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#### Abstract

In this note, we give a positive answer to a question raised by Jean-Pierre Demailly in 2013, and show the additivity of the approximation functional of closed positive ( 1,1 )-currents induced by Bergman kernels.
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## RÉS U M É

Dans cette note, nous apportons une réponse positive à une question soulevée par JeanPierre Demailly en 2013, et démontrons l'additivité de la fonctionnelle d'approximation des courants positifs fermés de type $(1,1)$ induite par les noyaux de Bergman.
© 2014 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Let $X$ be a compact complex $n$-dimensional manifold. An important positive cone in complex analytic geometry is the pseudoeffective classes $\mathcal{E}(X)$, namely the subset of cohomology classes $H^{1,1}(X)$ containing a closed positive (1,1)-current $T=\alpha+d d^{c} \varphi$, where $\alpha$ is a smooth ( 1,1 )-form and $\varphi$ is a quasi-psh function on $X$. In various geometric problems (for example, the Nadel vanishing theorem), we need to keep the information on the singularities. To preserve the information about the asymptotic multiplier ideal sheaves $\mathcal{I}(m \varphi)$, Demailly constructed a new cone by using in an essential way a Bergman kernel approximation. Before explaining this new construction, we first recall some elementary notions about quasi-psh functions.
Definition 1.1. Let $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}$ be two quasi-psh functions on $X$.
(1) We say that $\varphi_{1}$ has analytic singularities if locally one can write it as:

$$
\varphi_{1}=c \ln \sum_{i}\left|g_{i}\right|^{2}+O(1)
$$

where the $g_{i}$ are holomorphic functions and $c$ is a positive constant.

[^0](2) We say that $\varphi_{1}$ has less singularities than $\varphi_{2}$, and write as $\varphi_{1} \preccurlyeq \varphi_{2}$, if we have $\varphi_{1} \geq \varphi_{2}+C$ for some constant $C$.
(3) We say that $\varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}$ have equivalent singularities, and write $\varphi_{1} \sim \varphi_{2}$, when we have both $\varphi_{1} \preccurlyeq \varphi_{2}$ and $\varphi_{2} \preccurlyeq \varphi_{1}$.

We now recall briefly the constructions in [2, Section 3] and recommend the reader to see [2] for its applications. Let $\mathcal{S}(X)$ be the set of singularity equivalence classes of closed positive $(1,1)$-currents. It is naturally equipped with a cone structure. Continuing his work [1] of the early 1990's on the approximation theorem, Demailly recently defined in [2] another cone that has a more algebraic appearance.

Definition 1.2. For each class $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}(X)$, we define $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{\alpha}(X)$ as a set of equivalence classes of sequences of quasi-positive currents $T_{k}=\alpha+d d^{c} \psi_{k}$ (we suppose from now on that $\alpha$ is a smooth (1, 1 )-form on $X$ ) such that:
(a) $T_{k}=\alpha+d d^{c} \varphi_{k} \geq-\epsilon_{k} \omega$ with $\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \epsilon_{k}=0$.
(b) The functions $\varphi_{k}$ have analytic singularities and $\varphi_{k} \preccurlyeq \varphi_{k+1}$ for all $k$. We say that $\left(T_{k}\right) \preccurlyeq W\left(T_{k}^{\prime}\right)$ if, for every $\epsilon>0$ and $k$, there exists $l$ such that $(1-\epsilon) T_{k} \preccurlyeq T_{l}^{\prime}$. Finally, we write $\left(T_{k}\right) \sim\left(T_{k}^{\prime}\right)$ when we have both $\left(T_{k}\right) \preccurlyeq W\left(T_{k}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(T_{k}^{\prime}\right) \preccurlyeq w\left(T_{k}\right)$, and define $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{\alpha}(X)$ to be the quotient space by this equivalence relation.
(c) We set $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}(X):=\bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{E}(X)} \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{\alpha}(X)$.

Let $\varphi$ be a quasi-psh function on $X$, and $\left(\varphi_{k}\right)$ be a Bergman kernel type approximation of $\varphi$, i.e., $\varphi_{k} \sim \frac{1}{k} \ln \left(\sum_{j}\left|g_{j}\right|^{2}\right)$ on $U_{i}$, where $\left\{U_{i}\right\}$ is a Stein cover of $X$ and $\left\{g_{j}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $H^{0}\left(V_{i}, \mathcal{O}_{V_{i}}\right)$ for some Stein open set $V_{i} \ni U_{i}$ with respect to the $L^{2}$ norm $\int_{V_{i}}|\cdot|^{2} e^{-2 k \varphi}$. Let $\alpha+d d^{c} \varphi$ be a positive current. By using the comparison theorem (cf. for example [4, Theorem 2.2.1, step 3]), Demailly [2, (3.1.10)] proved that the following map is well defined:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{B}: \mathcal{S}(X) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{S}}(X) \\
& \alpha+d d^{c} \varphi \rightarrow\left(\alpha+d d^{c} \varphi_{2^{k}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

It is called here the Bergman kernel approximation functional.
Remark 1.3. Although we will not use it here, we should mention the following important property of the map B (cf. [4, Thm. 2.2.1] or [2, Cor. 1.12]): for every pair of positive numbers $\lambda^{\prime}>\lambda>0$, there exists an integer $k_{0}\left(\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\mathcal{I}\left(\lambda^{\prime} \varphi_{2^{k}}\right) \subset \mathcal{I}(\lambda \varphi) \quad \text { for } k \geq k_{0}\left(\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}\right)
$$

Evidently, both $\mathcal{S}(X)$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}(X)$ admit an additive structure. [2, Section 3] asked whether B is a morphism for addition. In this short note, we will give a positive answer to this question. More precisely, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.4 (Main theorem). Let $T_{1}=\alpha_{1}+d d^{c} \varphi, T_{2}=\alpha_{2}+d d^{c} \psi$ be two elements in $\mathcal{S}(X)$. The we have $\mathbf{B}\left(T_{1}+T_{2}\right)=\mathbf{B}\left(T_{1}\right)+$ $\mathbf{B}\left(T_{2}\right)$.

