

Partial Differential Equations/Functional Analysis

# Geometry of Sobolev spaces with variable exponent: smoothness and uniform convexity

George Dinca<sup>a</sup>, Pavel Matei<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, 14, Academiei St, 010014 Bucharest, Romania

<sup>b</sup> Department of Mathematics, Technical University of Civil Engineering, 124, Lacul Tei Blvd., 020396 Bucharest, Romania

Received 17 February 2009; accepted 13 March 2009

Available online 2 June 2009

Presented by Philippe G. Ciarlet

## Abstract

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^N$ ,  $N \geq 2$ , be a smooth bounded domain. It is shown that: (a) if  $p \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  and  $\text{ess inf}_{x \in \Omega} p(x) > 1$ , then the generalized Lebesgue space  $(L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{p(\cdot)})$  is smooth; (b) if  $p \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega})$  and  $p(x) > 1$ , for all  $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ , then the generalized Sobolev space  $(W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{1,p(\cdot)})$  is smooth. In both situations, the formulae giving the Gâteaux derivative of the norm, corresponding to each of the above spaces, are given; (c) if  $p \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega})$  and  $p(x) \geq 2$ , for all  $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ , then  $(W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{1,p(\cdot)})$  is uniformly convex and smooth. **To cite this article:** G. Dinca, P. Matei, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 347 (2009).

© 2009 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## Résumé

**Géométrie des espaces de Sobolev à coefficients variables : lissitude et convexité uniforme.** Soit  $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^N$ ,  $N \geq 2$ , un domaine borné et régulier. On démontre que : (a) si  $p \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  et  $\text{ess inf}_{x \in \Omega} p(x) > 1$ , alors l'espace de Lebesgue généralisé  $(L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{p(\cdot)})$  est lisse ; (b) si  $p \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega})$  et  $p(x) > 1$ , pour tout  $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ , alors l'espace de Sobolev généralisé  $(W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{1,p(\cdot)})$  est lisse. Dans les deux cas, les formules de la dérivée au sens de Gâteaux de chaque norme des espaces ci-dessus sont données ; (c) si  $p \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega})$  et  $p(x) \geq 2$ , pour tout  $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ , alors  $(W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{1,p(\cdot)})$  est uniformément convexe et lisse. **Pour citer cet article :** G. Dinca, P. Matei, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 347 (2009).

© 2009 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## Version française abrégée

Le résultat principal de cette Note est le théorème suivant :

**Théorème 1.** Soit  $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^N$ ,  $N \geq 2$ , un domaine borné et régulier.

(a) Si  $p \in L^\infty_+(\Omega)$  et  $p^- > 1$ , alors  $(L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{p(\cdot)})$  est lisse. En tout  $u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ , la dérivée au sens de Gâteaux de la norme  $\|\cdot\|_{p(\cdot)}$  est donnée par :

E-mail addresses: [dinca@fmi.unibuc.ro](mailto:dinca@fmi.unibuc.ro) (G. Dinca), [pavel.matei@gmail.com](mailto:pavel.matei@gmail.com) (P. Matei).

$$\langle \| \cdot \|'_{p(\cdot)}(u), h \rangle = \frac{\int_{\Omega} p(x) \frac{|u(x)|^{p(x)-1} \operatorname{sgn} u(x) h(x) \, dx}{\|u\|_{p(\cdot)}^{p(x)}}}{\int_{\Omega} p(x) \frac{|u(x)|^{p(x)}}{\|u\|_{p(\cdot)}^{p(x)+1}} \, dx}, \quad \text{pour tout } h \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega).$$

(b) Si  $p \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega})$  et  $p(x) > 1$ , pour tout  $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ , alors  $(W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega), \| \cdot \|_{1,p(\cdot)})$  est lisse. En tout  $u \in W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ , la dérivée au sens de Gâteaux de la norme  $\| \cdot \|_{1,p(\cdot)}$  est donnée par :

$$\langle \| \cdot \|'_{1,p(\cdot)}(u), h \rangle = \frac{\int_{\Omega} p(x) \frac{|\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla h \, dx}{\|u\|_{1,p(\cdot)}^{p(x)}}}{\int_{\Omega} p(x) \frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)}}{\|u\|_{1,p(\cdot)}^{p(x)+1}} \, dx}, \quad \text{pour tout } h \in W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega).$$

(c) Si  $p \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega})$  et  $p(x) \geq 2$ , pour tout  $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ , alors  $(W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega), \| \cdot \|_{1,p(\cdot)})$  est uniformément convexe et lisse.

