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#### Abstract

In this note, we investigate the existence of frames of exponentials for $L^{2}(\Omega)$ in the setting of LCA groups. Our main result shows that sub-multitiling properties of $\Omega \subset \widehat{G}$ with respect to a uniform lattice $\Gamma$ of $\widehat{G}$ guarantee the existence of a frame of exponentials with frequencies in a finite number of translates of the annihilator of $\Gamma$. We also prove the converse of this result and provide conditions for the existence of these frames. These conditions extend recent results on Riesz bases of exponentials and multitilings to frames.
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## RÉS U M É

Dans cette note, nous étudions l'existence de trames d'exponentielles pour $L^{2}(\Omega)$ dans le cadre des groupes abéliens localement compacts. Notre résultat principal montre que les propriétés de sous-multipavage de $\Omega \subset \widehat{G}$ par rapport à un réseau $\Gamma$ de $\widehat{G}$ garantissent l'existence d'une trame d'exponentielles dont les fréquences appartiennent à une union finie de translatés de l'annulateur de $\Gamma$. On prouve aussi la réciproque de ce résultat et on donne des conditions pour l'existence de ces trames. Ces conditions étendent des résultats récents sur les bases de Riesz d'exponentielles et les multipavages au cadre des trames.
© 2017 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.
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## 1. Introduction and main result

We begin by stating several known results.

- Let $\Omega$ be a measurable subset of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ with positive, finite measure, let $\Lambda$ be a complete lattice of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ (i.e. $\Lambda=A \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ for some $d \times d$ invertible matrix $A$ with real entries), and denote by $\Gamma$ the annihilator of $\Lambda$. Recall that $\Gamma=\left\{\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{d}\right.$ : $\left.\mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i}\langle\lambda, \gamma\rangle}=1, \forall \lambda \in \Lambda\right\}$. In 1974, B. Fuglede ([5], Section 6) proved that $\left\{\mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i}\langle\lambda, \cdot\rangle}: \lambda \in \Lambda\right\}$ is an orthogonal basis for $L^{2}(\Omega)$ if and only if $(\Omega, \Gamma)$ is a tiling pair for $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, that is $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \chi_{\Omega}(x+\gamma)=1$, a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$.
- The result of B. Fuglede just stated also holds in the setting of locally compact abelian (LCA) groups. Let $G$ be a second countable LCA group, and let $\Lambda$ be a uniform lattice in $G$ (i.e. $\Lambda$ is a discrete and co-compact subgroup of $G$ ). Denote by $\widehat{G}$ the dual group of $G$. For a character $\omega \in \widehat{G}$, we use the notation $e_{g}(\omega)=\omega(g)$, for $g \in G$. Let $\Gamma$ be the annihilator of $\Lambda$ (i.e. $\Gamma=\left\{\gamma \in \widehat{G}: e_{\lambda}(\gamma)=1\right.$ for all $\left.\lambda \in \Lambda\right\}$ ). The dual group $\widehat{G}$ of $G$ is also a second countable LCA group, and $\Gamma$ is also a uniform lattice. Let $\Omega$ be a measurable subset of $\widehat{G}$ with positive and finite measure. In 1987, S. Pedersen ([10], Theorem 3.6) proved that $\left\{e_{\lambda}: \lambda \in \Lambda\right\}$ is an orthogonal basis for $L^{2}(\Omega)$ if and only if $(\Omega, \Gamma)$ is a tiling pair for $\widehat{G}$, that is $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \chi_{\Omega}(\omega+\gamma)=1$, a.e. $\omega \in \widehat{G}$.
- Recent results in this area focused on multitiling pairs. Let $\Omega$ be a bounded, measurable subset of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, and let $\Gamma$ be a lattice of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. If there exists a positive integer $\ell$ such that

$$
\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \chi_{\Omega}(x+\gamma)=\ell, \quad \text { a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}
$$

we will say that $(\Omega, \Gamma)$ is a multitiling pair, or an $\ell$-tiling pair for $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. For a lattice $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\ell} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, let

$$
E_{\Lambda}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\ell}\right):=\left\{\mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i}\left\langle a_{j}+\lambda, \cdot\right\rangle}: j=1, \ldots, \ell ; \lambda \in \Lambda\right\}
$$

S. Grepstad and N. Lev ([6], Theorem 1) proved in 2014 that if $\Gamma$ is the annihilator of $\Lambda, \Omega$ is a bounded, measurable subset of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ whose boundary has measure zero, and $(\Omega, \Gamma)$ is a multi-tiling pair for $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, then there exists a Riesz basis for $L^{2}(\Omega)$ of exponential functions, where the set of frequencies is a Meyer quasicrystal (cut-and-project set). In 2015, M. Kolountzakis ([9], Theorem 1) found a simpler and shorter proof without the assumption that the boundary of $\Omega$ has measure zero, and he showed that the set of frequencies can be chosen to be a finite union of translates of $\Lambda$. For the reader's convenience, we recall that a countable collection of elements $\Phi=\left\{\phi_{j}: j \in J\right\}$ of a Hilbert space $\mathbb{H}$ is a Riesz basis for $\mathbb{H}$ if it is the image of an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{H}$ under a bounded, invertible operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H})$. Riesz bases provide stable representations of elements of $\mathbb{H}$.

