & 0 \\
-t_{3} & 0 & t_{1}
\end{array}\right) ;\left|t_{1}\right|=\left|t_{2}\right|=\left|t_{3}\right|=1, k=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
u & -\bar{v} \\
v & \bar{u}
\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{SU}(2)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

in $X \backslash\left(f_{1} f_{2} f_{3}\right)^{-1}(0)$, where $X=\operatorname{Spec}(R) \cong \mathbb{C}^{6}$. Then on $\gamma$ one has $f_{1}=t_{1}, f_{2}=t_{1} t_{2}$ and $f_{3}=t_{3}$. Set $\omega=\bigwedge \mathrm{d} X_{i j}$. Then one has $\omega=t_{1} \mathrm{~d} t_{1} \mathrm{~d} t_{2} \mathrm{~d} t_{3} \theta$ on $\gamma$, where $\theta$ is a non-zero invariant form on $\mathrm{SU}(2)$. Therefore one has

$$
\int_{\gamma} \frac{\omega}{f_{1} f_{2} f_{3}}=\int_{\gamma} \frac{\mathrm{d} t_{1} \mathrm{~d} t_{2} \mathrm{~d} t_{3} \theta}{t_{1} t_{2} t_{3}} \neq 0
$$

Hence, in order to show (2), it is enough to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\gamma} \varphi \omega=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\varphi \in R\left[\left(f_{1} f_{2}\right)^{-1}\right]+R\left[\left(f_{2} f_{3}\right)^{-1}\right]+R\left[\left(f_{1} f_{3}\right)^{-1}\right]$.
For $\varphi \in R\left[\left(f_{1} f_{2}\right)^{-1}\right]$, Eq. (3) holds because we can shrink the cycle $\gamma$ by $\left|t_{3}\right|=\lambda$ from $\lambda=1$ to $\lambda=0$. For $\varphi \in R\left[\left(f_{1} f_{3}\right)^{-1}\right]$, Eq. (3) holds because we can shrink the cycle $\gamma$ by $\left|t_{2}\right|=\lambda$ from $\lambda=1$ to $\lambda=0$.

Let us show (3) for $\varphi \in R\left[\left(f_{2} f_{3}\right)^{-1}\right]$. Let us deform the cycle $\gamma$ by

$$
\gamma_{\lambda}=\left\{k\left(\begin{array}{lll}
-(1-\lambda) t_{2} & 1 & -\lambda t_{1} t_{2} t_{3}^{-1} \\
-t_{3} & 0 & t_{1}
\end{array}\right) ;\left|t_{1}\right|=\left|t_{2}\right|=\left|t_{3}\right|=1, k \in \mathrm{SU}(2)\right\}
$$

Note that the values of $f_{1}, f_{2}$ and $f_{3}$ do not change under this deformation. Hence $\gamma_{\lambda}$ is a cycle in $X \backslash\left(f_{1} f_{2} f_{3}\right)^{-1}(0)$. One has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{1} & =\left\{k\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & -t_{1} t_{2} t_{3}^{-1} \\
-t_{3} & 0 & t_{1}
\end{array}\right) ;\left|t_{1}\right|=\left|t_{2}\right|=\left|t_{3}\right|=1, k \in \mathrm{SU}(2)\right\} \\
& =\left\{k\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & -t_{2} \\
-t_{3} & 0 & t_{1}
\end{array}\right) ;\left|t_{1}\right|=\left|t_{2}\right|=\left|t_{3}\right|=1, k \in \mathrm{SU}(2)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the last coordinates of $\gamma_{1}$, one has $f_{2}=t_{2} t_{3}$ and $f_{3}=t_{3}$. Hence, for $\varphi \in R\left[\left(f_{2} f_{3}\right)^{-1}\right]$,

$$
\int_{\gamma} \varphi \omega=\int_{\gamma_{1}} \varphi \omega
$$

vanishes because we can shrink the cycle $\gamma_{1}$ by $\left|t_{1}\right|=\lambda$ from $\lambda=1$ to $\lambda=0$.
Remark 1. - Although we do not give a proof here, $\mathrm{H}_{I}^{3}(R)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{H}_{J}^{6}(R)$ as a D-module. Here $J$ is the defining ideal of the origin.
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