

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com





C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 337 (2003) 481-486

Probability Theory/Geometry

SLEs as boundaries of clusters of Brownian loops

Wendelin Werner

Université Paris-Sud and IUF, laboratoire de mathématiques, 91405 Orsay cedex, France

Received 18 August 2003; accepted 30 August 2003

Presented by Paul Malliavin

Abstract

In this research announcement, we show that SLE curves can in fact be viewed as boundaries of certain clusters of Brownian loops (of the clusters in a Brownian loop soup). For small densities *c* of loops, we show that the outer boundaries of the clusters created by the Brownian loop soup are SLE_{κ}-type curves where $\kappa \in (8/3, 4]$ and *c* related by the usual relation $c = (3\kappa - 8)(6 - \kappa)/2\kappa$ (i.e., *c* corresponds to the central charge of the model). This gives (for any Riemann surface) a simple construction of a natural countable family of random disjoint SLE_{κ} loops, that behaves "nicely" under perturbation of the surface and is related to various aspects of conformal field theory and representation theory. *To cite this article: W. Werner, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 337 (2003).*

© 2003 Académie des sciences. Published by Éditions scientifiques et médicales Elsevier SAS. All rights reserved. **Résumé**

Les processus SLE comme frontières d'amas de lacets browniens. Nous étudions certaines propriétés de connectivité de la « soupe » de lacets browniens dans un domaine. On montre l'exitence d'une transition de phase : lorsque l'intensité *c* est petite, il y a un ensemble dénombrable d'amas disjoints alors que lorsque *c* est grand, il n'y a presque sûrement qu'un seul amas. Nous montrons que pour les petites valeurs de *c*, les frontières de ces amas sont des courbes simples de type SLE (Evolution de Loewner–Schramm) de paramètre $\kappa \in (8/3, 4]$ avec $c = (6 - \kappa)(3\kappa - 8)/2\kappa$. Ceci permet de construire une famille aléatoire de boucles de SLE disjointes sur toute surface de Riemann et est étroitement relié à la théorie conforme des champs. *Pour citer cet article : W. Werner, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 337 (2003).*

© 2003 Académie des sciences. Published by Éditions scientifiques et médicales Elsevier SAS. All rights reserved.

Version française abrégée

Supposons que l'on se donne une soupe $(l_j)_{j \in J}$ de lacets browniens d'intensité c dans un domaine ouvert D du plan complexe $(D \neq \mathbb{C})$, définie dans [17]. Rappelons qu'il s'agit d'un ensemble poissonien de lacets browniens dans D, qui est invariant conforme et vérifie la propriété suivante (dite de restriction conforme) : si l'on choisit $D' \subset D$ et que l'on ne garde que les lacets qui restent dans D', alors on obtient une réalisation de la soupe brownienne dans D'. En outre, une conséquence immédiate de la définition est que la réunion de deux soupes browniennes indépendantes d'intensité c est une soupe brownienne d'intensité 2c.

E-mail address: wendelin.werner@math.u-psud.fr (W. Werner).

¹⁶³¹⁻⁰⁷³X/\$ – see front matter © 2003 Académie des sciences. Published by Éditions scientifiques et médicales Elsevier SAS. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.crma.2003.08.003

W. Werner / C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 337 (2003) 481-486

On étudie alors les amas crées par cette soupe brownienne : on note (K_i) les différentes composantes connexes de $\bigcup_j l_j$. Lorsque *c* est petit, nous montrons qu'il y a une famille dénombrable de composantes connexes disjointes qui sont toutes à distance positive de ∂D . En outre, nous montrons que leurs frontières extérieures sont des lacets que l'on peut interpréter comme des lacets de processus de Loewner–Schramm de paramètre $\kappa \in (8/3, 4]$, où $c = (6 - \kappa)(3\kappa - 8)/2\kappa$.

Ceci permet donc de définir de manière déterministe et simple, une famille de lacets de SLE_{κ} , telle qu'elle devrait apparaître comme limite de modèles discrets bi-dimensionnels critiques issus de la physique statistique, à partir de cette soupe brownienne.

Ceci est également étroitement relié à des représentations de plus haut poids de l'algèbre de Virasoro, et l'intensité c devient la charge centrale de ces représentations. En outre, la valeur critique c = 1 devrait correspondre à la transition de phase pour la percolation de boucles browniennes.

