



Geometry

# On almost complex structures which are not compatible with symplectic forms <sup>☆</sup>

*Sur les structures presque complexes qui ne sont pas compatibles avec des formes symplectiques* <sup>☆</sup>

Luigi Vezzoni

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Torino, Via Carlo Alberto 10, 10123 Torino, Italy

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 22 April 2010

Accepted after revision 4 January 2011

Available online 4 March 2011

Presented by Jean-Pierre Demailly

## ABSTRACT

In this Note we prove that the underlying almost complex structure to a non-Kähler almost Hermitian structure admitting a compatible connection with skew-symmetric torsion cannot be calibrated by a symplectic form even locally.

© 2011 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## R É S U M É

Dans cette Note on démontre que la structure presque complexe sous-jacente à une structure presque hermitienne non kälérienne admettant une connexion compatible avec une torsion antisymétrique ne peut pas, même localement, être calibrée par une forme symplectique.

© 2011 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let  $M$  be an even dimensional (smooth) manifold. An almost complex structure on  $M$  is an endomorphism of the tangent bundle to  $M$  satisfying  $J^2 = -\text{Id}$ . Given an almost complex structure  $J$  we denote by  $N$  the associated Nijenhuis tensor

$$N(X, Y) = [JX, JY] - J[JX, Y] - J[X, JY] - [X, Y].$$

In view of the celebrated theorem of Newlander–Nirenberg (see [5]),  $N$  measures how  $J$  fails to be a genuine complex structure. A symplectic form  $\omega$  on  $M$  is called *compatible* with a given almost complex structure  $J$  if  $J$  preserves  $\omega$  and the tensor

$$g(\cdot, \cdot) := \omega(J \cdot, \cdot)$$

is a Riemannian metric on  $M$ . It is well known that any symplectic form  $\omega$  admits a compatible almost complex structure. The converse is far from being true even locally.

Using notation of [7], we consider the following:

<sup>☆</sup> This work was supported by the Project M.I.U.R. “Riemannian Metrics and Differentiable Manifolds” and by G.N.S.A.G.A. of I.N.d.A.M.

E-mail address: luigi.vezzoni@unito.it.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $J$  be an almost complex structure on  $M$  and let  $p \in M$ .  $J$  satisfies the *local symplectic property (l.s.p.)* at  $p$  if there exists a symplectic form  $\omega$  defined in some neighborhood of  $p$  which is compatible with  $J$ . We say that  $J$  satisfies the *l.s.p.* if it satisfies the *l.s.p.* everywhere. Finally, we say that  $J$  does not satisfy the *l.s.p.* if it does not satisfy the *l.s.p.* at any point of  $M$ .

In dimension 4 any almost complex structure satisfies the local symplectic property (see [8] at pages 175–176 and [6]), while in dimension greater than 4 things work differently. For instance, Bryant proved in [1] that the standard almost complex structure on  $S^6$  does not satisfy the local symplectic property and Tomassini described in [9] some explicit examples of almost complex structures on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  which do not satisfy the local symplectic property. Moreover, from [2,4], we have that the almost complex structure associated to a 6-dimensional strictly nearly Kähler structure does not satisfy the local symplectic property.

We recall that an almost Hermitian structure  $(g, J)$  is called *nearly Kähler* if the covariant derivative of  $J$  with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of  $g$  is a skew-symmetric tensor. If further the Nijenhuis tensor of  $J$  does not vanish everywhere, then  $(g, J)$  is called a *strictly nearly Kähler structure*. Nearly Kähler structures are naturally endowed by a Hermitian connection with skew-symmetric torsion. An affine connection  $\nabla$  on an almost Hermitian manifold  $(M, g, J)$  is called *Hermitian with skew-symmetric torsion* if  $\nabla$  preserves  $g, J$  and the tensor

$$\alpha(X, Y, Z) := g(T(X, Y), Z)$$

is skew-symmetric, where  $T$  denotes the torsion of  $\nabla$ . The aim of this Note is to prove the following:

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $(M, g, J)$  be an almost Hermitian manifold admitting a Hermitian connection with skew-symmetric torsion. Let  $p \in M$  such that  $N_p \neq 0$ . Then  $J$  does not satisfy the local symplectic property at  $p$ .*

**2. Proof of the result**

Let  $(M, g, J)$  be an almost Hermitian manifold. In view of a result of Friedrich and Ivanov (see [3]),  $(M, g, J)$  admits a Hermitian connection with skew-symmetric torsion if and only if

$$\gamma(X, Y, Z) := g(N(X, Y), Z)$$

is skew-symmetric, where  $N$  is the Nijenhuis tensor of  $J$ . The almost complex structure  $J$  induces the canonical splitting  $TM \otimes \mathbb{C} = T^{1,0}M \oplus T^{0,1}M$ . It is well known that

$$N(Z_i, Z_r) = [Z_i, Z_r]^{0,1} \in T^{0,1}M, \quad N(Z_i, \bar{Z}_r) = 0, \tag{1}$$

for every  $Z_i, Z_r \in T^{1,0}M$ , where we set  $\bar{Z}_r = Z_{\bar{r}}$ . This yields the following:

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $(g, J)$  be an almost Hermitian structure, then*

$$g(N(X, Y), Z) = g(N(X^{1,0}, Y^{1,0}), Z^{1,0}) + g(N(X^{0,1}, Y^{0,1}), Z^{0,1})$$

for every  $X, Y, Z \in TM \otimes \mathbb{C}$ .

