



Number Theory/Logic

The external fundamental group of an algebraic number field

T.M. Gendron

Instituto de Matemáticas, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Av. Universidad s/n, Lomas de Chamilpa, Cuernavaca CP 62210, Morelos, Mexico

Received and accepted 23 January 2008

Presented by Étienne Ghys

Abstract

We associate to every algebraic number field K/\mathbb{Q} a hyperbolic surface lamination and an *external fundamental group* ${}^{\circ}\Gamma_K$: a generalization of the fundamental germ construction of Gendron that necessarily contains external (not first order definable) elements. The external fundamental group ${}^{\circ}\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is an extension of the absolute Galois group $\hat{\Gamma}_{\mathbb{Q}}$, that conjecturally contains a subgroup whose abelianization is isomorphic to the idèle class group. **To cite this article: T.M. Gendron, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 346 (2008).**

© 2008 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

Résumé

Le groupe fondamental externe d'un corps de nombres algébriques. On associe à chaque corps de nombres algébriques K/\mathbb{Q} une lamination en surfaces hyperboliques et un *groupe fondamental externe* ${}^{\circ}\Gamma_K$: une généralisation de la construction du germe fondamental de Gendron, qui contient nécessairement des éléments externes (non définissables au premier ordre). Le groupe fondamental externe ${}^{\circ}\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}$ est une extension décomposée du groupe de Galois absolu $\hat{\Gamma}_{\mathbb{Q}}$, qui contient d'après une conjecture un sous groupe avec une « abélianisation » isomorphe au groupe de classes des idèles. **Pour citer cet article: T.M. Gendron, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 346 (2008).**

© 2008 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The search for a geometrization of an algebraic number field K/\mathbb{Q} has been one of the longstanding ambitions of algebraic number theory: indeed, it could be said that the specter of such a geometrization haunts some of its most celebrated enterprises viz. the Riemann hypothesis, non-Abelian class field theory, Grothendieck–Teichmüller theory. One phenomenon which could achieve structural clarity via geometrization is the isomorphism of class field theory $C_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong \mathbb{R}_+^{\times} \times \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{\times}$, where $C_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the idèle class group of \mathbb{Q} . Since $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{\times} \cong \hat{\Gamma}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{ab}}$, where $\hat{\Gamma}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$, it has been suggested by a number of authors [6,1,2] that the factor \mathbb{R}_+^{\times} ought to have also a Galois interpretation. Formally, one seeks an extension $\bar{\Gamma}_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \hat{\Gamma}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ in which $\bar{\Gamma}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ has arithmetic meaning (a “cosmic Galois group” [1]), and for which

E-mail address: tim@matcuer.unam.mx.

$\bar{\Gamma}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{ab}} \cong C_{\mathbb{Q}}$. In this Note, we shall construct a candidate for $\bar{\Gamma}_{\mathbb{Q}}$, defined as the external fundamental group of a geometrization of \mathbb{Q} by a hyperbolic surface lamination.

2. Internal fundamental group

Let M be a compact n -manifold, $p : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ a universal cover and write $\pi = \pi_1(M)$. Fix an ultrafilter \mathfrak{U} on \mathbb{N} whose elements are of infinite cardinality. Denote by ${}^*\pi$ the ultraproduct of π with respect to \mathfrak{U} . Note that there is a monomorphism $c : \pi \hookrightarrow {}^*\pi$ given by the constant sequences, and we identify π with its image. The ultraproduct ${}^*\pi$ is an example of a non-standard model of π [5].

Suppose that M is Riemannian, and equip \tilde{M} with the pull-back metric so that π acts by isometries on \tilde{M} . Let $\bullet\tilde{M}$ be the quotient of ${}^*\tilde{M}$ (= the ultraproduct of \tilde{M}) obtained by identifying sequence classes that are asymptotic. There is a canonical surjective map $\bullet\tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ which associates to each class $\bullet\tilde{x}$ the limit of $p(\tilde{x}_i)$ where $\{\tilde{x}_i\} \in \bullet\tilde{x}$ is any representative sequence for which $p(\tilde{x}_i)$ converges. Note that ${}^*\pi$ acts on the left on $\bullet\tilde{M}$ and ${}^*\pi \backslash \bullet\tilde{M} \approx M$.

