



INSTITUT DE FRANCE  
Académie des sciences

# *Comptes Rendus*

---

## *Mathématique*


Yunhyung Cho

**Remark on the Betti numbers for Hamiltonian circle actions**

Volume 359, issue 2 (2021), p. 113-117.

<<https://doi.org/10.5802/crmath.127>>

© Académie des sciences, Paris and the authors, 2021.  
*Some rights reserved.*

 This article is licensed under the  
CREATIVE COMMONS ATTRIBUTION 4.0 INTERNATIONAL LICENSE.  
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



*Les Comptes Rendus. Mathématique* sont membres du  
Centre Mersenne pour l'édition scientifique ouverte  
[www.centre-mersenne.org](http://www.centre-mersenne.org)



---

Symplectic geometry / *Géométrie symplectique*

# Remark on the Betti numbers for Hamiltonian circle actions

Yunhyung Cho<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Mathematics Education, Sungkyunkwan University, Seoul, Republic of Korea.

*E-mail:* [yunhyung@skku.edu](mailto:yunhyung@skku.edu)

**Abstract.** In this paper, we establish a certain inequality in terms of Betti numbers of a closed Hamiltonian  $S^1$ -manifold with isolated fixed points.

**Résumé.** Dans cet article, nous établissons une certaine inégalité en termes de nombres de Betti d'une  $S^1$ -variété hamiltonienne avec des points fixes isolés.

**2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.** 53D20, 53D05.

**Funding.** This work is supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) grant funded by the Korea government(MSIP; Ministry of Science, ICT & Future Planning) (NRF-2020R1C1C1A01010972).

*Manuscript received 12th March 2020, revised 2nd September 2020 and 5th October 2020, accepted 2nd October 2020.*

## 1. Introduction

Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a  $2n$ -dimensional closed symplectic manifold admitting a Hamiltonian torus action with only isolated fixed points. It has been a long-standing open problem whether  $M$  admits a Kähler metric or not. Historically, Delzant [9] proved that if  $M$  admits a Hamiltonian  $T^n$ -action, where the fixed point set is automatically discrete, then  $M$  admits a  $T^n$ -invariant Kähler metric. Restricting to an  $S^1$ -action case, several results on the existence of a Kähler metric were provided in some special cases. For instance, Karshon [13] proved that every closed symplectic four manifold admitting a Hamiltonian circle action admits a Kähler metric. (In fact, the  $S^1$ -action is induced from a toric action when the fixed points are isolated.) Also if  $\dim M = 6$  with  $b_2(M) = 1$ , then it turned out that  $M$  admits a Kähler metric, which was proved by Tolman [18] and McDuff [15]. Recently, the author has shown that any 6-dimensional monotone closed semifree Hamiltonian  $S^1$ -manifold admits a Kähler metric, see [5–7].

As a counterpart, there were “candidates” of closed Hamiltonian  $T$ -manifolds (with isolated fixed points) which possibly fail to admit Kähler metrics. Tolman [17] and Woodward [19] constructed a six-dimensional closed Hamiltonian  $T^2$ -manifold with only isolated fixed points and with no  $T^2$ -invariant Kähler metric. Surprisingly Goertsches–Kostantis–Zoller [10] have recently

shown that the examples of Tolman and Woodward indeed admit Kähler metrics that are not  $T^2$ -invariant. Thus their result provides a positive evidence for the conjecture of the existence of Kähler metrics.

On the other hand, it seems reasonable to ask whether  $(M, \omega)$  enjoys Kählerian properties, such as the hard Lefschetz property of the symplectic form  $\omega$  or the unimodality of even Betti numbers. Recall that every closed Kähler manifold  $(M, \omega, J)$  satisfies the *hard Lefschetz property*, that is,

$$[\omega]^{n-k} : H^k(M; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^{2n-k}(M; \mathbb{R})$$

$$\alpha \mapsto \alpha \cup [\omega]^{n-k}$$

is an isomorphism for every  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . This implies that

$$[\omega] : H^k(M; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^{k+2}(M; \mathbb{R})$$

is injective for every  $k$  with  $0 \leq k < n$ , and therefore the sequence of even (as well as odd) Betti numbers of  $M$  is unimodal. In other words,

$$b_k \leq b_{k+2}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$$

where  $b_i$  denotes the  $i^{\text{th}}$  Betti number of  $M$ . In this paper we deal with the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 1 ([12]).** *Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a  $2n$ -dimensional closed symplectic manifold equipped with a Hamiltonian  $S^1$ -action with only isolated fixed points. Then the sequence of even Betti numbers is unimodal, i.e.,*

$$b_{2i} \leq b_{2i+2} \quad \text{for every } 0 \leq i < \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

It is worth mentioning that every odd Betti number of  $M$  vanishes by Frankel’s theorem which states that a moment map is a Morse function whose critical points are of even indices. (See [2, Theorem IV.2.3].) Therefore we only need to care about even Betti numbers of  $M$ .

