



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I

www.sciencedirect.com



Homological algebra/Algebraic geometry

On \mathbb{A}^1 -fundamental groups of isotropic reductive groups

Sur le groupe fondamental au sens de la \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopie des groupes réductifs isotropes

Konrad Voelkel^{a,1}, Matthias Wendt^b^a Mathematisches Institut, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Eckerstraße 1, 79104, Freiburg im Breisgau, Germany^b Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Duisburg-Essen, Thea-Leymann-Strasse 9, 45127 Essen, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 23 October 2015

Accepted after revision 19 January 2016

Available online 24 March 2016

Presented by the Editorial Board

ABSTRACT

For an isotropic reductive group G satisfying a suitable rank condition over an infinite field k , we show that the sections of the \mathbb{A}^1 -fundamental group sheaf of G over an extension field L/k can be identified with the second group homology of $G(L)$. For a split group G , we provide explicit loops representing all elements in the \mathbb{A}^1 -fundamental group. Using \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory, we deduce a Steinberg relation for these explicit loops.

© 2016 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

R É S U M É

Pour un groupe réductif isotrope G défini sur un corps infini k , satisfaisant une condition de rang approprié, nous montrons que l'ensemble des sections du \mathbb{A}^1 -faisceau de groupe fondamental de G sur une extension des corps L/k s'identifient avec la deuxième homologie des groupes de $G(L)$. Pour un groupe déployé G , nous définissons des lacets explicites représentant tous les éléments du groupe \mathbb{A}^1 -fondamental. En utilisant la théorie de la \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopie, on déduit une relation de Steinberg pour ces lacets explicites.

© 2016 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The goal of the present note is to describe the \mathbb{A}^1 -fundamental group sheaves for isotropic reductive groups, improving the computations of [13, Proposition 5.2]. Moreover, for split groups, we obtain more precise information on the \mathbb{A}^1 -fundamental groups by providing explicit loops representing elements in the \mathbb{A}^1 -fundamental groups. The precise statement of our result is the following, cf. Lemma 2.2 and Proposition 3.2.

E-mail addresses: konrad.voelkel@math.uni-freiburg.de (K. Voelkel), matthias.wendt@uni-due.de (M. Wendt).

¹ Konrad Voelkel acknowledges support by the DFG-Forschergruppe 570 (FOR 570) "Algebraic cycles and L-functions".

Theorem 1. *Let k be an infinite field and let G be an isotropic reductive group over k , assuming that all components of the relative root system of G have at least rank 2. Then there is an isomorphism*

$$H_2(G(k), \mathbb{Z}) \cong \pi_1(G(k[\Delta^\bullet])) \cong \pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(G)(k).$$

In the case of split G , the isomorphism can be described by an explicit map

$$K_2^{M(W)}(k) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_1(G(k[\Delta^\bullet])).$$

There, $K_2^{M(W)}$ means K_2^{MW} or K_2^M depending on whether G is symplectic or not.

To prove the result, we use the homotopy invariance of the group homology, cf. [14], and a definition of Steinberg’s groups based on the work by Petrov and Stavrova to identify $H_2(G(k), \mathbb{Z})$ with $\pi_1(G(k[\Delta^\bullet]))$. The results of [1] and [2] on affine excision and descent for isotropic groups relate the latter to \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory. A slightly different approach is described in Remark 2. The Steinberg relation for explicit loops in $H_2(G(k), \mathbb{Z})$ follows from the results of Hu and Kriz.

Using Morel’s theory of strictly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant sheaves [8], we also get the following:

Corollary 1.1. *Let k be an infinite perfect field and let G be as above. Then the assignment $L/k \mapsto H_2(G(L), \mathbb{Z})$ extends to a strictly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant sheaf of Abelian groups.*

Another implication of the above theorem is that Rehmann’s computation of $H_2(\mathrm{SL}_n(D), \mathbb{Z})$, cf. [10], can be seen as a description of $\pi_1^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathrm{SL}_n(D))$, for $n \geq 3$. The corollary implies the existence of well-behaved residue maps on $H_2(\mathrm{SL}_n(D), \mathbb{Z})$, which seem to be new.