We may view $\bullet\tilde{M}$ as a lamination with discrete transversals: the leaf containing $\bullet\tilde{x} \in \bullet\tilde{M}$ consists of those sequence classes of bounded distance from $\bullet\tilde{x}$, itself a Riemannian manifold. In fact, $\bullet\tilde{M}$ may be identified with the suspension of the inclusion c , i.e. $(\tilde{M} \times {}^*\pi) / \pi$, where $(\tilde{x}, {}^*\alpha) \cdot \gamma = (\gamma \cdot \tilde{x}, ({}^*\alpha)\gamma^{-1})$ for all $\gamma \in \pi$. In the suspension description, the action of ${}^*\pi$ is induced by $(\tilde{x}, {}^*\alpha) \mapsto (\tilde{x}, {}^*\gamma\alpha)$, ${}^*\gamma \in {}^*\pi$, and so can be seen to be by leaf-wise isometries. This discussion applies to any group extension $\pi \subset G$ (particularly, when G = a non-standard model of π), the appropriate universal covering space being the suspension of the inclusion $\pi \hookrightarrow G$.

We now indicate how ${}^*\pi$ codifies laminated coverings of M . For simplicity, we shall restrict ourselves to suspensions over M . Let G be a compact topological group and let $\rho : \pi \rightarrow G$ be a representation. The suspension of ρ , denoted $M(\rho)$, is a principal G -bundle as well as a lamination over M , minimal if and only if ρ has dense image, with simply connected leaves if and only if $\text{Ker}(\rho) = 1$. Three examples:

- a. If $G = 1$ then $M(\rho) \approx M$.
- b. Let $G = \hat{\pi}$ = the profinite completion of π , ρ the canonical map. Then $M(\rho) \approx \hat{M}$ = the algebraic universal cover of M , a $\hat{\pi}$ -principal bundle over M e.g. $\hat{\pi} \backslash \hat{M} \approx M$. It is classical that \hat{M} and $\hat{\pi}$ are the appropriate notions of universal cover and fundamental group for M within the étale category.
- c. Let $M = G = \mathbb{S}^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, and for $r \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}$, define ρ by $\rho(n) = n\bar{r}$ = the image of nr in \mathbb{S}^1 . Then $M(\rho) = \mathcal{F}_r$ = the irrational foliation of the 2-torus by lines of slope r .

An analogue of the fundamental group for $M(\rho)$ is given by the *fundamental germ* $[[\pi]] = [[\pi]]_1 M(\rho)$, [3,4]. In the case when the suspension $M(\rho)$ is minimal, it has the following description. Since ρ has dense image, the ‘standard part’ map $\text{std}(\rho) : {}^*\pi \rightarrow G$, defined by taking a sequence class to the unique limit in G of its image by ρ , is onto. We define $[[\pi]] := \text{Ker}(\text{std}(\rho))$ and refer to $1 \rightarrow [[\pi]] \rightarrow {}^*\pi \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ as the *standardization exact sequence*. For the three examples above we have:

- a. $[[\pi]]_1 M = {}^*\pi$.
- b. $[[\pi]]_1 \hat{M} = \bigcap {}^*H$ where $H < \pi$ runs through the subgroups of finite index. This is a non-trivial subgroup of ${}^*\pi$ even when π is residually finite i.e when $\bigcap H$ is trivial (for example, when M is a compact surface).
- c. We say that a sequence class ${}^*\epsilon \in {}^*\mathbb{R}$ is an infinitesimal if it contains a sequence converging to 0. Then we may identify $[[\pi]]_1 \mathcal{F}_r$ with the subgroup of ${}^*n \in {}^*\mathbb{Z}$ for which $r{}^*n + {}^*m$ is an infinitesimal for some ${}^*m \in {}^*\mathbb{Z}$: in other words, $[[\pi]]_1 \mathcal{F}_r$ is the group of Diophantine approximations of r .