Une relation précise entre les courbes SLE et la soupe brownienne peut être formulée comme suit : On se donne un domaine simplement connexe D et deux points distincts du bord de D. Alors, il existe une certaine courbe aléatoire γ simple de a vers b dans D, introduite dans [15] appelée une courbe de restriction d'exposant α . Cette courbe simple, de dimension fractale 4/3 peut être vue comme la frontière droite d'un certain mouvement Brownien réflechi de a vers b [15]. On rajoute à γ la réunion de tous les amas d'une soupe de lacets browniens d'intensité c qu'elle intersecte, et on considère le bord droit de cet ensemble. Alors, si α et c sont bien choisis, η est exactement un SLE de paramètre κ . Le lien entre α et c est celui entre le plus haut poids et la charge centrale pour des représentations dégénérées de l'algèbre de Virasoro.

Ceci donne une définition de ces processus uniquement à partir d'unions de courbes browniennes, et définit un objet (cette famille dénombrable de lacets browniens) important pour comprendre certains aspects de la théorie conforme des champs.

1. Background

The goal of this paper is announce some results that relate the Brownian loop soup introduced in [17] to the Schramm–Loewner Evolutions (SLE) (in particular for values of the parameter between 8/3 and 4) and random families of disjoint SLE loops (as they might appear in the scaling limit of many 2*d* statistical physics models, and in conformal field theory). A more complete paper [26] on the same subject (with proofs, that discusses also various consequences of this approach) is in preparation.

SLE processes have been introduced by Schramm in [21], building on the observation that they are the only processes that have a certain (conformal) Markovian-type property. There is a one-dimensional family of SLEs (indexed by a positive real parameter κ), and they are the only possible candidates for the scaling limits of interfaces for two-dimensional critical systems that are believed to be conformally invariant. This definition of SLE via Loewner's equation is a dynamic one-dimensional construction: One basically describes the law of $\eta[t, t + dt]$ given $\eta[0, t]$, and integrates this with respect to t. See, e.g., [21,20,11,23] for an introduction to (chordal) SLE. Furthermore, it is worthwhile stressing that this construction describes one interface, corresponding to specific boundary conditions in the discrete model, but that it does in general not give immediately access to the "complete scaling limit" of the system. This raises the following question: Is there a simple and natural way to define at once a whole family of SLE loops in a domain that might describe simultaneously all boundaries of clusters?

In [15], a different characterization of the SLE_{8/3} random curve was derived. It is shown to be the unique random curve in a domain, that satisfies a certain conformal restriction property. This characterization is "global" and does not use (directly) the Markovian property. It also enabled to identify this curve with the outer boundary of a certain reflected Brownian motion [15], and with the outer boundary of a certain union of Brownian excursions [25]. Hence, it is geometrically possible to construct SLE_{8/3} from planar Brownian motions (recall also that SLE_{8/3} is conjectured to be the scaling limit of the half-plane self-avoiding walk [14]).

482

When $\kappa = 2$, SLE has been proved in [13] to be the scaling limit of the loop-erased random walk. This gives a heuristic justification to the fact proved in [15] that adding Brownian loops in a proper way on the top of an SLE₂ curve gives the same hull as a Brownian motion. In fact, a similar result holds for all $\kappa \in (0, 8/3)$: adding Brownian loops to an SLE_{κ} gives a sample of a conformal restriction measure, as defined in [15]. This fact can be related to some representations of infinite-dimensional Lie Algebras [9] (the corresponding martingale has also turned out to be instrumental in the papers – [1] and the references therein as well as [8] – that lie links with Conformal Field Theory). In a way, this shows that SLE_{κ} for $\kappa \in (0, 8/3]$ could also be characterized implicitly and globally via planar Brownian motions: it is the only simple curve such that if one adds a certain density of Brownian loops, one gets the same hull as the union of some Brownian motions (all these aspects relating restriction measures to SLE are reviewed in [25]). This raises naturally the following question: What can one say for $\kappa \in (8/3, 4]$, which is in fact the physically more interesting part (in CFT language, it corresponds to positive central charge) that is supposed to correspond for instance to the scaling limit of critical Potts (i.e., random cluster) models for $q \in (1, 4]$? Is there such a relation with Brownian motions, loop soups? As we shall see, this relation is in fact in a sense richer.

