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#### Abstract

Assuming the abc conjecture, Silverman proved that, for any given positive integer $a \geqslant 2$, there are $\gg \log x$ primes $p \leqslant x$ such that $a^{p-1} \not \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)$. In this paper, we show that, for any given integers $a \geqslant 2$ and $k \geqslant 2$, there still are $\gg \log x$ primes $p \leqslant x$ satisfying $a^{p-1} \not \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)$ and $p \equiv 1(\bmod k)$, under the assumption of the abc conjecture. This improves a recent result of Chen and Ding.
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## R É S U M É

Admettant la conjecture abc, Silverman a montré que, pour tout entier $a \geqslant 2$, il existe au moins $\gg \log x$ nombres premiers $p \leqslant x$ tels que $a^{p-1} \not \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)$. Admettant toujours la conjecture abc, nous montrons ici que, pour tous entiers $a \geqslant 2$ et $k \geqslant 2$ donnés, il y a encore au moins $\gg \log x$ nombres premiers $p \leqslant x$ tels que $a^{p-1} \not \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)$ et $p \equiv$ $1(\bmod k)$. Ceci améliore un résultat récent de Chen et Ding.
© 2019 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

The famous abc conjecture asserts that, for every $\epsilon>0$, there exists a constant $\kappa(\epsilon)$ such that, for any nonzero coprime integers $a, b$ and $c$ with $a+b=c$, we have

$$
\max \{|a|,|b|,|c|\} \leqslant \kappa(\epsilon) \cdot(\operatorname{rad}(a b c))^{1+\epsilon},
$$

where $\operatorname{rad}(a b c)$ denotes the product of all distinct prime factors of $a b c$.
It is well known that Wiefereich primes and the first case of Fermat's last theorem are closely related [4]. For any positive integer $a$ with $a \geqslant 2$, we say that $p$ is a Wieferich prime for base $a$ if $a^{p-1} \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)$. A Wieferich prime for base 2 is
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just called a Wieferich prime. It seems that almost all primes are non-Wieferich primes. However, we cannot even prove that non-Wieferich primes are infinite.

For $a \geqslant 2$ a positive integer, Silverman [3] proved that there are $\gg \log x$ non-Wieferich primes for base $a$, if the abc conjecture holds. For any integers $a \geqslant 2$ and $k \geqslant 2$, this result was extended to

$$
\#\left\{p: p \leqslant x, a^{p-1} \not \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{2}\right), p \equiv 1(\bmod k)\right\} \gg \frac{\log x}{\log \log x}
$$

by Graves and Murty [2], assuming the abc conjecture. Recently, Chen and Ding [1] improved this bound to obtain

$$
\frac{\log x}{\log \log x}(\log \log \log x)^{M}
$$

for any fixed number $M$. The bound is improved further in this paper. Let $\mathbb{P}$ be the set of all primes. Our result is stated in the following.

Theorem 1.1. Let $a$ and $k$ be given integers with $a \geqslant 2$ and $k \geqslant 2$. If one assumes the abc conjecture, then we have

$$
\#\left\{p: p \leqslant x, p \in \mathbb{P}, a^{p-1} \not \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{2}\right), p \equiv 1(\bmod k)\right\} \gg \log x
$$

## 2. Some lemmas

As usual, let $\Phi_{n}(x)$ denote the $n$-th cyclotomic polynomial. Let $a, k$ be fixed positive integers with $a \geqslant 2$ and $k \geqslant 2$. We follow the notation of Chen and Ding [1] for convenience. Let $C_{n}$ and $D_{n}$ be the square-free and powerful part of $a^{n}-1$ respectively. This means that we factor $a^{n}-1$ as follows:

$$
a^{n}-1=\prod_{i} p_{i}^{k_{i}}, C_{n}=\prod_{k_{i}=1} p_{i}, D_{n}=\prod_{k_{i}>1} p_{i}^{k_{i}}, a^{n}-1=C_{n} D_{n}
$$

