



ELSEVIER

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 339 (2004) 855–860



<http://france.elsevier.com/direct/CRASS1/>

Partial Differential Equations

On a minimization problem related to lifting of BV functions with values in S^1

Arkady Poliakovsky

Department of Mathematics, Technion – I.I.T., 32000 Haifa, Israel

Received and accepted 28 September 2004

Available online 11 November 2004

Presented by Haïm Brezis

Abstract

For $u \in W^{1,1}(\Omega, S^1)$ denote by K the set of minimizers of the problem $\min \int_{\Omega} |u \wedge \nabla u - D\phi|$, over $\phi \in \text{BV}(\Omega)$ satisfying $\int_{\Omega} \phi = 0$. We show that an extreme point of K must be a lifting of u , up to an additive constant. We also prove a more general result for the case of u in $\text{BV}(\Omega, S^1)$. **To cite this article:** A. Poliakovsky, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 339 (2004).

© 2004 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier SAS. All rights reserved.

Résumé

Sur un problème de minimisation lié au relèvement des fonctions BV à valeurs dans S^1 . Pour $u \in W^{1,1}(\Omega, S^1)$ on désigne par K l'ensemble des minimiseurs pour le problème $\min \int_{\Omega} |u \wedge \nabla u - D\phi|$ sur l'ensemble des fonctions $\phi \in \text{BV}(\Omega)$ vérifiant $\int_{\Omega} \phi = 0$. On démontre que chaque point extrême de K est un relèvement de u , à une constante additive près. On démontre ainsi une généralisation pour le cas $u \in \text{BV}(\Omega, S^1)$. **Pour citer cet article :** A. Poliakovsky, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 339 (2004).

© 2004 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier SAS. All rights reserved.

Version française abrégée

Soit Ω un domaine borné de \mathbb{R}^N ou le bord d'un domaine borné régulier $G \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ et soit $u \in W^{1,1}(\Omega, S^1)$. Brezis, Mironescu et Ponce ont démontré dans [2] que le problème de minimisation

$$\min \{ \|D\phi - u \wedge \nabla u\|_{\mathcal{M}(\Omega)} : \phi \in \text{BV}(\Omega) \}, \quad (1)$$

à au moins un minimiseur ϕ qui est un *relèvement* de u , c.à.d. $u = e^{i\phi}$, p.p. dans Ω . Soit K l'ensemble de tous les minimiseurs dans (1) qui vérifient en plus la contrainte $\int_{\Omega} \phi = 0$. La question suivante a été posée dans [2] (pour le cas $N = 2$) :

E-mail address: maarkady@techunix.technion.ac.il (A. Poliakovsky).

Question. Est-ce qu'une fonction $\varphi \in K$ est un point extrême de K si et seulement si $u = e^{i(\varphi+C)}$ pour une constante $C \in \mathbb{R}$?

Un exemple simple montre qu'une fonction $\varphi \in K$ qui vérifie $u = e^{i(\varphi+C)}$ n'est pas forcément un point extrême de K . Mais on a le résultat positif suivant, valable pour tout N .

Théorème 0.1. Pour $u \in W^{1,1}(\Omega, S^1)$, tout point extrême φ de K vérifie $u = e^{i(\varphi+C)}$, pour une constante C .

En fait, Théorème 0.1 est un cas particulier d'un résultat plus général, valable pour $u \in \text{BV}(\Omega, S^1)$. Le gradient d'un tel u se décompose comme suit (voir [1]) :

$$Du = D^a u + D^c u + D^j u, \quad \text{avec } D^j u = (u^+ - u^-) \otimes \nu_u \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \llcorner S(u),$$

où D^a , D^c et D^j sont respectivement les parties absolument continue, de Cantor et de saut de Du . L'ensemble de saut est désigné par $S(u)$. Ignat [5] a défini une mesure de Radon à valeurs dans \mathbb{R}^N par

$$\mu = u \wedge D^a u + u \wedge D^c u + \rho(u^+, u^-) \nu_u \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \llcorner S(u),$$

où $\rho(\cdot, \cdot) : S^1 \times S^1 \rightarrow [-\pi, \pi]$ est donnée par

$$\rho(w_1, w_2) = \begin{cases} \text{Arg}\left(\frac{w_1}{w_2}\right) & \text{if } \frac{w_1}{w_2} \neq -1, \\ \text{Arg}(w_1) - \text{Arg}(w_2) & \text{if } \frac{w_1}{w_2} = -1, \end{cases} \quad \forall w_1, w_2 \in S^1.$$

