

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I

www.sciencedirect.com



Number theory

Odd values of the Rogers-Ramanujan functions

Valeurs impaires des fonctions de Rogers-Ramanujan

Shi-Chao Chen

Institute of Contemporary Mathematics, School of Mathematics and Statistics, Henan University, Kaifeng, 475004, P. R. China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 7 July 2018 Accepted after revision 18 October 2018 Available online 26 October 2018

Presented by the Editorial Board

ABSTRACT

Let g(n) and h(n) be the coefficients of the Rogers–Ramanujan identities. We obtain asymptotic formulas for the number of odd values of g(n) for odd n, and h(n) for even n, which improve Gordon's results. We also obtain lower bounds for the number of odd values of g(n) for even n, and h(n) for odd n.

© 2018 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

RÉSUMÉ

Soit g(n) et h(n) les coefficients des identités de Rogers-Ramanujan. Nous obtenons des formules asymptotiques pour le nombre de valeurs impaires de g(n) lorsque n est impair et de h(n) lorsque n est pair. Ces formules améliorent un résultat de Gordon. Nous obtenons également des bornes inférieures pour le nombre de valeurs impaires de g(n) pour n pair et de h(n) pour n impair.

© 2018 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The Rogers–Ramanujan identities, first discovered by Rogers in 1894, are the pair of q-series identities:

$$G(q) := \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-q^{5n+1})(1-q^{5n+4})} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2}}{(1-q)(1-q^2)\cdots(1-q^n)},$$

and

$$H(q) := \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-q^{5n+2})(1-q^{5n+3})} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2+n}}{(1-q)(1-q^2)\cdots(1-q^n)},$$

E-mail address: schen@henu.edu.cn.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crma.2018.10.002

1631-073X/© 2018 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

where |q| < 1. If $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$ and Im $\tau > 0$, then Biagioli [2] showed that $q^{-\frac{1}{60}}G(q)$ and $q^{\frac{11}{60}}H(q)$ are modular functions on $\Gamma_1(5)$ with character. Let $G(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g(n)q^n$ and $H(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h(n)q^n$. We are interested in finding the arithmetic density of the number of odd values of g(n) and h(n). Let

$$\gamma(N) = \sharp \{1 \le n \le N : n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \text{ and } g(n) \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \},\$$

and

$$\delta(N) = \sharp \{1 \le n \le N : n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \text{ and } h(n) \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \}.$$

Gordon [3] proved the order of magnitude of $\gamma(N)$ and $\delta(N)$ is $\frac{N}{\log N}$.

Theorem 1.1 (Gordon). There exist positive constants A and B such that

$$A\frac{N}{\log N} < \gamma(N), \,\delta(N) < B\frac{N}{\log N}$$

for sufficiently large N.

Gordon's proof is based on the fact that g(n) with n odd and h(n) with n even are the coefficients of holomorphic modular forms weight 1 mod 2, and hence each such n can be determined explicitly. We obtain the following asymptotic formulas by refining Gordon's arguments.

Theorem 1.2. For sufficiently large N,

$$\gamma(N) = \frac{\pi^2}{5} \cdot \frac{N}{\log N} + O\left(\frac{N \log \log N}{\log^2 N}\right),$$
$$\delta(N) = \frac{\pi^2}{5} \cdot \frac{N}{\log N} + O\left(\frac{N \log \log N}{\log^2 N}\right).$$

Let

$$\gamma'(N) = \sharp \{1 \le n \le N : n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \text{ and } g(n) \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \}$$

and

$$\delta'(N) = \sharp \{1 \le n \le N : n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \text{ and } h(n) \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \}.$$

A similar question is to bound $\gamma'(N)$ and $\delta'(N)$. In this case, g(n) with n even and h(n) with n odd are the coefficients of holomorphic modular forms weight $\frac{3}{2}$ mod 2. Applying the ground-breaking work of Bellaïche, Green, and Soundararajan [1] on the non-divisibility of the coefficients of weakly holomorphic modular forms, we obtain the following lower bounds.

