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#### Abstract

Let $X$ be an irreducible smooth complex projective curve and $S \subset X$ a finite subset. Fix a positive integer $N$. We consider all the parabolic vector bundles over $X$ whose parabolic points are contained in $S$ and all the parabolic weights are integral multiples on $1 / N$. We construct a parabolic vector bundle $V_{*}$, of this type, satisfying the following condition: a parabolic vector bundle $E_{*}$ of this type is parabolic semistable if and only if there is a parabolic vector bundle $F_{*}$, also of this type, such that the underlying vector bundle $\left(E_{*} \otimes F_{*} \otimes V_{*}\right)_{0}$ for the parabolic tensor product $E_{*} \otimes F_{*} \otimes V_{*}$ is cohomologically trivial, which means that $H^{i}\left(X,\left(E_{*} \otimes F_{*} \otimes V_{*}\right)_{0}\right)=0$ for all $i$. Given any parabolic semistable vector bundle $E_{*}$, the existence of such $F_{*}$ is proved using a criterion of Faltings which says that a vector bundle $E$ over $X$ is semistable if and only if there is another vector bundle $F$ such that $E \otimes F$ is cohomologically trivial. To cite this article: I. Biswas, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 345 (2007). © 2007 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.


## Résumé

Un critère cohomologique pour des fibrés vectoriels paraboliques semistables sur une courbe. Soit $X$ une courbe complexe lisse projective irréductible et $S \subset X$ une partie finie. Fixons un entier positif $N$. Nous considerons les fibrés vectoriels paraboliques sur $X$ dont les points paraboliques sont contenus dans $S$ et les poids paraboliques sont des multiples entiers de $1 / N$. Nous construisons un tel fibré vectoriel parabolique $V_{*}$, vérifiant la condition suivante : un fibré vectoriel parabolique $E_{*}$ du type comme ci-dessus est semistable au sens parabolique si et seulement s'il existe un fibré vectoriel parabolique $F_{*}$, aussi de tel type, tel que le fibré vectoriel sous-jacent $\left(E_{*} \otimes F_{*} \otimes V_{*}\right)_{0}$ au produit tensoriel parabolique $E_{*} \otimes F_{*} \otimes V_{*}$ soit cohomologiquement trivial : on a $H^{i}\left(X,\left(E_{*} \otimes F_{*} \otimes V_{*}\right)_{0}\right)=0$ pour $i=0$, 1. L'existence d'un tel $F_{*}$ est démontrée en utilisant un critère de Faltings qui dit qu'un fibré vectoriel $E$ sur $X$ est semistable si et seulement s'il existe un fibré vectoriel $F$ tel que $H^{i}(X, E \otimes F)=0$ pour $i=0,1$. Pour citer cet article : I. Biswas, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 345 (2007).
© 2007 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## 1. A parabolic vector bundle

Let $X$ be an irreducible smooth projective curve defined over $\mathbb{C}$. Fix a finite subset

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right\} \subset X . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Also fix a positive integer $N$. We will consider parabolic vector bundles $E_{*}$ over $X$ satisfying the following two conditions:
(1) the parabolic points of $E_{*}$ are contained in the subset $S$ in (1), and
(2) all the parabolic weights of $E_{*}$ are integral multiples on $1 / N$.
(See [5, Section 1] for parabolic bundles.)
There is an algebraic Galois covering

$$
\begin{equation*}
f: Y \longrightarrow X \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying the following conditions:

- the subset of $X$ over which $f$ is ramified contains $S$, and
- for each points $p_{i} \in S$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{-1}\left(p_{i}\right)=N \cdot f^{-1}\left(p_{i}\right)_{\mathrm{red}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f^{-1}\left(p_{i}\right)_{\text {red }}$ is the reduced inverse image.
(See [6, p. 26, Proposition 1.2.12] for the existence of such a covering $f$.)
Let

$$
\Gamma:=\operatorname{Gal}(f)
$$

be the Galois group for the covering $f$. A $\Gamma$-linearized vector bundle on $Y$ is an algebraic vector bundle $E$ over $Y$ equipped with a lift of the action of $\Gamma$ as vector bundle automorphisms; this means that the group $\Gamma$ acts on the total space of $E$ as algebraic automorphisms, and the action of each $\gamma \in \Gamma$ on $E$ is a vector bundle isomorphism of $E$ with $\left(\gamma^{-1}\right)^{*} E$.

