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#### Abstract

We study magnetic pseudodifferential operators associated with elliptic symbols and with anisotropic potentials. We prove affiliation to suitable $C^{*}$-algebras and give formulae for the essential spectrum as a union of spectra of some asymptotic operators. To cite this article: M. Măntoiu et al., C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 344 (2007). © 2006 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.


## Résumé

Sur le spectre essentiel des opérateurs pseudodifférentiels magnétiques. Nous étudions des opérateurs pseudodifférentiels magnétiques associés à des symboles elliptiques et ayant des potentiels anisotropes. Nous démontrons leur affiliation à certaines $C^{*}$-algèbres et nous donnons des formules pour le spectre essentiel comme une union des spectres de certains opérateurs asymptotiques. Pour citer cet article : M. Măntoiu et al., C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 344 (2007).
© 2006 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

In [8] we have defined a gauge-covariant quantization for a particle in a magnetic field, extending the Weyl pseudodifferential calculus (see also [6]). Let $X$ be $\mathbb{R}^{N}, X^{\star}$ its dual, $\Xi:=X \times X^{\star}$ and $\mathcal{H}:=L^{2}(X)$. For a continuous vector potential $A$ generating a continuous magnetic field $B$ and for any $f: \Xi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ we define the magnetic pseudodifferential operator

$$
\left[\mathfrak{O} \mathfrak{p}^{A}(f) u\right](x):=\int_{X} \mathrm{~d} y \int_{X^{\star}} \mathrm{d} p \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} p \cdot(x-y)} \lambda^{A}(x ; y-x) f\left(\frac{1}{2}(x+y), p\right) u(y), \quad u \in \mathcal{H}
$$

where $\lambda^{A}(q ; x):=\exp \left(-\mathrm{i} \Gamma^{A}[q, q+x]\right)$ and $\Gamma^{A}[q, q+x]$ is the circulation of $A$ from $q$ to $q+x$. The magnetic Moyal product acting on functions $f, g: \Xi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ verifies $\mathfrak{O p}^{A}(f \circ g)=\mathfrak{O} \mathfrak{p}^{A}(f) \mathfrak{O} \mathfrak{p}^{A}(g)$. This operation is defined for $\xi=(q, p), \eta=(x, k)$ and $\zeta=(y, l)$ in $\Xi$ by
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$$
\begin{equation*}
[f \circ g](\xi):=4^{N} \int_{\Xi} \mathrm{d} \eta \int_{\Xi} \mathrm{d} \zeta \mathrm{e}^{-2 \mathrm{i}(k \cdot y-l \cdot x)} \omega^{B}(q-x-y ; 2 x, 2(y-x)) f(\xi-\eta) g(\xi-\zeta), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $\omega^{B}(q ; x, y):=\exp \left(-\mathrm{i} \Gamma^{B}\langle q, q+x, q+x+y\rangle\right)$ and $\Gamma^{B}\langle q, q+x, q+x+y\rangle$ is the magnetic flux through the triangle defined by $q, q+x$ and $q+x+y$. These integrals are absolutely convergent only for restricted classes of symbols; for more general distributions we require that the components $B_{j k}$ of the magnetic field belong to $C_{\mathrm{pol}}^{\infty}(X)$, i.e. they are indefinitely derivable and each derivative is polynomially bounded. Under this assumption, extending (1) by duality, we define the magnetic Moyal ${ }^{*}$-algebra $\mathcal{M}(\Xi)$, which contains the space $C_{\text {pol, u }}^{\infty}(\Xi)$ of functions on $\Xi$ with uniform polynomial growth at infinity. $\mathfrak{O p}{ }^{A}$ extends to $\mathcal{M}(\Xi)$, as continuous operators, resp. in the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(X)$ and in its dual $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}(X)$.