We now discuss covering space theory. Let $M(\rho)$ be as above, assumed for simplicity to be minimal with simply connected leaves. Assume also that M has been equipped with a Riemannian metric, so that $M(\rho)$ has a leaf-wise Riemannian metric. There is a canonical map $\tilde{M} \rightarrow M(\rho)$, induced by $\tilde{M} \times \{1\} \hookrightarrow \tilde{M} \times G$. The image of this map is a leaf L_0 called the canonical leaf. There is a surjective map $\bullet\tilde{M} \rightarrow M(\rho)$ – assigning to a sequence class $\bullet\tilde{x}$ the limit of its image via $\tilde{M} \rightarrow M(\rho)$ – which is a local isometry along the leaves. Any continuous self-map of $M(\rho)$ preserving L_0 lifts uniquely to a self-map of $\bullet\tilde{M}$. The natural action of $[[\pi]]$ on $\bullet\tilde{M}$ has quotient $[[\pi]] \backslash \bullet\tilde{M}$ which is in canonical bijection with $M(\rho)$. For example, when $M = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^2$ is a closed hyperbolic surface, we may identify $[[\pi]]_1 M(\rho)$ with a ‘Fuchsian germ’ $[[\Gamma]] < \text{PSL}(2, {}^*\mathbb{R})$ and $[[\Gamma]] \backslash {}^*\mathbb{H}^2$ is in bijection with $M(\rho)$.

It is possible to endow $\bullet\tilde{M}$ with a non-trivial transverse topology in such a way that $[\pi]$ acts by homeomorphisms and so that the quotient $[\pi]\backslash\bullet\tilde{M}$ is homeomorphic to $M(\rho)$. To do this, we choose a set-theoretic section $s : G \rightarrow \bullet\pi$ of $\text{std}(\rho)$, so that $s(\rho(\gamma)) = \gamma$ for all $\gamma \in \pi$, and for which $s(G)$ is a right π -set. Then if we give $\bullet\pi$ the topology: (topology of G) \times (discrete), this gives a topology on $\tilde{M} \times \bullet\pi$ invariant by the action of π , hence inducing a topology on $\bullet\tilde{M}$. The left multiplication action by elements of $[\pi]$ permutes the “cosets” $[x]s(G)$, $[x] \in [\pi]$, hence $[\pi]$ acts by homeomorphisms, and with the quotient topology, the bijection between $[\pi]\backslash\bullet\tilde{M}$ and $M(\rho)$ is a homeomorphism. (N.B. We may even choose the section s in order that any leaf of $\bullet\tilde{M}$ intersects a given $s(G)$ -transversal no more than once: so that $\bullet\tilde{M}$ is a lamination with no non-trivial holonomy.)

3. External fundamental group

Let F be the free group on two generators, \hat{F} its profinite completion and consider the standardization sequence $1 \rightarrow [F] \rightarrow \bullet F \rightarrow \hat{F} \rightarrow 1$. Neither $\bullet F$ nor \hat{F} are free groups in the discrete (combinatorial) sense. Let $\hat{\mathbf{F}}$ be the free group generated by \hat{F} (viewed as a set), which has cardinality of the continuum. By universality, there is a canonical epimorphism $\hat{p} : \hat{\mathbf{F}} \rightarrow \hat{F}$. If $\sigma : \hat{F} \hookrightarrow \bullet F$ is a set-theoretic section of the standardization sequence whose image contains a generating set of $\bullet F$, then the induced map $\bullet p : \hat{\mathbf{F}} \rightarrow \bullet F$ is an epimorphism, and $\hat{p} = \text{std} \circ \bullet p$ (by the uniqueness part of universality). If $\hat{K}, \bullet K$ are the kernels of $\hat{p}, \bullet p$ then $\bullet K < \hat{K}$.

