



Number Theory

Specialization of monodromy group and ℓ -independence*Spécialisation du groupe de monodromie et ℓ -indépendance*

Chun Yin Hui

Department of Mathematics, Indiana University, Rawles Hall, 831 E 3rd Street, Bloomington, IN 47405, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 13 November 2011

Accepted after revision 21 December 2011

Available online 5 January 2012

Presented by Jean-Pierre Serre

ABSTRACT

Let E be an abelian scheme over a geometrically connected, smooth variety X defined over k , a finitely generated field over \mathbb{Q} . Let η be the generic point of X and $x \in X$ a closed point. If \mathfrak{g}_ℓ and $(\mathfrak{g}_\ell)_x$ are the Lie algebras of the ℓ -adic Galois representations for abelian varieties E_η and E_x , then $(\mathfrak{g}_\ell)_x$ is embedded in \mathfrak{g}_ℓ by specialization. We prove that the set $\{x \in X \text{ closed point} \mid (\mathfrak{g}_\ell)_x \subsetneq \mathfrak{g}_\ell\}$ is independent of ℓ and confirm Conjecture 5.5 in Cadoret and Tamagawa [3].

© 2011 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

R É S U M É

Soit E un schéma abélien sur une variété lisse et géométriquement connexe X , définie sur un corps k de type fini sur \mathbb{Q} . Soit η le point générique de X et soit $x \in X$ un point fermé. Si \mathfrak{g}_ℓ et $(\mathfrak{g}_\ell)_x$ sont les algèbres de Lie des représentations ℓ -adiques de Galois des variétés abéliennes E_η et E_x , alors $(\mathfrak{g}_\ell)_x$ est plongée dans \mathfrak{g}_ℓ par spécialisation. Nous démontrons que l'ensemble $\{x \in X \text{ point fermé} \mid (\mathfrak{g}_\ell)_x \subsetneq \mathfrak{g}_\ell\}$ est indépendant de ℓ , ce qui confirme la Conjecture 5.5 de Cadoret et Tamagawa [3].

© 2011 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Let E be an abelian scheme of relative dimension n over a geometrically connected, smooth variety X defined over k , a finitely generated field over \mathbb{Q} . If K is the function field of X and η is the generic point of X , then $A := E_\eta$ is an abelian variety of dimension n defined over K . The structure morphism $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$ induces at the level of étale fundamental groups a short exact sequence of profinite groups:

$$1 \rightarrow \pi_1(X_{\bar{k}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \Gamma_k := \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow 1. \quad (1)$$

Any closed point $x : \text{Spec}(\mathbf{k}(x)) \rightarrow X$ induces a splitting $x : \Gamma_{\mathbf{k}(x)} \rightarrow \pi_1(X_{\mathbf{k}(x)})$ of exact sequence (1) for $\pi_1(X_{\mathbf{k}(x)})$.

Let $\Gamma_K = \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ the absolute Galois group of K . For each prime number ℓ , we have the Galois representation $\rho_\ell : \Gamma_K \rightarrow \text{GL}(T_\ell(A))$ where $T_\ell(A)$ is the ℓ -adic Tate module of A . This representation is unramified over X and factors through $\rho_\ell : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \text{GL}(T_\ell(A))$ (still denote the map by ρ_ℓ for simplicity). The image of ρ_ℓ is a compact ℓ -adic Lie subgroup of $\text{GL}(T_\ell(A)) \cong \text{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$. Any closed point $x : \text{Spec}(\mathbf{k}(x)) \rightarrow X$ induces an ℓ -adic Galois representation of $\Gamma_{\mathbf{k}(x)}$ by restricting ρ_ℓ to $x(\Gamma_{\mathbf{k}(x)})$. This representation is isomorphic to the Galois representation of $\Gamma_{\mathbf{k}(x)}$ on the ℓ -adic Tate module of E_x , the abelian variety over $\mathbf{k}(x)$ that is the specialization of E at x .

E-mail address: chhui@umail.iu.edu.

For the sake of simplicity, we write $G_\ell := \rho_\ell(\pi_1(X))$, $\mathfrak{g}_\ell := \text{Lie}(G_\ell)$, $(G_\ell)_x := \rho_\ell(x(\Gamma_{\mathbf{k}(x)}))$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_\ell)_x := \text{Lie}((G_\ell)_x)$. We have $(\mathfrak{g}_\ell)_x \subset \mathfrak{g}_\ell$. We set X^{cl} the set of closed points of X and define the exceptional set

$$X_{\rho_{E,\ell}} := \{x \in X^{cl} \mid (\mathfrak{g}_\ell)_x \subsetneq \mathfrak{g}_\ell\}.$$

The main result (Theorem 2.5) of this note is that the exceptional set $X_{\rho_{E,\ell}}$ is independent of ℓ . Conjecture 5.5 in Cadoret and Tamagawa [3] is then a direct application of our theorem.