In [9] we have introduced a related $C^{*}$-algebraic framework. Let $B C_{u}(X)$, resp. $C_{0}(X)$, denote the algebra of bounded, uniformly continuous functions on $X$, resp. the ideal of continuous functions on $X$ vanishing at infinity. We consider a unital $C^{*}$-subalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ of $B C_{u}(X)$ (encoding the anisotropy) containing $C_{0}(X)$ and stable by translations, i.e. $\theta_{x}(a):=a(\cdot+x) \in \mathcal{A}, \forall a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in X$. Assuming that $B_{j k} \in \mathcal{A}$, the map $X \times X \ni(x, y) \mapsto \omega^{B}(x, y):=\omega^{B}(\cdot ; x, y)$ is a 2-cocycle on $X$ with values in the unitary group $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$ of $\mathcal{A}$. We define the product on $L^{1}(X ; \mathcal{A})$ :

$$
(\phi \diamond \psi)(x):=\int_{X} \mathrm{~d} y \theta_{\frac{y-x}{2}}[\phi(y)] \theta_{\frac{y}{2}}[\psi(x-y)] \theta_{-\frac{x}{2}}\left[\omega^{B}(y, x-y)\right], \quad \phi, \psi \in L^{1}(X ; \mathcal{A})
$$

and the involution $\phi^{\diamond}(x):=\phi(-x)^{*}$. The associated $C^{*}$-algebra is called the twisted crossed product and is denoted by $\mathcal{A} \rtimes_{\theta}^{\omega} X$ (or $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{A}}^{B}$ for shortness). Choosing a continuous vector potential $A$ that generates $B$, one constructs a faithful, irreducible representation in $\mathcal{H}$ of the algebra $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{A}}^{B}$ :

$$
\left[\mathfrak{R e p}{ }^{A}(\phi) u\right](x)=\int_{X} \mathrm{~d} y \lambda^{A}(x ; y-x) \phi\left(\frac{1}{2}(x+y) ; y-x\right) u(y), \quad \phi \in L^{1}(X ; \mathcal{A}), u \in \mathcal{H} .
$$

$\mathfrak{R e p}{ }^{A}$ and $\mathfrak{O p}^{A}$ are connected by a partial Fourier transformation $\mathfrak{F}$. The enveloping $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{A}}^{B}$ of $\mathfrak{F}\left(L^{1}(X ; \mathcal{A})\right)$, endowed with the multiplication $\circ$ and with the complex conjugation, is isomorphic through this partial Fourier transformation to $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{A}}^{B}$ and one has $\mathfrak{V} \mathfrak{p}^{A}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{A}}^{B}\right)=\mathfrak{R e p}{ }^{A}\left(\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{A}}^{B}\right)$.

## 2. Results

Definition 2.1. (1) An observable affiliated to a $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathfrak{C}$ is a morphism $\Phi: C_{0}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$.
(2) A function $h \in C^{\infty}\left(X^{\star}\right)$ is a symbol of type $s$ if $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{N}, \exists c_{\alpha}>0$ such that $\left|\left(\partial^{\alpha} h\right)(p)\right| \leqslant c_{\alpha}\langle p\rangle^{s-|\alpha|}$ for all $p \in X^{\star}$, where $\langle p\rangle:=\sqrt{1+p^{2}}$. In this case, we write $h \in S^{s}\left(X^{\star}\right)$.
(3) For $s>0$, the symbol $h$ is called elliptic if there exist $R, c>0$ such that $c\langle p\rangle^{s} \leqslant h(p)$ for all $p \in X^{\star}$ and $|p| \geqslant R$. We denote by $S_{\mathrm{el}}^{s}\left(X^{\star}\right)$ the family of elliptic symbols of type $s$, and set $S_{\mathrm{el}}^{\infty}\left(X^{\star}\right):=\bigcup_{s} S_{\mathrm{el}}^{s}\left(X^{\star}\right)$.

The class $S^{s}\left(X^{\star}\right)$ is contained in $C_{\mathrm{pol}, \mathrm{u}}^{\infty}(\Xi) \subset \mathcal{M}(\Xi)$. For $z \notin \mathbb{R}$, we define $r_{z}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, r_{z}(t):=(t-z)^{-1}$. $B C^{\infty}(X)$ denote the space of complex functions on $X$ with bounded derivatives of any order.

