



## Complex Analysis

## A comparison principle for the log canonical threshold

*Un principe de comparaison pour le seuil log-canonique*Phạm Hoàng Hiệp<sup>1</sup>

Department of Mathematics, National University of Education, 136-Xuan Thuy, Cau Giay, Hanoi, Viet Nam

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 27 June 2013

Accepted 28 June 2013

Available online 29 July 2013

Presented by Jean-Pierre Demailly

## ABSTRACT

In this note, we prove a comparison principle for the log canonical threshold of plurisubharmonic functions.

© 2013 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## R É S U M É

Dans cette note, nous démontrons un principe de comparaison pour le seuil log-canonique des fonctions plurisousharmoniques.

© 2013 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\Omega$  be a domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and  $\varphi \in \text{PSH}(\Omega)$ . Following Demailly and Kollár [5], we introduce the log canonical threshold of  $\varphi$  at 0:

$$c_\varphi(0) = \sup\{c > 0: e^{-2c\varphi} \text{ is } L^1 \text{ on a neighborhood of } 0\}.$$

It is an invariant of the singularity of  $\varphi$  at 0. We refer to [3,2,4–6,8] for further information about this number.

The main result is the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and  $\{\Omega_j\}_{j \geq 1}$  be a sequence of smooth domains such that  $\Omega \ni \Omega_1 \ni \Omega_2 \ni \dots$  and  $\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \Omega_j = \{0\}$ . Assume that  $u, v \in \text{PSH}(\Omega)$ . If  $u \geq v$  on  $\partial\Omega_j$  for all  $j \geq 1$ , then  $c_u(0) \geq c_v(0)$ .*

## 2. Proof of the main result

First, we need the following lemma which follows from Proposition 1.5 and Theorem 4.2 in [5]:

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $u \in \text{PSH}^-(\Omega)$ . Then*

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} c_{\max(u, j \log \|z\|)}(0) = c_u(0).$$

E-mail address: [phhiep\\_vn@yahoo.com](mailto:phhiep_vn@yahoo.com).

<sup>1</sup> Current address: LATP, CMI, Université de Provence, 39, rue Frédéric-Joliot-Curie, 13453 Marseille cedex 13, France.

**Proof.** We include a detailed proof for the reader's convenience. In a first step, we prove the lemma in the case  $u = \max(\log |f_1|, \dots, \log |f_N|)$ , where  $f_1, \dots, f_N$  are germs of holomorphic functions at 0. Without loss of the generality, we can assume that the degrees of  $f_1, \dots, f_N$  are large enough. By Proposition 1.5 in [5], there exist  $a_1, \dots, a_N, b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathbb{C}$  such that:

$$c_{\max(u, j \log \|z\|)}(0) = c_{\log |a_1 f_1 + \dots + a_N f_N + b_1 z_1^j + \dots + b_n z_n^j|}(0).$$

On the other hand, by Theorem 2.9 in [5], we have:

$$\left| c_{\log |a_1 f_1 + \dots + a_N f_N + b_1 z_1^j + \dots + b_n z_n^j|}(0) - c_{\log |a_1 f_1 + \dots + a_N f_N|}(0) \right| \leq c_{\log |b_1 z_1^j + \dots + b_n z_n^j|}(0) = \frac{n}{j}.$$

Therefore

$$c_{\max(u, j \log \|z\|)}(0) \leq c_{\log |a_1 f_1 + \dots + a_N f_N|}(0) + \frac{n}{j} \leq c_u(0) + \frac{n}{j}.$$

This implies that:

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} c_{\max(u, j \log \|z\|)}(0) = c_u(0).$$

The final step consists of reducing the proof of the lemma to the case  $u = \log(|f_1|^2 + \dots + |f_N|^2)$ , with  $f_1, \dots, f_N$  are germs of holomorphic functions at 0. Let  $\mathcal{H}_{mu}(\Omega)$  be the Hilbert space of holomorphic functions  $f$  on  $\Omega$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |f|^2 e^{-2mu} dV < +\infty,$$

and let  $\psi_m = \frac{1}{2m} \log \sum |g_{m,k}|^2$  where  $\{g_{m,k}\}_{k \geq 1}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{H}_{mu}(\Omega)$ . By Theorem 4.2 in [5], there are constants  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  independent of  $m$  such that:

$$u(z) - \frac{C_1}{m} \leq \psi_m(z) \leq \sup_{|\zeta - z| < r} u(\zeta) + \frac{1}{m} \log \frac{C_2}{r^n} \tag{1}$$

for every  $z \in \Omega$  and  $r < d(z, \partial\Omega)$  and

$$\frac{1}{c_u} - \frac{1}{m} \leq \frac{1}{c_{\psi_m}} \leq \frac{1}{c_u}. \tag{2}$$

Since  $\max(u, j \log |z|) \leq \max(\psi_m, j \log |z|) + \frac{C_1}{m}$ , we have:

$$c_{\max(u, j \log \|z\|)}(0) \leq c_{\max(\psi_m, j \log |z|)}(0), \quad \forall j, m \geq 1.$$

Letting  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , by the first step, we get:

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} c_{\max(u, j \log \|z\|)}(0) \leq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} c_{\max(\psi_m, j \log |z|)}(0) = c_{\psi_m}(0), \quad \forall m \geq 1. \tag{3}$$

Thanks to (1) and (3), we obtain:

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} c_{\max(u, j \log \|z\|)}(0) = c_u(0). \quad \square$$

### 2.1. Proof of the main theorem

Without loss of the generality, we can assume that  $\Omega$  is the unit ball. By Lemma 2.1, we only have to prove the main theorem in the case  $u, v \in \text{PSH} \cap L_{loc}^{\infty}(\Omega \setminus \{0\})$  and  $u|_{\partial\Omega} = v|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ . For each  $j \geq 1$ , we set:

$$\tilde{u}_j = \sup\{\varphi \in \text{PSH}^-(\Omega) : \varphi \leq u \text{ on } \Omega_j\}.$$

Then  $\tilde{u}_j \geq u$  on  $\Omega$ ,  $\tilde{u}_j = u$  on  $\bar{\Omega}_j$  and  $\tilde{u}_j \leq \tilde{u}_{j+1}$  on  $\Omega$ . Set  $\tilde{u} = (\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{u}_j)^* \in \text{PSH}^- \cap L_{loc}^{\infty}(\Omega \setminus \{0\})$ . By Kolodziej's theorem [7], there exists  $\phi_j \in \text{PSH}^- \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  such that  $\phi_j|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$  and  $(dd^c \phi_j)^n = 1_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_{j+1}} (dd^c u)^n$ , where  $1_E$  is the characterization function of  $E$ . By the comparison principle, we get  $\phi_j \searrow \phi$  on  $\Omega$  with  $(dd^c \phi)^n = 1_{\Omega \setminus \{0\}} (dd^c u)^n$ . Using the comparison principle for  $\tilde{u}_j + \phi_j, u$  on the set  $\Omega \setminus \Omega_{j+1}$ , it follows that  $\tilde{u}_j + \phi_j \leq u$  on  $\Omega \setminus \Omega_{j+1}$ . Hence  $\tilde{u} + \phi \leq u$ . On the other hand, from Corollary 5.7 in [1] and from  $\int_{\{0\}} (dd^c \phi)^n = 0$ , we get  $c_{\phi}(0) = 0$ . Thus by the Hölder inequality, we obtain  $c_u(0) = c_{\tilde{u}}(0)$ .

Similarly, we set:

$$\tilde{v}_j = \sup\{\varphi \in \text{PSH}^-(\Omega): \varphi \leq v \text{ on } \Omega_j\},$$

and

$$\tilde{v} = \left( \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{v}_j \right)^*.$$

We will prove that  $\tilde{u} \geq \tilde{v}$ . Indeed, set  $w_j = \tilde{u}_j$  if  $z \in \overline{\Omega}_j$  and  $w_j = \max(\tilde{u}_j, \tilde{v}_j)$  if  $z \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_j$ . We have  $w_j \in \text{PSH}^-(\Omega)$  and  $w_j = u$  on  $\Omega_j$ . By the definition of  $\tilde{u}_j$  we get  $\tilde{u}_j \geq w_j$ . Hence  $\tilde{u}_j \geq \tilde{v}_j$  on  $\Omega \setminus \Omega_j$ . Letting  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , we get  $\tilde{u} \geq \tilde{v}$ . This implies that  $c_u(0) \geq c_v(0)$ .

### Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to Professor Jean-Pierre Demailly for valuable comments. The research was done while the author was supported by the ANR project MNGNK, decision No. ANR-10-BLAN-0118. He would like to thank Professor Andrei Teleman and the members of the LAMP, CMI, Université de Provence, Marseille, France, for their kind hospitality.

### References

- [1] U. Cegrell, The general definition of the complex Monge–Ampère operator, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 54 (2004) 159–179.
- [2] T. de Fernex, L. Ein, M. Mustață, Bounds for log canonical thresholds with applications to birational rigidity, *Math. Res. Lett.* 10 (2003) 219–236.
- [3] J.-P. Demailly, Monge–Ampère operators, Lelong numbers and intersection theory, in: V. Ancona, A. Silva (Eds.), *Complex Analysis and Geometry*, in: *Univ. Series in Math.*, Plenum Press, New York, 1993.
- [4] J.-P. Demailly, Phạm Hoàng Hiệp, A sharp lower bound for the log canonical threshold, *Acta Math.* (2013), in press, arXiv:1201.4086v1.
- [5] J.-P. Demailly, J. Kollár, Semi-continuity of complex singularity exponents and Kähler–Einstein metrics on Fano orbifolds, *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Super.* (4) 34 (2001) 525–556.
- [6] C.O. Kiselman, Attenuating the singularities of plurisubharmonic functions, *Ann. Pol. Math.* 60 (1994) 173–197.
- [7] S. Kołodziej, The range of the complex Monge–Ampère operator, II, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 44 (1995) 765–782.
- [8] H. Skoda, Sous-ensembles analytiques d'ordre fini ou infini dans  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , *Bull. Soc. Math. Fr.* 100 (1972) 353–408.