

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



COMPTES RENDUS

C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 336 (2003) 479-482

### Geometry/Functional Analysis

## More on the duality conjecture for entropy numbers

# Sur la conjecture de la dualité pour les nombres d'entropie

Shiri Artstein<sup>a,1</sup>, Vitali D. Milman<sup>b</sup>, Stanislaw J. Szarek<sup>b,c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Mathematical Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel
 <sup>b</sup> Équipe d'analyse fonctionnelle, B.C. 186, Université Paris VI, 4, place Jussieu, 75252 Paris, France
 <sup>c</sup> Department of Mathematics, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH 44106-7058, USA

Received 11 February 2003; accepted 25 February 2003

Presented by Michel Talagrand

#### Abstract

We verify, up to a logarithmic factor, the duality conjecture for entropy numbers in the case where one of the bodies is an ellipsoid. *To cite this article: S. Artstein et al., C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 336 (2003).* © 2003 Académie des sciences/Éditions scientifiques et médicales Elsevier SAS. All rights reserved.

## Résumé

Nous démontrons, à un facteur logarithmique près, la conjecture concernant la dualité de nombres d'entropie dans le cas où l'un de deux corps est un ellipsoïde. *Pour citer cet article : S. Artstein et al., C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 336 (2003).* © 2003 Académie des sciences/Éditions scientifiques et médicales Elsevier SAS. Tous droits réservés.

For two convex bodies K and T in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the covering number of K by T, denoted N(K, T), is defined as the minimal number of translates of T needed to cover K. An old problem, going back to Pietsch ([9], p. 38) and usually referred to as the "duality conjecture for entropy numbers", can be stated in terms of covering numbers in the following way. (Below and in what follows all logarithms are to the base 2.)

**Conjecture 1** (Duality Conjecture). *There exist two numerical constants a and b, such that for any*  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  *and any two symmetric convex bodies K and T in*  $\mathbb{R}^n$  *one has* 

 $\log N(T^{\circ}, aK^{\circ}) \leq b \log N(K, T),$ 

where  $A^{\circ}$  denotes the polar body of A.

E-mail addresses: artst@post.tau.ac.il (S. Artstein), milman@post.tau.ac.il (V.D. Milman), szarek@ccr.jussieu.fr (S.J. Szarek).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The first and the second named authors were partially supported by the Israel Science Foundation and by the Minkowski Center for Geometry. The third named author's research was partially supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation (USA).

<sup>1631-073</sup>X/03/\$ – see front matter © 2003 Académie des sciences/Éditions scientifiques et médicales Elsevier SAS. Tous droits réservés. doi:10.1016/S1631-073X(03)00102-X

We refer the reader to the recent paper [7] and the book [11] for background information on the problem and further references.

In this Note we concentrate only on the case in which either *K* or *T* is an ellipsoid. [If, as is more customary, we use the language of compact operators between Banach spaces and their entropy numbers rather than that of convex bodies, this corresponds to either the domain or the range of the operator being a Hilbert space.] By affine invariance of the problem, we may and shall assume that the ellipsoid in question is the Euclidean unit ball  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ .

For a bounded set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , we set

$$M^*(A) := \int_{S^{n-1}} \sup_{x \in A} \langle x, u \rangle \, \mathrm{d}\sigma(u),$$

where  $\sigma$  is the normalized Lebesgue measure on the sphere. Our goal is to complement the following result obtained recently in [7] (see Proposition 4.1 there) going in the direction of Conjecture 1.

**Theorem 2** [7,8]. *There exists a universal constant* C > 0*, such that for any*  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  *and any convex* 0*-symmetric body*  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  *we have* 

$$\log N(D, C\gamma K^{\circ}) \leq 2\log N(K, D), \tag{1}$$

where  $\gamma := \max\{1, M^*(K \cap D)(\log N(K, D)/n)^{-1/2}\}.$ 

Moreover, it was shown in [7] that one can reduce estimating covering numbers in (1) to the special case in which the body not only is covered by N = N(K, D) balls, but is also the convex hull of (at most) N points. It was conjectured there that for this class of bodies the quantity  $\gamma$  is in fact bounded by a universal constant, which of course would then imply "half" of the Duality Conjecture in our setting, i.e., T = D in Conjecture 1 (observe that the roles of K and D in Theorem 2 are not exchangeable). The conjecture concerning bounded ness of  $\gamma$  was discussed in [7] at length, and equivalent geometrical formulations were proposed. Although the conjecture has not been settled yet, it was proved up to a logarithmic factor. More precisely, we have (see [7], Theorem 9.3)

**Proposition 3.** There is a universal constant  $C_0$  such that if  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is any finite set, then setting  $K = \operatorname{conv} S$  and  $k = \max\{\log |S|, \log N(K, D)\}$  we have

$$M^{*}(K \cap D) \leqslant C_{0} \sqrt{k/n (1 + \log k)^{3}}.$$
(2)

Together with Theorem 2 and the remark following it, this easily implies a one-sided duality result with a factor – playing the role of *a* from Conjecture 1 – which is not a numerical constant but instead equals  $O((\log k)^3) = O((\log \log N(K, D))^3)$ ; see [7], Theorem 9.4.