- This result has been extended to second countable LCA groups by E. Agora, J. Antezana, and C. Cabrelli ([1], Theorem 4.1). Moreover, they prove the converse ([1], Theorem 4.4): with the same notation as in the second item of this section, given a relatively compact subset $\Omega$ of $\widehat{G}$, if $L^{2}(\Omega)$ admits a Riesz basis of the form

$$
E_{\Lambda}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\ell}\right):=\left\{e_{a_{j}+\lambda}: j=1,2, \ldots, \ell ; \lambda \in \Lambda\right\}
$$

for some $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\ell} \in G$, then $(\Omega, \Gamma)$ is an $\ell$-tiling pair for $\widehat{G}$.
The purpose of this note is to investigate the situation when $(\Omega, \Gamma)$ is a sub-multitiling pair for $\widehat{G}$. Let $\Omega$ be a measurable set in $\widehat{G}$ with positive and finite Haar measure. For $\Gamma$ a lattice in $\widehat{G}$ and $\omega \in \widehat{G}$ define

$$
F_{\Omega, \Gamma}(\omega):=\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \chi_{\Omega}(\omega+\gamma)
$$

If there exists a positive integer $\ell$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ess}_{\sup _{\omega \in \widehat{G}} F_{\Omega, \Gamma}(\omega)=\ell, ~} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

we will say that $(\Omega, \Gamma)$ is a sub-multitiling pair or an $\ell$-subtiling pair.
Denote by $Q_{\Gamma}$ a fundamental domain of the lattice $\Gamma$ in $\widehat{G}$, i.e. it is a Borel measurable section of the quotient group $\widehat{G} / \Gamma$. (Its existence is guaranteed by Theorem 1 in [4].) Since $F_{\Omega, \Gamma}(\omega)$ is a $\Gamma$-periodic function, it is enough to compute the ess sup in (1.1) over a fundamental domain $Q_{\Gamma}$. Observe that $(\Omega, \Gamma)$ is an $\ell$-tiling pair for $\widehat{G}$ if $F_{\Omega, \Gamma}(\omega)=\ell$ for a.e. $\omega \in Q_{\Gamma}$.

Another structure that allows for stable representations, besides orthonormal and Riesz bases, is that of a frame. A collection of elements $\Phi=\left\{\phi_{j}: j \in J\right\}$ of a Hilbert space $\mathbb{H}$ is a frame for $\mathbb{H}$ if it is the image of an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{H}$ under a bounded, surjective operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H})$ or, equivalently, if there exist $0<A \leq B<\infty$ such that

$$
A\|f\|^{2} \leq \sum_{j \in J}\left|\left\langle f, \phi_{j}\right\rangle\right|^{2} \leq B\|f\|^{2}, \quad \text { for all } f \in \mathbb{H}
$$

(See [11], Chapter 4, Section 7.) The numbers $A$ and $B$ are called frame bounds of $\Phi$.

In this note, we prove the following relationship between frames of exponentials in LCA groups and $\ell$-subtiling pairs.
Theorem 1.1. Let $G$ be a second countable LCA group and let $\Lambda$ be a uniform lattice of $G$. Let $\widehat{G}$ be the dual group of $G$, and let $\Gamma$ be the annihilator of $\Lambda$. Let $\Omega \subset \widehat{G}$ be a measurable set of positive, finite measure, and let $\ell$ be a positive integer.
(1) If for some $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\ell} \in G$, the collection $E_{\Lambda}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\ell}\right)$ is a frame of $L^{2}(\Omega)$, then $(\Omega, \Gamma)$ must be an m-subtiling pair of $\widehat{G}$ for some positive integer $m \leq \ell$.
(2) If $\Omega \subseteq \widehat{G}$ is a measurable, bounded set and $(\Omega, \Gamma)$ is an $\ell$-subtiling pair of $\widehat{G}$, then there exist $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\ell} \in G$ such that $E_{\Lambda}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\ell}\right)$ is a frame of $L^{2}(\Omega)$.

Remark 1.2. Recall that any locally compact and second countable group is metrizable, and its metric can be chosen to be invariant under the group action (see [8], Theorem 8.3). Thus, it makes sense to talk about bounded sets in the group $\widehat{G}$.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 will be given in Section 2. In Section 3 we give other conditions for a set of exponentials of the form $E_{\Lambda}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\ell}\right)$ to be a frame of $L^{2}(\Omega)$ and provide expressions to compute the frame bounds.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We start with a result that will be used in the proof of part (2) of Theorem 1.1
Proposition 2.1. If $\Omega$ is a measurable, bounded set in $\widehat{G}$ and $\Gamma$ is a uniform lattice in $\widehat{G}$ such that $(\Omega, \Gamma)$ is an $\ell$-subtiling pair for $\widehat{G}$, there exists $a$ bounded measurable set $\Delta \subset \widehat{G}$ such that $\Omega \subset \Delta$ and $(\Delta, \Gamma)$ is an $\ell$-tiling pair for $\widehat{G}$.