Theorem 1.3. For sufficiently large N, we have

$$\gamma'(N) \gg \frac{\sqrt{N}}{\log \log N},$$
$$\delta'(N) \gg \frac{\sqrt{N}}{\log \log N}.$$

2. Proof of Theorem 1.2

The parity of g(n) for odd n and that of h(n) for even n were determined by Gordon [3] explicitly, i.e. n is odd and $g(n) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ if and only if $60n - 1 = p^{4a+1}m^2$, where $a \ge 0$ is an integer and p is a prime not dividing m. n is even and $h(n) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ if and only if $60n + 11 = p^{4a+1}m^2$. Thus $\gamma(N)$ can be represented as

$$\gamma(N) = \sum_{\substack{1 \le n \le N \\ n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ 60 n - 1 = p^{4a+1}m^2}} 1.$$

We denote by M = 60N - 1 for convenience, and by $\pi(x)$ the number of primes less than x. We split the sum above into two parts according to a = 0 and $a \ge 1$. The sum over $a \ge 1$ is bounded by

$$\ll \sum_{1 \le a \ll \log N} \sum_{m \le \sqrt{M}} \pi \left(\left(\frac{M}{m^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{4a+1}} \right)$$
$$\ll \log N \sum_{m \le \sqrt{M}} \left(\frac{N}{m^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{5}}$$
$$\ll N^{\frac{1}{2}} \log N,$$

which is negligible. Note that the sum over a = 0 is equivalent to

$$\sum_{\substack{pm^2 \le M \\ pm^2 \equiv 59 \pmod{120}}} 1 = \sum_{\substack{m \le \sqrt{M} \\ (m, 120) = 1}} \sum_{\substack{p \le \frac{M}{m^2} \\ p \equiv 59m^{-2} \pmod{120}}} 1.$$

The contribution for $\log^2 M < m$ is negligible since

$$\sum_{\substack{\log^2 M < m \\ (m, 120) = 1}} \frac{M}{m^2 \log(\frac{M}{m^2})} \ll \sum_{\log^2 M < m} \frac{M}{m^2} \ll \frac{M}{\log^2 M} \ll \frac{N}{\log^2 N}.$$

By the prime number theorem for arithmetic progressions, the sum over $m \le \log^2 M$ is

$$\begin{split} & \sum_{\substack{m \le \log^2 M \\ (m,120)=1}} \frac{M}{\phi(120)m^2 \log(\frac{M}{m^2})} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log(\frac{M}{m^2})}\right) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{32} \sum_{\substack{m \le \log^2 M \\ (m,120)=1}} \frac{M}{m^2 \log M} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{\log m}{\log M}\right) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{32} \sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ (m,120)=1}}^{\infty} \frac{M}{m^2 \log M} + O\left(\sum_{m > \log^2 M} \frac{M}{m^2 \log M}\right) + O\left(\frac{M \log \log M}{\log^2 M}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{32} \sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ (m,120)=1}}^{\infty} \frac{M}{m^2 \log M} + O\left(\frac{M \log \log M}{\log^2 M}\right), \end{split}$$

where ϕ is the Euler's ϕ -function. Recalling M = 60N - 1, we conclude that

$$\begin{split} \gamma(N) &= \frac{1}{32} \sum_{\substack{m=1\\(m,120)=1}}^{\infty} \frac{60\,N-1}{m^2 \log(60N-1)} + O\left(\frac{N\log\log N}{\log^2 N}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{15}{8} \sum_{\substack{m=1\\(m,120)=1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^2}\right) \frac{N}{\log N} + O\left(\frac{N\log\log N}{\log^2 N}\right) \\ &= \frac{\pi^2}{5} \cdot \frac{N}{\log N} + O\left(\frac{N\log\log N}{\log^2 N}\right), \end{split}$$

where the constant in the main term is computed by

$$\frac{15}{8} \sum_{\substack{m=1\\(m,120)=1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^2} = \frac{15}{8} \prod_{p \nmid 120} (1-p^{-2})^{-1} = \frac{6}{5} \zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{5}.$$