Les définitions des principales notions intervenant dans cet énoncé ainsi que les grandes lignes de la démonstration sont données dans la version anglaise ci-dessous. Pour les détails, voir [2].

### 1. Basic definitions

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^N$ ,  $N \geq 2$ , be a bounded and smooth domain. We take Lebesgue measure in  $\mathbf{R}^N$  and denote by

$$L_+^\infty(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in L^\infty(\Omega) \mid 1 \leq p^- = \operatorname{ess\,inf}_\Omega u \leq p^+ = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_\Omega u < \infty \right\}.$$

For  $p \in L_+^\infty(\Omega)$ , the generalized Lebesgue space  $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  is defined by

$$L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) = \left\{ u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \mid u \text{ measurable and } \rho_{p(\cdot)}(u) = \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{p(x)} \, dx < \infty \right\}$$

and it is endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{p(\cdot)} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 \mid \rho_{p(\cdot)}\left(\frac{u}{\lambda}\right) = \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{u(x)}{\lambda} \right|^{p(x)} \, dx \leq 1 \right\}, \quad \text{for all } u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega).$$

The generalized Sobolev space  $W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  is defined by

$$W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \mid |\nabla u| \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \right\}, \quad |\nabla u|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2$$

and it is endowed with the norm

$$\|u\| = \|u\|_{p(\cdot)} + \| |\nabla u| \|_{p(\cdot)}, \quad \text{for all } u \in W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega).$$

We define  $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  as the closure of  $\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Omega)$  in  $(W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega), \| \cdot \|)$ . If  $p \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega})$  and  $p(x) > 1$  for any  $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ , there is a constant  $c > 0$  such that (Poincaré's inequality)

$$\|u\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq c \| |\nabla u| \|_{p(\cdot)}, \quad \text{for all } u \in W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$$

holds and, from this, we infer that  $\|u\|$  and  $\|u\|_{1,p(\cdot)} = \| |\nabla u| \|_{p(\cdot)}$  are equivalent norms on  $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ .

For the basic properties of the above spaces, cf. Edmunds and Rákosník [3,4], Fan and Zhao [5], Kováčik and Rákosník [7]. In what follows,  $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  will be considered as endowed with the norm  $\| \cdot \|_{1,p(\cdot)}$  and we will often write  $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  instead of  $(W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega), \| \cdot \|_{1,p(\cdot)})$ .

**2. The main result**

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^N$ ,  $N \geq 2$ , be a bounded and smooth domain.*

(a) *If  $p \in L^\infty_+(\Omega)$  and  $p^- > 1$ , then  $(L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{p(\cdot)})$  is smooth.*

*At any  $u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ ,  $u \neq 0$ , the Gâteaux derivative of the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{p(\cdot)}$  is given by*

$$\langle \|\cdot\|_{p(\cdot)}'(u), h \rangle = \frac{\int_{\Omega} p(x) \frac{|u(x)|^{p(x)-1} \operatorname{sgn} u(x) h(x) \, dx}{\|u\|_{p(\cdot)}^{p(x)}}}{\int_{\Omega} p(x) \frac{|u(x)|^{p(x)}}{\|u\|_{p(\cdot)}^{p(x)+1}} \, dx}, \quad \text{for all } h \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega). \tag{1}$$

(b) *If  $p \in C(\bar{\Omega})$  and  $p(x) > 1$ , for all  $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ , then  $(W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{p(\cdot)})$  is smooth.*

*At any  $u \in W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ ,  $u \neq 0$ , the Gâteaux derivative of the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{p(\cdot)}$  is given by*

$$\langle \|\cdot\|_{p(\cdot)}'(u), h \rangle = \frac{\int_{\Omega} p(x) \frac{|\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla h \, dx}{\|u\|_{1,p(\cdot)}^{p(x)}}}{\int_{\Omega} p(x) \frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)}}{\|u\|_{1,p(\cdot)}^{p(x)+1}} \, dx}, \quad \text{for all } h \in W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega). \tag{2}$$

(c) *If  $p \in C(\bar{\Omega})$  and  $p(x) \geq 2$ , for all  $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ , then  $(W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{p(\cdot)})$  is uniformly convex and smooth.*

Next, we give the idea of the proof (for more details, see [2]).