2. ℓ -independence of $X_{\rho_{E,\ell}}$

Theorem 2.1. (See Serre [6], §1.) Let A be an abelian variety defined over a field K finitely generated over \mathbb{Q} and let $\Gamma_K = \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$. If $\rho_\ell : \Gamma_K \rightarrow \text{GL}(T_\ell(A))$ is the ℓ -adic representation of Γ_K , then the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_ℓ of $\rho_\ell(\Gamma_K)$ is algebraic and the rank of \mathfrak{g}_ℓ is independent of the prime ℓ .

Remark 2.2. When K is a number field, the algebraicity of the ℓ -adic Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_ℓ was proven by Bogomolov [1]. When K is a global field of finite characteristic > 2 , the rank independence on ℓ was proven by Zarhin [7].

Since $V_\ell := T_\ell(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ is a semisimple Γ_K -module (Faltings [4]), the action on V_ℓ of the Zariski closure \mathfrak{G}_ℓ of $\rho_\ell(\Gamma_K)$ in GL_{V_ℓ} is also semisimple. Therefore \mathfrak{G}_ℓ is a reductive algebraic group (Borel [2]). By Theorem 2.1, \mathfrak{g}_ℓ is algebraic. So the rank of \mathfrak{g}_ℓ is just the dimension of maximal tori in \mathfrak{G}_ℓ . We need two more theorems, the first one is the Tate conjecture for abelian varieties proved by G. Faltings and the second one is a result of Yu.G. Zarhin on algebraic reductive Lie algebras.

Theorem 2.3. (See Faltings [4].) Let A be an abelian variety defined over a field k that is finitely generated over \mathbb{Q} and let $\Gamma_k = \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$. Then the map $\text{End}_k(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell \rightarrow \text{End}_{\Gamma_k}(V_\ell(A))$ is an isomorphism.

Theorem 2.4. (See Zarhin [8], §5.) Let V be a finite dimensional vector space over a field of characteristic 0. Let $\mathfrak{g}_1 \subset \mathfrak{g}_2 \subset \text{End}(V)$ be Lie algebras of reductive subgroups of GL_V . Let us assume that the centralizers of \mathfrak{g}_1 and \mathfrak{g}_2 in $\text{End}(V)$ are equal and that the ranks of \mathfrak{g}_1 and \mathfrak{g}_2 are equal. Then $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{g}_2$.

We are now able to prove our main theorem:

Theorem 2.5. The set $X_{\rho_{E,\ell}}$ is independent of ℓ .

Proof. Suppose $x \in X^{cl} \setminus X_{\rho_{E,\ell}}$, then $(\mathfrak{g}_\ell)_x = \mathfrak{g}_\ell$. It suffices to show $\mathfrak{g}_{\ell'} = (\mathfrak{g}_{\ell'})_x := \text{Lie}(\rho_{\ell'}(x(\Gamma_{\mathbf{k}(x)})))$ for any prime number ℓ' . Since base change with finite field extension of $\mathbf{k}(x)$ does not change the Lie algebras, $\text{End}_{\bar{k}}(E_x)$ is finitely generated, and we have the exponential map from Lie algebras to Lie groups, we may assume that $\text{End}_{\bar{k}}(E_x) = \text{End}_k(E_x)$ and $\text{End}_{\Gamma_k}(V_\ell(E_x)) = \text{End}_{(\mathfrak{g}_\ell)_x}(V_\ell(E_x))$. We do the same for the abelian variety E_η/K . We therefore have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell'}}(\text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}_{\ell'}}(V_{\ell'}(E_\eta))) &\stackrel{1}{=} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell'}}(\text{End}_K(E_\eta) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_{\ell'}) \\ &\stackrel{2}{=} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(\text{End}_K(E_\eta) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \stackrel{3}{=} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(\text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}_\ell}(V_\ell(E_\eta))) \\ &\stackrel{4}{=} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(\text{End}_{(\mathfrak{g}_\ell)_x}(V_\ell(E_x))) \stackrel{5}{=} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(\text{End}_k(E_x) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \\ &\stackrel{6}{=} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell'}}(\text{End}_k(E_x) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_{\ell'}) \stackrel{7}{=} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell'}}(\text{End}_{(\mathfrak{g}_{\ell'})_x}(V_{\ell'}(E_x))). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.3 implies the first, third, fifth and seventh equalities. The dimensions of \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -vector spaces $\text{End}_K(E_\eta) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ and $\text{End}_k(E_x) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ do not depend on ℓ ; this implies the second and the sixth equalities. Equality $\mathfrak{g}_\ell = (\mathfrak{g}_\ell)_x$ implies the fourth equality.