Let $C_{n}^{\prime}=\left(C_{n}, \Phi_{n}(a)\right), D_{n}^{\prime}=\left(D_{n}, \Phi_{n}(a)\right)$.
We give some lemmas in the following.
Lemma 2.1. ([2, Lemma 2.3]). If $p$ is a prime with $p \mid \Phi_{n}(a)$, then either $p \mid n$ or $p \equiv 1(\bmod n)$.
Lemma 2.2. ([2, Lemma 2.4]). If $p$ is a prime with $p \mid C_{n}$, then $a^{p-1} \not \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)$.
Lemma 2.3. ([1, Lemma 2.4]). Let $\epsilon$ be a positive number. Suppose that the abc conjecture is true. Then $C_{n}^{\prime} \gg a^{\phi(n)-\epsilon n}$.
Lemma 2.4. ([1, Lemma 2.5]). If $m<n$, then $\left(C_{m}^{\prime}, C_{n}^{\prime}\right)=1$.
Lemma 2.5. Let $\varphi(n)$ be the Euler totient function. For any given positive integer $k$, we have

$$
\sum_{n \leqslant x} \frac{\varphi(n k)}{n k}=c(k) x+O(\log x)
$$

where $c(k)=\prod_{p}\left(1-\frac{(p, k)}{p^{2}}\right)>0$ and the implied constant depends on $k$.
Proof. Noting that $\varphi(n k)=\sum_{d \mid n k} \mu(d) \frac{n k}{d}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n \leqslant x} \frac{\varphi(n k)}{n k} & =\sum_{n \leqslant x} \sum_{d \mid n k} \mu(d) \frac{n k}{d} \cdot \frac{1}{n k}=\sum_{n \leqslant x} \sum_{d \mid n k} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \\
& =\sum_{d \leqslant x k} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \sum_{\substack{n \leqslant x \\
d \mid n k}} 1=\sum_{d \leqslant x k} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \sum_{\substack{\left.n \leqslant x \\
\frac{d}{(d, k)} \right\rvert\, n}} 1=\sum_{d \leqslant x k} \frac{\mu(d)}{d}\left[\frac{x}{d /(d, k)}\right] \\
& =x \sum_{d \leqslant x k} \frac{\mu(d)(d, k)}{d^{2}}+O(\log x)=x \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(d)(d, k)}{d^{2}}+O(\log x)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =x \prod_{p}\left(1+\frac{\mu(p)(p, k)}{p^{2}}+\frac{\mu\left(p^{2}\right)\left(p^{2}, k\right)}{p^{4}}+\cdots\right)+O(\log x) \\
& =x \prod_{p}\left(1-\frac{(p, k)}{p^{2}}\right)+O(\log x)
\end{aligned}
$$

It is clear that $c(k)=\prod_{p}\left(1-\frac{(p, k)}{p^{2}}\right)>0$.
Let $S=\left\{n: C_{n k}^{\prime}>n k\right\}$ and $S(x)=|S \cap[1, x]|$.
Lemma 2.6. We have $S(x) \gg x$, where the implied constant depends only on $a, k$.
Proof. Let $L=\left\{n: \varphi(n k)>\frac{2 c(k)}{3} n k\right\}$ and $L(x)=|L \cap[1, x]|$. Take $\epsilon=\frac{c(k)}{3}$ in Lemma 2.3, then for any $n \in L$, we have

$$
C_{n k}^{\prime} \gg a^{\varphi(n k)-\frac{c(k)}{3} n k}>a^{\frac{c(k)}{3} n k}
$$