## 2. Proof of the Main theorem

Proof. In the setting of Theorem 1.4, let $\tau_{k}$ (respectively $\varphi_{k}, \psi_{k}$ ) be a Bergman kernel type approximation of $\varphi+\psi$ (respectively $\varphi, \psi$ ). By the subadditive property of ideal sheaves $\mathcal{I}(k \varphi+k \psi) \subset \mathcal{I}(k \varphi) \mathcal{I}(k \psi)$ [3, Thm. 2.6], we have $\varphi_{k}+\psi_{k} \preccurlyeq \tau_{k}$. By Definition 1.2, to prove our main theorem, it is sufficient to prove that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ fixed, there exists a positive sequence $\lim _{p \rightarrow+\infty} \epsilon_{p}=0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-\epsilon_{p}\right) \tau_{k} \preccurlyeq \varphi_{p}+\psi_{p} \quad \text { for every } p \gg 1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ fixed, there exists a bimeromorphic map $\pi: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow X$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{k} \circ \pi=\sum_{i} c_{i} \ln \left|s_{i}\right|+C^{\infty} \quad \text { for some } c_{i}>0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the effective divisor $\sum_{i} \operatorname{div}\left(s_{i}\right)$ is normal crossing. By the construction of $\tau_{k}$, we have $\tau_{k} \preccurlyeq(\varphi+\psi)$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{k} \circ \pi \preccurlyeq(\varphi+\psi) \circ \pi \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Siu's decomposition of closed positive current theorem to $d d^{c}(\varphi \circ \pi), d d^{c}(\psi \circ \pi)$ respectively, (3) and (2) imply the existence of numbers $a_{i}, b_{i} \geq 0$ satisfying:
(i) $a_{i}+b_{i}=c_{i}$ for every $i$.
(ii) $\sum_{i} a_{i} \ln \left|s_{i}\right| \preccurlyeq \varphi \circ \pi$ and $\sum_{i} b_{i} \ln \left|s_{i}\right| \preccurlyeq \psi \circ \pi$.

Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ be an arbitrary integer, $J$ be the Jacobian of $\pi, x \in X, f \in \mathcal{I}(p \varphi)$ and $g \in \mathcal{I}(p \psi)$. (ii) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\pi^{-1}\left(U_{x}\right)} \frac{|f \circ \pi|^{2}|J|^{2}}{\prod_{i}\left|s_{i}\right|^{2 p a_{i}}}<+\infty \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{\pi^{-1}\left(U_{x}\right)} \frac{|g \circ \pi|^{2}|J|^{2}}{\prod_{i}\left|s_{i}\right|^{2 p b_{i}}}<+\infty \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some small open neighborhood $U_{x}$ of $x$. Since $\sum_{i} \operatorname{div}\left(s_{i}\right)$ is normal crossing, (4) implies that

$$
\sum_{i}\left(p a_{i}-1\right) \ln \left|s_{i}\right| \preccurlyeq \ln (|f \circ \pi|)+\ln |J| \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{i}\left(p b_{i}-1\right) \ln \left|s_{i}\right| \preccurlyeq \ln (|g \circ \pi|)+\ln |J| .
$$

Combining this with (i), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i}\left(p c_{i}-2\right) \ln \left|s_{i}\right| \preccurlyeq \ln (|(f \cdot g) \circ \pi|)+2 \ln |J| . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $J$ is independent of $p$, and $c_{i}>0$. (5) implies thus that, when $p \rightarrow+\infty$, we can find a sequence $\epsilon_{p} \rightarrow 0^{+}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i} p c_{i}\left(1-\epsilon_{p}\right) \ln \left|s_{i}\right| \preccurlyeq \ln |(f \cdot g) \circ \pi| . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f$ (respectively $g$ ) is an arbitrary element in $\mathcal{I}(p \varphi)$ (respectively $\mathcal{I}(p \psi)$ ), by the constructions of $\varphi_{p}$ and $\psi_{p}$, (6) implies that

$$
\sum_{i} c_{i}\left(1-\epsilon_{p}\right) \ln \left|s_{i}\right| \preccurlyeq\left(\varphi_{p}+\psi_{p}\right) \circ \pi
$$

Combining this with the fact that $\left(1-\epsilon_{p}\right) \tau_{k} \circ \pi \sim \sum_{i} c_{i}\left(1-\epsilon_{p}\right) \ln \left|s_{i}\right|$, we get

$$
\left(1-\epsilon_{p}\right) \tau_{k} \circ \pi \preccurlyeq\left(\varphi_{p}+\psi_{p}\right) \circ \pi
$$

Therefore $\left(1-\epsilon_{p}\right) \tau_{k} \preccurlyeq\left(\varphi_{p}+\psi_{p}\right)$ and (1) is proved.
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