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#### Abstract

Given a prime $p$, let $K^{\text {red }}(\mathscr{U})$ denote the Grothendieck group generated by the isomorphism classes of indecomposable injective reduced modules in the category of unstable modules over the $\bmod p$ Steenrod algebra. Let $K_{n}^{\text {red }}(\mathscr{U}), n \in \mathbb{N}$, denote the subgroup of $K^{\text {red }}(\mathscr{U})$ generated by the indecomposable summands of $\mathrm{H}^{*} \mathrm{~B}(\mathbb{Z} / p)^{n}$. We describe in this note a strategy for the proof of the following conjecture of Lionel Schwartz: the operator induced by Lannes' T -functor on the rational vector space $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K_{n}^{\text {red }}(\mathscr{U})$ is diagonalizable and has eigenvalues $1, p, \ldots, p^{n-1}, p^{n}$ with multiplicities $p^{n}-p^{n-1}, p^{n-1}-p^{n-2}, \ldots, p-1,1$, respectively.
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## RÉS U M É

Étant donné un nombre premier $p$, on note $K^{\text {red }}(\mathscr{U})$ le groupe de Grothendieck engendré par les classes d'isomorphisme de modules réduits injectifs indécompsables de la catégorie des modules instable sur l'algèbre de Steenrod modulo $p$. On note $K_{n}^{\text {red }}(\mathscr{U}), n \in \mathbb{N}$, le sous-groupe de $K^{\text {red }}(\mathscr{U})$ engendré par les facteurs indécomposables de $\mathrm{H}^{*} \mathrm{~B}(\mathbb{Z} / p)^{n}$. On décrit dans cette note une stratégie pour démontrer la conjecture suivante due à Lionel Schwartz : l'opérateur induit par le foncteur T de Lannes sur l'espace vectoriel rationnel $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K_{n}^{\text {red }}(\mathscr{U})$ est diagonalisable et a pour valeurs propres $1, p, \ldots, p^{n-1}, p^{n}$ de multiplicités $p^{n}-p^{n-1}, p^{n-1}-p^{n-2}, \ldots, p-1,1$, respectivement.
© 2015 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.
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## 1. Introduction

Fix a prime number $p$ and consider the category $\mathscr{U}$ of unstable modules over the mod $p$ Steenrod algebra $\mathscr{A}_{p}$ [13]. Let $V$ be an elementary Abelian $p$-group, i.e. a group isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z} / p)^{n}$ for some natural number $n$. By a result of Carlsson-Miller-Lannes-Zarati, the mod $p$ cohomology of the classifying space $\mathrm{B} V, \mathrm{H}^{*} \mathrm{~B} V$, is an injective object in the category $\mathscr{U}$ [9].

Let $K^{\text {red }}(\mathscr{U})$ denote the Grothendieck group generated by the isomorphism classes of indecomposable injective reduced modules in $\mathscr{U}$. Recall that an unstable module is reduced if it does not contain a non-trivial suspension [13, p. 47]. By the Lannes-Schwartz classification theorem for $\mathscr{U}$-injectives, we know that indecomposable injective reduced modules in the category $\mathscr{U}$ are precisely the indecomposable $\mathscr{A}_{p}$-module summands of $\mathrm{H}^{*} \mathrm{~B} V$ for some $V$ [8].

Recall now that Lannes' T-functor $\mathrm{T}: \mathscr{U} \rightarrow \mathscr{U}$ is left adjoint to the functor given by tensoring with $\mathrm{H}^{*} \mathrm{~B} \mathbb{Z} / p$ in the category $\mathscr{U}$ [7]. The functor T induces an operator on the Grothendieck group $K^{\text {red }}(\mathscr{U})$. There is a natural filtration on $K^{\text {red }}(\mathscr{U})$ :

$$
K_{0}^{\mathrm{red}}(\mathscr{U}) \subset K_{1}^{\mathrm{red}}(\mathscr{U}) \subset \cdots \subset K_{n}^{\mathrm{red}}(\mathscr{U}) \subset \cdots \subset K^{\mathrm{red}}(\mathscr{U})
$$

where the subgroup $K_{n}^{\text {red }}(\mathscr{U}), n \in \mathbb{N}$, is generated by the isomorphism classes of indecomposable summands of $\mathrm{H}^{*} \mathrm{~B}(\mathbb{Z} / p)^{n}$. Fundamental properties of T can be used to show that T preserves this filtration.

