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#### Abstract

We consider the following Brezis-Nirenberg problem on $\mathbf{S}^{3}$ $$
-\Delta_{\mathbf{S}^{3}} u=\lambda u+u^{5} \quad \text { in } D, \quad u>0 \quad \text { in } D \quad \text { and } \quad u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial D,
$$ where $D$ is a geodesic ball on $\mathbf{S}^{3}$ with geodesic radius $\theta_{1}$, and $\Delta_{\mathbf{S}^{3}}$ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on $\mathbf{S}^{3}$. We prove that for any $\lambda<-\frac{3}{4}$ and for every $\theta_{1}<\pi$ with $\pi-\theta_{1}$ sufficiently small (depending on $\lambda$ ), there exists bubbling solution to the above problem. This solves a conjecture raised by Bandle and Benguria [J. Differential Equations 178 (2002) 264-279] and Brezis and Peletier [C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 339 (2004) 291-394]. To cite this article: W. Chen, J. Wei, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 341 (2005). © 2005 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier SAS. All rights reserved.


## Résumé

Sur l'équation de Brezis-Nirenberg sur $\mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{3}}$ et une conjecture de Bandle-Benguria. Nous considérons le problème de Brezis-Nirenberg suivant sur $\mathbf{S}^{3}$

$$
-\Delta_{\mathbf{S}^{3}} u=\lambda u+u^{5} \quad \text { dans } D, \quad u>0 \quad \text { dans } D \quad \text { et } \quad u=0 \quad \operatorname{sur} \partial D,
$$

où $D$ est une boule géodésique sur $\mathbf{S}^{3}$ de rayon géodésique $\theta_{1}$, et $-\Delta_{\mathbf{S}^{3}}$ est l'opérateur de Laplace-Beltrami sur $\mathbf{S}^{3}$. Nous montrons que pour tout $\lambda<-\frac{3}{4}$ et tout $\theta_{1}<\pi$ avec $\pi-\theta_{1}$ suffisamment petit (dependant de $\lambda$ ), il existe des solutions pour le problème précédent. Ce résultat répond à une conjecture de Bandle et Benguria [J. Differential Equations 178 (2002) 264-279] et de Brezis et Peletier [C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 339 (2004) 291-394]. Pour citer cet article : W. Chen, J. Wei, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 341 (2005).
© 2005 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier SAS. All rights reserved.
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## 1. Introduction

We consider the following problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{\mathbf{S}^{3}} u=\lambda u+u^{5}, \quad u>0 \quad \text { in } D \quad \text { and } \quad u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial D, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta_{\mathbf{S}^{3}}$ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on $\mathbf{S}^{3}$ and $D$ is the geodesic ball centered at the North Pole with geodesic radius $\theta_{1}$. Of particular interest is the case of $\theta_{1} \in\left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi\right)$. The analogous problem in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u=\lambda u+u^{5}, \quad u>0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \quad \text { and } \quad u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a smooth bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, was first studied in a celebrated paper by Brezis and Nirenberg [3]. In particular, they proved that if $\Omega=B_{R}(0)$, the solutions to (2) exist only if $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{1}\right)$ for $N \geqslant 4$ and $\lambda \in\left(\frac{\lambda_{1}}{4}, \lambda_{1}\right)$ when $N=3$. Since then, there is a vast literature on many extensions of the problem considered by Brezis and Nirenberg (see, e.g. [7], Chapter 3 and the references therein).

In recent papers by Bandle-Benguria [1] and Bandle-Peletier [2], it was shown that on the sphere $\mathbf{S}^{3}$ the situation is quite different. In particular, they showed that in the range of $\lambda>-\frac{3}{4}$, there is a solution if and only if $\left(\pi^{2}-4 \theta_{1}^{2}\right) /\left(4 \theta_{1}^{2}\right)<\lambda<\left(\pi^{2}-\theta_{1}^{2}\right) /\left(\theta_{1}^{2}\right)$. For $\lambda \leqslant-\frac{3}{4}$, it was shown in [1], that there exist no solutions if $\theta_{1} \leqslant \frac{\pi}{2}$. Then they conjectured (see a more general conjecture in [4]):