La fonction Arg est définie par $\text{Arg}(w) = \theta \in (-\pi, \pi]$ si $w = e^{i\theta}$. La généralisation suivante de la fonctionnelle de (1) pour le cas $u \in \text{BV}(\Omega, S^1)$ est suggérée dans [5] :

$$J(\varphi) = \|D\varphi - \mu\|_{\mathcal{M}(\Omega)}, \quad \forall \varphi \in \text{BV}(\Omega).$$

Comme avant on note K l'ensemble des minimiseurs de J , sous la contrainte $\int_{\Omega} \varphi = 0$. Notre généralisation du Théorème 0.1 est le résultat suivant.

Théorème 0.1'. Pour $u \in \text{BV}(\Omega, S^1)$, tout point extrême φ de K vérifie $u = e^{i(\varphi+C)}$, pour une constante C .

1. Introduction and notation

Let Ω denote either a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N , or the boundary of a smooth bounded domain $G \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$. An optimal lifting of a function $u = (u_1, u_2) \in W^{1,1}(\Omega, S^1)$ is a function φ realizing the minimum for

$$E(u) := \min \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |D\varphi| : \varphi \in \text{BV}(\Omega) \text{ such that } u = e^{i\varphi}, \mathcal{H}^N\text{-a.e. in } \Omega \right\}. \quad (2)$$

When Ω is a simply connected surface, the boundary of a smooth bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^3 , it was shown by Brezis, Mironescu and Ponce [2] that

$$E(u) - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u| = 2\pi L(u), \quad (3)$$

where $L(u)$ is the length of a minimal connection connecting the topological singularities of u (see [2] for details). It was shown in [2] (again when $N = 2$) that $L(u)$ is related also to another minimization problem, namely

$$2\pi L(u) = \min \{ \|D\varphi - u \wedge \nabla u\|_{\mathcal{M}(\Omega)} : \varphi \in \text{BV}(\Omega) \}, \quad (4)$$

where

$$u \wedge \nabla u = \{u \wedge u_{x_i}\}_{i=1}^N = \{u_1(u_2)_{x_i} - u_2(u_1)_{x_i}\}_{i=1}^N,$$

and the total variation of the \mathbb{R}^N -valued Borel measure $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_N)$ on Ω is given by

$$\|\mu\|_{\mathcal{M}(\Omega)} = \int_{\Omega} |d\mu| = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \eta_i d\mu_i : \eta_1, \dots, \eta_N \in C_c(\Omega) \text{ s.t. } \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_i^2 \leq 1 \text{ in } \Omega \right\}.$$

Following [2] we denote by K the set of minimizers in (4) satisfying $\int_{\Omega} \varphi = 0$. In this note we address the following question that was raised in [2] (for the case $N = 2$):

Question. Is it true that $\varphi \in K$ is an extreme point of K if and only if $u = e^{i(\varphi+C)}$ for some constant C ?

A simple example (see below) shows that a function $\varphi \in K$ satisfying $u = e^{i(\varphi+C)}$ may not be an extreme point of K . On the other hand, we do have the following result (valid for any N):

Theorem 1.1. For $u \in W^{1,1}(\Omega, S^1)$ every extreme point φ of K satisfies $u = e^{i(\varphi+C)}$, for some constant C .