2. Preliminaries

In this article, we always assume k to be an infinite field. We consider reductive groups G over k , and we assume that they are isotropic, as in [9], so that all irreducible components of the relative root system of such G are of rank at least 2. This implies that the results of [9] and [2] are applicable.

For a commutative unital k -algebra R , the (abstract) group of R -points of the group scheme G is denoted by $G(R)$. The elementary subgroup $E(R) \subset G(R)$ is defined, as in [9, §1], as being the subgroup of $G(R)$ generated by R -points of unipotent radicals of opposite parabolics P^+, P^- of G . By [9, Theorem 1], $E(R)$ is normal in $G(R)$, and by [6, Theorem 1], the group $E(R)$ is perfect. Moreover, by [11, Theorem 1.3], $K_1^G(R) := G(R)/E(R)$ is invariant under polynomial extensions.

Definition 2.1. Let G be an isotropic reductive group over a commutative ring R . We define the Steinberg group $\mathrm{St}^G(R)$ to be the abstract group generated by elements $\widetilde{X}_A(u)$, $u \in V_A(R)$ subject to the commutator formulas from [9, Lemma 9, 10]. We define the group $K_2^G(R) := \ker(\mathrm{St}^G(R) \rightarrow E^G(R))$.

Remark 1. It is known that $K_2^G(k[\Delta^n]) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{St}^G(k[\Delta^n]) \twoheadrightarrow E^G(k[\Delta^n])$ is a universal central extension for G split of type $A_l, l \geq 3$ (van der Kallen), $C_l, l \geq 3$ (Lavrenov) and E_l (Sinchuk). It is not even a central extension for split rank-2 groups.

Using the standard cosimplicial object given by polynomial rings, one can associate a simplicial group with the reductive group G and a unital commutative k -algebra A , cf. [5]. This is denoted by $G(A[\Delta^\bullet])$ or (more commonly in the \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy literature) by $\mathrm{Sing}_\bullet^{\mathbb{A}^1}(G)(A)$. The \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy groups of an isotropic reductive group can be computed from the singular resolution, cf. [2, Corollary 4.3.3].

Lemma 2.2. *Let k be an infinite field and let G be an isotropic reductive group over k .*

Then $\mathrm{Sing}_\bullet^{\mathbb{A}^1}(G)$ has affine Nisnevich excision in the sense of [1, Definition 3.2.1] and there are isomorphisms

$$\pi_i(\mathrm{Sing}_\bullet^{\mathbb{A}^1}(G)(A)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_i^{\mathbb{A}^1}(G)(A)$$

for any essentially smooth k -algebra A and any $i \geq 0$.

Remark 2. Alternatively, one can prove the affine Nisnevich excision exactly as in [13, Theorem 4.10], using homotopy invariance for unstable K_1^G of isotropic groups from [11, Theorem 1.3]. The above result then follows from the general representability result [1, Theorem 3.3.5]. This was the approach taken in an earlier version of the present paper (arXiv:1207.2364v1), before the appearance of [1,2].

3. The second homology as a fundamental group

We now show how homotopy invariance for homology of linear groups can be used to identify the fundamental group of the singular resolution $G(k[\Delta^\bullet])$ with the second group homology. We define for an isotropic reductive group G simplicial groups $G(k[\Delta^\bullet])$, $E^G(k[\Delta^\bullet])$ and $\text{St}^G(k[\Delta^\bullet])$ associated with the group, its elementary subgroup and its Steinberg group. The first thing to note is that homotopy invariance of K_1^G implies an isomorphism $\pi_1(G(k[\Delta^\bullet])) \cong \pi_1(E(k[\Delta^\bullet]))$, which allows us to work with $E(k[\Delta^\bullet])$ henceforth. We define further simplicial objects: denote by $K_2^G(k[\Delta^\bullet])$ the singular resolution of the functor

