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#### Abstract

Let $G$ be a split simple group of type $G_{2}$ over a field $k$, and let $\mathfrak{g}$ be its Lie algebra. Answering a question of J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, B. Kunyavskiī, V.L. Popov, and Z. Reichstein, we show that the function field $k(\mathfrak{g})$ is generated by algebraically independent elements over the field of adjoint invariants $k(\mathfrak{g})^{G}$.
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## R É S U M É

Soit $G$ un groupe algébrique simple et déployé de type $G_{2}$ sur un corps $k$. Soit $\mathfrak{g}$ son algèbre de Lie. On démontre que le corps des fonctions $k(\mathfrak{g})$ est transcendant pur sur le corps $k(\mathfrak{g})^{G}$ des invariants adjoints. Ceci répond par l'affirmative à une question posée par J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, B. Kunyavskiĭ, V.L. Popov et Z. Reichstein.
© 2013 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Let $G$ be a split connected reductive group over a field $k$ and let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of $G$. We will be interested in the following natural question:

Question 1. Is the function field $k(\mathfrak{g})$ purely transcendental over the field of invariants $k(\mathfrak{g})^{G}$ for the adjoint action of $G$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ ? That is, can $k(\mathfrak{g})$ be generated over $k(\mathfrak{g})^{G}$ by algebraically independent elements?

In [5], the authors reduce this question to the case where $G$ is simple, and show that in the case of simple groups, the answer is affirmative for split groups of types $A_{n}$ and $C_{n}$, and negative for all other types except possibly for $G_{2}$. The standing assumption in [5] is that $\operatorname{char}(k)=0$, but here we work in arbitrary characteristic.

The purpose of this note is to settle Question 1 for the remaining case $G=G_{2}$.

[^0]Theorem 2. Let $k$ be an arbitrary field and $G$ be the simple split $k$-group of type $G_{2}$. Then $k(\mathfrak{g})$ is purely transcendental over $k(\mathfrak{g})^{G}$.

Under the same hypothesis, and also assuming $\operatorname{char}(k)=0$, it follows from Theorem 2 and [5, Theorem 4.10] that the field extension $k(G) / k(G)^{G}$ is also purely transcendental, where $G$ acts on itself by conjugation.

Apart from settling the last case left open in [5], we were motivated by the (still mysterious) connection between Question 1 and the Gelfand-Kirillov (GK) conjecture [9]. In this context, char $(k)=0$. A. Premet [11] recently showed that the GK conjecture fails for simple Lie algebras of any type other than $A_{n}, C_{n}$ and $G_{2}$. His paper relies on the negative results of [5] and their characteristic $p$ analogues ([11], see also [5, Theorem 6.3]). It is not known whether a positive answer to Question 1 for $\mathfrak{g}$ implies the GK conjecture for $\mathfrak{g}$. The GK conjecture has been proved for algebras of type $A_{n}$ (see [9]), but remains open for types $C_{n}$ and $G_{2}$. While Theorem 2 does not settle the GK conjecture for type $G_{2}$, it puts the remaining two open cases-for algebras of type $C_{n}$ and $G_{2}$-on equal footing vis-à-vis Question 1.

## 2. Twisting

Temporarily, let $W$ be a linear algebraic group over a field $k$. (In the sequel, $W$ will be the Weyl group of $G$; in particular, it will be finite and smooth.) We refer to [7, Section 3], [8, Section 2], or [5, Section 2] for details about the following facts.

Let $X$ be a quasi-projective variety with a (right) $W$-action defined over $k$, and let $\zeta$ be a (left) $W$-torsor over $k$. The diagonal left action of $W$ on $X \times_{\operatorname{Spec}(k)} \zeta$ (by $\left.g .(x, z)=\left(x g^{-1}, g z\right)\right)$ makes $X \times_{\operatorname{Spec}(k)} \zeta$ into the total space of a $W$-torsor $X \times \operatorname{Spec}(k) \zeta \rightarrow B$. The base space $B$ of this torsor is usually called the twist of $X$ by $\zeta$. We denote it by ${ }^{\zeta} X$.

It is easy to see that if $\zeta$ is trivial then ${ }^{\zeta} X$ is $k$-isomorphic to $X$. Hence, ${ }^{\zeta} X$ is a $k$-form of $X$, i.e., $X$ and ${ }^{\zeta} X$ become isomorphic over an algebraic closure of $k$.