Actually, Theorem 1.1 will follow from a more general result which treats the case of $u \in \text{BV}(\Omega, S^1)$, where the functional on the r.h.s. on (4) is replaced by a more general functional, as introduced by Ignat [5]. For such u we have (see [1]):

$$Du = D^a u + D^c u + D^j u, \quad \text{with } D^j u = (u^+ - u^-) \otimes \nu_u \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \llcorner S(u),$$

where D^a , D^c and D^j are the absolutely continuous, Cantor and jump part of Du , respectively. $S(u)$ represents the set of jump points of u which is a countably \mathcal{H}^{N-1} -rectifiable set on Ω oriented by the Borel map $\nu_u : S(u) \rightarrow S^{N-1}$. The Borel functions $u^+, u^- : S(u) \rightarrow S^1$ are the traces of u on the jump set $S(u)$ with respect to the orientation ν_u . Following [5] we define a finite Radon \mathbb{R}^N -valued measure μ by

$$\mu = u \wedge D^a u + \tilde{u} \wedge D^c u + \rho(u^+, u^-) \nu_u \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \llcorner S(u).$$

Here \tilde{u} is the approximate limit of u that is defined \mathcal{H}^{N-1} -a.e. on $\Omega \setminus S_u$ and the function $\rho(\cdot, \cdot) : S^1 \times S^1 \rightarrow [-\pi, \pi]$ is defined by

$$\rho(w_1, w_2) = \begin{cases} \text{Arg}\left(\frac{w_1}{w_2}\right) & \text{if } \frac{w_1}{w_2} \neq -1, \\ \text{Arg}(w_1) - \text{Arg}(w_2) & \text{if } \frac{w_1}{w_2} = -1, \end{cases} \quad \forall w_1, w_2 \in S^1,$$

where $\text{Arg}(w) = \theta \in (-\pi, \pi]$ for $w = e^{i\theta}$. Notice that the function ρ is antisymmetric, i.e.,

$$\rho(w_1, w_2) = -\rho(w_2, w_1), \quad \forall w_1, w_2 \in S^1,$$

and therefore μ does not depend on the choice of the orientation ν_u on the jump set $S(u)$. Following [5] we define the functional

$$J(\varphi) = \|D\varphi - \mu\|_{\mathcal{M}(\Omega)}, \quad \forall \varphi \in \text{BV}(\Omega).$$

Note that J coincides with the functional on the r.h.s. of (4) when $u \in W^{1,1}(\Omega, S^1)$. As before we denote by K the set of minimizers of J , under the constraint $\int_{\Omega} \varphi = 0$. We shall prove the following generalization of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.1'. For $u \in \text{BV}(\Omega, S^1)$ every extreme point φ of K satisfies $u = e^{i(\varphi+C)}$, for some constant C .

2. Main proposition

Theorem 1.1' is an easy consequence of the next proposition:

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\varphi \in \text{BV}(\Omega)$ be such that the function $e^{-i\varphi}u$ is not equal to a constant, \mathcal{H}^N -a.e. in Ω . Then there exist $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \text{BV}(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ with $D\varphi_1 \neq D\varphi_2$ (i.e., $|D\varphi_1 - D\varphi_2|_{\text{BV}} = \|D\varphi_1 - D\varphi_2\|_{\mathcal{M}(\Omega)} > 0$) such that $\varphi = \frac{1}{2}\varphi_1 + \frac{1}{2}\varphi_2$ and $J(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2}J(\varphi_1) + \frac{1}{2}J(\varphi_2)$.*

Proof. It is well known (see [4,3]) that there exists $\varphi_0 \in \text{BV}(\Omega)$ such that $u = e^{i\varphi_0}$, \mathcal{H}^N -a.e. in Ω . By Volpert's chain rule for BV functions (see [1]) we have

$$D^a \varphi_0 = u \wedge D^a u \quad \text{and} \quad D^c \varphi_0 = \tilde{u} \wedge D^c u. \quad (5)$$