2. The Brownian loop soup percolation

We now use the Brownian loop soup introduced in [17] to define conformally invariant random fractal domains: Start with a Brownian loop soup with small intensity c in a bounded open (not necessarily simply connected) domain D ($D = \mathbb{H}$ is also licit). This is a countable Poissonian collection of Brownian loops (l_j , $j \in J$) that stay in D, and is conformally invariant: The image of this loop soup under a conformal mapping Φ is a loop soup with the same intensity in the domain $\Phi(D)$. Furthermore, it satisfies restriction: If one restricts a loop soup in Dto those loops that stay in $D' \subset D$, one gets a sample of the loop soup in D'. The parameter c is measuring the intensity of the Poissonian procedure: for instance, the union of two independent loop soups of intensity c is a Brownian loop soup with intensity 2c.

Every point in D is almost surely encircled by a countable number of loops in the loop soup, but there exist (for small c) many points that are "free" and not encircled by any loop. In fact, one can prove that (when c < 10) the dimension of the set of free points is almost surely 2 - c/5 (while for c > 10, no point is free), building on the relation between the loop soup and the Brownian bubbles derived in [17]. This suggests that for very small c, there might exist whole paths of points that are not encircled by any loop, i.e., paths that do intersect no loop in the loop soup.

We now study the set $M := D \setminus \bigcup_{j \in J} l_j$. This Cantor-like random set is the main subject of the present paper. It is natural to construct the loop soup clusters: for any two loops l and l' in the loop soup, we say that two loops are in the same cluster if there exist a finite sequence of loops $l^0 = l$, $l^1, \ldots, l^n = l'$ in the loop soup such that for all $j \leq n$, $l^j \cap l^{j-1} \neq \emptyset$. This defines the loop soup cluster K(l) as the union of all loops l' that satisfy this property. The loop soup therefore defines a countable family $(K_i, i \in I)$ of (connected) loop soup clusters. It is possible to show that:

Proposition 2.1. There exists c_0 such that if $c \leq c_0$, then almost surely: all loop soup clusters are at positive distance of ∂D and they are at positive distance from each other. For any fixed two points a and b on the boundary of D, there exists continuous paths from a to b in M (i.e., that avoids all loops).

Note that clearly, when c becomes large, since almost surely, no point is free, the statement does not hold: There exists only one loop soup cluster, it is dense in D, and its complement is completely disconnected. As we shall see, it will be natural to conjecture that the critical value of c is 1.

This proposition (and its proof) has similarities with multi-scale Poisson percolation models as studied in Chapter 8 of [19], and with Mandelbrot's fractal percolation model [18,6].

3. SLE as loop soup cluster boundaries

When *c* is small, it is also possible to see that the "exterior boundary" of K_j consists of a union of simple (disjoint) loops. For instance, for simply connected *D*, one can define the outer boundary ∂_j^{out} of K_j as the inner boundary of the connected component of $D \setminus K_j$ that also has ∂D on its boundary. This associates (for small *c*) to each realization of the loop soup, a countable collection of simple loops (∂_u , $u \in U$). Note that even though we know [12] that the dimension of the outer boundary of each Brownian loop is 4/3, the curves ∂_j^{out} are outer boundaries of a countable union of such loops, so that their fractal dimension can be different. In fact:

Proposition 3.1. When $c \leq c_0$, then the curves ∂_k are SLE_{κ} type-curves, where $\kappa \in (8/3, 4]$ and c are related by $c = c(\kappa) = (3\kappa - 8)(6 - \kappa)/(2\kappa)$.

This shows in particular that the Hausdorff dimension of all the curves ∂_u is almost surely $1 + \kappa/8$ (see [2]). Also, since $c(\kappa) \leq 1$ for all κ , one can see that c_0 in Proposition 2.1 can not be larger than one. It is natural to expect that this proposition will hold for all $c \leq 1$ (i.e., that one can take $c_0 = 1$, and that this is the critical value for loop-soup percolation).

The loop soup percolation exterior boundaries therefore define at once a countable conformally invariant collection of disjoint SLE_{κ} -type curves in D. When one perturbs the boundary of the domain D and looks how the law of this family is changed, one can use the restriction property of the Brownian loop soup to give explicit Radon–Nikodym derivatives between the laws in different domains, in term of the measures on Brownian loops/bubbles. In particular, this shows that it behaves as expected for a conformal field theory with central charge c.

