Algebraic geometry
Twisted cubic curves in the Segre variety
CrossMark

## Courbes rationnelles dans la variété de Segre

Kiryong Chung ${ }^{\text {a }}$, Wanseok Lee ${ }^{\text {b,* }}$<br>${ }^{\text {a }}$ Department of Mathematics Education, Kyungpook National University, 80 Daehakro, Bukgu, Daegu 41566, Republic of Korea<br>${ }^{\text {b }}$ Department of Applied Mathematics, Pukyong National University, Busan 608-737, Republic of Korea

## A R TICLE I N F O

## Article history:

Received 30 April 2015
Accepted after revision 11 September 2015
Available online 23 October 2015
Presented by Claire Voisin

## Keywords:

Rational curves
Stable maps
Stable sheaves


#### Abstract

Let $X=\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ be the Segre variety. Let $\mathbf{S}$ be the space of twisted cubic curves in $X$ with tri-degree $(1,1,1)$. In this note, we prove that $\mathbf{S}$ is a rational, smooth variety of dimension 6. Also, we compute the Poincaré polynomial of $\mathbf{S}$ by stratifying the space into projective space fibration over some base spaces.
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## R É S U M É

Soit $X=\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ la variété de Segre. Soit $\mathbf{S}$ l'espace des courbes cubiques rationnelles de tridegré $(1,1,1)$ dans $X$. Dans cet article, nous prouvons que $\mathbf{S}$ est une variété rationnelle, lisse, de dimension 6. Nous calculons également le polynôme de Poincaré de $\mathbf{S}$ à l'aide d'une stratification dont les strates sont des fibrés projectifs.
© 2015 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction and results

Rational curves in a projective variety $X$ have been studied by many algebraic geometers from various viewpoints: curve counting theory; minimal model program; construction of new varieties. One of the key issues in the research is to compactify the space $\mathbf{R}$ of smooth rational curves in different ways [3,4]. But, in general, the space $\mathbf{R}$ may not be irreducible. When the target space is a homogeneous variety, then it is shown that there exists a unique irreducible component consisting of smooth rational curves in each compactification for a fixed curve class $\beta$ [6]. Let $X$ be the projective variety of the product $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{7}$ embedded by the complete linear system $|\mathcal{O}(1,1,1)|$. Let us fix the curve class of the type $\beta=(1,1,1) \in H_{2}(X)=\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 3}$. In this paper, we consider the compactification of $\mathbf{R}_{\beta}$ in the stable maps space $\mathbf{M}$, stable sheaves space $\mathbf{S}$, and Hilbert scheme $\mathbf{H}$, respectively. In [2], the authors studied the geometry of the spaces $\mathbf{S}$ and $\mathbf{H}$. Concerning the similar situation, the main results of this paper are the following ones.
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## Theorem 1.1.

(1) The spaces are isomorphic to each other:

$$
\mathbf{M} \cong \mathbf{S} \cong \mathbf{H}
$$

(2) The space $\mathbf{S}$ is a smooth, irreducible and rational variety of dimension 6 .

Also we compute the Poincaré polynomial of $\mathbf{S}$ by using the proof of Theorem 1.1 (for detail, see Proposition 3.2).

Remark 1.2. The results of [2, Proposition 4.8] have been strengthened through Theorem 1.1.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

### 2.1. Application of the results in [4]

Since the variety $X=\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ clearly satisfies all conditions stated in [4, Lemma 2.1 ], we can apply the main result in [4]. That is, there exist blow-up/down diagrams among $\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{S}$ and $\mathbf{H}$ :

where the blow-up centers are loci of a multiple cover of lines (or the locus of plane curves) (for detail, see [4]).
First step of the proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $f(C)$ be the image curve of the stable map $[f: C \rightarrow X] \in \mathbf{M}$. By definition, $[f(C)]=(1,1,1) \in H_{2}(X)$ and thus the map is not a multiple cover onto its image. This implies that the blow-up centers in $\mathbf{M}$ are empty. Thus the first isomorphism in item (1) holds by [4, Theorem 1.7]. On the other hand, the blow-up centers in $\mathbf{S}$ are empty because $X$ does not contain any planes and no plane cubic curve. Therefore, the second part of item (1) is proved by [4, Theorem 4.16]. The smoothness of item (2) is exactly Proposition 4.13 in [4]. The irreducibility of $\mathbf{S}$ comes from that of $\mathbf{M}$ [6].