The purpose of this note is to describe a proof of the following conjecture of Lionel Schwartz:
Conjecture 1.1 (L. Schwartz). The operator induced by the $T$-functor on the vector space $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K_{n}^{\text {red }}(\mathscr{U})$ is diagonalizable and has eigenvalues $1, p, \ldots, p^{n-1}, p^{n}$ with multiplicities $p^{n}-p^{n-1}, p^{n-1}-p^{n-2}, \ldots, p-1,1$, respectively.

The conjecture is supported by computations of Harris and Shank [5, Appendix] and may be checked by computer for small $n$ by using work of Harris and Shank [5] as follows.

Let $\mathrm{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ denote the semigroup of all $n \times n$-matrices with coefficients in the finite field $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. Let $\operatorname{Irr} \mathrm{M}_{n}$ denote the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible modules for the semigroup ring $\mathbb{F}_{p}\left[\mathrm{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)\right]$. Note that $\left|\operatorname{Irr} \mathrm{M}_{n}\right|=p^{n}[4]$. For $\lambda \in \operatorname{Irr} \mathrm{M}_{n}$, there is a corresponding indecomposable summand $L_{\lambda}$ of $\mathrm{H}^{*} \mathrm{~B}(\mathbb{Z} / p)^{n}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\lambda}:=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_{p}\left[\mathrm{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)\right]}\left(P_{\lambda}, \mathrm{H}^{*} \mathrm{~B}(\mathbb{Z} / p)^{n}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{\lambda}$ is a projective cover of the irreducible $\mathbb{F}_{p}\left[\mathrm{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)\right]$-module $\lambda$. The summands $L_{\lambda}, \lambda \in \operatorname{Irr} \mathrm{M}_{n}$, form a basis for $K_{n}^{\text {red }}(\mathscr{U})$ [8]. Harris and Shank [5] proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}\left(L_{\lambda}\right) \cong \bigoplus_{\mu \in \operatorname{Irr} \mathrm{M}_{n}} L_{\mu}^{\oplus a_{\lambda \mu}}, \quad \lambda \in \operatorname{Irr} \mathrm{M}_{n} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{\lambda \mu}$ is the multiplicity of $\lambda$ in the tensor product of $\mu$ with the $p$-truncated symmetric algebra $\bar{S}^{*}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}\right):=$ $\mathbb{F}_{p}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] /\left(x_{1}^{p}, \ldots, x_{n}^{p}\right)$, considered as a representation of $\mathrm{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ as usual. The coefficients $a_{\lambda \mu}$ are not known in general. However it follows from (2) that the matrix of T (in the basis $\left\{L_{\lambda}\right\}$ ) is the transpose of the matrix of the endomorphism (in the basis $\{\lambda\}$ )

$$
\mathbf{t}: \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{R}_{\mathbb{F}_{p}}\left(\mathrm{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{R}_{\mathbb{F}_{p}}\left(\mathrm{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)\right), \quad \mu \mapsto \mu \otimes \overline{\mathrm{S}}^{*}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}\right)
$$

Here $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{F}_{p}}\left(\mathrm{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)\right)$ is the representation ring of the semigroup $\mathrm{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. This fact can be used to build the matrix for the operator $\mathbf{t}$ by computer. For example, for $p=2, \mathrm{R}_{\mathbb{F}_{2}}\left(\mathrm{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)\right)$ is generated by the exterior powers $\Lambda^{k}:=\Lambda^{k}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}\right)$, $0 \leq k \leq n$, subjected to the relations $\Lambda^{k} \otimes \Lambda^{k}=\Lambda^{k}-2 \sum_{i=1}^{k}(-1)^{i} \Lambda^{k+i} \otimes \Lambda^{k-i}$, and so has a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $\{1\} \cup\left\{\Lambda^{k_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \Lambda^{k_{t}}\right.$ | $\left.1 \leq k_{1}<\cdots<k_{t} \leq n, 1 \leq t \leq n\right\}$. The operator $\mathbf{t}$ is now the endomorphism of $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{R}_{\mathbb{F}_{2}}\left(\mathrm{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)\right)$ given by the multiplication by $\sum_{k=0}^{n} \Lambda^{k}$. In this way K. Delamotte has checked the conjecture to be true up to $n=9$.