Hypothesis 2.2. $B$ is a magnetic field with components in $\mathcal{A} \cap B C^{\infty}(X)$ and $V$ is a real element of $\mathcal{A}$.
Theorem 2.3. Under Hypothesis 2.2, each real $h \in S_{\mathrm{el}}^{\infty}\left(X^{\star}\right)$ defines an observable $\Phi_{h, V}^{B}$ affiliated to $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{A}}^{B}$, such that for $z \notin \mathbb{R}$

$$
(h+V-z) \circ \Phi_{h, V}^{B}\left(r_{z}\right)=1=\Phi_{h, V}^{B}\left(r_{z}\right) \circ(h+V-z) .
$$

Corollary 2.4. In the framework of Theorem 2.3 let $A$ be a continuous vector potential generating B. Then $\mathfrak{O} \mathfrak{p}^{A}(h)+V$ defines a selfadjoint operator $H_{h}(A, V)$ in $\mathcal{H}$ with domain equal to the range of the operator $\mathfrak{O} \mathfrak{p}^{A}\left[(h-z)^{-1}\right]$ (not depending on $\left.z \notin \mathbb{R}\right)$. This operator is affiliated to $\mathfrak{O} \mathfrak{p}^{A}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{A}}^{B}\right)$.

Theorem 2.3 leads to a decomposition of the essential spectrum of $H_{h}(A, V)$ prescribed by the behaviour at infinity of $B$ and $V$. The aims and techniques of proving this result are in a certain relationship with those of [1-5,7] and [11]. Detailed proofs and examples are given in [10].

Let $S_{\mathcal{A}}$ be the spectrum of $\mathcal{A} ; X \subset S_{\mathcal{A}}$ open and dense. $\mathcal{A}$ being stable under translations, the group law $\theta: X \times$ $X \rightarrow X$ extends to a continuous map $\tilde{\theta}: X \times S_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow S_{\mathcal{A}}$. We denote by $F_{\mathcal{A}}$ the complement of $X$ in $S_{\mathcal{A}}$. To any point of $F_{\mathcal{A}}$ we associate its quasi-orbit (the closure of its orbit under $\tilde{\theta}$ ). Given any quasi-orbit $F$ we can define $\widetilde{V_{F}} \in C(F)$ as the restriction of $V \in \mathcal{A} \equiv C\left(S_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$ to $F$ (it is in fact defined as limit at infinity along the ultrafilters that belong to $F$ ). Similarly we can proceed with the components of the magnetic field and define its restriction $\widetilde{B_{F}}$. Once we fix an element $\mathfrak{x} \in F$ we can associate to any element $\tilde{F} \in C(F)$ an element $F \in B C_{u}(X)$ defined by $F(x):=\tilde{F}(\tilde{\theta}(x, \mathfrak{x}))$. We obtain in this way $V_{F}$ and $B_{F} ; A, A_{F}$ denote then continuous vector potentials for $B$ and $B_{F}$. Let us now consider a covering of $F_{\mathcal{A}}$ by quasi-orbits $\left\{F_{\nu}\right\}_{\nu}$.

Theorem 2.5. Under Hypothesis 2.2 and using the above construction, for each real $h \in S_{\mathrm{el}}^{\infty}\left(X^{\star}\right)$ we have:

$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}\left[H_{h}(A, V)\right]=\widehat{\bigcup_{\nu} \sigma\left[H_{h}\left(A_{v}, V_{v}\right)\right]},
$$

where $B_{\nu} \equiv B_{F_{v}}$ and $V_{\nu} \equiv V_{F_{v}}$.
The localization results proved in [2] (where their physical interpretation is discussed) extend to our situation. For a quasi-orbit $F$, let $\mathcal{N}_{F}$ be a base of neighbourhoods of $F$ in $S_{\mathcal{A}}, W:=\mathcal{W} \cap X$ for any $\mathcal{W} \in \mathcal{N}_{F}$ and let $\chi_{W}(Q)$ denote the multiplication operator with the characteristic function on $W$.