$$A \mapsto K_2^G(A) := \ker \left(\text{St}^G(A) \rightarrow E^G(A) \right),$$

by $\text{UE}^G(k[\Delta^\bullet])$ the singular resolution of the functor $A \mapsto \text{UE}^G(A)$, which assigns to each algebra A the universal central extension $\text{UE}^G(A)$ of the perfect group $E^G(A)$, and by $H_2^G(k[\Delta^\bullet])$ the singular resolution of the functor

$$A \mapsto H_2^G(A) := H_2(G(A), \mathbb{Z}) = \ker \left(\text{UE}^G(A) \rightarrow E^G(A) \right).$$

We chose slightly unusual notation in H_2^G to distinguish the above object from $H_2(G(k[\Delta^\bullet]), \mathbb{Z})$, which has a different meaning.

With these notations, we have the following.

Lemma 3.1. *There are fibre sequences of simplicial sets:*

$$\begin{aligned} H_2^G(k[\Delta^\bullet]) &\rightarrow \text{UE}^G(k[\Delta^\bullet]) \rightarrow E^G(k[\Delta^\bullet]), \text{ and} \\ K_2^G(k[\Delta^\bullet]) &\rightarrow \text{St}^G(k[\Delta^\bullet]) \rightarrow E^G(k[\Delta^\bullet]). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It follows from Moore’s lemma, e.g., [3, Lemma 1.3.4], that the morphisms $\text{UE}^G(k[\Delta^\bullet]) \rightarrow E^G(k[\Delta^\bullet])$ and $\text{St}^G(k[\Delta^\bullet]) \rightarrow E^G(k[\Delta^\bullet])$ are fibrations of fibrant simplicial sets. The fibres are by definition $H_2^G(k[\Delta^\bullet])$ and $K_2^G(k[\Delta^\bullet])$, respectively. \square

Proposition 3.2. *Let k be an infinite field, and let G be an isotropic reductive group over k . Then the boundary morphism $\Omega E^G(k[\Delta^\bullet]) \rightarrow H_2^G(k[\Delta^\bullet])$ associated with the fibration $\text{UE}^G(k[\Delta^\bullet]) \rightarrow E^G(k[\Delta^\bullet])$ induces an isomorphism:*

$$\pi_1(E^G(k[\Delta^\bullet]), 1) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_2(G(k), \mathbb{Z}).$$

If the Steinberg group does not have non-trivial central extensions, i.e. for all n

$$\text{St}^G(k[\Delta^n]) / \left[K_2^G(k[\Delta^n]), \text{St}^G(k[\Delta^n]) \right] \rightarrow E^G(k[\Delta^n])$$

is the universal central extension, then the boundary morphism $\Omega E^G(k[\Delta^\bullet]) \rightarrow K_2^G(k[\Delta^\bullet])$ associated with the fibration $\text{St}^G(k[\Delta^\bullet]) \rightarrow E^G(k[\Delta^\bullet])$ induces an isomorphism

$$\pi_1(E^G(k[\Delta^\bullet]), 1) \xrightarrow{\sim} K_2^G(k).$$

Proof. By [14, Theorem 1.1], all the usual maps (inclusion of constants, evaluation at 0) induce the isomorphisms $H_2(G(k), \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_2(G(k[T]), \mathbb{Z})$. Therefore, we have

$$\pi_0(H_2^G(k[\Delta^\bullet])) = H_2(G(k), \mathbb{Z}), \text{ and } \pi_1(H_2^G(k[\Delta^\bullet])) = 0.$$

Moreover, $E^G(k)$ and $\text{St}^G(k)$ are generated by $X_A(u)$, $u \in V_A$. These elements are all homotopic to the identity by the homotopy $X_A(uT)$. Therefore,

$$\pi_0(E^G(k[\Delta^\bullet])) \cong \pi_0(\text{St}^G(k[\Delta^\bullet])) = 0.$$