## 2. A strategy for the proof of Conjecture 1.1

In this section we prove Conjecture 1.1 by assuming two propositions that will be treated in Sects. 3 and 4. Recall that the tensor product of two reduced $\mathscr{U}$-injectives is a reduced $\mathscr{U}$-injective [9]. Taking tensor product with $\mathrm{H}:=\mathrm{H}^{*} \mathrm{~B}(\mathbb{Z} / p)$ in $\mathscr{U}$ induces then a homomorphism that we denote also by H :

$$
\mathrm{H}: K^{\mathrm{red}}(\mathscr{U}) \rightarrow K^{\mathrm{red}}(\mathscr{U})
$$

We observe that H increases the filtration on $K^{\text {red }}(\mathscr{U})$.
Proposition 2.1. The homomorphism $\mathrm{H}: K^{\mathrm{red}}(\mathscr{U}) \rightarrow K^{\mathrm{red}}(\mathscr{U})$ is injective.

Proposition 2.2. The homomorphism $\mathrm{T}: \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K_{n}^{\text {red }}(\mathscr{U}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K_{n}^{\text {red }}(\mathscr{U})$ satisfies:

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}} \operatorname{ker}(T-1) \geq p^{n}-p^{n-1}
$$

Proof of Conjecture 1.1 assuming Propositions 2.1 and 2.2. By induction on $n$, we prove that, for $0 \leq i \leq n$, the dimension of the eigenspace

$$
E_{n}^{i}:=\left\{X \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K_{n}^{\mathrm{red}}(\mathscr{U}) \mid \mathrm{T}(X)=p^{i} X\right\}
$$

is $p^{n-i}-p^{n-i-1}$. (Here, by convention, $p^{-1}=0$ so that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}} E_{n}^{n}=p^{0}-p^{-1}=1$.) This is trivial if $n=0$ and we suppose this holds for $n-1$. By Proposition 2.2,

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}} E_{n}^{0} \geq p^{n}-p^{n-1}
$$

For $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have $\mathrm{H}\left(E_{n-1}^{i-1}\right)$ is a subspace of $E_{n}^{i}$ since T commutes with the tensor product and $\mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{H}^{*} \mathrm{~B} \mathbb{Z} / p\right) \cong$ $\left[\mathrm{H}^{*} \mathrm{~B} \mathbb{Z} / p\right]^{\oplus p}[7]$. By inductive hypothesis on the dimension of $E_{n-1}^{i-1}$ and by injectivity of the homomorphism H (Proposition 2.1), we get

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}} E_{n}^{i} \geq \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathrm{H}\left(E_{n-1}^{i-1}\right)=p^{n-i}-p^{n-i-1}
$$

We conclude that the dimension of the direct sum $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} E_{n}^{i}$ is $\geq p^{n}$, and since $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K_{n}^{\text {red }}(\mathscr{U})$ is of dimension $p^{n}$, we obtain what we need to prove.

## 3. Injectivity of the homomorphism $\mathbf{H}: K^{\text {red }}(\mathscr{U}) \rightarrow K^{\text {red }}(\mathscr{U})$

In this section, we prove Proposition 2.1. Given $M$ and $N$ two finite direct sums of indecomposable reduced $\mathscr{U}$-injectives [8], we need to prove that, if $H \otimes M$ and $H \otimes N$ are isomorphic as unstable modules, then $M$ and $N$ are isomorphic as unstable modules.

For $M$ an unstable module, let $|M|$ denote the connectivity of $M$, i.e. the lowest degree $d$ for which $M^{d}$ is non-trivial. In order to prove Proposition 2.1, we need to introduce the following definition.

Definition 3.1. An additive functor $F: \mathscr{U} \rightarrow \mathscr{U}$ is called connectively increasing if there exists a functor $\bar{F}: \mathscr{U} \rightarrow \mathscr{U}$ such that, for each $M \in \mathscr{U}$,
(i) there is a natural short exact sequence of unstable modules $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow F(M) \rightarrow \bar{F}(M) \rightarrow 0$, and
(ii) $|\bar{F}(M)|>|M|$.

It is clear that the functor $M \mapsto \mathrm{H}^{*} \mathrm{~B} \mathbb{Z} / p \otimes M \cong M \oplus\left(\overline{\mathrm{H}}^{*} \mathrm{~B} \mathbb{Z} / p \otimes M\right)$ is connectively increasing, so in order to prove Proposition 2.1, it suffices to prove the following:

Lemma 3.2. Let $F$ be a connectively increasing functor, $N$ an unstable module and $M$ a finite direct sum of indecomposable $\mathscr{U}$-injectives. Suppose that $F(M) \cong F(N)$ as unstable modules of finite type. Then $M \cong N$ as unstable modules.