Proof. Let $Q_{\Gamma}$ be a fundamental domain of $\Gamma$ in $\widehat{G}$. Modifying $\Omega$ in a set of measure zero, we can assume that $\sup _{\omega \in Q_{\Gamma}} F_{\Omega, \Gamma}(\omega)=\ell$. Define $\widetilde{\Gamma}=\left\{\gamma \in \Gamma: \omega+\gamma \in \Omega\right.$ for some $\left.\omega \in Q_{\Gamma}\right\}$. Since $\Omega$ is bounded, the set $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ is finite and, by the definition of $\ell$-subtiling pair, has at least $\ell$ different elements.

Set $Q_{k}=\left\{\omega \in Q_{\Gamma}: F_{\Omega, \Gamma}(\omega)=k\right\}$ for $k=0,1, \ldots, \ell$. Clearly,

$$
Q_{\Gamma}=\bigcup_{k=0}^{\ell} Q_{k}
$$

and the union is disjoint.
Now, for $k=1, \ldots, \ell$, let $\mathcal{B}_{k}=\{B \subset \widetilde{\Gamma}: \# B=k\}$. For $B \in \mathcal{B}_{k}$ set

$$
Q_{k}(B)=\left\{\omega \in Q_{k}: \omega+\gamma \in \Omega, \text { for all } \gamma \in B\right\}
$$

Since $\Omega$ is measurable, $Q_{k}$ is measurable and since $Q_{k}(B)=\bigcap_{\gamma \in B}\left((\Omega-\gamma) \cap Q_{k}\right)$, then $Q_{k}(B)$ is also measurable. Observe that the collection $\mathcal{B}_{k}$ is finite since $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ is finite. Also, if $B$ and $B^{\prime}$ are different sets in $\mathcal{B}_{k}$, then $Q_{k}(B) \cap Q_{k}\left(B^{\prime}\right)=\emptyset$. Indeed, if $\omega \in Q_{k}(B) \cap Q_{k}\left(B^{\prime}\right), \omega+\gamma \in \Omega$ for all $\gamma \in B$ and $\omega+\gamma^{\prime} \in \Omega$ for all $\gamma^{\prime} \in B^{\prime}$. Since $B \neq B^{\prime}$, there exists $\gamma_{1} \in B^{\prime} \backslash B$. Then, since $\omega \in Q_{k}$,

$$
k=\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \chi_{\Omega}(\omega+\gamma) \geq \sum_{\gamma \in B} \chi_{\Omega}(\omega+\gamma)+\chi_{\Omega}\left(\omega+\gamma_{1}\right)=k+1
$$

which is a contradiction. Observe that $Q_{k}=\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}_{k}} Q_{k}(B), k=1, \ldots, \ell$, and the union is disjoint. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega=\bigcup_{k=1}^{\ell} \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}_{k}} \bigcup_{\gamma \in B} Q_{k}(B)+\gamma, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the union is disjoint.
 of $\ell$ different elements from $\widetilde{\Gamma}$. We recall here that $\# \widetilde{\Gamma} \geq \ell$ since $\sup F_{\Omega, \Gamma}=\ell$.

Finally, we define:

$$
\Delta=\left(\bigcup_{\gamma \in \widetilde{B}_{0}} Q_{0}+\gamma\right) \cup\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\ell} \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}_{k}} \bigcup_{\gamma \in \widetilde{B}} Q_{k}(B)+\gamma\right)
$$

The set $\Delta$ is measurable since it is a finite union of measurable sets. From (2.1), it is clear that $\Omega \subset \Delta$. Moreover, if $\omega \in Q_{k}(B)$, for some $B \in \mathcal{B}_{k}, \omega+\gamma \in \Omega$ only when $\gamma \in B$. Hence, if $\omega \in Q_{k}(B), \omega+\widetilde{\gamma} \in \Delta$ only when $\widetilde{\gamma} \in \widetilde{B}$. Since $\widetilde{B}$ has precisely $\ell$ elements, if $\omega \in Q_{k}(B)$,

$$
\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \chi_{\Delta}(\omega+\gamma)=\sum_{\tilde{\gamma} \in \widetilde{B}} \chi_{\Delta}(\omega+\tilde{\gamma})=\ell
$$

Also, if $\omega \in Q_{0}$

$$
\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \chi_{\Delta}(\omega+\gamma)=\sum_{\tilde{\gamma} \in \widetilde{B}_{0}} \chi_{\Delta}(\omega+\tilde{\gamma})=\ell
$$

Taking into account that $Q_{\Gamma}=\bigcup_{k=0}^{\ell} Q_{k}=Q_{0} \cup\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\ell} \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}_{k}} Q_{k}(B)\right)$ is a disjoint union, we conclude that for $\omega \in Q_{\Gamma}$, $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \chi_{\Delta}(\omega+\gamma)=\ell$, proving that $(\Delta, \Gamma)$ is an $\ell$-tiling pair for $\widehat{G}$.