Theorem 2.6. Under Hypothesis 2.2 let h be a real element of $S_{\mathrm{el}}^{\infty}\left(X^{\star}\right)$. Assume that $F$ is a quasi-orbit and let $A, A_{F}$ be continuous vector potentials for $B$ and $B_{F}$. If $\eta \in C_{0}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\operatorname{supp}(\eta) \cap \sigma\left[H_{h}\left(A_{F}, V_{F}\right)\right]=\emptyset$ (an energy cut-off outside the spectrum of $H_{h}\left(A_{F}, V_{F}\right)$ ), then for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $\mathcal{W} \in \mathcal{N}_{F}$ such that $\left\|\chi_{W}(Q) \eta\left[H_{h}(A, V)\right]\right\| \leqslant \varepsilon$. In particular, the inequality $\left\|\chi_{W}(Q) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} t H_{h}(A, V)} \eta\left[H_{h}(A, V)\right] u\right\| \leqslant \varepsilon\|u\|$ holds, uniformly in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u \in \mathcal{H}$.

## 3. Sketch of the Proof of Theorem 2.3

Let $(\mathcal{M}, \circ)$ be an associative algebra. We look for an inverse of $\mathfrak{h} \in \mathcal{M}$. Suppose that there exists $\mathfrak{h}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathfrak{h} \circ \mathfrak{h}^{\prime}$ and $\mathfrak{h}^{\prime} \circ \mathfrak{h}$ have inverses $\left(\mathfrak{h} \circ \mathfrak{h}^{\prime}\right)^{(-1)}$ and $\left(\mathfrak{h}^{\prime} \circ \mathfrak{h}\right)^{(-1)}$. Then $\mathfrak{h}^{\prime} \circ\left(\mathfrak{h} \circ \mathfrak{h}^{\prime}\right)^{(-1)}$ is obviously a right inverse for $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\left(\mathfrak{h}^{\prime} \circ \mathfrak{h}\right)^{(-1)} \circ \mathfrak{h}^{\prime}$ a left inverse for $\mathfrak{h}$. Both are thus equal to $\mathfrak{h}^{(-1)}$. We shall take for $\mathfrak{h}$ the strictly positive symbol $h+a$, with $a$ large enough, and for $\mathfrak{h}^{\prime}$ its pointwise inverse $(h+a)^{-1}$. In the complete proof several arguments need regularizations.

We consider an elliptic symbol $h$ of order $s$, fix $a \geqslant-\inf h+1$, set $h_{a}:=h+a$, and denote by $h_{a}^{-1}$ its inverse for pointwise multiplication (a symbol of type $-s$ ). Since $h_{a}, h_{a}^{-1}$ are in $C_{\text {pol, u }}^{\infty}(\Xi)$ :

$$
\left(h_{a} \circ h_{a}^{-1}\right)(q, p)=4^{N} \int_{X} \mathrm{~d} x \int_{X^{\star}} \mathrm{d} k \int_{X} \mathrm{~d} y \int_{X^{\star}} \mathrm{d} l \mathrm{e}^{-2 \mathrm{i}(k \cdot y-l \cdot x)} \gamma^{B}(q ; 2 x, 2 y) \frac{h_{a}(p-k)}{h_{a}(p-l)},
$$

where $\gamma^{B}(q ; 2 x, 2 y):=\omega^{B}(q-x-y ; 2 x, 2(y-x))$. The last factor has a Taylor expansion:

$$
\frac{h_{a}(p-k)}{h_{a}(p-l)}=1+\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(l_{j}-k_{j}\right) \frac{\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} t\left(\partial_{j} h\right)(p-l+t(l-k))}{h(p-l)+a}=: 1+\sum_{j=1}^{N} F_{a, j}(p ; k, l) .
$$