(a) The key tools in proving (a) is the classical implicit function theorem and a basic property of the convex modular  $\rho_{p(\cdot)}$ .

Indeed, we have to prove that, for a given  $u_0 \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ ,  $u_0 \neq 0$ , and any  $h \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ , the function  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $t \rightarrow \|u_0 + th\|_{p(\cdot)}$  is differentiable at  $t = 0$ . Let  $k > 1$  be a fixed real number,  $D = (1, 1) \times (\frac{1}{k}\|u_0\|_{p(\cdot)}, k\|u_0\|_{p(\cdot)})$  and  $\Phi : D \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  defined by

$$\Phi(t, \lambda) = \rho_{p(\cdot)}\left(\frac{u_0 + th}{\lambda}\right) - 1 = \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_0(x) + th(x)|^{p(x)}}{\lambda^{p(x)}} \, dx - 1. \tag{3}$$

It may be shown that:

- (a)  $\Phi \in C^1(D)$ ;
- (b)  $\Phi(0, \|u_0\|_{p(\cdot)}) = 0$ ;
- (c)  $\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \lambda}(0, \|u_0\|_{p(\cdot)}) < 0$ .

Consequently, there exist neighborhoods  $U$  of 0 and  $V$  of  $\|u_0\|_{p(\cdot)}$  such that  $U \times V \subset D$  and a  $C^1$ -mapping  $\lambda : U \rightarrow V$  which satisfies:  $\lambda(0) = \|u_0\|_{p(\cdot)}$ ,  $\Phi(t, \lambda(t)) = 0$  for any  $t \in U$  and

$$\lambda'(t) = -\frac{\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t}(t, \lambda(t))}{\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \lambda}(t, \lambda(t))}, \quad \text{for all } t \in U. \tag{4}$$

Taking into account the definition of  $\Phi$  (see (3)),  $\Phi(t, \lambda(t)) = 0$  for any  $t \in U$  rewrites as

$$\rho_{p(\cdot)}\left(\frac{u_0 + th}{\lambda(t)}\right) = 1, \quad \text{for all } t \in U. \tag{5}$$

Since  $\|u\|_{p(\cdot)} = a > 0$  if and only if  $\rho_{p(\cdot)}(\frac{u}{a}) = 1$  (Fan and Zhao [5, Theorem 1.2]) we deduce from (5) that

$$\lambda(t) = \|u_0 + th\|_{p(\cdot)}, \quad \text{for all } t \in U. \tag{6}$$

By combining (4) and (6) we derive, in particular, that  $\lambda'(0)$  exists and

$$\lambda'(0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|u_0 + th\|_{p(\cdot)} - \|u_0\|_{p(\cdot)}}{t} = -\frac{\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t}(0, \|u_0\|_{p(\cdot)})}{\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \lambda}(0, \|u_0\|_{p(\cdot)})}, \tag{7}$$

i.e. the norm  $\| \cdot \|_{p(\cdot)}$  is Gâteaux differentiable at  $u_0$  and the Gâteaux derivative of this norm at  $u_0$  is given by

$$\langle \| \cdot \|_{p(\cdot)}'(u_0), h \rangle = -\frac{\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t}(0, \|u_0\|_{p(\cdot)})}{\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \lambda}(0, \|u_0\|_{p(\cdot)})}, \quad \text{for all } h \in W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega), \quad (8)$$

with  $\Phi$  given by (3).

Since a Banach space is smooth if and only if its norm is Gâteaux differentiable (Diestel [1, chapter 2, §1, Theorem 1]) we conclude on the smoothness of  $(L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega), \| \cdot \|_{p(\cdot)})$ .