There is a natural bijective correspondence between the parabolic vector bundles over $X$ of the type mentioned earlier, and the $\Gamma$-linearized vector bundles $E$ on $Y$ satisfying the following condition: for each point $z \in f^{-1}(X \backslash S)$, the action on the fiber $E_{z}$ of the isotropy subgroup for $z$ (for the action of $\Gamma$ on $E$ ) is trivial. See [2] for the details of this bijective correspondence.

Tensor product and direct sum of two parabolic vector bundles can be defined. Similarly, the dual of a parabolic vector bundle is also defined; see $[7,3,1]$. The earlier mentioned class of parabolic vector bundles is closed under these operations. Furthermore, the above mentioned bijective correspondence between parabolic vector bundles on $X$ and $\Gamma$-linearized vector bundles on $Y$ transports the operations of taking tensor product, direct sum and dual of parabolic vector bundles to the operations of taking tensor product, direct sum and dual respectively of $\Gamma$-linearized vector bundles. A parabolic vector bundle over $X$ is parabolic semistable if and only if the corresponding $\Gamma$-linearized vector bundle on $Y$ is semistable in the usual sense; see [2, p. 318, Lemma 3.13] and [2, p. 308, Lemma 2.7].

A natural parabolic structure on the direct image

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $X$ will be described. For any integer $j \in[1, N]$, let

$$
V_{j}:=f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(-(N-j) f^{-1}(S)_{\mathrm{red}}\right)
$$

be the direct image on $X$, where $N$ is the integer in (3) and $f^{-1}(S)_{\text {red }}$ is the reduced inverse image of $S$. Consider the filtration of coherent subsheaves of $V$

$$
V_{1} \subset \cdots \subset V_{i} \subset \cdots \subset V_{N-1} \subset V_{N}=V
$$

The restriction of this filtration to a point $p_{i} \in S$ gives a filtration of subspaces

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \subset V_{p_{i}}^{1} \subset \cdots \subset V_{p_{i}}^{j} \subset V_{p_{i}}^{j+1} \subset \cdots \subset V_{p_{i}}^{N-1} \subset V_{p_{i}}^{N}=V_{p_{i}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the fiber $V_{p_{i}}$; so the subspace $V_{p_{i}}^{j} \subset V_{p_{i}}$ is the image of the fiber $\left(V_{j}\right)_{p_{i}}$ in $V_{p_{i}}$. The dimension of each successive quotient in (5) is (\# $\Gamma$ )/N. The parabolic structure on $V$ is defined as follows: The quasiparabolic filtration on each $p_{i} \in S$ is the one in (5), and the parabolic weight of the subspace $V_{p_{i}}^{j} \subset V_{p_{i}}$ in (5) is $(N-j) / N$.

Let $V_{*}$ denote the parabolic vector bundle defined by the above parabolic structure on the vector bundle $V$ in (4). We will construct a $\Gamma$-linearized vector bundle associated to a parabolic vector bundle related to $V_{*}$.

Let $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma)$ denote the group algebra for $\Gamma$ defined by the formal sums of the form $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} c_{\gamma} \gamma$ with $c_{\gamma} \in \mathbb{C}$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{V}:=\mathcal{O}_{Y} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}(\Gamma) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the trivial vector bundle on $Y$. The natural action of $\Gamma$ on $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma)$ and the diagonal action of $\Gamma$ on $\mathcal{O}_{Y}=Y \times \mathbb{C}$, with $\Gamma$ acting trivially on $\mathbb{C}$, together define a $\Gamma$-linearization on the vector bundle $\widehat{V}$ in (6).