The twisting construction is functorial in $X$ : a $W$-equivariant morphism $X \rightarrow Y$ (or rational map $X \rightarrow Y$ ) induces a $k$-morphism ${ }^{\zeta} X \rightarrow{ }^{\zeta} Y$ (resp., rational map ${ }^{\zeta} X \rightarrow{ }^{\zeta} Y$ ).

## 3. The split group of type $\boldsymbol{G}_{2}$

We fix notation and briefly review the basic facts, referring to [13], [1], or [2] for more details. Over any field $k$, a simple split group $G$ of type $G_{2}$ has a faithful seven-dimensional representation $V$. Following [2, (3.11)], one can fix a basis $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{7}$, with dual basis $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{7}$, so that $G$ preserves the nonsingular quadratic norm $N=X_{1} X_{7}+X_{2} X_{6}+X_{3} X_{5}+X_{4}^{2}$. (See [1, §6.1] for the case $\operatorname{char}(k)=2$. In this case $V$ is not irreducible, since the subspace spanned by $f_{4}$ is invariant; the quotient $V /\left(k \cdot f_{4}\right)$ is the minimal irreducible representation. However, irreducibility will not be necessary in our context.) The corresponding embedding $G \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{7}$ yields a split maximal torus and Borel subgroup $T \subset B \subset G$, by intersecting with diagonal and upper-triangular matrices. Explicitly, the maximal torus is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\operatorname{diag}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{1} t_{2}^{-1}, 1, t_{1}^{-1} t_{2}, t_{2}^{-1}, t_{1}^{-1}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

cf. [2, Lemma 3.13].
The Weyl group $W=N(T) / T$ is isomorphic to the dihedral group with 12 elements, and the surjection $N(T) \rightarrow W$ splits. The inclusion $G \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{7}$ thus gives rise to an inclusion $N(T)=T \rtimes W \hookrightarrow D \rtimes \mathrm{~S}_{7}$, where $D \subset \mathrm{GL}_{7}$ is the subgroup of diagonal matrices. On the level of the dual basis $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{7}$, we obtain an isomorphism $W \cong S_{3} \times S_{2}$ realized as follows: $S_{3}$ permutes the three ordered pairs $\left(X_{1}, X_{7}\right),\left(X_{6}, X_{2}\right)$, and $\left(X_{5}, X_{3}\right)$, and $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ exchanges the two ordered triples $\left(X_{1}, X_{5}, X_{6}\right)$ and $\left(X_{7}, X_{3}, X_{2}\right)$. The variable $X_{4}$ is fixed by $W$. For details, see [2, §A.3].

The subgroup $P \subset G$ stabilizing the isotropic line spanned by $f_{1}$ is a maximal standard parabolic, and the corresponding homogeneous space $P \backslash G$ is isomorphic to the five-dimensional quadric $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$ defined by the vanishing of the norm, i.e., by the equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{1} X_{7}+X_{2} X_{6}+X_{3} X_{5}+X_{4}^{2}=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the quadric $\mathcal{Q}$ is endowed with an action of $T$. An easy tangent space computation shows that $P$ is smooth regardless of the characteristic of $k$.

Lemma 3. The group $P$ is special, i.e., $H^{1}(l, P)=\{1\}$ for every field extension $l / k$. Moreover, $P$ is rational, as a variety over $k$.
Proof. Since the split group of type $G_{2}$ is defined over the prime field, we may replace $k$ by the prime field for the purpose of proving this lemma, and in particular, we may assume $k$ is perfect. We begin by briefly recalling a construction of Chevalley [4]. The isotropic line $E_{1} \subset V$ stabilized by $P$ is spanned by $f_{1}$, and $P$ also preserves an isotropic 3 -space $E_{3}$ spanned by $f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}$; see, e.g., [2, §2.2]. There is a corresponding map $P \rightarrow \operatorname{GL}\left(E_{3} / E_{1}\right) \cong G L_{2}$, which is a split surjection thanks to the block matrix described in [10, p. 13] as the image of " $B$ " in $\mathrm{GL}_{7}$. The kernel is unipotent, and we have a split exact sequence corresponding to the Levi decomposition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \rightarrow R_{u}(P) \rightarrow P \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2} \rightarrow 1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining the exact sequence in cohomology induced by (3) with the fact that both $R_{u}(P)$ and $\mathrm{GL}_{2}$ are special (see [12, pp. 122 and 128]), shows that $P$ is special.

