

Algebra

A remark on a Note by Laguerre

Jacques Peyrière^{a,b}

^a *Université Paris-Sud, Mathématique bâtiment 425, CNRS UMR 8628, 91405 Orsay cedex, France*

^b *Department of Mathematics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, 100084, PR China*¹

Received 19 December 2008; accepted 8 January 2009

Available online 17 March 2009

Presented by Jean-Pierre Kahane

Abstract

An improvement on Laguerre's method of location of zeroes of orthogonal polynomials is given. *To cite this article: J. Peyrière, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 347 (2009).*

© 2009 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

Résumé

Sur une Note de Laguerre. On donne une amélioration de la méthode de Laguerre de localisation des zéros des polynômes orthogonaux. *Pour citer cet article : J. Peyrière, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 347 (2009).*

© 2009 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

Version française abrégée

Dans sa Note [1], Laguerre donne un procédé pour majorer la plus grande racine d'un polynôme dont tous les zéros sont réels. Il l'applique aux polynômes orthogonaux. Sa méthode repose sur l'assertion suivante où f est un polynôme de degré $n - 1$: « Il est clair que l'équation $f(x) = 0$ a également toutes ses racines réelles ; par suite son hessien $(n - 2)f'^2(x) - (n - 1)f(x)f''(x)$ ne peut avoir une valeur négative ».

Nous donnons une forme plus générale de cette dernière assertion, ce qui permet d'améliorer la borne donnée par Laguerre.

Nous dirons qu'une fraction rationnelle $R \in \mathbb{R}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m)$ est *conditionnellement positive*, et nous écrirons alors $R \in \text{CP}_m$, si elle est positive ou nulle, lorsqu'elle est finie, quand les σ_j sont les fonctions symétriques élémentaires de m nombres réels. Si elle est positive lorsque les σ_j sont les fonctions symétriques de m nombres positifs, nous écrirons $R \in \text{CP}_m^+$. Ainsi, nous avons par exemple

$$\sigma_1^2 - 4\sigma_2 \in \text{CP}_2 \quad \text{et} \quad \sigma_1\sigma_2 - 9\sigma_3 \in \text{CP}_3^+.$$

La proposition suivante permet de construire des éléments de CP_m et de CP_m^+ .

E-mail address: Jacques.Peyriere@math.u-psud.fr.

¹ Partially supported by Project 111 National Science Foundation of China.

Proposition 0.1. Si $f(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m) \in \mathbb{C}P_m$, alors, pour $n \geq m$, on a

$$f\left(\binom{m}{1}\binom{n}{1}^{-1}\sigma_1, \binom{m}{2}\binom{n}{2}^{-1}\sigma_2, \dots, \binom{m}{m}\binom{n}{m}^{-1}\sigma_m\right) \in \mathbb{C}P_n.$$

On a le même énoncé pour $\mathbb{C}P^+$ au lieu de $\mathbb{C}P$.

En appliquant cette proposition à $\sigma_1^2 - 4\sigma_2$, on obtient

$$(n-1)\sigma_1^2 - 2n\sigma_2 \in \mathbb{C}P_n \quad \text{pour } n \geq 2.$$

Proposition 0.2. Soit $f \in \mathbb{C}P_n$. Si le polynôme $P \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ de degré au plus n a toutes ses racines réelles, alors la fraction

$$\varphi(x) = f\left(\frac{P'(x)}{1!P(x)}, \frac{P''(x)}{2!P(x)}, \dots, \frac{P^{(n)}(x)}{n!P(x)}\right)$$

ne prend que des valeurs positives. Si f appartient seulement à $\mathbb{C}P_n^+$, alors $\varphi(x) \geq 0$ pourvu que x soit plus grand que la plus grande racine de P .

Continuant l'exemple précédent, on obtient $(n-1)P'^2 - nPP'' \geq 0$ si P est un polynôme de degré au plus n dont toutes les racines sont réelles. C'est l'assertion utilisée par Laguerre. En partant de $\sigma_1\sigma_2 - 9\sigma_3 \in \mathbb{C}P_3^+$, on obtient $(n-2)\sigma_1\sigma_2 - 3n\sigma_3 \in \mathbb{C}P_n^+$ et $(n-2)P'(x)P''(x) - nP(x)P'''(x) \geq 0$ si x est supérieur à la plus grande des racines de P , toutes supposées réelles.