Set $f_1(s) = \cos s$ and $f_2(s) = \sin s$. The functions f_1, f_2 are 2π -periodic and satisfy $|f'_i(s)| \leq 1$ for all $s, i = 1, 2$, so in particular,

$$|f_i(s) - f_i(t)| \leq |s - t| \quad \forall s, t \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2. \quad (6)$$

Define

$$v_i(x) = f_i(\varphi(x) - \varphi_0(x)) \quad \forall x \in \Omega, i = 1, 2.$$

Again by the chain rule we have that $v_i \in \text{BV}(\Omega), i = 1, 2$, and using also (5) we get:

$$\begin{aligned} D^a v_i &= f'_i(\varphi - \varphi_0)(D^a \varphi - D^a \varphi_0) = f'_i(\varphi - \varphi_0)(D^a \varphi - u \wedge D^a u), \\ D^c v_i &= f'_i(\tilde{\varphi} - \tilde{\varphi}_0)(D^c \varphi - D^c \varphi_0) = f'_i(\tilde{\varphi} - \tilde{\varphi}_0)(D^c \varphi - \tilde{u} \wedge D^c u). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

The jump part $D^j v_i$ is concentrated on the set $S(\varphi) \cup S(\varphi_0)$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_0^+ - \varphi_0^- &\in 2\pi\mathbb{Z} \quad \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\text{-a.e. on } S(\varphi_0) \setminus S(u), \\ \varphi_0^+ - \varphi_0^- - \rho(u^+, u^-) &\in 2\pi\mathbb{Z} \quad \text{on } S(u). \end{aligned}$$

The orientation on $S(\varphi_0), S(\varphi)$, and $S(u)$ is chosen to be the same on any intersection of two of these three sets. Denote this orientation vector by ν . Since f_i is 2π -periodic, we obtain that

$$D^j v_i = [f_i(\varphi^+ - \rho(u^+, u^-) - \varphi_0^-) - f_i(\varphi^- - \varphi_0^-)] \nu \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \llcorner (S(\varphi) \cup S(u)). \quad (8)$$

Note that our assumption $e^{-i\varphi}u \neq \text{const}$ implies that at least one of v_1 and v_2 is not equal to a constant, \mathcal{H}^N -a.e. in Ω .

Without loss of generality we may assume then that $|Dv_1|_{\text{BV}(\Omega)} > 0$. Set $\varphi_1 = \varphi + v_1$ and $\varphi_2 = \varphi - v_1$. Obviously, $|D\varphi_1 - D\varphi_2|_{\text{BV}(\Omega)} > 0$ and $\varphi = \frac{1}{2}\varphi_1 + \frac{1}{2}\varphi_2$.

Since the absolutely continuous, the Cantor and the jump parts of measures are mutually singular, we have for any $\psi \in \text{BV}(\Omega)$:

$$\begin{aligned} J(\psi) &= \int_{\Omega} |D^a \psi - u \wedge D^a u| d\mathcal{H}^N + \|D^c \psi - \tilde{u} \wedge D^c u\|_{\mathcal{M}(\Omega)} \\ &\quad + \int_{S(\psi) \cup S(u)} |\psi^+ - \psi^- - \rho(u^+, u^-)| d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Here the orientation of ν_ψ is chosen to be equal to that of ν_u on $S(\psi) \cap S(u)$. Applying (9) to φ_1, φ_2 , using (7) and (8), yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 J(\varphi_1) &= \int_{\Omega} |1 + f'_1(\varphi - \varphi_0)| |D^a \varphi - u \wedge D^a u| + \int_{\Omega} |1 + f'_1(\tilde{\varphi} - \tilde{\varphi}_0)| d(|D^c \varphi - u \wedge D^c u|) \\
 &+ \int_{S(\varphi) \cup S(u)} |[\varphi^+ - \varphi^- - \rho(u^+, u^-)] + [f_1(\varphi^+ - \rho(u^+, u^-) - \varphi_0^-) - f_1(\varphi^- - \varphi_0^-)]| d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}, \tag{10}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 J(\varphi_2) &= \int_{\Omega} |1 - f'_1(\varphi - \varphi_0)| |D^a \varphi - u \wedge D^a u| + \int_{\Omega} |1 - f'_1(\tilde{\varphi} - \tilde{\varphi}_0)| d(|D^c \varphi - u \wedge D^c u|) \\
 &+ \int_{S(\varphi) \cup S(u)} |[\varphi^+ - \varphi^- - \rho(u^+, u^-)] - [f_1(\varphi^+ - \rho(u^+, u^-) - \varphi_0^-) - f_1(\varphi^- - \varphi_0^-)]| d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}. \tag{11}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $|f'_1| \leq 1$ we have

