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#### Abstract

Building upon our early work, we construct infinitely many new smooth structures on closed simply connected spin 4-manifolds with nonnegative signature.
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## Ré S U M É

Dans la continuité de notre travail précédent, nous construisons une infinité de nouvelles structures lisses sur les variétés de spin simplement connexes, fermées, de dimension 4 et de signature positive ou nulle
© 2019 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

This paper is a short sequel to [1] and addresses the geography problem for closed simply connected spin symplectic 4 -manifolds. For some background and history, we refer the readers to the introductions found in [1] and [2]. First we need to recall the following definitions from [1].

Definition 1. We say that a smooth 4-manifold $M$ has $\infty^{2}$-property if there exist infinitely many pairwise nondiffeomorphic irreducible symplectic 4-manifolds and infinitely many pairwise nondiffeomorphic irreducible nonsymplectic 4-manifolds, all of which are homeomorphic to $M$. We also say that a symmetric bilinear form has $\infty^{2}$-property if it is the intersection form of infinitely many pairwise nondiffeomorphic simply connected irreducible symplectic 4 -manifolds and infinitely many pairwise nondiffeomorphic simply connected irreducible nonsymplectic 4-manifolds.

Definition 2. For an even integer $p \geq 0$, let $\Lambda_{p}$ denote the smallest positive odd integer such that the symmetric bilinear form $p E_{8} \oplus q H$ has $\infty^{2}$-property for every odd integer $q \geq \Lambda_{p}$.

[^0]Here, we have

$$
E_{8}=\left[\begin{array}{llllllll}
2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2
\end{array}\right] \text { and } H=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

so that the rank and the signature of $p E_{8} \oplus q H$ are $8 p+2 q$ and $8 p$, respectively. Recall from [5] that a closed simply connected smooth 4-manifold is spin if and only if its intersection form is $p E_{8} \oplus q H$ for some integers $p$ and $q$ with $p$ even. Also recall that if a closed simply connected smooth spin 4 -manifold with the intersection form $p E_{8} \oplus q H$ is symplectic, then $q \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$.

The famous $11 / 8$ Conjecture (Problem 4.92 in [8]), which remains unresolved, would imply an a priori lower bound $\Lambda_{p} \geq \frac{3}{2} p$. Accordingly, we made the following optimistic conjecture in [1].

Conjecture 3. $\Lambda_{p}$ is the smallest positive odd integer that is greater than or equal to $\frac{3}{2} p$.

Unfortunately, Conjecture 3 seems out of our reach at the moment. The best known lower bound for $\Lambda_{p}$ comes from a recent work [7], which gives $\Lambda_{p} \geq p+\epsilon_{p}$ when $p \geq 4$, where

$$
\epsilon_{p}= \begin{cases}2 & \text { if } p \equiv 1,2,5,6 \quad(\bmod 8) \\ 3 & \text { if } p \equiv 3,4,7 \quad(\bmod 8) \\ 4 & \text { if } p \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 8)\end{cases}
$$

In [1], we also presented a recipe for checking $\infty^{2}$-property for $p E_{8} \oplus q H$ starting from a suitable surface bundle over a surface (see Theorem 6 below). In this paper, we apply our recipe to a surface bundle found in [4] and its analogues, and obtain the following new upper bound for $\Lambda_{p}$, which will be proved in the next section.

Theorem 4. Let $p \geq 0$ be an even integer. If $m$ is any positive integer satisfying $p \leq 6 m-2$, then $\Lambda_{p} \leq 162 m+13-10 p$.

Let $S^{2} \times S^{2}$ denote the Cartesian product of two 2 -spheres with the intersection form $H$. Let $q\left(S^{2} \times S^{2}\right)$ denote the connected sum of $q$ copies of $S^{2} \times S^{2}$. Let $\overline{K 3}$ denote the complex $K 3$ surface equipped with the noncomplex orientation and thus with the intersection form $2 E_{8} \oplus 3 H$. When $p=0$ and $m=1$, Theorem 4 implies that $\Lambda_{0} \leq 175$, i.e. $q\left(S^{2} \times S^{2}\right)$ has $\infty^{2}$-property for every odd integer $q \geq 175$. This is an improvement over the upper bound $\Lambda_{0} \leq 275$ in [1]. Similarly, when $p$ is 2 or 4 and $m=1$, Theorem 4 implies that the connected sum $\frac{p}{2}(\overline{K 3}) \#\left(q-\frac{23}{2} p\right)\left(S^{2} \times S^{2}\right)$ has $\infty^{2}$-property for every odd integer $q \geq 175$. For many small values of $p$, Theorem 4 provides upper bounds for $\Lambda_{p}$ that are lower (and hence better) than the upper bounds in [1] and [2].

Given a nonnegative even integer $p$, there is a positive integer $m$ such that $6 m-6 \leq p \leq 6 m-2$. Thus Theorem 4 immediately implies the following simpler upper bound on $\Lambda_{p}$.

Corollary 5. For any even $p \geq 0$, we have $\Lambda_{p} \leq 17 p+175$.