The long exact sequence associated with the first fibre sequence from Lemma 3.1 yields via the above computations a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_1(\text{UE}^G(k[\Delta^\bullet])) \rightarrow \pi_1(E^G(k[\Delta^\bullet])) \rightarrow \pi_0(H_2^G(k[\Delta^\bullet])) \rightarrow 0.$$

Now let $\widetilde{E}^G(k[\Delta^\bullet]) \rightarrow E^G(k[\Delta^\bullet])$ be the universal covering of the simplicial group $E^G(k[\Delta^\bullet])$. This has the structure of a simplicial group, and by uniqueness of liftings is degree-wise a central extension by $\pi_1(E^G(k[\Delta^\bullet]))$. Therefore, the above injective map factors as $\pi_1(\text{UE}^G(k[\Delta^\bullet])) \rightarrow \pi_1(\widetilde{E}^G(k[\Delta^\bullet])) \rightarrow \pi_1(E^G(k[\Delta^\bullet]))$, which together with $\pi_1(\widetilde{E}^G(k[\Delta^\bullet])) = 0$ implies the required isomorphism.

The second claim concerning K_2 follows by the same argument, replacing UE^G by

$$\text{St}^G(k[\Delta^n]) / [K_2^G(k[\Delta^n]), \text{St}^G(k[\Delta^n])]. \quad \square$$

Remark 3. It should be noted that the isomorphism in Proposition 3.2 has been established in the case of Chevalley groups over algebraically closed fields in [5, Theorem 2.1]. Jardine’s proof uses the spectral sequence for the homology of $G(k[\Delta^\bullet])$ to establish this isomorphism. This is not too far away from our proof above; however, there are better methods available now to establish the necessary \mathbb{A}^1 -invariance of H_2 .

4. Explicit description of loops and relations

Fix a root system Φ . For a commutative unital ring R , denote $G(\Phi, R)$ the split Chevalley group, $E(\Phi, R)$ its elementary subgroup and $St(\Phi, R)$ its Steinberg group. We now describe explicit loops in $\pi_1(G(\Phi, k[\Delta^\bullet]))$, which is a direct translation of the Steinberg symbols for H_2 . This also gives rise to an explicit isomorphism $H_2(G(\Phi, k), \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_1(G(\Phi, k[\Delta^\bullet]), 1)$

Definition 4.1. For every $\alpha \in \Phi$, we denote by $x_\alpha(u)$ the corresponding root group elements and then define morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} X^\alpha &: \mathbb{G}_a(R) \rightarrow E(\Phi, R[T]), & R \ni u &\mapsto X_T^\alpha(u) := x_\alpha(Tu), \\ W^\alpha &: \mathbb{G}_m(R) \rightarrow E(\Phi, R[T]), & R^\times \ni u &\mapsto W_T^\alpha(u) := X_T^\alpha(u)X_T^{-\alpha}(-u^{-1})X_T^\alpha(u), \\ H^\alpha &: \mathbb{G}_m(R) \rightarrow E(\Phi, R[T]), & R^\times \ni u &\mapsto H_T^\alpha(u) := W_T^\alpha(u)W_T^\alpha(1)^{-1}, \\ C^\alpha &: \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow E(\Phi, R[T]), \\ R^\times \times R^\times \ni (a, b) &\mapsto C_T^\alpha(a, b) := H_T^\alpha(a)H_T^\alpha(b)H_T^\alpha(ab)^{-1} \in E(\Phi, R[T]). \end{aligned}$$

We will use the same letters with an additional tilde to denote the corresponding lifts to $St(\Phi, R[\Delta^\bullet])$.