Proposition 0.3. Soit f dans $\mathbb{C}P_{n-1}$ et P un polynôme dans $\mathbb{R}[x]$ de degré au plus n dont toutes les racines sont réelles. Alors, pour toute racine simple a de P , on a

$$f\left(\frac{P''(a)}{2!P'(a)}, \frac{P^{(3)}(a)}{3!P'(a)}, \dots, \frac{P^{(n)}(a)}{n!P'(a)}\right) \geq 0.$$

Si f est seulement dans $\mathbb{C}P_{n-1}^+$, on peut seulement affirmer que l'inégalité précédente a lieu lorsque a est la plus grande racine de P .

Dans le cas où P est un polynôme orthogonal, les quantités $P^{(j)}(a)/P'(a)$ se calculent par récurrence en utilisant l'équation différentielle satisfaite par P .

Partant de $\sigma_1^2 - 4\sigma_2 \in \mathbb{C}P_2$, on obtient, comme Laguerre, que les racines de H_n , le $n^{\text{ième}}$ polynôme de Hermite, sont inférieures à $\frac{2(n-1)}{\sqrt{n+2}}$. En partant de $\sigma_1\sigma_2 - 9\sigma_3 \in \mathbb{C}P_3^+$, on obtient la borne $\sqrt{\frac{(4n+3)(n-1)}{n+3}}$.

1. Introduction

In his Note [1], Laguerre gives a way of getting an upper bound for the largest root of a polynomial all the zeroes of which are real. This relies on the following assertion “The polynomial f of degree n has all its roots real, therefore its Hessian $(n-1)f'^2(x) - nf(x)f''(x)$ cannot assume negative values...”. This note provides a more general result.

Just as Laguerre did, we apply our method to get improved bounds for the largest root of orthogonal polynomials.

2. Conditionally positive rational fractions

If x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are numbers or indeterminates one considers their elementary symmetric functions $\{\sigma_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq n}$ defined by the formula

$$x^n + \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j \sigma_j x^{n-j} = \prod_{j=1}^n (x - x_j).$$

One also sets $\sigma_0 = 1$ and $\sigma_k = 0$ for $k > n$.

Definition 2.1 (Conditional positivity). A rational fraction $f(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m) \in \mathbb{R}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m)$ is said to be in CP_m (respectively in CP_m^+) if $f(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m) \geq 0$ each time $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m$ are the elementary symmetric functions of k real numbers, with $k \leq m$ (respectively of k nonnegative numbers) and $|f(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m)|$ is finite.

Examples 1.

- (i) $\sigma_1^2 - 4\sigma_2 \in \text{CP}_2$.
- (ii) $\sigma_1^2 - 2\sigma_2 \in \bigcap_{m \geq 2} \text{CP}_m$.
- (iii) $\sigma_1\sigma_2 - 9\sigma_3 \in \text{CP}_3^+$ and $\sigma_1\sigma_3 - 16\sigma_4 \in \text{CP}_4^+$.
- (iv) The discriminant of $x^n + \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j \sigma_j x^{n-j}$ is in CP_n .
- (v) $\sigma_1^m - m^m \sigma_m \in \text{CP}_m^+$ for any $m \geq 2$.
- (vi) Let k and n be two integers larger than 1, and $l > 0$. For positive numbers x_1, \dots, x_n , one has

$$(x_1^l + \dots + x_n^l)^k \leq n^{k-1} (x_1^{kl} + \dots + x_n^{kl}).$$

So, by expressing the Newton sums in terms of the elementary symmetric polynomials, one gets an element of CP_n^+ (of CP_n if kl is even).

More generally, since the moments are log-convex, one has

$$(x_1^q + \dots + x_n^q)^{r-p} \leq (x_1^p + \dots + x_n^p)^{r-q} (x_1^r + \dots + x_n^r)^{q-p},$$

if the integers n, p, q , and r fulfill the conditions $n \geq 2$ and $1 \leq p < q < r$. Again, by expressing the Newton sums in terms of the elementary symmetric polynomials, one gets an element of CP_n^+ (of CP_n if both p and r are even).

The following two propositions give ways of getting new elements in CP_n or CP_n^+ .