Remark 2.2. The $\ell$-tile found in Proposition 2.1 is not necessarily unique. It depends on the choice of the sets $\widetilde{B}$ and $\widetilde{B}_{0}$.
For the proof of part (2) of Theorem 1.1 we will use the fiberization mapping $\mathcal{T}: L^{2}(G) \longrightarrow L^{2}\left(Q_{\Gamma}, \ell^{2}(\Gamma)\right)$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T} f(\omega)=\{\widehat{f}(\omega+\gamma)\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \in \ell^{2}(\Gamma), \quad \omega \in Q_{\Gamma} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The mapping $\mathcal{T}$ is an isometry and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}\left(t_{\lambda} f\right)(\omega)=e_{-\lambda}(\omega) \mathcal{T} f(\omega), \quad \lambda \in \Lambda, f \in L^{2}(G) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see Proposition 3.3 and Remark 3.12 in [3]), where $t_{\lambda}$ denotes the translation by $\lambda$ that is $t_{\lambda} f(g)=f(g-\lambda)$.
The next result is Theorem 4.1 of [3] adapted to our situation. For $\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{\ell} \in L^{2}(G)$, denote by

$$
S_{\Lambda}\left(\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{\ell}\right):=\overline{\operatorname{span}}\left\{t_{\lambda} \varphi_{j}: \lambda \in \Lambda, j=1, \ldots, \ell\right\}
$$

the $\Lambda$-invariant space generated by $\left\{\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{\ell}\right\}$. The measurable range function associated with $S_{\Lambda}\left(\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{\ell}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(\omega)=\overline{\operatorname{span}}\left\{\mathcal{T} \varphi_{1}(\omega), \ldots, \mathcal{T} \varphi_{\ell}(\omega)\right\} \subset \ell^{2}(\Gamma), \quad \omega \in Q_{\Gamma} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 2.3. Let $\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{\ell} \in L^{2}(G)$ and let $J(\omega)$ be the measurable range function associated with $S_{\Lambda}\left(\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{\ell}\right)$ as in (2.4). Let $0<A \leq B<\infty$. The following statements are equivalent:
(i) the set $\left\{t_{\lambda} \varphi_{j}: \lambda \in \Lambda, j=1, \ldots, \ell\right\}$ is a frame for $S_{\Lambda}\left(\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{\ell}\right)$ with frame bounds $A$ and $B$;
(ii) for almost every $\omega \in Q_{\Gamma}$, the set $\left\{\mathcal{T} \varphi_{1}(\omega), \ldots, \mathcal{T} \varphi_{\ell}(\omega)\right\} \subset \ell^{2}(\Gamma)$ is a frame for $J(\omega)$ with frame bounds $A\left|Q_{\Gamma}\right|^{-1}$ and $B\left|Q_{\Gamma}\right|^{-1}$.

Proof. Let $f \in S_{\Lambda}\left(\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{\ell}\right)$. Use the fact that the fiberization mapping given in (2.2) is an isometry satisfying (2.3) to write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell}\left|\left\langle t_{\lambda} \varphi_{j}, f\right\rangle_{L^{2}(G)}\right|^{2} & =\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell}\left|\left\langle\mathcal{T}\left(t_{\lambda} \varphi_{j}\right), \mathcal{T} f\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(Q_{\Gamma}, \ell^{2}(\Gamma)\right)}\right|^{2} \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda}\left|\int_{Q_{\Gamma}} e_{-\lambda}(\omega)\left\langle\mathcal{T}\left(\varphi_{j}\right)(\omega), \mathcal{T} f(\omega)\right\rangle_{\ell^{2}(\Gamma)} \mathrm{d} \omega\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\left|Q_{\Gamma}\right|}} e_{\lambda}(\omega): \lambda \in \Lambda\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^{2}\left(Q_{\Gamma}\right)$, it follows that

$$
\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell}\left|\left\langle t_{\lambda} \varphi_{j}, f\right\rangle_{L^{2}(G)}\right|^{2}=\left|Q_{\Gamma}\right| \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \int_{Q_{\Gamma}}\left|\left\langle\mathcal{T} \varphi_{j}(\omega), \mathcal{T} f(\omega)\right\rangle_{\ell^{2}(\Gamma)}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \omega .
$$

From here, the proof continues as in the proof of Theorem 4.1 in [3]. Details are left to the reader.
Remark 2.4. Notice that the factor $\left|Q_{\Gamma}\right|^{-1}$ that appears in (ii) of Proposition 2.3 does not appear in Theorem 4.1 of [3]. This is due to the fact that in [3] the measure of $Q_{\Gamma}$ is normalized (see the beginning of Section 3 in [3]). Although this fact is not important to prove (2) of Theorem 1.1, it will be crucial in Section 3 to obtain optimal frame bounds of sets of exponentials.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. (1) Assume that $E_{\Lambda}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\ell}\right)$ is a frame for $L^{2}(\Omega)$. We define $\varphi \in L^{2}(G)$ by