In order to obtain formula (1) it is enough to compute explicitly the derivatives  $\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t}(0, \|u_0\|_{p(\cdot)})$  and  $\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \lambda}(0, \|u_0\|_{p(\cdot)})$  in (8).

The key point in so doing is to show that these derivatives may be computed by “differentiating under the integral sign”, i.e. at any  $(t, \lambda) \in D$ ,  $\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t}(t, \lambda) = \int_{\Omega} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{|u_0(x) + th(x)|^{p(x)}}{\lambda^{p(x)}} \right) \right] dx$  and a similar formula for  $\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \lambda}(t, \lambda)$ . In these computations the condition  $p^- > 1$  is essentially involved (see [2] for necessary details).

- (b) Since at any  $u \in W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ ,  $u \neq 0_{W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)} \Leftrightarrow |\nabla u| \neq 0_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)}$  the functional  $\Psi(u) = \|u\|_{1,p(\cdot)} = \| |\nabla u| \|_{p(\cdot)}$  may be written as a composed function  $\Psi(u) = Q(Pu)$  with

$$P: W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega), \quad Pu = |\nabla u|,$$

$$Q: L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}, \quad Qv = \|v\|_{p(\cdot)},$$

the differentiation chain rule gives us

$$\langle \| \cdot \|_{1,p(\cdot)}'(u), h \rangle = \langle Q'(Pu), P'(u)h \rangle = \langle \| \cdot \|_{p(\cdot)}'(|\nabla u|), P'(u)h \rangle, \quad \text{for all } h \in W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega).$$

Thus, by simple computation and using (1), formula (2) follows.

The following auxiliary results are needed for the proof of point (c):

**Proposition 1.** Let  $A(t) = \int_0^{|t|} a(s) ds$ ,  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  be an  $N$  function (cf. [8], p. 6) with  $\frac{a(s)}{s}$  non-decreasing on  $(0, \infty)$ . Let  $X$  be a real vector space and  $T: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  a bilinear symmetric and positive form. Then, for any  $f, g \in X$ , one has:

$$A(\sqrt{T(f, f)}) + A(\sqrt{T(g, g)}) \geq 2 \left[ A \left( \sqrt{T \left( \frac{f+g}{2}, \frac{f+g}{2} \right)} \right) + A \left( \sqrt{T \left( \frac{f-g}{2}, \frac{f-g}{2} \right)} \right) \right]. \quad (9)$$

This result is due to Gröger [6] (see also Langenbach [9, p. 153] and [2] for a simple proof).

**Proposition 2.** Let  $p \in L_+^\infty(\Omega)$ . Then one has:

- (a) For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a number  $\eta(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that if  $u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  satisfies  $\|u\|_{p(\cdot)} \geq \varepsilon$ , then  $\rho_{p(\cdot)}(u) \geq \eta$ ;  
 (b) For every  $\eta \in (0, 1)$  there exists a number  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  such that if  $u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$  satisfies  $\rho_{p(\cdot)}(u) \leq 1 - \eta$ , then  $\|u\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq 1 - \delta$ .

**Proof of point (b).** (Point (a) may be proven by using the same type of arguments.)

Assuming the contrary, a number  $\eta \in (0, 1)$  and a sequence  $(u_n) \subset L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $\rho_{p(\cdot)}(u_n) \leq 1 - \eta$  and  $\|u_n\| > 1 - \frac{1}{n}$  would exist.

From  $\rho_{p(\cdot)}(u_n) \leq 1 - \eta$  we derive (see [5, Theorem 1.3]) that  $\|u_n\|_{p(\cdot)} < 1$ .