Since $P$ is isomorphic to $R_{u}(P) \times G L_{2}$ as a variety over $k$, and $P$ is smooth, so is $R_{u}(P)$. A smooth connected unipotent group over a perfect field is rational [6, IV, $\S 2(3.10)$ ]; therefore $R_{u}(P)$ is $k$-rational, and so is $P$.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 2

Keep the notation of the previous section. By a $W$-model (of $k(\mathcal{Q})^{T}$ ), we mean a quasi-projective $k$-variety $Y$, endowed with a right action of $W$, together with a dominant $W$-equivariant $k$-rational map $\mathcal{Q} \rightarrow Y$ which, on the level of function fields, identifies $k(Y)$ with $k(\mathcal{Q})^{T}$. Such a map $\mathcal{Q} \rightarrow Y$ is called a ( $W$-equivariant) rational quotient map. A $W$-model is unique up to a $W$-equivariant birational isomorphism; we will construct an explicit one below.

We reduce Theorem 2 to a statement about rationality of a twisted $W$-model, in two steps. The first holds for general split connected semisimple groups $G$.

Proposition 4. Let $G$ be a split connected semisimple group over $k$, with split maximal $k$-torus $T$. Let $K=k(\mathfrak{t})^{W}, L=k(\mathfrak{t})$, and let $\zeta$ be the $W$-torsor corresponding to the field extension $L / K$. If the twisted variety ${ }^{\zeta}\left(G_{K} / T_{K}\right)$ is rational over $K$, then $k(\mathfrak{g})$ is purely transcendental over $k(\mathfrak{g})^{G}$.

Proof. Consider the ( $G \times W$ )-equivariant morphism:

$$
f: G / T \times \operatorname{spec}(k) \mathfrak{t} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}
$$

given by $(\bar{a}, t) \mapsto \operatorname{Ad}(a) t$, where $\mathfrak{t}$ is the Lie algebra of $T, \bar{a} \in G / T$ is the class of $a \in G$, modulo $T$. Here $G$ acts on $G / T \times \mathfrak{t}$ by translations on the first factor (and trivially on $\mathfrak{t}$ ), and via the adjoint representation on $\mathfrak{g}$. The Weyl group $W$ naturally acts on $\mathfrak{t}$ and $G / T$ (on the right), diagonally on $G / T \times \mathfrak{t}$, and trivially on $\mathfrak{g}$.

The image of $f$ contains the semisimple locus in $\mathfrak{g}$, so $f$ is dominant and induces an inclusion $f^{*}: k(\mathfrak{g}) \hookrightarrow k(G / T \times \mathfrak{t})$. Clearly $f^{*} k(\mathfrak{g}) \subset k(G / T \times \mathfrak{t})^{W}$. We will show that in fact:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{*} k(\mathfrak{g})=k(G / T \times \mathfrak{t})^{W} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Write $\bar{k}$ for an algebraic closure of $k$, and note that the preimage of a $\bar{k}$-point of $\mathfrak{g}$ in general position is a single $W$-orbit in $(G / T \times \mathfrak{t})_{\bar{k}}$. To establish (4), it remains to check that $f$ is smooth at a general point ( $g, x$ ) of $G / T \times \mathfrak{t}$. (In particular, when $\operatorname{char}(k)=0$ nothing more is needed.) To carry out this calculation, we may assume without loss of generality that $k$ is algebraically closed and (since $f$ is $G$-equivariant) $g=1$. Since $\operatorname{dim}(G / T \times \mathfrak{t})=\operatorname{dim}(\mathfrak{g})$, it suffices to show that the differential:

$$
\mathrm{d} f: T_{(1, x)}(G / T \times \mathfrak{t}) \rightarrow T_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g})
$$

is surjective, for any regular semisimple element $x \in \mathfrak{t}$. Equivalently, we want to show that $[x, \mathfrak{g}]+\mathfrak{t}=\mathfrak{g}$. Since $x$ is regular, we have $\operatorname{dim}([x, \mathfrak{g}])+\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{t}=\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}$. Thus it remains to show that $[x, \mathfrak{g}] \cap \mathfrak{t}=0$. To see this, suppose $[x, y] \in \mathfrak{t}$ for some $y \in \mathfrak{g}$. Since $x$ is semisimple, we can write $y=\sum_{i=1}^{r} y_{\lambda_{i}}$, where $y_{\lambda}$ is an eigenvector for ad $(x)$ with eigenvalue $\lambda$, and $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}$ are distinct. Then $[x, y]=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_{i} y_{\lambda_{i}} \in \mathfrak{t}$ is an eigenvector for $\operatorname{ad}(x)$ with eigenvalue 0 . Remembering that eigenvectors of $\operatorname{ad}(x)$ with distinct eigenvalues are linearly independent, we conclude that $[x, y]=0$. This completes the proof of (4).