The proof of the result for $\delta(N)$ is similar, so is omitted.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Let $f = \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} a(n)q^n$ be a weakly holomorphic modular form of half-integral weight k and level $\Gamma_1(N)$ with integer coefficients. Bellaïche, Green, and Soundararajan [1] showed that if $f \neq 0 \pmod{2}$, then

$$\sharp\{n \le N : a(n) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}\} \gg \frac{\sqrt{N}}{\log \log N}.$$

Thus, to prove Theorem 1.3, it suffices to show the generating functions for g(2n) and $h(2n + 1) \mod 2$ are weakly holomorphic modular forms of half-integral weight for some levels. The generating functions for g(2n) and h(2n + 1) were computed by Hirschhorn in [4]. In view of Theorem 1 of [4], we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g(2n)q^n = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-q^{4n})}{(1-q^n)(1-q^{40n-8})(1-q^{40n-32})},$$
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h(2n+1)q^n = q \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-q^{4n})}{(1-q^n)(1-q^{40n-16})(1-q^{40n-24})}$$

Let $\eta(\tau) = q^{\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-q^n)$ be the Dedekind's eta function and denote by $f_1(\tau) = q^{-\frac{1}{60}} G(q)$ and $f_2(\tau) = q^{\frac{11}{60}} H(q)$, where $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$. We see that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g(2n)q^n \equiv \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-q^n)^3}{(1-q^{5n-1})^8(1-q^{5n-4})^8} = q^{\frac{1}{120}} f_1^8(\tau) \eta^3(\tau) \pmod{2},$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h(2n+1)q^n \equiv q \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-q^n)^3}{(1-q^{5n-2})^8(1-q^{5n-3})^8} = q^{-\frac{71}{120}} f_2^8(\tau) \eta^3(\tau) \pmod{2}$$

If $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_1(5)$, by Proposition 2.5 of [2], the transformations of $f_1(\tau)$ and $f_2(\tau)$ are given by

$$f_1(A\tau) = e^{\frac{4\pi i a b}{5}} v_1(A) f_1(\tau),$$

$$f_2(A\tau) = e^{\frac{-4\pi i a b}{5}} v_1(A) f_2(\tau),$$

where $v_1(A)$ denotes the multiplier system of $\eta^{14}(\tau)$, i.e.

$$\nu_1 \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{cases} \exp\left(\frac{7\pi i}{6} \left(-3 c - b d (c^2 - 1) + c (a + d)\right)\right), & c & \text{odd}, \\ \exp\left(\frac{7\pi i}{6} \left(3 d - 3 - a c (d^2 - 1) + d (b - c)\right)\right), & d & \text{odd}. \end{cases}$$

Using these formulas, we can easily verify that $f_1(120\tau)$ and $f_2(120\tau)$ are invariant on $\Gamma_1(2880)$. Since $\eta(24\tau)$ is a modular form weight $\frac{1}{2}$ on $\Gamma_0(576)$ with character ($\frac{12}{2}$) (see, for example, [5, Corollary 1.62]), it follows that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g(2n) q^{120n-1}$ (mod 2) and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h(2n+1) q^{120n+71}$ (mod 2) are weakly holomorphic form weight $\frac{3}{2}$ and level $\Gamma_1(2880)$, as desired.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank the anonymous referee for her/his valuable comments and professor Yingchun Cai for his helpful suggestions. This work was partially supported by NSF of China (11771121), Henan province (2016GGJS-022), and Henan University (yqpy20140038).

References

- [1] J. Bellaïche, B. Green, K. Soundararajan, Nonzero coefficients of half-integral weight modular forms mod ℓ , Res. Math. Sci. 5 (2018) 6.
- [2] A.J.F. Biagioli, A proof of some identities of Ramanujan using modular forms, Glasg. Math. J. 31 (1989) 271-295.
- [3] B. Gordon, On the Parity of the Rogers–Ramanujan Coefficients, Partitions, q-Series, and Modular Forms, Dev. Math., vol. 23, Springer, New York, 2012, pp. 83–93.
- [4] M.D. Hirschhorn, On the 2- and 4-dissections of the Rogers–Ramanujan functions, Ramanujan J. 40 (2) (2016) 227–235.
- [5] K. Ono, The Web of Modularity: Arithmetic of the Coefficients of Modular Forms and *q*-Series, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, 2004, p. 17.