$$|1 \pm f'_1(\varphi - \varphi_0)| = 1 \pm f'_1(\varphi - \varphi_0) \quad \text{and} \quad |1 \pm f'_1(\tilde{\varphi} - \tilde{\varphi}_0)| = 1 \pm f'_1(\tilde{\varphi} - \tilde{\varphi}_0).$$

It follows that

$$\int_{\Omega} |1 \pm f'_1(\varphi - \varphi_0)| |D^a \varphi - u \wedge D^a u| = \int_{\Omega} (1 \pm f'_1(\varphi - \varphi_0)) |D^a \varphi - u \wedge D^a u| \tag{12}$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} |1 \pm f'_1(\tilde{\varphi} - \tilde{\varphi}_0)| d(|D^c \varphi - u \wedge D^c u|) = \int_{\Omega} (1 \pm f'_1(\tilde{\varphi} - \tilde{\varphi}_0)) d(|D^c \varphi - u \wedge D^c u|). \tag{13}$$

Furthermore, by (6) we have

$$|f_1(\varphi^+ - \rho(u^+, u^-) - \varphi_0^-) - f_1(\varphi^- - \varphi_0^-)| \leq |\varphi^+ - \varphi^- - \rho(u^+, u^-)|.$$

Therefore, denoting $\sigma(x) = \text{sgn}(\varphi^+(x) - \varphi^-(x) - \rho(u^+(x), u^-(x)))$ for $x \in S(\varphi) \cup S(u)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{S(\varphi) \cup S(u)} |[\varphi^+ - \varphi^- - \rho(u^+, u^-)] \pm [f_1(\varphi^+ - \rho(u^+, u^-) - \varphi_0^-) - f_1(\varphi^- - \varphi_0^-)]| d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \\
 &= \int_{S(\varphi) \cup S(u)} \sigma \cdot ([\varphi^+ - \varphi^- - \rho(u^+, u^-)] \pm [f_1(\varphi^+ - \rho(u^+, u^-) - \varphi_0^-) - f_1(\varphi^- - \varphi_0^-)]) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}. \tag{14}
 \end{aligned}$$

Adding together (10) and (11), taking into account (12)–(14), gives $J(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2}J(\varphi_1) + \frac{1}{2}J(\varphi_2)$. \square

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1'

Proof. Let φ be an extreme point of K and assume by contradiction that $u e^{-i\varphi} \neq \text{const}$. Therefore, by Proposition 2.1, there exist $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \text{BV}(\Omega)$ such that $\varphi = \frac{1}{2}\varphi_1 + \frac{1}{2}\varphi_2$, $J(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2}J(\varphi_1) + \frac{1}{2}J(\varphi_2)$ with $|D\varphi_1 - D\varphi_2|_{\text{BV}(\Omega)} > 0$. Defining

$$\bar{\varphi}_i(x) = \varphi_i(x) - \frac{\int_{\Omega} \varphi_i}{\mathcal{H}^N(\Omega)}, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

we find easily that $\int_{\Omega} \bar{\varphi}_i = 0, i = 1, 2$, $\varphi = \frac{1}{2}\bar{\varphi}_1 + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\varphi}_2$ and $J(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2}J(\bar{\varphi}_1) + \frac{1}{2}J(\bar{\varphi}_2)$. Since φ is a minimizer, $\bar{\varphi}_1$ and $\bar{\varphi}_2$ are also minimizers, i.e., they belong to K . Contradiction. \square

An immediate consequence is an alternative simple proof of the following result from [2] (for $u \in W^{1,1}(\Omega, S^1)$) and [5] (for $u \in \text{BV}(\Omega, S^1)$) which holds for arbitrary N .