The statement in the proposition is a little bit vague. One way to make the relation between SLE_{κ} and the outer boundaries of clusters precise goes as follows: Consider a small *c*, choose $\alpha > 0$ and $\kappa \in (8/3, 4]$ appropriately, i.e., such that $\alpha = (6 - \kappa)/2\kappa$ and $c = c(\kappa)$. If *D* is a simply connected domain and $a \neq b$ two boundary points (one can take for instance $D = \mathbb{H}$, a = 0 and $b = \infty$), we define a random simple curve γ as a sample of the one-sided restriction measure (from *a* to *b* in *D*) with exponent α . This random curve is defined in [15] and can be viewed as the boundary of a certain reflected Brownian motion from *a* to *b*, or as the boundary of a union of a Poissonian sample Brownian excursions (see [25]). Attach to γ the union of all the loop soup clusters (of an independent loop soup with intensity *c*) that it intersects. Call the right-boundary of this set η . Then:

Proposition 3.2. η *is* (*exactly*) *an* SLE_{κ} *curve*.

In fact, if one chooses *c* as before, but starts with another α , one gets a so-called SLE(κ , ρ) curve, as defined in [15], where $\alpha(\kappa, \rho) = (\rho + 2)(\rho + 6 - \kappa)/4\kappa$ (for more on these SLE(κ, ρ) processes, see [15,7,24]).

The idea of the proof goes as follows: studying the loop soup itself (see above) shows that η is a simple curve that stays away from the boundary of *D*. Because of the restriction properties of both the loop soup and the curve γ , it is possible to argue that the curve η satisfies a "Markovian-type" property that basically implies that it is an SLE(κ , ρ) process for some κ and ρ (this uses the fact that the Bessel processes used to define these SLE processes are the only real continuous Markov process with Brownian scaling). The values of κ and ρ can then be worked out by looking at how the law of η behaves when one perturbs the boundary of the domain *D*, and comparing this with the local martingales pointed out for SLE(κ , ρ) by Dubédat in [7].

One consequence of this result is the "reversibility" of these SLE, i.e.: η and $-1/\eta$ have the same law (if one looks at SLE from the origin to infinity in \mathbb{H}).

Along similar lines, it is possible to give a heuristic justification to the fact that chordal SLE_{κ} is the (annealed) uniform measure on self-avoiding walks on the random fractal *M*, when $c = c(\kappa)$: Consider a Brownian loop soup in *D* with intensity *c*. Suppose that there exist a conformally invariant and uniform way to choose a simple curve η from *a* to *b* that avoids all loops in the loop soup. This is very vague and as unprecise as to say that there exists a uniform conformally invariant way to choose a self-avoiding curve from the origin to infinity, which would

correspond to the case c = 0; see [14,25] for how one can (and cannot) make such definitions rigorous. In fact, one should rather speak of "intrinsic" measure rather than uniform measures (see [25]). Anyway, if such a definition would hold, then the conformal restriction property of the loop soup implies readily that this curve satisfies the Markovian property, so that it should be an SLE curve. The value κ can then be determined as before studying the way in which the law of this curve is changed under restriction. It is worthwhile exploring whether this is related to the "quantum gravity" approach to critical phenomena developed by physicists, see, e.g., [10] (here, we interpret SLE as self-avoiding walk on a natural continuous random geometric object).

4. Consequences

This has many consequences. We plan to address the following items in forthcoming papers:

- The restriction property of the loop soup shows that these clusters satisfy a "Markovian property" in space. This is related to various things, in particular to certain representations of the Virasoro algebra.
- This family of SLE loops defines at once a big family of observables. This allows to define a natural L^2 space, on which the Loewner semi-group acts. This is related to considerations from conformal field theory, as for instance in [3,5].
- One can do similar things using radial restriction measures and radial restriction.
- This construction works obviously on any Riemann surface, and the value of the critical *c* is the same as on the plane. This is of course related to some of the previous items.

We now conclude the paper with some comments:

- There exists a representation of correlation functions for spin systems (see, e.g., [4]) via random walks. Maybe this is related to the present loop soup percolation (i.e., chain of Brownian loops) representation, and can have fruitful consequences.
- The c = 0 model (i.e., the scaling limit of percolation clusters, say) does not appear easily here. This is probably related to the fact that in the CFT approach, the c = 0 case is often treated via the $c \rightarrow 0$ limit [5].
- The construction of SLE in Proposition 3.1 is very non-symmetric, and one may be surprised to obtain a symmetric curve in the end (with respect to the imaginary axis). Note that it the limiting case c = 0 (where no loop is present), this was already proved to be the case [15].
- The construction of SLE in Proposition 3.1 is very "two-dimensional" and in spirit very different from the Loewner equation approach. The fact that these two constructions are equivalent is not so surprising after all: because of the fact that there are only few candidates to describe conformally invariant models in two-dimensions, many a priori different definitions in fact coincide (which is one of the instrumental observations [16] that allowed to use SLE to determine the Brownian exponent [11,12]). As the Brownian loop soup is a rich conformally invariant object, it is not so surprising that it "contains" SLE curves. The same remark applies to the relation between the Gaussian Free Field and SLE curves/loops recently discovered by Oded Schramm and Scott Sheffield [22]. It also raises the question of whether there exists a direct link between the Gaussian Free Field and the loop soup.