Remark 2.1. In [2], the authors proved that $\mathbf{S}$ is smooth in the complement $\mathbf{S} \backslash D$ such that $D \cong X$ parameterizes the union of three lines meeting at a single point $x \in X$. One checks that $\mathbf{S}$ is smooth everywhere with the help of the computer program Macaulay2 [5] as follow. Without loss of generality, let us assume that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{X}= & \left\langle x_{4} x_{7}-x_{5} x_{6}, x_{2} x_{7}-x_{3} x_{6}, x_{2} x_{5}-x_{3} x_{4}, x_{1} x_{7}-x_{3} x_{5}\right. \\
& \left.x_{1} x_{6}-x_{2} x_{5}, x_{0} x_{7}-x_{2} x_{5}, x_{0} x_{6}-x_{2} x_{4}, x_{0} x_{5}-x_{1} x_{4}, x_{0} x_{3}-x_{1} x_{2}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

where $x_{0}, x_{,} \cdots, x_{7}$ are the homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^{7}$. Also, let us define a union of three lines $C$ by

$$
I_{C}=\left\langle x_{3}, x_{5}, x_{6}, x_{7}, x_{1} x_{2}, x_{1} x_{4}, x_{2} x_{4}\right\rangle
$$

such that the three lines meet at the point $x=[1: 0] \times[1: 0] \times[1: 0]$. Then the tangent space of $\mathbf{S}$ at $\left[\mathcal{O}_{C}\right]$ is isomorphic to

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C}, \mathcal{O}_{C}\right) \cong \mathbb{C}^{6}
$$

and thus $\mathbf{S}$ is smooth at $\left[\mathcal{O}_{C}\right]$. This holds for every point in $D$ because $X$ is homogeneous.

### 2.2. Rationality of the space $\mathbf{S}$

By using the notion of the relative extension, we construct a $\mathbb{P}^{3}$-bundle over an affine space that is birational with the space $\mathbf{S}$. Let us start with the following observation. Let $C \subset X$ be a general twisted cubic curve with the degree $\beta=(1,1,1)$. Then the projection $C_{0}=\pi_{12}(C)$ is a smooth conic where $\pi_{12}: \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ is the projection map into the first two components. Let us consider the surface $S=C_{0} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$. Then clearly $C \subset S$. Hence there exists a structure sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow I_{S, X} \rightarrow I_{C, X} \rightarrow I_{C, S} \rightarrow 0
$$

Remark that $I_{S, X}=\mathcal{O}_{X}(-1,-1,0)$ and $I_{C, S} \cong I_{C^{\prime}, S}=\mathcal{O}_{S}(-1,-1)$ for any twisted cubic curves $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ in $X$ such that $\pi_{12}(C)=\pi_{12}\left(C^{\prime}\right)=C_{0}$. Conversely, let us fix a conic $C_{0}$ and thus the ruled surface $S$. Then there exists a one-to-one correspondence between $I_{C, X}$ 's and the points

$$
P=\mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{1}\left(I_{C, S}, I_{S, X}\right)\right)
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{1}\left(I_{C, S}, \mathcal{O}_{X}(-1,-1,0)\right) & =\operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{2}\left(O_{X}(-1,-1,0), I_{C, S}(-2,-2,-2)\right)^{*} \\
& =H^{2}\left(I_{C, S}(-1,-1,-2)\right)^{*}=H^{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}(-1,-1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{S}(-2,-2)\right)^{*} \\
& =H^{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}(-3,-3)\right)^{*}=H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}(1,1)\right) \cong \mathbb{C}^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $P=\mathbb{P}^{3}$. Let us relativize this situation.
End of the proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $Z \subset \operatorname{Gr}(3,4) \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow \operatorname{Gr}(3,4)$ be the universal family of conics. Let us consider the family of $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ in $X$, which is provided by the direct product

$$
p: \mathcal{S}:=Z \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \subset \operatorname{Gr}(3,4) \times X \rightarrow \operatorname{Gr}(3,4)
$$