$$
\widehat{\varphi}:=\chi_{\Omega}, \quad \text { and } \quad \varphi_{j}:=t_{-a_{j}} \varphi, \quad j=1, \ldots, \ell
$$

where $t_{a_{j}}$ denotes the translation by $a_{j}$, that is $t_{a_{j}} \varphi(g)=\varphi\left(g-a_{j}\right)$.
Since $E_{\Lambda}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\ell}\right)$ is a frame of $L^{2}(\Omega)$, we have that $\left\{t_{\lambda} \varphi_{j}: \lambda \in \Lambda, j=1, \ldots, \ell\right\}$ is a frame of the Paley-Wiener space $P W_{\Omega}:=\left\{f \in L^{2}(G): \widehat{f} \in L^{2}(\Omega)\right\}=\left\{f \in L^{2}(G): \widehat{f}(\omega)=0\right.$, a.e. $\left.w \in \widehat{G} \backslash \Omega\right\}$. This follows from the definition of the frame and the fact that, for $f \in P W_{\Omega}$, one has $\|f\|_{L^{2}(G)}=\|\widehat{f}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}$ and $\left\langle f, t_{\lambda} \varphi_{j}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(G)}=\left\langle\widehat{f}, e_{-\lambda+a_{j}}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)}$.

In particular,

$$
P W_{\Omega}=S_{\Lambda}\left(\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{\ell}\right):=\overline{\operatorname{span}}\left\{t_{\lambda} \varphi_{j}: \lambda \in \Lambda, j=1, \ldots, \ell\right\}
$$

That is, $V:=P W_{\Omega}$ is a finitely generated $\Lambda$-invariant space. Denote by $J_{V}$ the measurable range function of $V$ as given in (2.4) (see also [3], Section 3, for details). We now use the fiberization mapping $\mathcal{T}: L^{2}(G) \longrightarrow L^{2}\left(Q_{\Gamma}, \ell^{2}(\Gamma)\right.$ ) defined in (2.2).

By Proposition 2.3, for a.e. $\omega \in Q_{\Gamma}$, the sequences $\left\{\mathcal{T} \varphi_{1}(\omega), \ldots, \mathcal{T} \varphi_{\ell}(\omega)\right\}$ form a frame of $J_{V}(\omega) \subseteq \ell^{2}(\Gamma)$. Therefore, $\operatorname{dim}\left(J_{V}(\omega)\right) \leq \ell$, for a.e. $\omega \in Q_{\Gamma}$.

In our particular situation, there is another description of the range function $J_{V}(\omega)$ associated with $V$. For each $\omega \in Q_{\Gamma}$, define

$$
\theta_{\omega}:=\left\{\gamma \in \Gamma: \chi_{\Omega}(\omega+\gamma) \neq 0\right\}, \text { and } \ell_{\omega}:=\# \theta_{\omega} .
$$

Write $\ell_{\omega}=0$ if $\theta_{\omega}=\emptyset$. Then, there exist $\gamma_{1}(\omega), \ldots, \gamma_{\ell_{\omega}}(\omega) \in \Gamma$ such that $w+\gamma_{j}(\omega) \in \Omega$, for all $j=1, \ldots, \ell_{\omega}$, which implies that $J_{V}(\omega) \subseteq \ell^{2}\left(\left\{\delta_{\gamma_{1}(\omega)}, \ldots, \delta_{\ell_{\omega}(\omega)}\right\}\right)$, for a.e. $\omega \in Q_{\Gamma}$. Moreover, as in Corollary 2.8. of [1], $J_{V}(\omega)=\ell^{2}\left(\left\{\delta_{\gamma_{1}(\omega)}, \ldots, \delta_{\gamma_{\omega}}(\omega)\right\}\right)$, for a.e. $\omega \in Q_{\Gamma}$. Thus, $\operatorname{dim}\left(J_{V}(\omega)\right)=\ell_{\omega}$, which implies that $\ell_{\omega} \leq \ell$, for a.e. $\omega \in Q_{\Gamma}$, and therefore we obtain that

$$
F_{\Omega, \Gamma}(\omega)=\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \chi_{\Omega}(\omega+\gamma) \leq \ell, \quad \text { for a.e. } \omega \in Q_{\Gamma}
$$

This shows that $(\Omega, \Gamma)$ is an $m$-subtiling pair for $\widehat{G}$ with $m \leq \ell$.
(2) Since $\Omega$ is bounded, by Proposition 2.1 there exists a bounded set $\Delta$ containing $\Omega$, which is an $\ell$-tile of $\widehat{G}$ by $\Gamma$. Now using Theorem 4.1 of [1], there exist $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\ell} \in G$ such that $E_{\Lambda}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\ell}\right)$ is a Riesz basis of $L^{2}(\Delta)$. As a consequence, $E_{\Lambda}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\ell}\right)$ is a frame of $L^{2}(\Omega)$.