Let $V_{*}^{\prime}$ be the parabolic vector bundle on $X$ given by the above $\Gamma$-linearized vector bundle $\widehat{V}$; see [2, Section 2c]. The above defined parabolic vector bundle $V_{*}$ is obtained from $V_{*}^{\prime}$ by simply forgetting all the parabolic structures on the complement $X \backslash S$, keeping the underlying vector bundle unchanged. (Note that since $f$ may be ramified over points outside $S$, the parabolic vector bundle $V_{*}^{\prime}$ may have nontrivial parabolic structures outside $S$.)

## 2. Criterion for semistability

All parabolic vector bundles will be assumed to satisfy the two conditions stated at the beginning of Section 1.
Theorem 2.1. A parabolic vector bundle $E_{*}$ over $X$ is parabolic semistable if and only if there is a parabolic vector bundle $F_{*}$ such that the vector bundle $\left(E_{*} \otimes F_{*} \otimes V_{*}\right)_{0}$ on $X$ underlying the parabolic tensor product $E_{*} \otimes F_{*} \otimes V_{*}$, where $V_{*}$ is constructed in Section 1, satisfies the following condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{i}\left(X,\left(E_{*} \otimes F_{*} \otimes V_{*}\right)_{0}\right)=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $i$.
Proof. Let $E_{*}$ be a parabolic vector bundle over $X$. First assume that there is a parabolic vector bundle $F_{*}$ such that (7) holds for all $i$.

Let $\widehat{E}$ (respectively, $\widehat{F}$ ) be the unique $\Gamma$-linearized vector bundle over the curve $Y$ in (2) corresponding to the parabolic vector bundle $E_{*}$ (respectively, $F_{*}$ ).

We note that if $\widehat{E}^{\prime}$ is the $\Gamma$-linearized vector bundle over $Y$ corresponding to a parabolic vector bundle $E_{*}^{\prime}$ on $X$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{i}\left(Y, \widehat{E}^{\prime}\right)^{\Gamma}=H^{i}\left(X, E^{\prime}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $i$, where $E^{\prime}$ is the vector bundle underlying $E_{*}^{\prime}$. Indeed, this follows immediately from the fact that $E^{\prime}=$ $\left(f_{*} \widehat{E}^{\prime}\right)^{\Gamma}[2$, p. 310, (2.9)]. Using (8), and the fact that the correspondence between parabolic vector bundles and $\Gamma$-linearized vector bundles is compatible with the tensor product operation, it follows from (7) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{i}(Y, \widehat{E} \otimes \widehat{F} \otimes \widehat{V})^{\Gamma}=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $i$, where $\widehat{V}$ is the vector bundle in (6). Note that since the parabolic vector bundle $V_{*}$ is obtained from the parabolic vector bundle $V_{*}^{\prime}$ associated to the $\Gamma$-linearized vector bundle $\widehat{V}$ by forgetting the parabolic structure on $X \backslash S$ keeping the underlying vector bundle unchanged, and both $E_{*}$ and $F_{*}$ do not have any parabolic points outside $S$, the vector bundle underlying the parabolic tensor product $E_{*} \otimes F_{*} \otimes V_{*}^{\prime}$ is actually identified with the vector bundle $\left(E_{*} \otimes F_{*} \otimes V_{*}\right)_{0}$ underlying the parabolic vector bundle $E_{*} \otimes F_{*} \otimes V_{*}$.