Thus,  $\|u_n\| \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Since ([5, Theorem 1.3])  $\|u_n\|_{p(\cdot)}^{p^+} \leq \rho_{p(\cdot)}(u_n) \leq \|u_n\|_{p(\cdot)}^{p^-}$ , it follows that  $\rho_{p(\cdot)}(u_n) \rightarrow 1$ , in contradiction with  $\rho_{p(\cdot)}(u_n) \leq 1 - \eta$ .  $\square$

**Proof of point (c) in Theorem 1.** Let  $x \in \Omega$ . Consider the  $N$ -function  $A(t) = |t|^{p(x)}$ . Since  $a(s) = p(x)s^{p(x)-1}$  and  $p(x) \geq 2$ , it follows that  $\frac{a(s)}{s}$  is non-decreasing in  $(0, \infty)$ . Let  $T : W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be the bilinear form defined by  $T(f, g) = (\nabla f)(x) \cdot (\nabla g)(x)$ . Inequality (9) applies and we obtain:

$$|\nabla f(x)|^{p(x)} + |\nabla g(x)|^{p(x)} \geq 2 \left[ \left| \nabla \left( \frac{f+g}{2} \right)(x) \right|^{p(x)} + \left| \nabla \left( \frac{f-g}{2} \right)(x) \right|^{p(x)} \right].$$

By integrating over  $\Omega$  we get:

$$\rho_{p(\cdot)}(|\nabla f|) + \rho_{p(\cdot)}(|\nabla g|) \geq 2 \left[ \rho_{p(\cdot)} \left( \left| \nabla \left( \frac{f+g}{2} \right) \right| \right) + \rho_{p(\cdot)} \left( \left| \nabla \left( \frac{f-g}{2} \right) \right| \right) \right]. \quad (10)$$

Now, let  $\varepsilon \in (0, 2]$  be given and let  $f, g \in W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  be such that

$$\|f\|_{1,p(\cdot)} = \|\nabla f\|_{p(\cdot)} = 1, \quad (11)$$

$$\|g\|_{1,p(\cdot)} = \|\nabla g\|_{p(\cdot)} = 1, \quad (12)$$

$$\|f-g\|_{1,p(\cdot)} = \|\nabla(f-g)\|_{p(\cdot)} \geq \varepsilon \Leftrightarrow \left\| \nabla \left( \frac{f-g}{2} \right) \right\| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \quad (13)$$

From (11) and (12) we deduce (cf. [5, Theorem 1.2]) that  $\rho_{p(\cdot)}(|\nabla f|) = 1$ ,  $\rho_{p(\cdot)}(|\nabla g|) = 1$  while from (13) and Proposition 2(a) it follows that there exists  $\eta > 0$  such that  $\rho_{p(\cdot)}(|\nabla(\frac{f-g}{2})|) \geq \eta$  (clearly, we may assume  $\eta < 1$ ).

Consequently, from (10) it follows that  $\rho_{p(\cdot)}(|\nabla(\frac{f+g}{2})|) \leq 1 - \eta$  and from Proposition 2(b) we deduce the existence of a number  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\|\nabla(\frac{f+g}{2})\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq 1 - \delta$  which rewrites as  $\|\frac{f-g}{2}\|_{1,p(\cdot)} \leq 1 - \delta$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] J. Diestel, *Geometry of Banach Spaces – Selected Topics*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1975.
- [2] G. Dinca, P. Matei, *Geometry of Sobolev spaces with variable exponent and a generalization of the  $p$ -Laplacian*, Analysis and Applications, in press.
- [3] D.E. Edmunds, J. Rákosník, Sobolev embeddings with variable exponent, I, *Studia Mathematica* 143 (2000) 267–292.
- [4] D.E. Edmunds, J. Rákosník, Sobolev embeddings with variable exponent, II, *Math. Nachr.* 246–247 (2002) 53–67.
- [5] X.L. Fan, D. Zhao, On the spaces  $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$  and  $W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$ , *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 263 (2001) 424–446.
- [6] K. Gröger, Eine Verallgemeinerung der Sobolewschen Räume in unbeschränkten Gebieten, *Math. Nachr.* 32 (1966) 115–130.
- [7] O. Kováčik, J. Rákosník, On spaces  $L^{p(x)}$  and  $W^{k,p(x)}$ , *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 41 (1991) 592–618.
- [8] M.A. Krasnosel'skij, Ya.B. Rutickij, *Convex Functions and Orlicz Spaces*, Gröningen, Noordhoff, 1961.
- [9] A. Langenbach, *Monotone potential operatoren in theorie und anwendung*, Springer Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1976.