Example 1. We give an example of the “symbol loops” in the group SL_2 . With the obvious choice $x_\alpha(u) = e_{12}(u)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_T^\alpha(u, v) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + T(T^2 - 1) \frac{(1-u)(1-v)}{u^2v} D_T^\alpha(u, v), \text{ where} \\ D_T^\alpha(u, v) &= \begin{pmatrix} u(1-u)T(T^2 - 1)(T^2 - 2) & -vu^2((T^2 - 1)^2(1-u) + u(T^2 - 2)) \\ (1-u)(T^2 - 1)^2 - 1 & -uv(1-u)T(T^2 - 1)(T^2 - 2) \end{pmatrix} \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4. Philosophically, what is happening here is the following: choosing a maximal torus S in G , the associated root system and root subgroups x_α allows us to write down a contraction of the (elementary part of the) torus, i.e. a homotopy $H: S \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow G$, where $H(-, 0)$ factors through the identity $1 \in G$ and $H(-, 1)$ is the inclusion of S as maximal torus of G . This is nothing but a more elaborate version of the lemma of Whitehead. After fixing such a contraction, there is a preferred choice of path $H(u)$ for any $u \in S$. Given two units in the torus, one can concatenate the paths $H(u)$, $uH(v)$ and $H(uv)^{-1}$ to obtain a loop. This is basically what happens in Definition 4.1.

The translation between elements (and symbols) in the Steinberg group and loops (and symbol loops) in the singular resolution $G(\Phi, k[\Delta^\bullet])$ is given as in covering space theory:

- (i) an element of the Steinberg group is given by a product $\tilde{y} = \prod_i \tilde{x}_{\alpha_i}(u_i)$. Setting $y_T = \prod_i x_\alpha(Tu_i)$ produces a path in $E(\Phi, R[T])$. If \tilde{y} is in the kernel of the projection $St(\Phi, R) \rightarrow E(\Phi, R)$, the path y_T is in fact a loop;
- (ii) a path $y_T \in E(\Phi, k[T])$ with $y_T(0) = 1$ can be factored as a product of elementary matrices $\prod_i x_{\alpha_i}(f_i(T))$, which in turn can be lifted to $St(\Phi, k[T])$. Evaluating at $T = 1$ yields an element $\prod_i \tilde{x}_{\alpha_i}(f_i(1)) \in St(\Phi, R)$. If the path y_T was in fact a loop, then the resulting element $\prod_i \tilde{x}_{\alpha_i}(f_i(1)) \in St(\Phi, R)$ lies in fact in the kernel of the projection $St(\Phi, R) \rightarrow E(\Phi, R)$.

It is then possible to derive elementary relations between the above loops in just the same way as the relations for Steinberg symbols in [7]. The contraction of the torus $H_T^\alpha(u)$ is chosen such that $H_T^\alpha(1)$ is the constant loop. From this, it follows immediately that $C_T^\alpha(x, 1) = C_T^\alpha(1, x) = 1$ for all $x, y \in k^\times$. The symbol loops $C_T^\alpha(x, y)$ in $G(\Phi, k[T])$ are not central on the nose, but are central up to homotopy because the fundamental group of a simplicial group is Abelian, and conjugation by paths acts trivially on the fundamental group. Then the conjugation formulas in [7, Lemma 5.2] can be translated into statements of homotopies between corresponding products of paths $W_T^\alpha(u)$ resp. $H_T^\alpha(u)$. In particular, the (weak) bilinearity of symbol loops in the fundamental group can be proved exactly as in [7]. For details, cf. [12]. It is not clear how to prove the Steinberg relation simply by computing with loops and homotopies inside $E(k[\Delta^\bullet])$. We derive a general Steinberg relation from \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory in the next section.

5. The Steinberg relation from \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory

In the case of split groups, the Steinberg relation in $H_2(G(k), \mathbb{Z})$ can be deduced from \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy as follows. We denote by Σ and Ω the simplicial suspension and loop space functors, respectively.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $C : \mathbb{G}_m \wedge \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \Omega G_\bullet$ be any morphism with G_\bullet a simplicial group satisfying the affine Nisnevich excision. Let $s : \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0, 1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \wedge \mathbb{G}_m$ be the Steinberg morphism $a \mapsto (a, 1 - a)$. Then the composition of C with the Steinberg morphism $C \circ s : \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0, 1\} \rightarrow \Omega G_\bullet$ has trivial homotopy class in the simplicial and \mathbb{A}^1 -local homotopy category.*