Proposition 2.2. If $f(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m) \in \text{CP}_m$, then, for $n \geq m$,

$$f\left(\binom{m}{1}\binom{n}{1}^{-1} \sigma_1, \binom{m}{2}\binom{n}{2}^{-1} \sigma_2, \dots, \binom{m}{m}\binom{n}{m}^{-1} \sigma_m\right) \in \text{CP}_n.$$

The same holds for CP^+ instead of CP .

Proof. Indeed, if the roots of the polynomial $P = x^n + \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j \sigma_j x^{n-j}$ are real (respectively nonnegative) so are the ones of the $(n - m)$ -th derivative of P . \square

Example 1. By applying this proposition with $m = 2$ and $f(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = \sigma_1^2 - 4\sigma_2$, one gets $(n - 1)\sigma_1^2 - 2n\sigma_2 \in \text{CP}_n$, for any $n \geq 2$. As a matter of fact, this is also a particular case of Example 1.vi.

Proposition 2.3. Let f be a polynomial in $\mathbb{R}[\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n]$ of degree d . Consider the polynomial

$$g(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) = \sigma_n^d f\left(\frac{\sigma_{n-1}}{\sigma_n}, \frac{\sigma_{n-2}}{\sigma_n}, \dots, \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_n}, \frac{1}{\sigma_n}\right).$$

Then

- (i) If $f \in \text{CP}_n^+$, then $g \in \text{CP}_n^+$,
- (ii) if d is even, $f \in \text{CP}_n$ implies $g \in \text{CP}_n$.

Proof. Indeed, if $\sigma_n \neq 0$, the roots of $x^n + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (-1)^j \frac{\sigma_{n-j}}{\sigma_n} x^{n-j} + (-1)^n \frac{1}{\sigma_n}$ are the inverses of those of $x^n + \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j \sigma_j x^j$. \square

3. Polynomials whose all roots are real

Proposition 3.1. *Let $f \in \mathbb{C}P_n$. If the polynomial $P \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ of degree at most n has all its roots real, then the fraction*

$$\varphi(x) = f\left(\frac{P'(x)}{1!P(x)}, \frac{P''(x)}{2!P(x)}, \dots, \frac{P^{(n)}(x)}{n!P(x)}\right)$$

assume non-negative values only. If f merely is in $\mathbb{C}P_n^+$, then $\varphi(x) \geq 0$ provided that x be larger than the largest root of P .

Proof. Set $P(x) = \alpha \prod_{j=1}^n (x - x_j)$. Let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ stand for the elementary symmetric functions of the variables $\{\frac{1}{x-x_j}\}_{1 \leq j \leq n}$. Then, for $1 \leq k \leq n$, one has

$$\frac{P^{(k)}(x)}{P(x)} = k! \sigma_k,$$

from which the result follows. \square

Example 2. We know (Example 1) that $(n-1)\sigma_1^2 - 2n\sigma_2 \in \mathbb{C}P_n$. So, if P is a polynomial of degree at most n whose roots are real, then $(n-1)P'^2 - nPP'' \geq 0$. This is the statement in Laguerre's note which motivated the present work.

Proposition 3.2. *Let f be in $\mathbb{C}P_{n-1}$ and P a polynomial in $\mathbb{R}[x]$ of degree at most n whose roots are real. Then, for any simple root a of P , one has*

$$f\left(\frac{P''(a)}{2!P'(a)}, \frac{P^{(3)}(a)}{3!P'(a)}, \dots, \frac{P^{(n)}(a)}{n!P'(a)}\right) \geq 0.$$

If f merely is in $\mathbb{C}P_{n-1}^+$, one only can assert that the previous inequality holds when a is the largest root of P .

Proof. Apply the preceding proposition to the polynomial $P(x)/(x-a)$. \square

4. Location of zeroes of orthogonal polynomials

Suppose that a polynomial P of degree n whose all roots are real satisfies a differential equation of the form

$$P''(x) - u(x)P'(x) - v(x)P(x) = 0,$$

where u and v are polynomials.