Lemma 2.1 implies that if  $\gamma$  is skew-symmetric, then its complex extension defines a  $(3, 0)$ -form  $\tilde{\gamma}$  on  $M$ , after identifying  $T^{1,0}M$  with  $TM$ .

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.2.

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Since the result is local, we may assume that  $M$  is  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  and that  $p = 0$ . Using (1) we get that the  $(3, 0)$ -form  $\gamma$  associated to  $(g, J)$  can be written in terms of bracket as

$$\gamma(Z_1, Z_2, Z_3) = g([Z_1, Z_2], Z_3)$$

for  $Z_1, Z_2, Z_3 \in T^{1,0}M$ . Assume that there exists a  $J$ -compatible symplectic form  $\omega$  defined in some neighborhood  $U$  of  $p$  and let  $h$  be the associated almost Kähler metric. We may assume that  $\omega$  is the standard symplectic form on  $U$ . Let  $A$  be a (constant) matrix such that  $h_p(A \cdot, A \cdot) = g_p(\cdot, \cdot)$ . Then  $g'(\cdot, \cdot) = h(A \cdot, A \cdot)$  is a metric near  $p$  such that  $g'_p = g_p$ . Now  $g'$  is compatible with the almost complex structure  $J' = A^{-1}JA$  and  $\omega' = g'(J' \cdot, \cdot)$  is a non-degenerate 2-form. Since the components of  $A$  are constant,  $\omega'$  is closed and the pair  $(g', J')$  is an almost Kähler structure near  $p$ . Let  $\{Z_r\}$  be a (local) frame of type  $(1, 0)$  with respect to  $J'$ ; then  $\{AZ_r\}$  is a frame of type  $(1, 0)$  with respect to  $J$  near  $p$ . Writing  $AZ_r = A_r^s Z_s + A_r^{\bar{k}} Z_{\bar{k}}$  and using Lemma 2.1 we have at  $p$

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_p(AZ_r, AZ_l, AZ_i) &= g_p(N_p(AZ_r, AZ_l), AZ_i) \\
&= g_p(N_p(A_r^s Z_s, A_l^t Z_t), A_i^u Z_u) + g_p(N_p(A_r^{\bar{d}} Z_{\bar{d}}, A_l^{\bar{o}} Z_{\bar{o}}), A_i^{\bar{q}} Z_{\bar{q}}) \\
&= A_r^s A_l^t A_i^u g'_p([Z_s, Z_t]_p, Z_u) + A_r^{\bar{d}} A_l^{\bar{o}} A_i^{\bar{q}} g'_p([Z_{\bar{d}}, Z_{\bar{o}}]_p, Z_{\bar{q}}),
\end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\gamma_p(AZ_r, AZ_l, AZ_i) = A_r^s A_l^t A_i^u \gamma'_p(Z_s, Z_t, Z_u) + A_r^{\bar{d}} A_l^{\bar{o}} A_i^{\bar{q}} \gamma'_p(Z_{\bar{d}}, Z_{\bar{o}}, Z_{\bar{q}}) \quad (2)$$

where  $N'$  is the Nijenhuis tensor of  $J'$  and  $\gamma'(X, Y, Z) = g'(N'(X, Y), Z)$ . Since  $g'$  is an almost Kähler metric,  $\gamma'$  satisfies

$$\gamma'(X, Y, Z) + \gamma'(Z, X, Y) + \gamma'(Y, Z, X) = 0.$$

Hence (2) implies that  $\gamma$  at  $p$  satisfies

$$\gamma_p(X, Y, Z) + \gamma_p(Z, X, Y) + \gamma_p(Y, Z, X) = 0.$$

Since  $\gamma_p$  is skew-symmetric, this last equation readily implies  $N_p = 0$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Remark 2.2.** Note that, as was just observed in dimension 6 in [4], the proof of the above theorem also shows that does not exist a  $J$ -compatible almost Hermitian metric  $g'$  defined in a neighborhood of  $p$  whose fundamental form  $\omega$  satisfies  $(d\omega)^{3,0} = 0$ .

## References

- [1] R.L. Bryant, On the geometry of almost complex 6-manifolds, *Asian J. Math.* 10 (3) (2006) 561–605.
- [2] R.L. Bryant, Submanifolds and special structures on the octonions, *J. Differential Geom.* 17 (2) (1982) 185–232.
- [3] Th. Friedrich, S. Ivanov, Parallel spinors and connections with skew-symmetric torsion in string theory, *Asian J. Math.* 6 (2002) 303–335.
- [4] M. Lejmi, Strictly nearly Kähler 6-manifolds are not compatible with symplectic forms, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I* 343 (11–12) (2006) 759–762.
- [5] A. Newlander, L. Nirenberg, Complex analytic coordinates in almost complex manifolds, *Ann. Math. (2)* 65 (1957) 391–404.
- [6] T. Rivièrè, G. Tian, The singular set of  $J$ -holomorphic maps into projective algebraic varieties, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 570 (2004) 47–87.
- [7] T. Rivièrè, G. Tian, The singular set of 1 – 1 integral currents, *Ann. Math. (2)* 169 (3) (2009) 741–794.
- [8] J.-C. Sikorav, in: F. Audin, et al. (Eds.), *Holomorphic Curves in Symplectic Geometry*, in: *Progress in Math.*, vol. 117, Birkhäuser, 1994.
- [9] A. Tomassini, Some examples of non calibrable almost complex structures, *Forum Math.* 14 (2002) 869–876.