Proof. We have the natural adjunction $[\Sigma X, Y] \cong [X, \Omega Y]$ both in the simplicial and \mathbb{A}^1 -local homotopy category. Choose a fibrant resolution $r : G_\bullet \rightarrow \text{Ex}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^\infty(G_\bullet)$. Under the adjunction, the morphism $r \circ C \circ s$ corresponds to the composition

$$\Sigma \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0, 1\} \xrightarrow{\Sigma s} \Sigma \mathbb{G}_m \wedge \mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{C^{\text{ad}}} \text{Ex}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^\infty(G_\bullet).$$

By [4, Prop. 1], this composition factors through the \mathbb{A}^1 -contractible space $\Sigma \mathbb{A}^1$ and is therefore trivial. More specifically, we have the following equality in $[\Sigma \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0, 1\}, \text{Ex}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^\infty(G_\bullet)]_{\mathbb{A}^1}$:

$$r \circ C^{\text{ad}} \circ \Sigma s = r \circ C^{\text{ad}} \circ \Sigma \tilde{s} \circ \Sigma \iota = r \circ C^{\text{ad}} \circ 0 = 0.$$

This implies the \mathbb{A}^1 -local statement. The simplicial statement follows from [1, Theorem 3.3.5], which gives a bijection

$$[\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0, 1\}, G_\bullet]_S \cong [\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0, 1\}, \text{Ex}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^\infty(G_\bullet)]_{\mathbb{A}^1}. \quad \square$$

The result and Lemma 2.2 imply that for split G , all the loops $C^\alpha(u, 1 - u)$, $u \in k^\times$, described in Section 3 are contractible in the singular resolution $G(k[\Delta^\bullet])$: the symbol $C^\alpha(x, y)$ can be interpreted as a morphism of simplicial groups $\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \Omega \text{Sing}_{\bullet}^{\mathbb{A}^1} G$. But since $C^\alpha(1, y) = C^\alpha(x, 1) = 1$ is equal to the identity, this morphism factors through a morphism of simplicial presheaves $\mathbb{G}_m \wedge \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \Omega \text{Sing}_{\bullet}^{\mathbb{A}^1} G$. The above corollary then yields the Steinberg relation. Even better, since $\text{Sing}_{\bullet}^{\mathbb{A}^1} G$ has affine excision, there is a single algebraic morphism $\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0, 1\} \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow G$ realizing all the Steinberg loops $C^\alpha(u, 1 - u)$, $u \in k^\times \setminus \{1\}$ at once; and there is a single algebraic homotopy $(\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0, 1\} \times \mathbb{A}^1) \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow G$ providing all the contractions of the Steinberg loops at once. This is one instance where a computation in group homology can be deduced from \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory.

We want to point out the following generalization of the Steinberg relation for non-split groups. Let D be a central simple algebra over k . There is an associated reduced norm which can be interpreted as a regular morphism $\text{Nrd}_D : \mathbb{A}^{\dim D} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$. In $\mathbb{A}^{\dim D}$ we have two open subschemes, the linear algebraic group $\text{GL}_1(D)$ defined by $\text{Nrd}_D(u) \neq 0$, and another open subscheme \mathcal{U}_D defined by $\text{Nrd}_D(u) \neq 0$ and $\text{Nrd}_D(1 - u) \neq 0$. There is an obvious analogue of the Steinberg morphism:

$$s_D : \mathcal{U}_D \rightarrow \text{GL}_1(D) \times \text{GL}_1(D) \rightarrow \text{GL}_1(D) \wedge \text{GL}_1(D) : u \mapsto (u, 1 - u).$$

Proposition 5.2. *Let $s_D : \mathcal{U}_D \rightarrow \text{GL}_1(D) \wedge \text{GL}_1(D)$ be the Steinberg morphism defined above. Then there exists a space \mathcal{X}_D and a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{U}_D & \xrightarrow{s_D} & \text{GL}_1(D) \wedge \text{GL}_1(D) \\ \downarrow \iota & & \downarrow \psi_D \\ \mathbb{A}^{\dim D} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{s}_D} & \mathcal{X}_D \end{array}$$

with the suspension $\Sigma \psi_D$ of ψ_D being an \mathbb{A}^1 -local weak equivalence.