Let $q$ be the projection $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow X$. Let

$$
\mathcal{E}:=\mathcal{E} x t_{p}^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}}(-1,-1), q^{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}(-1,-1,0)\right)
$$

be the relative extension sheaf on the space $\operatorname{Gr}(3,4)$. We claim that there exists a birational map

$$
\Psi: \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{S}
$$

provided by the tautological family $\mathcal{K}$ on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ :

$$
0 \rightarrow q^{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}(-1,-1,0) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}}(-1,-1) \rightarrow 0
$$

Let $\pi: \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gr}(3,4)$ be the structure morphism. Then we claim that the map $\Psi$ is well-defined and injective on the locus $\operatorname{Gr}(3,4) \backslash \Delta$ of the smooth conics. Let $\left(C_{0}, \kappa\right) \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ for a smooth conic $C_{0} \in \operatorname{Gr}(3,4)$ and $\kappa(\neq 0) \in$ $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}(-1,-1), \mathcal{O}_{X}(-1,-1,0)\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}(-1,-1), \mathcal{O}_{S}\right)$, where $S=C_{0} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$. By the definition of the pulling-back and the injection $\kappa: \mathcal{O}_{S}(-1,-1) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S}$, we have a commutative diagram

such that $C$ is a rational cubic curve in $X$ with tri-degree $(1,1,1)$. This implies that $\mathcal{K}_{\left(C_{0}, \kappa\right)} \cong I_{C, X}$. The map $\Psi$ restricted on the fiber $\pi^{-1}\left(C_{0}\right)=\mathbb{P}^{3}$ is injective because $\kappa$ parameterizes the conics in $S$. Also, let $C_{0}, C_{1} \in \operatorname{Gr}(3,4)$ be two different smooth conics. Then one can see that the intersection of $C_{0}$ and $C_{1}$ consists of two points. This observation with the structures of $S_{0}$ and $S_{1}$ in $X$ enable us to conclude that the intersection of $S_{0}$ and $S_{1}$ is the union of two lines. Hence there does not exist any cubic curves lying on the intersection part $S_{0} \cap S_{1}$. Finally, the map $\Psi$ is injective on the $\mathbb{P}^{3}$-bundle over $\operatorname{Gr}(3,4) \backslash \Delta$. Since $\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})=\operatorname{dim} S=6$, the map $\Psi$ is generically embedding. Thus we proved the claim.

## 3. Poincaré polynomial of $S$

This section is devoted to compute the Poincaré polynomial of $\mathbf{S}$. The virtual Poincaré polynomial of $X$ is defined by

$$
P(X)=\sum(-1)^{i+j} \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}} \operatorname{gr}_{W}^{j} H_{c}^{i}(X, \mathbb{Q}) q^{i / 2}
$$

where $\operatorname{gr}_{W}^{j} H_{c}^{i}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is the $j$-th weight-graded piece of the mixed Hodge structure on the $i$-th cohomology of $X$ with compact supports. Since odd cohomology groups of moduli spaces of our interest always vanish, their virtual Poincaré polynomial is a polynomial indeed. Let $e(X):=\sum_{i}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{dim} H^{i}(X)$ be the virtual Euler number of the variety $X$. The virtual Poincaré polynomial has the well-known motivic properties:

## Proposition 3.1.

(1) $P(X)=P(X-Z)+P(Z)$ for a closed subvariety $Z$ of $X$.
(2) Let $X$ and $Y$ be quasi-projective varieties. Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a Zariski locally trivial fibration with fiber $F$. Then $P(X)=P(Y) \cdot P(F)$.
(3) Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a bijective morphism. Then $P(X)=P(Y)$.
(4) If $X$ is a smooth and projective variety, then the virtual Poincaré polynomial is the usual one.

In (2), if the fiber is $F=\operatorname{Gr}(k, n)$, the same conclusion holds even though $\pi$ is an analytic fibration [1, Lemma 3.1].