Remark 2.5. Note that $\Omega$ does not need to be bounded: for example, $E_{\mathbb{Z}}(0)=\left\{\mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{ikx}}: k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $L^{2}(\Omega)$ for $\Omega=\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} n+\left(\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}, \frac{1}{2^{n}}\right] \subset \mathbb{R}$, and $\Omega$ is not bounded. However, for the proof of part (2) of Theorem 1.1, we need $\Omega$ to be bounded since the proof uses Proposition 2.1.

Remark 2.6. Theorem 1.1 for the case $\ell=1$ can be found in [2]. In this case, the proof does not require making use of either the Paley-Wiener space of $\Omega$ or the range function associated with it as in the proof given above.

Remark 2.7. In Part (1) of Theorem 1.1, the inequality $m \leq \ell$ can be strict as the following example shows: choose $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ such that $\left(\Omega, \mathbb{Z}^{d}\right)$ is an $\ell$-tiling pair for $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ and pick $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\ell}$ such that $E_{\mathbb{Z}^{d}}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\ell}\right)$ is a Riesz basis of $L^{2}(\Omega)$. Let $\Omega_{0} \subset \Omega$ be any subset of $\Omega$ such that ( $\Omega_{0}, \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ ) is an ( $\ell-1$ )-tiling pair of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ (for example, remove from $\Omega$ a fundamental domain of $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$ in $\left.\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Then $E_{\mathbb{Z}^{d}}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\ell}\right)$ is a frame for $L^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$, and $\left(\Omega_{0}, \mathbb{Z}^{d}\right)$ is not an $\ell$-subtiling pair for $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.

## 3. Optimal frame bounds for sets of exponentials

The purpose of this section is to develop another condition guaranteeing when a set of exponentials of the form

$$
E_{\Lambda}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right):=\left\{e_{a_{j}+\lambda}: j=1,2, \ldots, m, \lambda \in \Lambda\right\}
$$

forms a frame for $L^{2}(\Omega)$, where $(\Omega, \Gamma)$ is an $\ell$-subtiling pair for $\widehat{G}$, as well as to find optimal frame bounds for this frame.
For the $\ell$-subtiling pair $(\Omega, \Gamma)$ of $\widehat{G}$, let $E$ be the set of measure zero in $Q_{\Gamma}$ such that $F_{\Omega, \Gamma}>\ell$, and let $Q_{0}:=\left\{\omega \in Q_{\Gamma}\right.$ : $\left.F_{\Omega, \Gamma}(\omega)=0\right\}$. Let

$$
\widetilde{Q_{\Gamma}}:=Q_{\Gamma} \backslash\left(Q_{0} \cup E\right)
$$

For each $\omega \in \widetilde{Q_{\Gamma}}$, there exist $\ell_{\omega} \leq \ell$ and $\gamma_{1}(\omega), \ldots, \gamma_{\ell_{\omega}}(\omega) \in \Gamma$ such that $\omega+\gamma_{j}(\omega) \in \Omega$ for all $j=1, \ldots, \ell_{\omega}$ (see the proof of Theorem 1.1). Recall that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell_{\omega}:=\#\left\{\gamma \in \Gamma: \chi_{\Omega}(\omega+\gamma) \neq 0\right\} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given $\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{m} \in P W_{\Omega}=\left\{f \in L^{2}(G): \widehat{f} \in L^{2}(\Omega)\right\}$, and $\omega \in \widetilde{Q}_{\Gamma}$, consider the matrix

$$
T_{\omega}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\widehat{\varphi}_{1}\left(\omega+\gamma_{1}(\omega)\right) & \ldots & \widehat{\varphi}_{m}\left(\omega+\gamma_{1}(\omega)\right)  \tag{3.2}\\
\vdots & & \vdots \\
\widehat{\varphi}_{1}\left(\omega+\gamma_{\ell_{\omega}}(\omega)\right) & \ldots & \widehat{\varphi}_{m}\left(\omega+\gamma_{\omega}(\omega)\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

of size $\ell_{\omega} \times m$. Assume that

$$
\Phi_{\Lambda}:=\left\{t_{\lambda} \varphi_{j}: \lambda \in \Lambda, j=1, \ldots, m\right\}
$$

is a frame for $S_{\Lambda}\left(\varphi_{1}, \cdots, \varphi_{m}\right)$. By Proposition 2.3, this is equivalent to having that, for a.e. $\omega \in Q_{\Gamma}$, the set

$$
\Phi_{\omega}:=\left\{\mathcal{T} \varphi_{j}(\omega): j=1, \ldots, m\right\} \subset \ell^{2}(\Gamma)
$$

is a frame for $J(\omega)=\overline{\operatorname{span}}\left\{\mathcal{T} \varphi_{1}(\omega), \ldots, \mathcal{T} \varphi_{m}(\omega)\right\} \subset \ell^{2}(\Gamma)$. Moreover, as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, for a.e. $\omega \in Q_{\Gamma}$, $J(\omega)=\ell^{2}\left(\left\{\delta_{\gamma_{1}(\omega)}, \ldots, \delta_{\ell_{\omega}(\omega)}\right\}\right)$ is a subspace of $\ell^{2}(\Gamma)$ of dimension $\ell_{\omega}$. (Notice that this implies $m \geq \ell$.)