The corollary states that $\Lambda_{p} \leq 17 p+O(1)$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$. This should be compared to the asymptotic upper bound $\Lambda_{p} \leq$ $8 p+O\left(p^{6 / 7}\right)$ that was proved in [2].

## 2. Proof of Theorem 4

We will need the following theorem that was proved in [1].
Theorem 6. Let $X$ be a spin 4-manifold that is the total space of a genus- $f$ surface bundle over a genus-b surface. Assume that the signature of $X$ is $\sigma(X)=16 \mathrm{~s}$, and $X$ has a section whose image is a genus-b surface of self-intersection $-2 t$ for some integer $t$. Let $r$ be a positive integer satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-t \leq r \leq \min \{s, f+b+1-t\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $p$ and $q$ are nonnegative integers satisfying

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
p \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 2), & 0 \leq p \leq 2(s-r) \\
q \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod 2), & q \geq 2 f b+12 s-1-10 p
\end{array}
$$

then the symmetric bilinear form $p E_{8} \oplus q H$ has $\infty^{2}$-property (cf. Definition 1) and

$$
\Lambda_{p} \leq 2 f b+12 s-1-10 p
$$

We now apply Theorem 6 to the following example and its generalizations. We will let $\Sigma_{b}$ denote a closed genus-b Riemann surface.

Example 7. Recall from Example 5.9 in [4] that there is a genus-7 surface bundle $X$ whose total space is obtained as a certain 3-fold cyclic branched cover of $\Sigma_{b} \times \Sigma_{2}$ with branch locus $D^{\prime}$, which is a disjoint union of the graphs of 3 maps $\phi_{i}: \Sigma_{b} \rightarrow \Sigma_{2}(i=1,2,3)$. If $\pi: X \rightarrow \Sigma_{b} \times \Sigma_{2}$ is this branched covering map and $\mathrm{pr}_{1}: \Sigma_{b} \times \Sigma_{2} \rightarrow \Sigma_{b}$ is the projection map onto the first factor, then our surface bundle map is the composition $\Pi=\mathrm{pr}_{1} \circ \pi$. In Example 6.5 of [9], it was shown that the base genus of this surface bundle $X$ is $b=10$ and $\sigma(X)=48$.

We now proceed to construct infinitely many surface bundles that generalize Example 7. For any pair of positive integers $b$ and $m$, there is an $m$-fold unbranched covering map $\rho_{b, m}: \Sigma_{m(b-1)+1} \rightarrow \Sigma_{b}$. Let $\Pi_{m}: X_{m} \rightarrow \Sigma_{9 m+1}$ be the pullback of the surface bundle $\Pi: X \rightarrow \Sigma_{10}$ in Example 7 by the covering map $\rho_{10, m}: \Sigma_{9 m+1} \rightarrow \Sigma_{10}$. Of course, we have $\Pi_{1}=\Pi$ and $X_{1}=X$. The total space $X_{m}$ is the 3 -fold cyclic branched cover of $\Sigma_{9 m+1} \times \Sigma_{2}$ with branch locus $D_{m}^{\prime}$, which is the disjoint union of the graphs of the compositions $\phi_{i} \circ \rho_{10, m}: \Sigma_{9 m+1} \rightarrow \Sigma_{2}(i=1,2,3)$. (Note that the homology class [ $D_{m}^{\prime}$ ] $=$ $\left(P D \circ\left(\rho_{10, m} \times \mathrm{id}\right)^{*} \circ P D\right)\left[D^{\prime}\right]$ is divisible by 3 , where $P D$ denotes the Poincaré duality map and id: $\Sigma_{2} \rightarrow \Sigma_{2}$ is the identity map.)

By a formula of Brand in [3], the second Stiefel-Whitney class of $X_{m}$ is

$$
w_{2}\left(X_{m}\right)=\frac{2}{3}\left(\pi_{m}^{*}\left(P D\left[D_{m}^{\prime}\right]\right)\right) \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 2)
$$

where $\pi_{m}: X_{m} \rightarrow \Sigma_{9 m+1} \times \Sigma_{2}$ is the 3-fold cyclic branched covering map, and thus $X_{m}$ is spin. Since the induced map $X_{m} \rightarrow$ $X$ between the total spaces is an unbranched covering map, we have $\sigma\left(X_{m}\right)=m \sigma(X)=48 m$. By Hirzebruch's signature formula in [6], we have

$$
\sigma\left(X_{m}\right)=-\frac{8}{9}\left[D_{m}^{\prime}\right]^{2},
$$

and thus $\left[D_{m}^{\prime}\right]^{2}=-54 m$. Since the branching index is the same at each component of $D_{m}^{\prime}$, the inclusion of each component of $D_{m}^{\prime}$ gives a section of the surface bundle $\Pi_{m}$ whose image has self-intersection $-54 m / 3=-18 m$ inside $X_{m}$.

In conclusion, for each positive integer $m$, we get a surface bundle $X_{m}$ with parameters $f=7, b=9 m+1, s=3 m$, and $t=9 m$. Plugging these numbers into (1), we get $1-9 m \leq r \leq \min \{3 m, 9\}$. By choosing $r=1$, we obtain Theorem 4 from Theorem 6.
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