In this Note we wish to show how the validity of the conjecture from [7], i.e., the uniform boundedness of parameters  $\gamma$  for the class of sets described in the paragraph following Theorem 2, implies also the other half of the duality conjecture in our setting (i.e., when, in the notation of Conjecture 1, K = D) and that partial results such as Proposition 3 give duality-type estimates in that reverse inequality. We prove the following result complementing Theorem 2.

**Theorem 4.** There exists an absolute constant c > 0 such that if  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a 0-symmetric convex body, and  $\gamma$  has the same meaning as in Theorem 2, then

$$\log N(K,D) \leqslant 3 \log N(D,c\gamma^{-1}K^{\circ}).$$
(3)

Let now  $\alpha > 0$  and assume that, in the above context,  $\log N(K, D) \ge \alpha n$ . Since we have "for free" that  $M^*(K \cap D) \le 1$ , Theorems 2 and 4 imply a two sided duality result

$$\frac{1}{2}\log N(D, a(\alpha)K^{\circ}) \leq \log N(K, D) \leq 3\log N(D, a(\alpha)^{-1}K^{\circ}),$$
(4)

480

where  $a(\alpha) = O(\alpha^{-1/2})$ . This completes the following result by König and Milman [4], which implies duality (for two *general* symmetric convex bodies) in the case where the logarithm of a covering number is *sufficiently large* when compared to *n*: if *K* and *T* are two 0-symmetric convex bodies in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then

$$\frac{1}{C'} \leqslant \left(\frac{N(K,T)}{N(T^\circ,K^\circ)}\right)^{1/n} \leqslant C',\tag{5}$$

where C' is a universal constant. (In fact the bodies need not be symmetric; it suffices to require that their centroids are 0, see [6].) We shall use this result in the sequel. On the other hand, (4) should be compared with [10], where M-ellipsoids are used to achieve results in the same direction. Our proof, despite using simpler tools, provides slightly better results than [10] specialized to our setting.

**Proof of Theorem 4.** Denote N := N(K, D). Our goal is to prove that  $N(D, c\gamma^{-1}K^{\circ}) \ge N^{1/3}$ , where  $\gamma = \max\{1, \sqrt{n/\log N}M^*(K \cap D)\}$ . Let  $C_1 = c^{-1}/2$  (our choice of *c* will be specified later). Assume first that  $N(K, C_1\gamma D) \le N^{2/3}$ . A result of Tomczak-Jaegermann [12] (see [2], Proposition 2, for a more explicit variant) stating that  $N(K, D) \le N(K, \theta D)N(D, \frac{1}{2\theta}K^{\circ})$  for all  $\theta > 0$  implies then that

$$N(D, (2C_1\gamma)^{-1}K^\circ) \ge N^{1/3},$$

which yields (3).

It remains to handle the case  $N(K, C_1 \gamma D) > N^{2/3}$ . This implies that there exists a  $C_1 \gamma$ -separated (in the Euclidean metric) subset *S* of *K* of cardinality  $> N^{2/3}$ . We set  $k_1 := \lfloor c_1 \log N \rfloor$ , with  $c_1 = (3 \log C')^{-1}$ , where *C'* comes from (5). [This will ensure that when we use (5) in dimension  $k_1$ , we will get a meaningful result; notice also that we may assume that  $k_1 < n$ , as otherwise  $\log N \ge c_1^{-1}n$  and the answer follows easily from (5).] Now consider a random orthogonal projection  $P_E$  of *K* onto a  $k_1$ -dimensional subspace *E*, distributed uniformly with respect to the Haar measure on the corresponding Grassmanian. It is well known that under such projections a distance between two points is typically multiplied by a factor close to  $\sqrt{k_1/n}$ . Moreover, from the results described in [1], which are an isomorphic extension of the Johnson–Lindenstrauss lemma [3], we know that if  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a finite set, then – with probability close to 1 - all the distances between elements of *S* are multiplied by factors  $\ge c_2\sqrt{k_1/n}$ , where  $c_2 > 0$  depends only on (an upper bound for) the ratio  $\log |S|/k_1$ ; in particular under our assumptions  $c_2 \in (0, 1]$  is universal. It follows that, with probability close to 1, the image  $P_E S$  of the  $C_1\gamma$ -separated set *S* is  $c_2C_1\sqrt{k_1/n} \gamma$ -separated. Noting that  $P_E S \subset P_E K \subset E$ , we deduce that

$$N(P_E K, C_2 \sqrt{k_1/n} \gamma D_E) > N^{2/3}$$

where  $D_E$  denotes the unit ball in E and  $C_2 = c_2C_1 = c_2c^{-1}/2$ . However, we are now in a position to use (5) in dimension  $k_1$ , which (by our choice of  $k_1$ ) implies

$$N(D_E, C_2\sqrt{k_1/n}\gamma K^\circ \cap E) > N^{1/3}.$$
(6)