It is well known (see, for example, Proposition 3.18 in [7]) that a frame in a finite-dimensional Hilbert space is nothing but a generating set. Since the non-zero elements of $\mathcal{T} \varphi_{j}(\omega)$ are precisely the $j$-th column of $T_{\omega}, j=1, \ldots, m$, it follows that $\Phi_{\Lambda}$ is a frame for $S_{\Lambda}\left(\varphi_{1}, \cdots, \varphi_{m}\right)$ if and only if $\operatorname{rank}\left(T_{\omega}\right)=\ell_{\omega}$ for a.e. $\omega \in \widetilde{Q}_{\Gamma}$.

For $\omega \in \widetilde{Q_{\Gamma}}$, let $\lambda_{\min }\left(T_{\omega} T_{\omega}^{*}\right)$ and $\lambda_{\max }\left(T_{\omega} T_{\omega}^{*}\right)$ respectively the minimal and maximal eigenvalues of $T_{\omega} T_{\omega}^{*}$. It is well known (see Proposition 3.27 in [7]) that the optimal lower and upper frame bounds of $\Phi_{\omega}$ are precisely $\lambda_{\min }\left(T_{\omega} T_{\omega}^{*}\right)$ and $\lambda_{\max }\left(T_{\omega} T_{\omega}^{*}\right)$ respectively. By Proposition 2.3, the optimal frame bounds for $\Phi_{\Lambda}$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\left|Q_{\Gamma}\right| \operatorname{ess}^{\inf }{ }_{\omega \in \tilde{\mathrm{Q}}_{\Gamma}} \lambda_{\min }\left(T_{\omega} T_{\omega}^{*}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad B=\left|Q_{\Gamma}\right| \operatorname{ess} \sup _{\omega \in \tilde{\mathrm{Q}}_{\Gamma}} \lambda_{\max }\left(T_{\omega} T_{\omega}^{*}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have proved the following result.
Proposition 3.1. With the notation and definitions as above, the following propositions are equivalent:
(i) the set $\Phi_{\Lambda}:=\left\{t_{\lambda} \varphi_{j}: \lambda \in \Lambda, j=1, \ldots, m\right\}$ is a frame for $S_{\Lambda}\left(\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{m}\right)$;
(ii) the matrix $T_{\omega}$ given in (3.2) has rank $\ell_{\omega}$ (see (3.1)) for a.e. $\omega \in \widetilde{Q}_{\Gamma}$.

Moreover, in this situation, the optimal frame bounds $A$ and $B$ of $\Phi_{\Lambda}$ are given by (3.3).
Consider now the set of exponentials

$$
E_{\Lambda}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right):=\left\{e_{\lambda+a_{j}}: \lambda \in \Lambda, j=1, \ldots, m\right\}
$$

with $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m} \in G$. Let $\varphi \in L^{2}(G)$ given by $\widehat{\varphi}=\chi_{\Omega}$. Consider

$$
\varphi_{j}:=t_{-a_{j}} \varphi, \quad j=1, \ldots, m
$$

As in the proof of Theorem 1.1, $E_{\Lambda}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right)$ is a frame for $L^{2}(\Omega)$ with frame bounds $A$ and $B$ if and only if the set

$$
\Phi_{\Lambda}:=\left\{t_{\lambda} \varphi_{j}: \lambda \in \Lambda, j=1, \ldots, m\right\}
$$

is a frame for $P W_{\Omega}=S_{\Lambda}\left(\varphi_{1}, \cdots, \varphi_{m}\right)$ with the same frame bounds.
For our particular situation, if $\omega \in \widetilde{Q_{\Gamma}}$,

$$
T_{\omega}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
e_{a_{1}}\left(\omega+\gamma_{1}(\omega)\right) & \ldots & e_{a_{m}}\left(\omega+\gamma_{1}(\omega)\right)  \tag{3.4}\\
\vdots & & \vdots \\
e_{a_{1}}\left(\omega+\gamma_{\ell_{\omega}}(\omega)\right) & \ldots & e_{a_{m}}\left(\omega+\gamma_{\ell_{\omega}}(\omega)\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

As in Theorem 2.9 of [1] the matrix $T_{\omega}$, for $\omega \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Q}}_{\Gamma}$, can be factored as

$$
T_{\omega}=E_{\omega} U_{\omega}:=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
e_{a_{1}}\left(\gamma_{1}(\omega)\right) & \ldots & e_{a_{m}}\left(\gamma_{1}(\omega)\right)  \tag{3.5}\\
\vdots & & \vdots \\
e_{a_{1}}\left(\gamma_{\ell_{\omega}}(\omega)\right) & \ldots & e_{a_{m}}\left(\gamma_{\ell}(\omega)\right)
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
e_{a_{1}}(\omega) & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & & \vdots \\
0 & \ldots & e_{a_{m}}(w)
\end{array}\right)
$$

Since $U_{\omega}$ is unitary and $T_{\omega} T_{\omega}^{*}=E_{\omega} E_{\omega}^{*}$, we have proved the following result.
Proposition 3.2. With the notation and definitions as above, the following are equivalent:
(i) The set $E_{\Lambda}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right)$ is a frame for $L^{2}(\Omega)$.
(ii) The matrix $E_{\omega}$ given in (3.5) has rank $\ell_{\omega}\left(\right.$ see (3.1)) for a.e. $\omega \in \widetilde{Q_{\Gamma}}$.