One has

$$\begin{aligned} P^{(3)} &= uP'' + (u' + v)P' + v'P = u(uP' + vP) + (u' + v)P' + v'P \\ &= (u^2 + u' + v)P' + (uv + v')P, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} P^{(4)} &= (u^2 + u' + v)P'' + (2uu' + u'' + uv + 2v')P' + (uv' + u'v + v')P \\ &= (u^2 + u' + v)(uP' + vP) + (2uu' + u'' + uv + 2v')P' + (uv' + u'v + v')P \\ &= (u^3 + 3uu' + 2uv + u'' + 2v')P' + (u^2v + 2u'v + v^2 + uv' + v')P, \end{aligned}$$

and so on.

So, if a is a root of P , for any $k \geq 2$, the ratio $\frac{P^{(k)}(a)}{P'(a)}$ can be expressed in terms of the values at point a of polynomials u and v and their derivatives up to order $k-2$.

So, if one chooses f in $\mathbb{C}P_m$ or $\mathbb{C}P_m^+$, one can apply Proposition 2.2 to get an element g in $\mathbb{C}P_n$ or $\mathbb{C}P_n^+$ and then use Proposition 3.2 with g to get a polynomial which assumes a nonnegative value at the largest root of P .

Here is, as an example, the case dealt with in Laguerre’s note. Consider the n -th Hermite polynomial H_n . It satisfies the differential equation $H_n'' - xH_n' + nH_n = 0$. If a is its largest root, one has

$$\frac{H_n''(a)}{H_n'(a)} = a \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{H_n^{(3)}(a)}{H_n'(a)} = a^2 + 1 - n.$$

Take $m = 2$ and $f = \sigma_1^2 - 4\sigma_2$. As already seen, the polynomial $(n - 2)\sigma_1^2 - 2(n - 1)\sigma_2$ is in $\text{CP}_{(n-1)}$, so

$$(n - 2) \left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^2 - 2(n - 1) \frac{a^2 + 1 - n}{6} = \frac{-(n + 2)a^2 + 4(n - 1)^2}{12} \geq 0.$$

Therefore, the polynomial $-(n + 2)x^2 + 4(n - 1)^2$ is nonnegative at the largest root of H_n . This gives the upper bound $\frac{2(n-1)}{\sqrt{n+2}}$ for the zeroes of H_n , already given in [1].

If we take $m = 3$ and $f = \sigma_1\sigma_2 - 9\sigma_3$, we get that the polynomial $x((4n - 3)(n - 1) - (n + 3)x^2)$ is nonnegative at the largest root of H_n . This means that this largest root is less than $\sqrt{\frac{(4n-3)(n-1)}{n+3}}$, which improves on Laguerre’s bound.

Here are a few computations done by using computer algebra. The following polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} &x(-n^2 + n - 4)x^2 + 2(2n - 3)(n - 1)^2, \\ &-(11n^3 - 3n^2 - 82n + 104)x^4 + 48(n - 2)(n - 1)^3x^2 - 16(n - 3)(n - 1)^4, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$-(13n^4 - 22n^3 - 117n^2 + 398n - 344)x^5 + 32(2n - 5)(n - 1)^4x^3 - 48(n^2 - 5n + 5)(n - 1)^4x$$

are obtained by starting with $\sigma_1^m - m^m\sigma_m$, for $m = 3, 4, 5$. They give successive improvements on the bound for the zeroes of H_n .

As a curio, the last polynomial gives

$$2(n - 1) \sqrt{\frac{4(2n^3 - 9n^2 + 12n - 5) + \sqrt{25n^6 - 315n^5 + 1890n^4 - 6395n^3 + 12501n^2 - 13050n + 5560}}{13n^4 - 22n^3 - 117n^2 + 398n - 344}}$$

as a bound for the largest root of H_n .

The polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} &-(n^3 + 2n^2 + 15n + 18)x^6 + 6(2n^4 - 4n^3 + 5n^2 - 3n + 18)x^4 \\ &- 3(16n^3 - 64n^2 + 33n + 126)(n - 1)^2x^2 + 64(n - 3)^2(n - 1)^4 \end{aligned}$$

is obtained by taking for f the discriminant of $x^3 - \sigma_1x^2 + \sigma_2x - \sigma_3$, but this does not improve on previous bounds.

References

[1] E. Laguerre, Sur les équations algébriques dont le premier membre satisfait à une équation linéaire du second ordre, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris XC (1880) 809–812.