It is easy to see $k(G / T \times \mathfrak{t})^{G \times W}=k(\mathfrak{t})^{W}$. Summarizing, $f^{*}$ induces a diagram:

where the top row is the $G$-equivariant isomorphism (4), and the bottom row is obtained from the top by taking $G$-invariants. Note that:

$$
k\left(G / T \times_{\operatorname{Spec}(k)} \mathfrak{t}\right) \simeq K\left((G / T)_{K} \times \operatorname{Spec}(K) \operatorname{Spec} L\right)
$$

where $\simeq$ denotes a $G$-equivariant isomorphism of fields. (Recall that $G$ acts trivially on $t$ and hence also on $L$ and K.) Thus the field extension on the left side of our diagram can be rewritten as $K\left({ }^{\zeta}\left(G_{K} / T_{K}\right)\right) / K$, where $\zeta$ is the $W$-torsor $\operatorname{Spec}(L) \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(K)$. By assumption, this field extension is purely transcendental; the diagram shows it is isomorphic to $k(\mathfrak{g}) / k(\mathfrak{g})^{G}$.

For the second reduction, we return to the assumptions of Section 3.

Proposition 5. Let $G$ be a split simple group of type $G_{2}$, with maximal torus $T$ and Weyl group $W$, and let $\mathcal{Q}$ be the quadric defined in Section 3. Suppose that for a given $W$-model $Y$ of $k(\mathcal{Q})^{T}$, and for some $W$-torsor $\zeta$ over some field $K / k$, the twisted variety $\zeta^{\zeta}\left(Y_{K}\right)$ is rational over $K$. Then the twisted variety ${ }^{\zeta}\left(G_{K} / T_{K}\right)$ is rational over $K$.

Proof. For the purpose of this proof, we may view $K$ as a new base field and replace it with $k$.
We claim that the left action of $P$ on $G / T$ is generically free. Since $G$ has trivial center, the (characteristic-free) argument at the beginning of the proof of [5, Lemma 9.1] shows that in order to establish this claim it suffices to show that the right $T$-action on $\mathcal{Q}=P \backslash G$ is generically free. The latter action, given by restricting the linear action (1) of $T$ on $\mathbb{P}^{6}$ to the quadric $\mathcal{Q}$ given by (2), is clearly generically free.

Let $Y$ be a $W$-model. The $W$-equivariant rational map $G / T \rightarrow Y$ induced by the projection $G \rightarrow P \backslash G=\mathcal{Q}$ is a rational quotient map for the left $P$-action on $G / T$; cf. [5, p. 458]. Since the $P$-action is generically free, this map is a $P$-torsor over the generic point of $Y$; see [3, Theorem 4.7]. By the functoriality of the twisting operation, after twisting by a $W$-torsor $\zeta$, we obtain a rational map ${ }^{\zeta}(G / T) \rightarrow{ }^{\zeta} Y$, which is a $P$-torsor over the generic point of ${ }^{\zeta} Y$. This torsor has a rational section, since $P$ is special; see Lemma 3. In particular, ${ }^{\zeta}(G / T)$ is $k$-birationally isomorphic to $P \times{ }^{\zeta} Y$. Since $P$ is $k$-rational (once again, by Lemma 3 ), ${ }^{\zeta}(G / T)$ is rational over ${ }^{\zeta} Y$. Since ${ }^{\zeta} Y$ is rational over $k$, we conclude that so is ${ }^{\zeta}(G / T)$, as desired.

It remains to show that the hypothesis of Proposition 5 holds. As before, we may replace the field $K$ with $k$. The following lemma completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Lemma 6. Let $Y$ be a $W$-model for $k(Q)^{T}$. The twisted variety ${ }^{\zeta} Y$ is rational over $k$, for every $W$-torsor $\zeta$ over $k$.
Proof. We begin by constructing an explicit $W$-model. The affine open subset $\mathcal{Q}^{\text {aff }}=\left\{x_{1} x_{7}+x_{2} x_{6}+x_{3} x_{5}+1=0\right\} \subset \mathbb{A}^{6}$ (where $X_{4} \neq 0$ ) is $N(T)$-invariant. Here the affine coordinates on $\mathbb{A}^{6}$ are $x_{i}:=X_{i} / X_{4}$, for $i \neq 4$. The field of rational functions invariant for the $T$-action on $\mathcal{Q}^{\text {aff }}$ is $k\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$, where the variables