Corollary 3.1. *For any $u \in \text{BV}(\Omega, S^1)$ there is at least one minimizer $\psi \in \text{BV}(\Omega)$ of J which is a lifting of u , i.e., $u = e^{i\psi}$ a.e. in Ω .*

Proof. Since K is convex and compact in $L^1(\Omega)$ it follows from the Krein–Milman theorem that it has an extreme point φ . By Theorem 1.1', $u = e^{i(\varphi+C)}$ so that $\psi = \varphi + C$ is a lifting which is also a minimizer for J . \square

The following example shows that the converse to Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.1' is false (in general).

Example. Let Ω be the unit disc in $\mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{C}$ and consider $u(z) = (z/|z|)^2 = e^{2\theta i} \in W^{1,1}(\Omega)$. Set

$$\varphi_1(z) = 2 \text{Arg}(e^{i\theta}) = 2\theta \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_2(z) = 2 \text{Arg}(-e^{i\theta}).$$

Then both φ_1 and φ_2 belong to $\text{BV}(\Omega)$ and satisfy $e^{i\varphi_1} = e^{i\varphi_2} = u$ and $J(\varphi_1) = J(\varphi_2) = 4\pi$. By the same argument as in [3, Lemma 4.1] we get that $E(u) = 2E(e^{i\theta}) = 8\pi$ (see (2)) and since $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u| = 2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \theta| = 4\pi$ we deduce from (3)–(4) that

$$\min\{\|D\varphi - u \wedge \nabla u\|_{\mathcal{M}(\Omega)} : \varphi \in \text{BV}(\Omega)\} = 4\pi.$$

Put $\bar{\varphi}_i = \varphi_i - \int_{\Omega} \varphi_i / |\Omega|$, $i = 1, 2$, and $\varphi_0(x) = \frac{1}{2}\bar{\varphi}_1(x) + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\varphi}_2(x)$. Note that $\varphi_0 \in K$ and $u = e^{i(\varphi_0+C)}$ for some C , but clearly φ_0 is not an extreme point of K .

The question in [2] was originally raised in the case where Ω is a surface. Let

$$\Omega = S^2 = \{(\cos \theta \sin \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \phi) : -\pi < \theta \leq \pi, 0 \leq \phi \leq \pi\},$$

and $u = e^{2\theta i}$ on Ω . A straightforward modification of the above argument yields $\varphi_0 \in K$ such that $u = e^{i(\varphi_0+C)}$ which is not an extreme point of K .

Acknowledgements

I am grateful to Radu Ignat for providing me the preprint [5] and for many interesting discussions and to Petru Mironescu for a comment that made the argument more elementary.

References

- [1] L. Ambrosio, L. Fusco, D. Pallara, *Functions of Bounded Variation and Free Discontinuity Problems*, Oxford University Press, 2000.
- [2] H. Brezis, P. Mironescu, A.C. Ponce, $W^{1,1}$ maps with values into S^1 , in: S. Chanillo, P. Cordaro, N. Hanges, J. Hounie, A. Meziani (Eds.), *Geometric Analysis of PDE and Several Complex Variables*, Contemp. Math., American Mathematical Society, in press.
- [3] J. Dávila, R. Ignat, Lifting of BV functions with values in S^1 , *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I* 337 (2003) 159–164.
- [4] M. Giaquinta, G. Modica, J. Souček, *Cartesian Currents in the Calculus of Variations*, vol. II, Springer, 1998.
- [5] R. Ignat, The space $\text{BV}(S^2; S^1)$: minimal connection and optimal lifting, preprint.