Proof. It is clear that if $F(L)$ is trivial then $L$ is trivial. Thus it suffices to prove that $M$ is a direct factor of $N$. The isomorphism $F(M) \cong F(N)$ as graded vector spaces together with Condition (ii) of Definition 3.1 imply that $|M|=|N|$.

Take an indecomposable injective summand $I$ of $M$ such that $I$ is non-trivial in degree $|M|$. Since $M$ can be considered as a submodule of $F(M)$, it follows that $I$ is a direct summand of $F(M)$, and thus a direct summand of $F(N)$. But since $|I|=|M|=|N|<|\bar{F}(N)|$, the indecomposable injective $I$ must be a direct summand of $N$.

We write $M=I \oplus M^{\prime}$ and $N=I \oplus N^{\prime}$. The additivity of $F$ gives rise to an isomorphism of unstable modules:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(I) \oplus F\left(M^{\prime}\right) \cong F(I) \oplus F\left(N^{\prime}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $F(I) \oplus F\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ is of finite type, it follows that $F\left(M^{\prime}\right) \cong F\left(N^{\prime}\right)$ as graded vector spaces and again by connectivity reason, we have $\left|M^{\prime}\right|=\left|N^{\prime}\right|$.

Take an indecomposable injective summand $I^{\prime}$ of $M^{\prime}$ such that $I^{\prime}$ is non-trivial in degree $\left|M^{\prime}\right|$. As we can cancel the $\mathscr{U}$-injectives isomorphic to $I^{\prime}$ appearing in the factor $F(I)$ on both sides of the isomorphism (3), we can suppose that $F(I)$ does not contain $I^{\prime}$ as a direct factor. Thus $I^{\prime}$ is a direct summand of $F\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ and the isomorphism (3) implies that $F\left(N^{\prime}\right)$ must contain a summand isomorphic to $I^{\prime}$. Since $\left|I^{\prime}\right|=\left|M^{\prime}\right|=\left|N^{\prime}\right|<\left|\bar{F}\left(N^{\prime}\right)\right|$, the indecomposable injective $I^{\prime}$ must be a summand of $N^{\prime}$.

We write $M=I \oplus I^{\prime} \oplus M^{\prime \prime}$ and $N=I \oplus I^{\prime} \oplus N^{\prime \prime}$. Since $M$ is a finite direct sum of indecomposable injectives, the argument above can be repeated to show that the injective module $M$ is a direct factor of $N$. The lemma follows.

## 4. Lower bound for the dimension of the eigenspace of $\mathbf{T}$ associated with 1

In this section, we describe a proof of Proposition 2.2. We need to establish the inequality $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}} \operatorname{ker}(T-1) \geq p^{n}-p^{n-1}$, where T is considered as an operator on $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K_{n}^{\text {red }}(\mathscr{U})$. For this, we need to introduce some operators on $K^{\text {red }}(\mathscr{U})$, which are induced by taking the functional dual of stable summands.

Given $G$ a finite group, let $B_{+} G$ denote the union of the classifying space $B G$ with an added disjoint basepoint. For simplicity, $\mathrm{B}_{+} G$ will also denote the suspension spectrum $\Sigma^{\infty} \mathrm{B}_{+} G$. Given spectra $X, Y$, let $\mathrm{F}(X, Y)$ denote the functional spectrum and $\mathrm{D}(X)=\mathrm{F}\left(X, S^{0}\right)$ the functional dual. In the sequel, all spaces and spectra are implicitly completed at the prime $p$, and cohomology is taken with $\mathbb{Z} / p$-coefficients.

### 4.1. Dual of stable summands derived from irreducible $\mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$-modules

By the Segal Conjecture $[1,2,11]$ for the elementary Abelian group $V$, there is a $\mathrm{GL}(V)$-equivariant equivalence of spectra:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{DB}_{+} V \simeq \bigvee_{W \subseteq V} \mathrm{~B}_{+}(V / W) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the wedge sum is taken over all subgroups of $V$. We refer the reader to Olga Stroilova's thesis [14] for a thorough discussion on the action of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ upon the functional dual $\mathrm{DB}_{+} V$. For our purposes, it suffices to know that each $g \in \operatorname{GL}(V)$ sends the summand indexed by the subspace $W$ in the wedge sum to the summand indexed by the subspace $g^{-1} W$.