Proposition 3.2. The Poincaré polynomial of $\mathbf{S}$ is given by

$$
1+3 q+7 q^{2}+10 q^{3}+7 q^{4}+3 q^{5}+q^{6}
$$

Proof. Let us consider the map

$$
\Psi: \mathbf{M}=\mathbf{M}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1},(1,1,1)\right) \longrightarrow \mathbf{M}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1},(1,1)\right) \cong \mathbb{P}^{3}
$$

induced by the projection $\pi_{12}: \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ into the first two components. As we have seen in the proof of Theorem 1.1, the map $\Psi$ is a $\mathbb{P}^{3}$-fibration over the complement of the locus $\Delta \cong \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ of degenerated conics. The inverse image $\Psi^{-1}(\Delta)$ consists of two irreducible components

$$
\Psi^{-1}(\Delta)=\Delta_{1} \cup \Delta_{2}
$$

such that $\Delta_{1}$ (resp. $\Delta_{2}$ ) consists of the cubic curves $C=L_{1} \cup Q$ (resp $C=L_{2} \cup Q$ ) where $\left[L_{1}\right]=(1,0,0) \in H_{2}(X)$ and $[Q]=(0,1,1) \in H_{2}(X)$ (resp. $\left[L_{2}\right]=(0,1,0) \in H_{2}(X)$ and $\left.[Q]=(1,0,1) \in H_{2}(X)\right)$. Note that $\Delta_{1} \cong \Delta_{2}$ by switching the lines $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$. Also $\Delta_{1} \cap \Delta_{2}$ consists of the reducible cubic curves $L=L_{1} \cup L_{2} \cup L_{3}$. We show that

$$
\Delta_{1}=A \cup B
$$

where the locus $A$ parameterizes cubic curves $C=L \cup Q$ such that $[L]=(1,0,0) \in H_{2}(X)$ and $[Q]=(0,1,1) \in H_{2}(X)$. Note that $L \cap Q=\{\mathrm{pt}\}$ because $\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{L Q}\right)=1$. Hence one can easily see that $A$ is a $\mathbb{P}^{2}$-bundle over $\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{3}$. The second component $B$ parameterizes the closure of the locus of cubic curves $C=L \cup L_{2} \cup L_{3}$ such that $L_{2} \cap L_{3}=\emptyset$. Therefore, the locus $B$ is a $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-bundle over $\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{3}$. Note that the intersection $A \cap B$ is isomorphic to a $\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{3}$. Summarizing, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(\Delta_{1}\right)=P\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right) \cdot P\left(\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{3}\right)+P\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right) \cdot P\left(\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{3}\right)-P\left(\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{3}\right) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, the intersection $\Delta_{1} \cap \Delta_{2}$ is a union of two irreducible components

$$
\Delta_{1} \cap \Delta_{2}=D \cup E
$$

such that $D$ (resp. E) is the locus of cubic curves $C=L_{1} \cup L_{2} \cup L_{3}$ such $L_{1} \cap L_{2} \neq \emptyset$ (resp. $=\emptyset$ ). Then, by the similar argument as before, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
P\left(\Delta_{1} \cap \Delta_{2}\right) & =P(D)+P(E)-P(D \cap E) \\
& =2 \cdot P\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right) \cdot\left(P\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)\right)^{3}+P\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right) \cdot P\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{3}-2 \cdot P\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{3} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

By equations (1), (2) and Proposition 3.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(\mathbf{M}) & =P\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}\right) \cdot P\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}-\Delta\right)+P\left(\Delta_{1}\right)+P\left(\Delta_{2}\right)-P\left(\Delta_{1} \cap \Delta_{2}\right) \\
& =P\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}\right) \cdot P\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}-\Delta\right)+2 \cdot\left[P\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right) \cdot P\left(\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{3}\right)+P\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right) \cdot P\left(\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{3}\right)-P\left(\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{3}\right)\right]-P\left(\Delta_{1} \cap \Delta_{2}\right) \\
& =1+3 q+7 q^{2}+10 q^{3}+7 q^{4}+3 q^{5}+q^{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\mathbf{S} \cong \mathbf{M}$ is smooth, we proved the claim.
Remark 3.3. In particular, the Euler number of $\mathbf{M}$ is $e(\mathbf{M})=32$; this is obtained using the torus localization technique.
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