We have $\text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}_{\ell'}}(V_{\ell'}(E_\eta)) = \text{End}_{(\mathfrak{g}_{\ell'})_x}(V_{\ell'}(E_x))$ because the left one is contained in the right one. In other words, the centralizer of $(\mathfrak{g}_{\ell'})_x$ is equal to the centralizer of $\mathfrak{g}_{\ell'}$. We know that $(\mathfrak{g}_{\ell'})_x \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\ell'}$ are both reductive, thanks to the semisimplicity of the corresponding Galois representations (Faltings [4]). By Theorem 2.1 on ℓ -independence of reductive ranks and equality $\mathfrak{g}_\ell = (\mathfrak{g}_\ell)_x$,

$$\text{rank}(\mathfrak{g}_{\ell'}) = \text{rank}(\mathfrak{g}_\ell) = \text{rank}(\mathfrak{g}_\ell)_x = \text{rank}(\mathfrak{g}_{\ell'})_x.$$

Therefore, by Theorem 2.4 we conclude that $(\mathfrak{g}_{\ell'})_x = \mathfrak{g}_{\ell'}$ and thus prove the theorem. \square

Corollary 2.6. (Conjecture 5.5 of [3].) Let k be a field that is finitely generated over \mathbb{Q} , X a smooth, separated, geometrically connected curve over k with the field of rational function K . Let η be the generic point of X and E an abelian scheme over X . Let $\rho_\ell : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \text{GL}(T_\ell(E_\eta))$ be the corresponding ℓ -adic representation. Then there exists a finite subset $X_E \subset X(k)$ such that for any prime ℓ , $X_{\rho_{E,\ell}} = X_E$, where $X_{\rho_{E,\ell}}$ is the set of all $x \in X(k)$ such that $(G_\ell)_x$ is not open in $G_\ell := \rho_\ell(\pi_1(X))$.

Proof. The uniform open image theorem for GLP (geometrically Lie perfect) representations ([3], Thm. 1.1) implies the finiteness of $X_{\rho_{E,\ell}}$. Theorem 2.5 implies ℓ -independence. \square

Corollary 2.7. *Let A be an abelian variety of dimension $n \geq 1$ defined over a field K that is finitely generated over \mathbb{Q} . Let $\Gamma_K = \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ denote the absolute Galois group of K . For each prime number ℓ , we have the Galois representation $\rho_\ell : \Gamma_K \rightarrow \text{GL}(T_\ell(A))$ where $T_\ell(A)$ is the ℓ -adic Tate module of A . If the Mumford–Tate conjecture for abelian varieties over number fields is true, then there is an algebraic subgroup H of GL_{2n} defined over \mathbb{Q} such that the identity component of the Zariski closure of $\rho_\ell(\Gamma_K)$ in $\text{GL}_{2n}(V_\ell(A))$ is equal to $H \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ for all ℓ .*

Proof. There exists an abelian scheme E over a variety X defined over a number field k such that the function field of X is K and $E_\eta = A$ where η is the generic point of X (see, e.g., Milne [5], §20). By [6], §1, there exists a closed point $x \in X$ such that $(\mathfrak{g}_\ell)_x = \mathfrak{g}_\ell$. Therefore, we have $(\mathfrak{g}_\ell)_x = \mathfrak{g}_\ell$ for any prime ℓ by Theorem 2.5. Since all Lie algebras are algebraic (Theorem 2.1), if we take H as the Mumford–Tate group of E_x , then the identity component of the Zariski closure of $\rho_\ell(\Gamma_K)$ in $\text{GL}_{2n}(V_\ell(A))$ is equal to $H \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ for all ℓ . \square

Question. Is the algebraic group H in Corollary 2.7 isomorphic to the Mumford–Tate group of the abelian variety A ?

Acknowledgements

This work grew out of an attempt to prove Conjecture 5.5 in [3] suggested by my advisor, Professor Michael Larsen. I am grateful to him for the suggestion, guidance and encouragement. I would also like to thank Professor Anna Cadoret for her useful comments on an earlier version of this note. Remark 2.2 was suggested to us by the referee.

References

- [1] F.A. Bogomolov, Sur l'algébricité des représentations ℓ -adiques, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 290 (1980) 701–703.
- [2] A. Borel, Linear Algebraic Groups, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 126, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, 1991.
- [3] A. Cadoret, A. Tamagawa, A uniform open image theorem for ℓ -adic representations I, preprint.
- [4] G. Faltings, Complements to Mordell, in: G. Faltings, G. Wüstholz (Eds.), Rational Points, Seminar Bonn/Wuppertal, 1983–1984, Vieweg, 1984, Chapter 6.
- [5] J.S. Milne, Jacobian varieties, in: Arithmetic Geometry, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.
- [6] J.-P. Serre, Letter to K.A. Ribet, Jan. 1, 1981, reproduced in Collected Papers, vol. IV, no. 133.
- [7] Yu.G. Zarhin, Abelian varieties, ℓ -adic representations and Lie algebras. Rank independence on ℓ , Invent. Math. 55 (1979) 165–176.
- [8] Yu.G. Zarhin, Abelian varieties having a reduction of K3 type, Duke Math. J. 65 (1992) 511–527.