Denote by $\operatorname{IrrGL}_{n}$ the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible modules for the group ring $\mathbb{F}_{p}\left[\mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)\right]$. Given $\lambda \in$ $\operatorname{Irr} \mathrm{GL}_{n}$, let $e_{\lambda}$ denote a primitive idempotent of $\mathbb{F}_{p}\left[\mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)\right]$ such that $\mathbb{F}_{p}\left[\mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)\right] e_{\lambda}$ is a projective cover of the irreducible $\mathbb{F}_{p}\left[\mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)\right]$-module $\lambda$. The corresponding stable summand, $e_{\lambda} \mathrm{B}_{+}(\mathbb{Z} / p)^{n}$, of $\mathrm{B}_{+}(\mathbb{Z} / p)^{n}$ is given by a telescope construction. Its cohomology is denoted by $M_{\lambda}$, and this is a direct factor of $\mathrm{H}^{*} \mathrm{~B}(\mathbb{Z} / p)^{n}$. The $\mathscr{A}_{p}$-modules $M_{\lambda}, \lambda \in \coprod_{0 \leq m \leq n}$ Irr $G L_{m}$, then form a basis for $K_{n}^{\text {red }}(\mathscr{U})$ [4].

For each stable summand $X$ of $B_{+}(\mathbb{Z} / p)^{n}$ derived from an irreducible $\mathbb{F}_{p}\left[\mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)\right]$-module, it follows from (4) that the dual spectrum $\mathrm{D}(X)$ is a wedge sum of stable summands of $\mathrm{B}_{+}(\mathbb{Z} / p)^{m}$ with $m \leq n$. By identifying a stable summand with its cohomology [6], we obtain a filtration-preserving operator:

$$
\mathrm{D}: K^{\mathrm{red}}(\mathscr{U}) \rightarrow K^{\mathrm{red}}(\mathscr{U})
$$

Theorem 4.1. Let $e_{\lambda}^{\prime}$ denote the image of $e_{\lambda}$ under the antipode $\sum_{g} a_{g} g \mapsto \sum_{g} a_{g} g^{-1}$ of the Hopf algebra $\mathbb{F}_{p}\left[G L_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)\right]$. Then

$$
\mathrm{D}\left(e_{\lambda} \mathrm{B}_{+}(\mathbb{Z} / p)^{n}\right) \simeq e_{\lambda}^{\prime} \mathrm{B}_{+}(\mathbb{Z} / p)^{n} \vee \text { stable summands of } \mathrm{B}_{+}(\mathbb{Z} / p)^{m} \quad \text { with } m<n
$$

This follows from the discussion in [14] about the action of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ on the functional dual $\mathrm{DB}_{+} V$.
In order to prove Proposition 2.2, we need to define a variant of the dual operator D . By the Segal conjecture for $\mathbb{Z} / p \oplus V$, we have an equivalence:

$$
\mathrm{DB}_{+}(\mathbb{Z} / p \oplus V) \simeq \bigvee_{W \subseteq \mathbb{Z} / p \oplus V} \mathrm{~B}_{+}((\mathbb{Z} / p \oplus V) / W)
$$

Considering $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ as a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{Z} / p \oplus V)$, we have a $\mathrm{GL}(V)$-equivariant decomposition:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{DB}_{+}(\mathbb{Z} / p \oplus V) \simeq\left[\bigvee_{W \supseteq \mathbb{Z} / p} \mathrm{~B}_{+}((\mathbb{Z} / p \oplus V) / W)\right] & \vee\left[\bigvee_{W \subseteq V} \mathrm{~B}_{+}((\mathbb{Z} / p \oplus V) / W)\right] \\
& \vee\left[\bigvee_{\mathbb{Z} / p \nsubseteq W \nsubseteq V} \mathrm{~B}_{+}((\mathbb{Z} / p \oplus V) / W)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

By the Segal conjecture for $V$, we can identify the first wedge sum on the right with $\mathrm{DB}_{+} V$ and the second with $\mathrm{B}_{+} \mathbb{Z} / p \wedge$ $\mathrm{DB}_{+} V$. For the third one, let $W$ be a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z} / p \oplus V$ such that $\mathbb{Z} / p \nsubseteq W \nsubseteq V$. Denote by $\widehat{W}$ the image of the composite $W \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z} / p \oplus V \rightarrow V$. We observe that $\widehat{W} \cong W$ since $W$ does not contain $\mathbb{Z} / p$ and that dim $\widehat{W} \geq 1$ since $W$ is not contained in $V$. We have

$$
\mathrm{B}_{+}((\mathbb{Z} / p \oplus V) / W) \simeq \mathrm{B}_{+}((\mathbb{Z} / p \oplus V) / \widehat{W}) \simeq \mathrm{B}_{+} \mathbb{Z} / p \wedge \mathrm{~B}_{+}(V / \widehat{W})
$$