Proof. The argument is the same as in [4, Prop. 1], replacing \mathbb{A}^1 by $\mathbb{A}^{\dim D}$, \mathbb{G}_m by $\text{GL}_1(D)$, and $\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0, 1\}$ by \mathcal{U}_D . The varieties V and W have to be replaced by $\mathcal{V}_D = [y - 1 = x \cdot_D z, y \neq 0]$ and $\mathcal{W}_D = [x - 1 = y \cdot_D z, x \neq 0]$. The space \mathcal{X}_D is then the pushout $\mathcal{V}_D \cup_{\text{GL}_1(D) \times \text{GL}_1(D)} \mathcal{W}_D$. \square

This provides an \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy proof of the Steinberg relation in $H_2(\text{SL}_n(D), \mathbb{Z})$, $n \geq 3$. All Steinberg relations are given by a single algebraic map $\mathcal{U}_D \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \text{SL}_n(D)$, and they are all contracted by a single (inexplicit) algebraic homotopy $(\mathcal{U}_D \times \mathbb{A}^1) \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \text{SL}_n(D)$.

Acknowledgements

The results presented here originate from the first named author’s Diplomarbeit [12] at the Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg.

References

- [1] A. Asok, M. Hoyois, M. Wendt, Affine representability results in \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory I: vector bundles, ArXiv preprint, arXiv:1506.07093, 2015.
- [2] A. Asok, M. Hoyois, M. Wendt, Affine representability results in \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory II: principal bundles and homogeneous spaces, ArXiv preprint, arXiv:1507.08020, 2015.
- [3] P.G. Goerss, J.F. Jardine, *Simplicial Homotopy Theory*, Prog. Math., vol. 174, Birkhäuser, 1999.
- [4] P. Hu, I. Kriz, The Steinberg relation in \mathbb{A}^1 -stable homotopy, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* (17) (2001) 907–912.
- [5] J.F. Jardine, On the homotopy groups of algebraic groups, *J. Algebra* 81 (1) (1983) 180–201.
- [6] A.Yu. Luzgarev, A.A. Stavrova, The elementary subgroup of an isotropic reductive group is perfect, *Algebra Anal.* 23 (5) (2011) 140–154; translation in *St. Petersburg Math. J.* 23 (5) (2012) 881–890.
- [7] H. Matsumoto, Sur les sous-groupes arithmétiques des groupes semi-simples déployés, *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Super.* (4) 2 (1969) 1–62.
- [8] F. Morel, \mathbb{A}^1 -Algebraic Topology over a Field, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 2052, Springer, 2012.
- [9] V.A. Petrov, A. Stavrova, Elementary subgroups in isotropic reductive groups, *Algebra Anal.* 20 (4) (2008) 160–188; translation in *St. Petersburg Math. J.* 20 (4) (2009) 625–644.
- [10] U. Rehmann, Zentrale Erweiterungen der speziellen linearen Gruppe eines Schiefkörpers, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 301 (1978) 77–104.
- [11] A. Stavrova, Homotopy invariance of non-stable K_1 -functors, *J. K-Theory* 13 (2) (2014) 199–248.
- [12] K. Voelkel, Matsumotos Satz und \mathbb{A}^1 -Homotopietheorie, Diplomarbeit, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, 2011.
- [13] M. Wendt, \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy of Chevalley groups, *J. K-Theory* 5 (2) (2010) 245–287.
- [14] M. Wendt, On homology of linear groups over $k[t]$, *Math. Res. Lett.* 21 (6) (2014) 1483–1500.