Moreover, in this situation, the optimal frame bounds $A$ and $B$ of $E_{\Lambda}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right)$ are given by

Remark 3.3. Proposition 3.2 can be found in [1] when $\Omega$ is an $\ell$-tile and "frame" is replaced by "Riesz basis".
Example 3.4. In this example, we work with the additive group $G=\mathbb{R}^{d}$ and the lattice $\Lambda=\mathbb{Z}^{d}$. Recall that $\widehat{G}=\mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $\Gamma=\mathbb{Z}^{d}$. Let $\Omega_{0} \subset \Omega_{1} \subset[0,1)^{d}$ be two measurable sets in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ and let $\gamma_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}\left(\gamma_{0} \neq 0\right)$. Take

$$
\Omega=\Omega_{1} \cup\left(\gamma_{0}+\Omega_{0}\right)
$$

so that $\left(\Omega, \mathbb{Z}^{d}\right)$ is a 2 -subtiling pair of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.
For $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, consider the set of exponentials

$$
E_{\mathbb{Z}^{d}}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right)=\left\{\mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i}\left\langle k+a_{j}, \cdot\right\rangle}: k \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}, j=1, \ldots, m\right\}
$$

By factoring out $\mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i}\left\langle a_{1}, x\right\rangle}$, we can assume $a_{1}=0$.
According to Proposition 3.2, to determine the values of $a_{1}=0, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m}$ for which the set $E_{\mathbb{Z}^{d}}\left(0, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m}\right)$ is a frame for $L^{2}(\Omega)$, we need to compute the ranks of the matrices $E_{\omega}$ given in (3.5).

For $\omega \in \Omega_{1} \backslash \Omega_{0}, \ell_{\omega}=1, E_{w}=(1,1, \ldots, 1)$, and $\operatorname{rank}\left(E_{\omega}\right)=1=\ell_{\omega}$. For $\omega \in \Omega_{0}, \ell_{\omega}=2$, and

$$
E_{\omega}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & \ldots & 1  \tag{3.6}\\
1 & \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i}\left\langle a_{2}, \gamma_{0}\right\rangle} & \ldots & \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i}\left\langle a_{m}, \gamma_{0}\right\rangle}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Let $H:=\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}:\left\langle x, \gamma_{0}\right\rangle=k\right\}$, that is, a countable union of hyperplanes in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ perpendicular to the vector $\gamma_{0}$. The rank of the matrix given in (3.6) is 2 when at least one of the $a_{j}$ does not belong to $H$. In this case, $E_{\mathbb{Z}^{d}}\left(0, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m}\right)$ is a frame for $L^{2}(\Omega)$ as an application of Proposition 3.2.

We now compute the optimal frame bounds. For $\omega \in \Omega_{1} \backslash \Omega_{0}, E_{\omega} E_{\omega}^{*}=(m)$, so that $\lambda_{\min }\left(E_{\omega} E_{\omega}^{*}\right)=\lambda_{\max }\left(E_{\omega} E_{\omega}^{*}\right)=m$. For $\omega \in \Omega_{0}$,

$$
E_{\omega} E_{\omega}^{*}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
m & 1+\sum_{j=2}^{m} \mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi \mathrm{i}\left\langle a_{j}, \gamma_{0}\right\rangle} \\
1+\sum_{j=2}^{m} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i}\left\langle a_{j}, \gamma_{0}\right\rangle} & m
\end{array}\right)
$$

The eigenvalues of this matrix are

$$
\lambda=m \pm\left|1+\sum_{j=2}^{m} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i}\left\langle a_{j}, \gamma_{0}\right\rangle}\right|
$$

Therefore, the optimal lower and upper frame bounds of $E_{\mathbb{Z}^{d}}\left(0, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m}\right)$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ are

$$
A=m-\left|1+\sum_{j=2}^{m} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i}\left\langle a_{j}, \gamma_{0}\right\rangle}\right| \quad \text { and } \quad B=m+\left|1+\sum_{j=2}^{m} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i}\left\langle a_{j}, \gamma_{0}\right\rangle}\right|
$$

when $a_{j} \notin H$ for some $j \in\{2, \ldots, m\}$. Observe that the frame $E_{\mathbb{Z}^{d}}\left(0, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m}\right)$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ is tight (with tight frame bound $m$ ) if and only if $1+\sum_{j=2}^{m} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i}\left\langle a_{j}, \gamma_{0}\right\rangle}=0$. This occurs, for example, if the complex numbers $\left\{1, \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i}\left\langle a_{2}, \gamma_{0}\right\rangle}, \ldots, \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i}\left\langle a_{m}, \gamma_{0}\right\rangle}\right\}$ are the vertices of a regular $m$-gon inscribed in the unit circle.
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