$$
y_{1}=x_{1} x_{7}, \quad y_{2}=x_{2} x_{6}, \quad y_{3}=x_{3} x_{5}, \quad z_{1}=x_{1} x_{5} x_{6}, \quad \text { and } \quad z_{2}=x_{2} x_{3} x_{7}
$$

are subject to the relations $y_{1}+y_{2}+y_{3}+1=0$ and $y_{1} y_{2} y_{3}=z_{1} z_{2}$. Thus we may choose as a $W$-model the affine subvariety $\Lambda_{1}$ of $\mathbb{A}^{5}$ given by these two equations, where $W=S_{2} \times S_{3}$ acts on the coordinates as follows: $S_{2}$ permutes $z_{1}, z_{2}$, and $S_{3}$ permutes $y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}$. (Recall the $W$-action defined in Section 3, and note that the field $k(\mathcal{Q})$ is recovered by adjoining the classes of variables $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$.) We claim that $\Lambda_{1}$ is $W$-equivariantly birationally isomorphic to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Lambda_{2}=\left\{\left(Y_{1}: Y_{2}: Y_{3}: Z_{0}: Z_{1}: Z_{2}\right): Y_{1}+Y_{2}+Y_{3}+Z_{0}=0 \text { and } Y_{1} Y_{2} Y_{3}=Z_{1} Z_{2} Z_{0}\right\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{5}, \\
& \Lambda_{3}=\left\{\left(Y_{1}: Y_{2}: Y_{3}: Z_{1}: Z_{2}\right): Y_{1} Y_{2} Y_{3}+\left(Y_{1}+Y_{2}+Y_{3}\right) Z_{1} Z_{2}=0\right\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{4}, \quad \text { and } \\
& \Lambda_{4}=\left\{\left(Y_{1}: Y_{2}: Y_{3}: Z_{1}: Z_{2}\right): Z_{1} Z_{2}+Y_{2} Y_{3}+Y_{1} Y_{3}+Y_{1} Y_{2}=0\right\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{4},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $W$ acts on the projective coordinates $Y_{1}, Y_{2}, Y_{3}, Z_{1}, Z_{2}, Z_{0}$ as follows: $S_{2}$ permutes $Z_{1}, Z_{2}$, S3 permutes $Y_{1}, Y_{2}$, $Y_{3}$, and every element of $W$ fixes $Z_{0}$. Note that $\Lambda_{2} \subset \mathbb{P}^{5}$ is the projective closure of $\Lambda_{1} \subset \mathbb{A}^{5}$; hence, using $\simeq$ to denote $W$-equivariant birational equivalence, we have $\Lambda_{1} \simeq \Lambda_{2}$. The isomorphism $\Lambda_{2} \simeq \Lambda_{3}$ is obtained by eliminating $Z_{0}$ from the system of equations defining $\Lambda_{2}$. Finally, the isomorphism $\Lambda_{3} \simeq \Lambda_{4}$ comes from the Cremona transformation $\mathbb{P}^{4} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{4}$ given by $Y_{i} \rightarrow 1 / Y_{i}$ and $Z_{j} \rightarrow 1 / Z_{j}$ for $i=1,2,3$ and $j=1,2$.

Let $\zeta$ be a $W$-torsor over $k$. It remains to be shown that ${ }^{\zeta} \Lambda_{4}$ is $k$-rational. Since $\Lambda_{4}$ is a $W$-equivariant quadric hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^{4}$, and the $W$-action on $\mathbb{P}^{4}$ is induced by a linear representation $W \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{5}$, Hilbert's Theorem 90 tells us that $\zeta \mathbb{P}^{4}$ is $k$-isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{4}$, and ${ }^{\zeta} \Lambda_{4}$ is isomorphic to a quadric hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^{4}$ defined over $k$; see [7, Lemma 10.1]. It is easily checked that $\Lambda_{4}$ is smooth over $k$, and therefore so is ${ }^{\zeta} \Lambda_{4}$. The zero-cycle of degree 3 given by $(1: 0: 0: 0: 0)+(0: 1: 0: 0: 0)+(0: 0: 1: 0: 0)$ in $\Lambda_{4}$ is $W$-invariant, so it defines a zero-cycle of degree 3 in ${ }^{\zeta} \Lambda_{4}$. By Springer's theorem, the smooth quadric ${ }^{\zeta} \Lambda_{4}$ has a $k$-rational point, hence is $k$-rational.
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