Acknowledgements

I thank Vincent Beffara, Greg Lawler and Nikolai Makarov for stimulating and useful discussions. I also acknowledge the hospitality of the Isaac Newton Institute, where part of this work was done.

References

- [1] M. Bauer, D. Bernard, Conformal transformations and the SLE partition function martingales, Preprint, 2003.
- [2] V. Beffara, The dimension of the SLE curves, Preprint, 2002.
- [3] A.A. Belavin, A.M. Polyakov, A.B. Zamolodchikov, Infinite conformal symmetry in two-dimensional quantum field theory, Nucl. Phys. B 241 (1984) 333–380.
- [4] D. Brydges, J. Fröhlich, T. Spencer, The random walk representation of classical spin systems and correlation inequalities, Comm. Math. Phys. 83 (1982) 123–150.
- [5] J.L. Cardy, Conformal invariance and surface critical behavior, Nucl. Phys. B 240 (1984) 514-532.
- [6] J.T. Chayes, L. Chayes, R. Durrett, Connectivity properties of Mandelbrot's percolation process, Probab. Theory Related Fields 77 (1988) 307–324.
- [7] J. Dubédat, $SLE(\kappa, \rho)$ martingales and duality, Preprint, 2003.
- [8] R. Friedrich, J. Kalkkinen, On conformal field theory and stochastic Löwner evolutions, Preprint, 2003.
- [9] R. Friedrich, W. Werner, Conformal restriction, highest-weight representations and SLE, Comm. Math. Phys. (2003), in press.
- [10] V.G. Knizhnik, A.M. Polyakov, A.B. Zamolodchikov, Fractal structure of 2-D quantum gravity, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 3 (1988) 819.
- [11] G.F. Lawler, O. Schramm, W. Werner, Values of Brownian intersection exponents I: Half-plane exponents, Acta Math. 187 (2001) 237–273.
- [12] G.F. Lawler, O. Schramm, W. Werner, Values of Brownian intersection exponents II: Plane exponents, Acta Math. 187 (2001) 275–308.
- [13] G.F. Lawler, O. Schramm, W. Werner, Conformal invariance of planar loop-erased random walks and uniform spanning trees, Ann. Probab. (2001), in press.
- [14] G.F. Lawler, O. Schramm, W. Werner, On the scaling limit of planar self-avoiding walks, in: M. Lapidus (Ed.), AMS Symp. Pure Math., Volume in honor of B.B. Mandelbrot, 2002, in press.
- [15] G.F. Lawler, O. Schramm, W. Werner, Conformal restriction properties. The chordal case, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 16 (2003) 915–955.
- [16] G.F. Lawler, W. Werner, Universality for conformally invariant intersection exponents, J. Eur. Math. Soc. 2 (2000) 291-328.
- [17] G.F. Lawler, W. Werner, The Brownian loop soup, Probab. Theory Related Fields, in press.
- [18] B.B. Mandelbrot, The Fractal Geometry of Nature, Freeman, 1982.
- [19] R. Meester, R. Roy, Continuum Percolation, CUP, 1996.
- [20] S. Rohde, O. Schramm, Basic properties of SLE, Ann. Math. (2001), in press.
- [21] O. Schramm, Scaling limits of loop-erased random walks and uniform spanning trees, Israel J. Math. 118 (2000) 221-288.
- [22] O. Schramm, S. Sheffield, (2003), in preparation.
- [23] W. Werner, Random planar curves and Schramm–Loewner evolutions, in: 2002 St-Flour Summer School, Lecture Notes in Math., Springer, 2002, in press.
- [24] W. Werner, Girsanov's theorem for SLE(κ, ρ) processes, intersection exponents and hiding exponents, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse, in press.
- [25] W. Werner, Conformal restriction and related questions, Preprint, 2003.
- [26] W. Werner, (2003), in preparation.

486