From the definition of $\widehat{V}$ in (6) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{i}(Y, \widehat{E} \otimes \widehat{F})=\left(H^{i}(Y, \widehat{E} \otimes \widehat{F}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}(\Gamma)\right)^{\Gamma}=H^{i}(Y, \widehat{E} \otimes \widehat{F} \otimes \widehat{V})^{\Gamma} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that given any finite dimensional complex left $\Gamma$-module $M$, there is a canonical $\mathbb{C}$-linear isomorphism

$$
M \rightarrow\left(M \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}(\Gamma)\right)^{\Gamma}
$$

defined by $v \mapsto \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma}(\gamma \cdot v) \otimes \gamma$. The left isomorphism in (10) is constructed using this $\mathbb{C}$-linear identification. Combining (9) and (10) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{i}(Y, \widehat{E} \otimes \widehat{F})=0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $i$. From this it can be deduced that the vector bundle $\widehat{E}$ is semistable. Indeed, using Riemann-Roch and (11) it follows that $\mu(\widehat{E} \otimes \widehat{F})=\operatorname{genus}(Y)-1$ (here $\mu\left(W^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{degree}\left(W^{\prime}\right) / \operatorname{rank}\left(W^{\prime}\right)$ for a vector bundle $\left.W^{\prime}\right)$. Therefore, using Riemann-Roch, for any subbundle $W \subset \widehat{E}$, with $\mu(W)>\mu(\widehat{E})$, we have $\chi(W \otimes \widehat{F})>0$. Hence for such a subbundle we have $0<\operatorname{dim} H^{0}(Y, W \otimes \widehat{F}) \leqslant \operatorname{dim} H^{0}(Y, \widehat{E} \otimes \widehat{F})$, which contradicts (11). Hence $\widehat{E}$ is a semistable vector bundle.

Since $\widehat{E}$ is semistable, from [2, p. 318, Lemma 3.13] it follows that the parabolic vector bundle $E_{*}$ is parabolic semistable.

To prove the converse, assume that $E_{*}$ is parabolic semistable. Therefore, the corresponding $\Gamma$-linearized vector bundle $\widehat{E}$ on $Y$ is semistable; see [2, p. 318, Lemma 3.13] and [2, p. 308, Lemma 2.7]. Since $\widehat{E}$ is a semistable vector bundle, a criterion due to Faltings says that there is a vector bundle $F$ on $Y$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{i}(Y, \widehat{E} \otimes F)=0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $i$; see [4, p. 514, Theorem 1.2] and [4, p. 516, Remark]. Set

$$
\widetilde{F}:=\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma^{*} F .
$$

Using the $\Gamma$-linearization of $\widehat{E}$ we have $\gamma^{*} \widehat{E}=\widehat{E}$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Hence from (12) it follows that dim $H^{i}(Y, \widehat{E} \otimes \widetilde{F})=$ $(\# \Gamma) \cdot \operatorname{dim} H^{i}(Y, \widehat{E} \otimes F)=0$ for all $i$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{i}(Y, \widehat{E} \otimes \widetilde{F} \otimes \widehat{V})=H^{i}(Y, \widehat{E} \otimes \widetilde{F}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}(\Gamma)=0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $i$, where $\widehat{V}$ is constructed in (6).
The vector bundle $\widetilde{F}$ has a natural $\Gamma$-linearization. Let $F_{*}^{\prime}$ be the corresponding parabolic vector bundle on $X$. Let $F_{*}$ be the parabolic vector bundle obtained from $F_{*}^{\prime}$ by forgetting its parabolic structure over $X \backslash S$ and keeping the underlying vector bundle unchanged. Since $E_{*}$ and $V_{*}$ do not have any parabolic points outside $S$, the vector bundle underlying the parabolic tensor product $E_{*} \otimes F_{*}^{\prime} \otimes V_{*}$ is identified with that of $E_{*} \otimes F_{*} \otimes V_{*}$. Now from (8) and (13) we have $H^{i}\left(X,\left(E_{*} \otimes F_{*} \otimes V_{*}\right)_{0}\right)=0$ for all $i$, where $\left(E_{*} \otimes F_{*} \otimes V_{*}\right)_{0}$ is the vector bundle underlying the parabolic tensor product $E_{*} \otimes F_{*} \otimes V_{*}$. This completes the proof of the theorem.
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