Denote $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$, the duality between $C_{\mathrm{pol}, \mathrm{u}}^{\infty}\left(X^{\star} \times X^{\star}\right)$ and the Fourier transform $\mathbb{F} C_{\mathrm{pol}}^{\infty}\left(X^{\star} \times X^{\star}\right)$ and obtain estimates for $f_{a, j}(q ; p):=\left\langle\left(\mathbb{F} \gamma^{B}\right)(q ; \cdot, \cdot), F_{a, j}(p ; \cdot, \cdot)\right\rangle$. Our hypothesis on $h$ and $B$ imply that for any $\mu>\max \{1, s\}$ and any multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{N}:\left|\left(\partial_{p}^{\alpha} f_{a, j}\right)(q ; p)\right| \leqslant c a^{-1 / \mu}\langle p\rangle^{s / \mu-1-|\alpha|}$, where $c$ depends on $\alpha$ and $j$ but not on $p, q$ or $a$ [10]. It is easy to prove that $f_{a, j}(\cdot ; p)$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}$, for all $p \in X^{\star}$. Then as in [1, Proposition 1.3.3] and [1, Proposition 1.3.6] one obtains the estimate $\left\|\mathfrak{F}^{-1}\left(f_{a, j}\right)\right\|_{1} \leqslant C a^{-1 / \mu}$. Thus, for $a$ large enough, $\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathfrak{F}^{-1}\left(f_{a, j}\right)\right\|_{1}<1$ holds. It follows that $\mathfrak{F}^{-1}\left(1+\sum_{j=1}^{N} f_{a, j}\right)$ is invertible in the minimal unitization of $L^{1}(X ; \mathcal{A})$. Equivalently, $h_{a} \circ h_{a}^{-1} \equiv 1+\sum_{j=1}^{N} f_{a, j}$ is invertible in the minimal unitization of $\mathfrak{F}\left(L^{1}(X ; \mathcal{A})\right)$. Its inverse will be denoted by $\left(h_{a} \circ h_{a}^{-1}\right)^{(-1)}$. By the same
arguments (see [1, Proposition 1.3.6]) we get $h_{a}^{-1} \in \mathfrak{F}\left(L^{1}(X)\right) \subset \mathfrak{F}\left(L^{1}(X ; \mathcal{A})\right)$. Thus $h_{a}^{-1} \circ\left(h_{a} \circ h_{a}^{-1}\right)^{(-1)}$ is a well defined element of $\mathfrak{F}\left(L^{1}(X ; \mathcal{A})\right.$ ). Moreover, one readily gets $h_{a} \circ\left[h_{a}^{-1} \circ\left(h_{a} \circ h_{a}^{-1}\right)^{(-1)}\right]=1$. In the same way one obtains $\left[\left(h_{a}^{-1} \circ h_{a}\right)^{(-1)} \circ h_{a}^{-1}\right] \circ h_{a}=1$ in $\mathcal{M}(\Xi)$. In conclusion, there exists $a_{0} \geqslant-\inf h+1$ such that for any $a>a_{0}$ the symbol $h_{a}$ has an inverse $h_{a}^{(-1)} \in \mathfrak{F}\left(L^{1}(X ; \mathcal{A})\right) \subset \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{A}}^{B}$. We define $\Phi_{h}^{B}\left(r_{x}\right):=h_{-x}^{(-1)}$ for $x<-a_{0}$. Then $\Phi_{h}^{B}\left(r_{x}\right) \in \mathfrak{F}\left(L^{1}(X ; \mathcal{A})\right) \subset \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{A}}^{B} \cap \mathcal{S}^{\prime}(\Xi)$, its norm is uniformly bounded for $x$ in the given domain and $(h-x) \circ$ $\Phi_{h}^{B}\left(r_{x}\right)=\Phi_{h}^{B}\left(r_{x}\right) \circ(h-x)=1$, as shown above. This allows us to obtain an extension to the half-strip $\{z=x+\mathrm{i} y \mid$ $\left.x<-a_{0},|y|<\delta\right\}$ for some $\delta>0$. We end the proof by verifying the resolvent equation for the map

$$
\left\{z=x+\mathrm{i} y\left|x<-a_{0},|y|<\delta\right\} \ni z \mapsto \Phi_{h}^{B}\left(r_{z}\right) \in \mathfrak{F}\left(L^{1}(X ; \mathcal{A})\right) .\right.
$$

A general argument presented in [1, p. 364] allows now to extend the map $\Phi_{h}^{B}$ to a $C^{*}$-algebra morphism $C_{0}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{A}}^{B}$. The observable $\Phi_{h, V}^{B}$ is finally obtained by a perturbative argument [10].
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