Denote by $\text{Aut}(\hat{F})$ the group of bicontinuous automorphisms of \hat{F} , and by ${}^\circ\text{Aut}(F)$ the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\bullet F)$ of automorphisms which induce elements of $\text{Aut}(\hat{F})$ i.e. automorphisms which stabilize $[F]$ and induce bicontinuous automorphisms of \hat{F} . Note that $\text{Aut}(F)$ as well as $\bullet\text{Aut}(F)$ include canonically in ${}^\circ\text{Aut}(F)$. Indeed, if $\bullet A \in \bullet\text{Aut}(F)$ and $\bullet x \in [F]$, then $\bullet A(\bullet x)$ is represented by a sequence $\{A_i(x_i)\}$, and $A_i(x_i)$ is in a subgroup of index $N_i \rightarrow \infty$ if and only if x_i is.

Theorem 3.1. *The canonical homomorphism ${}^\circ\text{Aut}(F) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\hat{F})$ is surjective.*

The theorem is proved as follows: note first that any element $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\hat{F})$ defines a bijection of the generating set of \hat{F} , hence an automorphism α of the latter. As such, α necessarily stabilizes \hat{K} : we may arrange that it also stabilizes $\bullet K$ by composing, if necessary, with a suitable automorphism covering the identity of \hat{F} . The result descends to an automorphism ${}^\circ\alpha$ of $\bullet F$. The association $\alpha \mapsto {}^\circ\alpha$ evidently defines a (set-theoretic) section.

Denote by ${}^\circ\text{Inn}(F)$ those elements of ${}^\circ\text{Aut}(F)$ which map to inner automorphisms of \hat{F} . (N.B. $\bullet F$, acting innerly, is a subgroup of ${}^\circ\text{Inn}(F)$.) If we denote by ${}^\circ\text{Out}(F)$ the quotient of ${}^\circ\text{Aut}(F)$ by ${}^\circ\text{Inn}(F)$, we obtain an exact sequence $1 \rightarrow [F] \rightarrow {}^\circ\text{Out}(F) \rightarrow \text{Out}(\hat{F}) \rightarrow 1$.

It is important to note that ${}^\circ\text{Out}(F)$ contains as a *proper* subgroup the ultraproduct $\bullet\text{Out}(F) \cong \bullet\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{GL}(2, \bullet\mathbb{Z})$. The latter is called the group of *internal* outer automorphisms of $\bullet F$, and elements of ${}^\circ\text{Out}(F)$ which are not internal are called *external*. That we cannot replace ${}^\circ\text{Out}(F)$ by $\bullet\text{Out}(F)$ is borne out by the following:

Fact 1. Although F is dense in \hat{F} , $\text{Out}(F)$ is not dense in $\text{Out}(\hat{F})$, hence $\text{Out}(\hat{F})$ is not the profinite completion of $\text{Out}(F) \cong \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. Thus, $\bullet\text{Out}(F)$ does not map epimorphically onto $\text{Out}(\hat{F})$.

Recall that the theory of a group G is the collection $\text{Th}(G)$ of all first order sentences which are true in G . We say G' is a non-standard model of G if $\text{Th}(G') = \text{Th}(G)$ but $G' \not\cong G$. For example, the ultrapower $\bullet G$ is a non-standard model of G .

Question 1. Is ${}^\circ\text{Out}(F)$ a non-standard model of $\text{Out}(F)$?

In what follows K/\mathbb{Q} is an arbitrary algebraic number field and $\hat{\Gamma}_K$ is its absolute Galois group. Recall the Belyi monomorphism $\beta : \hat{\Gamma}_K \subset \hat{\Gamma}_{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \text{Out}(\hat{F})$. We will not distinguish between $\hat{\Gamma}_K$ and its image in $\text{Out}(\hat{F})$. Let $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{Out}_+(\hat{F}) \hookrightarrow \text{Out}(\hat{F})$ be the canonical inclusion. Define $\hat{\Sigma} = \hat{\Sigma}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ as the suspension $(\mathbb{H}^2 \times \text{Out}(\hat{F}))/\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, where the action of $A \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is defined $A(z, f) = (Az, fA^{-1})$. Then $\hat{\Sigma}$ is a non-minimal solenoid by hyperbolic surface orbifolds that covers the modular orbifold $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathbb{H}^2$. The action of $\hat{\Gamma}_K$ on the product $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \text{Out}(\hat{F})$, $\hat{\sigma}(z, f) = (z, \hat{\sigma}f)$, descends to an action on $\hat{\Sigma}$ by leaf-wise isometries. Since $\hat{\Gamma}_K$ is a closed