Conjecture. For every $\lambda<-\frac{3}{4}$ and every $\theta_{1}<\pi$ with $\pi-\theta_{1}$ sufficiently small, there exists a solution to (1).
In this Note, we solve the conjecture affirmatively. To state our result, we introduce the corresponding equation on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. By using stereo-graphic projection at the North Pole, Eq. (1) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta u-p(r) u+3 u^{5}=0, \quad u=u(r)>0, \quad r \geqslant \varepsilon, \quad u(\varepsilon)=0, \quad u(r)=\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow+\infty, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p(r)=\frac{-3 / 4-\lambda}{\left(1+r^{2}\right)^{2}}$ and $\varepsilon=\frac{\sin \theta_{1}}{1-\cos \theta_{1}}$.
Let $U_{\Lambda}(r)=\left(\frac{\Lambda}{\Lambda^{2}+r^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2}$ be the unique radial solution of $\Delta u+3 u^{5}=0, u=u(r)>0$. Our main result in this Note is the following:

Theorem 1.1. Let $\lambda<-\frac{3}{4}$ be a fixed number. Then there exists an $\varepsilon_{0}=\varepsilon_{0}(\lambda)>0$ such that for each $0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{0}$, problem (3) has a solution $u_{\varepsilon}(r)$ with the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\varepsilon}(r)-U_{\sqrt{\varepsilon} \Lambda_{\varepsilon}}(r)=\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{3 / 4}}{r}\right), \quad \text { for } r \geqslant \varepsilon, \text { where } \Lambda_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \Lambda_{0}>0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We remark that Eq. (1) with $\lambda \rightarrow-\infty$ is also studied in [4] and [9]. There it is shown that more and more peaked solutions arise when $|\lambda| \rightarrow+\infty$.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 mainly relies upon a finite-dimensional reduction procedure. Such a method has been used successfully in many papers, see, e.g. [5,6,8]. In particular, we shall follow that used in [8].

By the scaling $r \rightarrow \sqrt{\varepsilon} r$, problem (3) is reduced to the following ODE which we shall work with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta u-\varepsilon p(\sqrt{\varepsilon} r) u+3 u^{5}=0, \quad u=u(r)>0, \quad r \geqslant \sqrt{\varepsilon}, \quad u(\sqrt{\varepsilon})=0, \quad u(r)=\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow+\infty \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. Approximate solutions, some estimates and reduction process

In this section, we first introduce a family of approximate solutions to (5) and derive some useful estimates. Then we perform a finite-dimensional reduction procedure which is similar to that of [8].

Let $\Lambda>0$ be a fixed positive constant such that $\frac{1}{C}<\Lambda<C$ for some large constant $C>0$. We define $V_{\varepsilon, \Lambda}$ to be the unique solution satisfying $\Delta v-\varepsilon p(\sqrt{\varepsilon} r) v+3 U_{\Lambda}^{5}=0, r \geqslant \sqrt{\varepsilon}, v(\sqrt{\varepsilon})=v(+\infty)=0$.

To analyze $V_{\varepsilon, \Lambda}$, we introduce two functions: let $\psi_{\varepsilon, \Lambda}$ be the unique solution of $\Delta \psi_{\varepsilon, \Lambda}-p(r) \psi_{\varepsilon, \Lambda}+$ $p(r) U_{\sqrt{\varepsilon} \Lambda}=0, \quad \psi_{\varepsilon, \Lambda}^{\prime}(0)=\psi_{\varepsilon, \Lambda}(+\infty)=0$, and $G(r)$ be the Green's function satisfying $\Delta G-p(r) G+$ $4 \pi \delta_{0}=0, G(+\infty)=0$. (Note that $G(r)=\frac{1}{r}+\mathrm{O}(1)$ for $r \ll 1$ and $\psi_{\varepsilon, \Lambda}=\varepsilon^{1 / 4} \Lambda^{1 / 2} \psi_{0}(r)+\mathrm{o}\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 4}(1+r)^{-1}\right)$, where $\psi_{0}$ satisfies $\Delta \psi_{0}-p(r) \psi_{0}+p(r) \frac{1}{r}=0, \psi_{0}^{\prime}(0)=\psi_{0}(+\infty)=0$.) It is then easy to see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{\varepsilon, \Lambda}(r)=U_{\Lambda}(r)-\varepsilon^{1 / 4}\left[\psi_{\varepsilon, \Lambda}(\sqrt{\varepsilon} r)+\beta_{\varepsilon, \Lambda} G(\sqrt{\varepsilon} r)\right], \quad \text { where } \\
& \beta_{\varepsilon, \Lambda}=\frac{U_{\sqrt{\varepsilon} \Lambda}(\varepsilon)-\psi_{\varepsilon, \Lambda}(\varepsilon)}{G(\varepsilon)}=\varepsilon^{3 / 4} \Lambda^{-1 / 2}(1+\mathrm{o}(1)) \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $I_{\varepsilon}=[\sqrt{\varepsilon},+\infty)$ and $S_{\varepsilon}[u]=\Delta u-\varepsilon p(\sqrt{\varepsilon} r) u+3 u_{+}^{5}$ where $u_{+}=\max (u, 0)$. To estimate $S_{\varepsilon}\left[V_{\varepsilon, \Lambda}\right]$, we define two norms $\|\phi\|_{*}=\sup _{r \in I_{\varepsilon}}\left(1+r^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}|\phi(r)|$ and $\|f\|_{* *}=\sup _{r \in I_{\varepsilon}}\left(r\left(1+r^{2}\right)^{5 / 4}|f(r)|\right)$. The reason for defining these two norms lies behind the following lemma whose proof is simple and thus omitted:

Lemma 2.1. The following holds: $\|\phi\|_{*} \leqslant C\|\Delta \phi-\varepsilon p(\sqrt{\varepsilon} r) \phi\|_{* *}$ where $\phi(\sqrt{\varepsilon})=\phi(+\infty)=0$.
Since $S_{\varepsilon}\left[V_{\varepsilon, \Lambda}\right]=3 V_{\varepsilon, \Lambda}^{5}-3 U_{\Lambda}^{5}$, by (6), it is not difficult to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S_{\varepsilon}\left[V_{\varepsilon, \Lambda}\right]\right\|_{* *} \leqslant C \varepsilon^{1 / 2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally we discuss the reduction process. The following lemma can be proved along the same ideas of Proposition 3.2 of [8], using the estimate (7). Interested readers may consult [8]. We omit the details.

Lemma 2.2. For $\varepsilon$ sufficiently small, there exists a unique pair $\left(\phi_{\varepsilon, \Lambda}, c_{\varepsilon}(\Lambda)\right)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\varepsilon}\left[V_{\varepsilon, \Lambda}+\phi_{\varepsilon, \Lambda}\right]=c_{\varepsilon}(\Lambda) Z_{\Lambda}, \quad \int_{I_{\varepsilon}} \phi_{\varepsilon, \Lambda} Z_{\Lambda} r^{2} \mathrm{~d} r=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z_{\Lambda}=U_{\Lambda}^{4}\left(\frac{\partial U_{\Lambda}}{\partial \Lambda}\right)$. Moreover, we also have that $\left\|\phi_{\varepsilon, \Lambda}\right\|_{*} \leqslant C \varepsilon^{1 / 2}$ and that the map $\Lambda \rightarrow c_{\varepsilon}(\Lambda)$ is continuous.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

From (8), we see that, to prove Theorem 1.1, it is enough to find a zero of function $c_{\varepsilon}(\Lambda)$. To this end, let us expand $c_{\varepsilon}(\Lambda)$.

Let $L_{\varepsilon, \Lambda}:=\Delta-\varepsilon p(\sqrt{\varepsilon} r)+15 V_{\varepsilon, \Lambda}^{4}$ and $z_{\varepsilon, \Lambda}$ be the unique solution of $\Delta v-\varepsilon p(\sqrt{\varepsilon} r) v+15 U_{\Lambda}^{4}\left(\frac{\partial U_{\Lambda}}{\partial \Lambda}\right)=0$, $r \geqslant \sqrt{\varepsilon}, v(\sqrt{\varepsilon})=v(+\infty)=0$. It is easy to see that $z_{\varepsilon, \Lambda}=\frac{\partial U_{\Lambda}}{\partial \Lambda}+\mathrm{O}\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 4} \frac{1}{r}\right)$.