All that is left is to return to the original dimension. For this we use a Dvoretzky theorem-type assertion, which claims that a random projection of  $K \cap D$  onto dimension  $k_1$  is, with probability close to 1, contained in the Euclidean ball of radius  $C_3 \max\{M^*(K \cap D), \sqrt{k_1/n}\}$ , where  $C_3 \ge 1$  is a universal constant (for reference see [5] or Fact 3.1 in [7]). Dualizing this (and using the definitions of  $\gamma$  and  $k_1$ ) we obtain

$$C_4\sqrt{k_1/n} \gamma \operatorname{conv}(K^\circ \cup D) \cap E \supset D_E$$

(with  $C_4 = C_3 \max\{1, \sqrt{2/c_1}\}$ ), which combined with (6) yields

$$N(C_4 \operatorname{conv}(K^\circ \cup D) \cap E, C_2 K^\circ \cap E) > N^{1/3}.$$

We now choose the original *c* in the statement of the theorem:  $c = (C_4)^{-1}c_2/8$ . This means precisely that  $C_2 = 4C_4$ . Then

$$N^{1/3} < N \big( \operatorname{conv}(K^{\circ} \cup D) \cap E, 4K^{\circ} \cap E \big) \\ \leqslant N \big( \operatorname{conv}(K^{\circ} \cup D) \cap E, 2K^{\circ} \big) \\ \leqslant N \big( K^{\circ} + D, 2K^{\circ} \big) \\ \leqslant N \big( D, K^{\circ} \big),$$

where for the second inequality we use the fact that, for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , the set  $(x + 2K^\circ) \cap E$  is contained in a translate of  $4K^\circ \cap E$ . The proof is now complete. Notice that in the second case the parameter  $\gamma$  does not enter into the final formulae and we obtain duality with absolute constants.

As suggested earlier, we can combine Theorem 4 with Proposition 3 to show the following

**Corollary 5.** There exists an absolute constant c' > 0 such that if K is a 0-symmetric convex body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and if  $\log N(K, D) =: k$ , then

$$\log N(K,D) \leq 3\log N\left(D,c'(1+\log k)^{-3}K^{\circ}\right). \tag{7}$$

**Proof.** Since  $N(K, D) = 2^k$ , there exists a 1-separated set  $S = \{x_1, \ldots, x_{2^k}\} \subset K$ . Denote  $K_1 = \text{conv} S$ , then of course

$$N(2K_1, D) = N\left(K_1, \frac{1}{2}D\right) \ge 2^k.$$

The body  $K_1$  satisfies the hypotheses of Proposition 3 and so

 $M^*(2K_1 \cap D) \leq 2M^*(K_1 \cap D) \leq 2C_0\sqrt{k/n} (1 + \log k)^3.$ 

Using Theorem 4 (observe that  $\gamma$  is at most max $\{1, 2C_0(1 + \log k)^3\}$ ) we see that

$$\log N(2K_1, D) \leq 3 \log N(D, c'(1 + \log k)^{-3} K_1^{\circ}).$$

Combining the estimates and taking into account that  $K^{\circ} \subset K_{1}^{\circ}$  we obtain (7).

#### References

- [1] S. Artstein, Proportional concentration phenomena, Israel J. Math. 132 (2002) 337-358.
- [2] J. Bourgain, A. Pajor, S.J. Szarek, N. Tomczak-Jaegermann, On the duality problem for entropy numbers of operators, in: Geometric Aspects of Functional Analysis (1987–88), in: Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 1376, Springer, Berlin, 1989, pp. 50–63.
- [3] W.B. Johnson, J. Lindenstrauss, Extensions of Lipschitz mappings into a Hilbert space, in: Conference in Modern Analysis and Probability, New Haven, CO, in: Contemp. Math., Vol. 26, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1982, pp. 189–206.
- [4] H. König, V. Milman, On the covering numbers of convex bodies, in: Geometric Aspects of Functional Analysis (1985–86), in: Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 1267, Springer, Berlin, 1987, pp. 82–95.
- [5] V.D. Milman, A note on a low M\*-estimate, in: Geometry of Banach Spaces, Strobl, 1989, in: London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., Vol. 158, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990, pp. 219–229.
- [6] V.D. Milman, A. Pajor, Entropy and asymptotic geometry of non-symmetric convex bodies, Adv. in Math. 152 (2) (2000) 314–335.
- [7] V.D. Milman, S.J. Szarek, A geometric lemma and duality of entropy numbers, in: Geometric Aspects of Functional Analysis (1996–2000), in: Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 1745, Springer, Berlin, 2000, pp. 191–222.
- [8] V.D. Milman, S.J. Szarek, A geometric approach to duality of metric entropy, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. I 332 (2) (2001) 157–162.
- [9] A. Pietsch, Theorie der Operatorenideale (Zusammenfassung), Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena, 1972.
- [10] G. Pisier, A new approach to several results of V. Milman, J. Reine Angew. Math. 393 (1989) 115-131.
- [11] G. Pisier, The Volume of Convex Bodies and Banach Space Geometry, in: Cambridge Tracts in Math., Vol. 94, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [12] N. Tomczak-Jaegermann, Dualité des nombres d'entropie pour des opérateurs à valeurs dans un espace de Hilbert, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. I 305 (7) (1987) 299–301.

482