So, there exists a number $n_{0}$ depending only on $a, k$ such that, if $n>n_{0}$ and $n \in L$, then $C_{n k}^{\prime}>n k$. Thus, we obtain that

$$
S(x)=\sum_{\substack{n \leqslant x \\ C_{n k}^{\prime}>n k}} 1 \geqslant \sum_{\substack{n \leqslant x \\ n \geqslant n_{0}, n \in L}} 1=\sum_{\substack{n \leqslant x \\ n>n_{0} \\ \varphi(n k)>\frac{2 c(k)}{3} n k}} 1 .
$$

Note that

$$
\sum_{\substack{n \leqslant x \\(n k) \leqslant \frac{2 c(k)}{3} n k}} \frac{\varphi(n k)}{n k} \leqslant \sum_{\substack{n \leqslant x \\ \varphi(n k) \leqslant \frac{2 c(k)}{3} n k}} \frac{2 c(k)}{3} \leqslant \frac{2 c(k)}{3} x .
$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.5, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S(x) & \geqslant \sum_{\substack{n \leqslant x \\
n>n_{0} \\
\varphi(n k)>\frac{2 c(k)}{3} n k}} 1 \gg \sum_{\substack{n \leqslant x \\
\varphi(n k)>\frac{2 c(k)}{3} n k}} 1 \geqslant \sum_{\substack{n \leqslant x \\
\varphi(n k)>\frac{c(k)}{3} n k}} \frac{\varphi(n k)}{n k} \\
& =\sum_{n \leqslant x} \frac{\varphi(n k)}{n k}-\sum_{\substack{n \leqslant x \\
\varphi(n k) \leqslant \frac{2 c(k)}{3} n k}} \frac{\varphi(n k)}{n k} \\
& \geqslant c(k) x+O(\log x)-\frac{2 c(k)}{3} x \gg x .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof. For any $n \in S$, since $C_{n k}$ is square-free, so is $C_{n k}^{\prime}=\left(C_{n k}, \Phi_{n k}(a)\right)$. It follows from $C_{n k}^{\prime}>n k$ that there exists a prime $l_{n}$ such that $l_{n} \mid C_{n k}^{\prime}$ and $l_{n} \nmid n k$. From $C_{n k}^{\prime} \mid C_{n k}$ and $I_{n} \mid C_{n k}^{\prime}$, we get

$$
a^{l_{n}-1} \not \equiv 1\left(\bmod l_{n}^{2}\right)
$$

by Lemma 2.2. Note that $l_{n}\left|C_{n k}^{\prime}, C_{n k}^{\prime}\right| \Phi_{n k}(a)$ and $l_{n} \nmid n k$, we know that

$$
l_{n} \equiv 1(\bmod n k)
$$

by Lemma 2.1. That is to say, for any $n \in S$, there is a prime $l_{n}$ satisfying

$$
a^{l_{n}-1} \not \equiv 1\left(\bmod l_{n}^{2}\right), l_{n} \equiv 1(\bmod n k) .
$$

Moreover, these $l_{n}(n \in S)$ are distinct primes because of Lemma 2.4. Therefore, we find that

$$
\#\left\{p: p \leqslant x, p \in \mathbb{P}, a^{p-1} \not \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{2}\right), p \equiv 1(\bmod k)\right\} \geqslant \#\left\{n: n \in S, C_{n k}^{\prime} \leqslant x\right\} .
$$

Since $C_{n k}^{\prime} \leqslant C_{n k} \leqslant a^{n k}-1$, it is clear that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\#\left\{n: n \in S, C_{n k}^{\prime} \leqslant x\right\} & \geqslant \#\left\{n: n \in S, a^{n k}-1 \leqslant x\right\} \\
& =\#\left\{n: n \in S, n \leqslant \frac{\log (x+1)}{k \log a}\right\} \\
& =S\left(\frac{\log (x+1)}{k \log a}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.6, we have
$\#\left\{p: p \leqslant x, p \in \mathbb{P}, a^{p-1} \not \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{2}\right), p \equiv 1(\bmod k)\right\} \geqslant S\left(\frac{\log (x+1)}{k \log a}\right) \gg \log x$.
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