Multiplying Eq. (8) by $r^{2} z_{\varepsilon, \Lambda}(r)$, we obtain, using Lemma 2.2,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\varepsilon} \int_{I_{\varepsilon}} z_{\varepsilon, \Lambda} Z_{\Lambda} r^{2} \mathrm{~d} r=\int_{I_{\varepsilon}} S_{\varepsilon}\left[V_{\varepsilon, \Lambda}\right] z_{\varepsilon, \Lambda} r^{2} \mathrm{~d} r+\int_{I_{\varepsilon}} L_{\varepsilon, \Lambda}\left[\phi_{\varepsilon, \Lambda}\right] z_{\varepsilon, \Lambda} r^{2} \mathrm{~d} r+\mathrm{o}\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right) . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By integrating by parts, the second term on the right-hand side of (9) can be estimated as follows:

$$
\int_{I_{\varepsilon}} L_{\varepsilon, \Lambda}\left[\phi_{\varepsilon, \Lambda}\right] z_{\varepsilon, \Lambda} r^{2} \mathrm{~d} r=\int_{I_{\varepsilon}} L_{\varepsilon, \Lambda}\left[z_{\varepsilon, \Lambda}\right] \phi_{\varepsilon, \Lambda} r^{2} \mathrm{~d} r=\int_{I_{\varepsilon}} 15\left[V_{\varepsilon, \Lambda}^{4}-U_{\Lambda}^{4}\right] z_{\varepsilon, \Lambda} \phi_{\varepsilon, \Lambda} r^{2} \mathrm{~d} r+\mathrm{o}\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right)=\mathrm{o}\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

It remains to compute the first term in the right-hand side of (9):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{I_{\varepsilon}} S_{\varepsilon}\left[V_{\varepsilon, \Lambda}\right] z_{\varepsilon} r^{2} \mathrm{~d} r=\int_{I_{\varepsilon}} 3\left[V_{\varepsilon, \Lambda}^{5}-U_{\Lambda}^{5}\right] z_{\varepsilon, \Lambda} r^{2} \mathrm{~d} r \\
& \quad=-15 \varepsilon^{1 / 2} \Lambda^{1 / 2} \psi_{0}(0) \int_{0}^{+\infty}\left(U_{\Lambda}^{4} \frac{\partial U_{\Lambda}}{\partial \Lambda}\right) r^{2} \mathrm{~d} r-15 \varepsilon^{-1 / 4} \beta_{\varepsilon, \Lambda} \int_{0}^{+\infty}\left(U_{\Lambda}^{4} \frac{\partial U_{\Lambda}}{\partial \Lambda}\right) r \mathrm{~d} r+\mathrm{o}(\sqrt{\varepsilon}) \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

By direct computations, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left(U_{\Lambda}^{4} \frac{\partial U_{\Lambda}}{\partial \Lambda}\right) r^{2} \mathrm{~d} r=\frac{1}{10}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} U_{1}^{5} r^{2} \mathrm{~d} r\right) \Lambda^{-1 / 2}, \quad \int_{0}^{+\infty}\left(U_{\Lambda}^{4} \frac{\partial U_{\Lambda}}{\partial \Lambda}\right) r \mathrm{~d} r=-\frac{1}{10}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} U_{1}^{5} r \mathrm{~d} r\right) \Lambda^{-3 / 2} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (6) and (11) into (10), we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{I_{\varepsilon}} S_{\varepsilon}\left[V_{\varepsilon, \Lambda}\right] z_{\varepsilon, \Lambda} r^{2} \mathrm{~d} r=\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\left(-\gamma_{0}+\gamma_{1} \Lambda^{-2}\right)+\mathrm{o}\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma_{0}, \gamma_{1}$ are two generic positive constants. We obtain from (9) and (12) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\varepsilon}(\Lambda)=c_{0} \varepsilon^{1 / 2}\left(\gamma_{0}-\gamma_{1} \Lambda^{-2}\right)+\mathrm{o}\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right) \quad \text { for some } c_{0} \neq 0 . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1.1 now follows from (13): in fact, (13) implies $c_{\varepsilon}\left(\Lambda_{0}-\delta\right) c_{\varepsilon}\left(\Lambda_{0}+\delta\right)<0$ where $\Lambda_{0}=\sqrt{\gamma_{1} / \gamma_{0}}$ and $\delta$ small. By the continuity of $c_{\varepsilon}(\Lambda)$, a zero of $c_{\varepsilon}(\Lambda)$, denoted by $\Lambda_{\varepsilon} \in\left(\Lambda_{0}-\delta, \Lambda_{0}+\delta\right)$, is guaranteed. Then $u_{\varepsilon}=V_{\varepsilon, \Lambda_{\varepsilon}}+\phi_{\varepsilon, \Lambda_{\varepsilon}}$ is a solution to (5). This proves Theorem 1.1.
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