Thus we obtain a $\mathrm{GL}(V)$-equivariant equivalence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{DB}_{+}(\mathbb{Z} / p \oplus V) \simeq\left(\mathrm{DB}_{+} V\right) \vee\left(\mathrm{B}_{+} \mathbb{Z} / p \wedge \mathrm{DB}_{+} V\right) \vee\left(\mathrm{B}_{+} \mathbb{Z} / p \wedge \mathrm{D}_{1} \mathrm{~B}_{+} V\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}_{1} \mathrm{~B}_{+} V \simeq \bigvee_{\mathbb{Z} / p \nsubseteq W \nsubseteq V} \mathrm{~B}_{+}(V / \widehat{W}) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, we can define a spectrum $\mathrm{D}_{1} X$ for $X$ a stable summand of $\mathrm{B}_{+} V$, and thus obtain an endomorphism by identifying a stable summand with its cohomology:

$$
\mathrm{D}_{1}: K^{\mathrm{red}}(\mathscr{U}) \rightarrow K^{\mathrm{red}}(\mathscr{U})
$$

We note that $D_{1}$ decreases the filtration.

### 4.2. The fundamental equation

We know now that the operators T, H, D and $D_{1}$ act on the Grothendieck group $K^{\text {red }}(\mathscr{U})$. It is natural to ask how these operators are related. Here is a relation which is sufficient for our purposes.

Theorem 4.2 (The fundamental equation). For $X \in K^{\text {red }}(\mathscr{U})$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{D}(X))=\mathrm{D}(X)+\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{D}_{1}(X)\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We defer the proof of this theorem for a while, and use it now to verify Proposition 2.2 (which is an essential ingredient in the proof of the conjecture).

Proof of Proposition 2.2. Consider T, D and $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ as operators on $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K_{n}^{\text {red }}(\mathscr{U})$. We need to prove that

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}} \operatorname{ker}(T-1) \geq p^{n}-p^{n-1}
$$

We have

$$
\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{D} X)=\mathrm{D} X \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{D}_{1} X\right)=0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \mathrm{D}_{1} X=0
$$

where the first equivalence comes from the fundamental equation and the second is a consequence of the injectivity of the homomorphism H. By Theorem 4.1, D is an isomorphism. It follows that

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}} \operatorname{ker}(T-1)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}} \operatorname{ker} D_{1}
$$

On the other hand, by definition, $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ maps $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K_{n}^{\text {red }}(\mathscr{U})$ into $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K_{n-1}^{\text {red }}(\mathscr{U})$, so

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}} \operatorname{ker} D_{1} \geq p^{n}-p^{n-1}
$$

The proposition follows.

In order to prove Theorem 4.2, we use the adjunction equivalence of spectra:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{+} \mathbb{Z} / p \wedge X\right) \simeq \mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{+} \mathbb{Z} / p, \mathrm{D} X\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each stable summand $X$ of $B_{+} V$. Theorem 4.2 is now an immediate consequence of the following two propositions.
Proposition 4.1. For $X$ a stable summand of $B_{+} V$, there is an isomorphism of unstable modules:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{H}}^{*} \mathrm{D}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{+} \mathbb{Z} / p \wedge X\right) \cong\left[\overline{\mathrm{H}}^{*} \mathrm{D} X\right] \oplus\left[\mathrm{H} \otimes \overline{\mathrm{H}}^{*} \mathrm{D} X\right] \oplus\left[\mathrm{H} \otimes \overline{\mathrm{H}}^{*} \mathrm{D}_{1} X\right] \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This follows directly from the definition of the operator $D_{1}$.
Proposition 4.2. For $X$ a stable summand of $\mathrm{B}_{+} V$, there is an isomorphism of unstable modules:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{H}}^{*} \mathrm{~F}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{+} \mathbb{Z} / p, \mathrm{D} X\right) \cong\left[\mathrm{TH}^{*} \mathrm{D} X\right] \oplus\left[\mathrm{H} \otimes \overline{\mathrm{H}}^{*} \mathrm{D} X\right] \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of this result makes use of the fact that DX is a suspension spectra (which is a consequence of the Segal conjecture), the theory of Lannes [7], the relation between Lannes' T-functor and Singer's $\mathrm{R}_{s}$-functors [10,15,12], and calculations of the mod $p$ cohomology of infinite loop spaces [3].
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