subgroup of $\text{Out}(\hat{F})$, the quotient $\hat{\Sigma}_K = \hat{\Gamma}_K \backslash \hat{\Sigma}$ is also a lamination by hyperbolic surface orbifolds. By construction, the association $K \mapsto \hat{\Sigma}_K$ is Galois natural.

Denote by ${}^\circ\Gamma_K$ the pre-image of $\hat{\Gamma}_K$ in ${}^\circ\text{Out}(F)$ so that $[[\Gamma]]$ is the kernel of the standardization epimorphism ${}^\circ\Gamma_K \rightarrow \hat{\Gamma}_K$. We have $[[\Gamma]] = \bigcap {}^\circ\Gamma_K$. Recall that there is a canonical inclusion $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{Out}_+(F) \hookrightarrow {}^\circ\text{Out}(F)$. By suspending this inclusion with respect to the action of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on \mathbb{H}^2 , we obtain a trivial lamination which we denote ${}^\circ\mathbb{H}^2$. We note that the quotient of ${}^\circ\mathbb{H}^2$ by the left action of ${}^\circ\text{Out}(F)$ is isometric to the modular orbifold.

We topologize ${}^\circ\text{Out}(F)$ by choosing a set-theoretic section of ${}^\circ\text{Out}(F) \rightarrow \text{Out}(\hat{F})$ whose image is a right $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ -set and which maps $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ to itself (as we did at the end of the last section). This induces a topology on ${}^\circ\mathbb{H}^2$ making it a solenoid by hyperbolic surface orbifolds, with respect to which the action by ${}^\circ\text{Out}(F)$ is by homeomorphisms which are isometries along the leaves. The quotient by $[[\Gamma]]$ can be identified with $\hat{\Sigma} = \hat{\Sigma}_{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}}$ and in addition $\hat{\Sigma}_K \cong {}^\circ\Gamma_K \backslash {}^\circ\mathbb{H}^2 \cong \hat{\Gamma}_K \backslash \hat{\Sigma}$. This justifies viewing ${}^\circ\Gamma_K$ as a fundamental group, in a way which generalizes the internal fundamental group defined in §2.

Conjecture 3.2. *There is a subgroup $\bar{\Gamma}_{\mathbb{Q}} < {}^\circ\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}$ which is an extension of $\hat{\Gamma}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and for which $\bar{\Gamma}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{ab}} \cong C_{\mathbb{Q}}$.*

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank P. Lochak, who made many useful comments during the initial phases of this work. In addition, I would like to thank the International Centre for Theoretical Physics, which supported a visit for a month during the summer of 2007, when much of this work was carried out. This work was partially supported by the CONACyT project no. 58537 Relaciones Entre la Teoría de Números y la Geometría (Clásica y Cuántica).

References

- [1] P. Cartier, La folle journée, de Grothendieck à Connes et Kontsevich : évolution des notions d'espace et de symétrie, in : Les relations entre les mathématiques et la physique théorique, Inst. Hautes Études Sci., Bures-sur-Yvette, 1998, pp. 23–42.
- [2] A. Connes, Trace formula in noncommutative geometry and the zeros of the Riemann zeta function, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* 5 (1) (1999) 29–106.
- [3] T.M. Gendron, The algebraic theory of the fundamental germ, *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* 37 (1) (2006) 49–87.
- [4] T.M. Gendron, The geometric theory of the fundamental germ, *Nagoya Math. J.*, in press.
- [5] A. Robinson, *Non-Standard Analysis*, Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1996.
- [6] A. Weil, Sur la théorie du corps de classes, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 3 (1951) 1–35.