In [8], the author and Kim proved Conjecture 1 when  $\dim M = 8$ . The main goal of this article is to improve the result of [8] and prove the following inequality, which is automatically satisfied when Conjecture 1 is true.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a closed symplectic manifold admitting a Hamiltonian circle action with only isolated fixed points where  $\dim M = 8n$  or  $8n + 4$ . Then*

$$b_2 + \dots + b_{2+4(n-1)} \leq b_4 + \dots + b_{4+4(n-1)}.$$

*In particular when  $\dim M = 8$  or  $12$ , we have*

$$b_2 \leq b_4.$$

## 2. Proof of the main Theorem 2

The main technique for proving Theorem 2 is the ABBV-localization due to Atiyah–Bott and Berline–Vergne. Recall that for an  $S^1$ -manifold  $M$ , the *equivariant cohomology* is defined by  $H_{S^1}^*(M) := H^*(M \times_{S^1} ES^1)$  where  $ES^1$  is a contractible space on which  $S^1$  acts freely. Then  $H_{S^1}^*(M)$  inherits an  $H^*(BS^1)$ -module structure induced from the projection

$$\pi : M \times_{S^1} ES^1 \rightarrow BS^1 := ES^1/S^1.$$

Note that  $H^*(BS^1; \mathbb{R}) \cong H^*(\mathbb{C}P^\infty; \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}[u]$ . Moreover, for the inclusion map  $i : M^{S^1} \hookrightarrow M$ , we have an induced ring homomorphism

$$i^* : H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_{S^1}^*(M^{S^1}; \mathbb{R}) \cong H^*(BS^1; \mathbb{R}) \otimes H^*(M^{S^1}; \mathbb{R}).$$

When  $M^{S^1} = \{p_1, \dots, p_m\}$  is discrete, we may express as

$$H^*(BS^1; \mathbb{R}) \otimes H^*(M^{S^1}; \mathbb{R}) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^m H^*(BS^1; \mathbb{R})$$

and so

$$i^*(\alpha) = (f_1, \dots, f_m), \quad f_i \in \mathbb{R}[u]$$

for  $\alpha \in H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{R})$ . We denote by  $\alpha|_{p_i} := f_i$  and call it the restriction of  $\alpha$  to  $p_i$ . By the Kirwan's injectivity theorem [14], the map  $i^*$  is injective and hence  $H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{R})$  is a free  $H^*(BS^1; \mathbb{R})$ -module.

**Theorem 3 (ABBV Localization Theorem [1,3]).** *Let  $M$  be a closed  $S^1$ -manifold with only isolated fixed points and  $\alpha \in H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{R})$ . Then we have*

$$\int_M \alpha = \sum_{p \in M^{S^1}} \frac{\alpha|_p}{(\prod_{i=1}^n w_i(p)) u^n}.$$

where  $w_1(p), \dots, w_n(p)$  denote the weights of the tangential  $S^1$ -representation at  $p$ .

To obtain Theorem 2, we will apply Theorem 3 to canonical classes which form a basis of  $H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{R})$  as an  $H^*(BS^1; \mathbb{R})$ -module.

**Theorem 4 (16, Lemma 1.13)<sup>1</sup>.** *Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a  $2n$ -dimensional closed Hamiltonian  $S^1$ -manifold with only isolated fixed points. For each fixed point  $p \in M^{S^1}$  of index  $2k$ , there exists a unique class  $\alpha_p \in H_{S^1}^{2k}(M; \mathbb{Z})$  such that*

- $\alpha_p|_q = 0$  for every  $q (\neq p) \in M^{S^1}$  with either  $H(q) \leq H(p)$  or  $\text{ind}(q) \leq 2k$ ,
- $\alpha_p|_p = \prod_{i=1}^k \lambda_i u$ , where  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$  are negative weights of the  $S^1$ -action at  $p$ .

Moreover, the set  $\{\alpha_p \mid p \in M^{S^1}\}$  is a basis of  $H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{R})$  as an  $H^*(BS^1; \mathbb{R})$ -module.

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 2.

**Proof of Theorem 2.** We first consider the case  $\dim M = 8n$ . Suppose that

$$b_2 + \dots + b_{2+4(n-1)} > b_4 + \dots + b_{4+4(n-1)}. \tag{1}$$

Since  $H_{S^1}^*(M)$  is a free module over  $H^*(BS^1)$ , we have

$$H_{S^1}^{4n-2}(M) \cong u^0 \otimes H^{4n-2}(M) \oplus u^1 \otimes H^{4n-4}(M) \oplus \dots \oplus u^{(2n-1)} \otimes H^0(M)$$

which implies that

- $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H_{S^1}^{4n-2}(M; \mathbb{R}) \cong b_0 + b_2 + \dots + b_{4n-2}$ , and
- $\{\alpha_p \cdot u^{2n-1-\frac{1}{2}\text{ind}(p)} \mid p \in M^{S^1}, \text{ind}(p) \leq 4n-2\}$  is a basis of  $H_{S^1}^{4n-2}(M; \mathbb{R})$  (as an  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space) by Theorem 4.

Now, consider the following map

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : H_{S^1}^{4n-2}(M; \mathbb{R}) &\rightarrow \left( \mathbb{R}^{b_0} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{b_4} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{R}^{b_{4(n-1)}} \right) \oplus \left( \mathbb{R}^{b_{4n}} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{R}^{b_{8n-4}} \right) \\ \alpha &\mapsto (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{4n-4}, \alpha_{4n}, \dots, \alpha_{8n-4}) \end{aligned}$$

with the identification

$$\mathbb{R}^{b_{4i}} = \bigoplus_{\text{ind}(p)=4i} \mathbb{R} \cdot u^{2n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_{4i} := (\alpha|_p)_{\text{ind}(p)=4i} \in \bigoplus_{\text{ind}(p)=4i} \mathbb{R} \cdot u^{2n-1} \tag{2}$$

for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Since the dimension of the range of the map  $\Phi$  satisfies

$$\dim \text{Im } \Phi \leq b_0 + \dots + b_{4n-4} + (b_{4n} + b_{4n+4} + \dots + b_{8n-4}) < b_0 + \dots + b_{4n-4} + (b_{4n-2} + \dots + b_2)$$

<sup>1</sup>See also [18, Proposition 2.2] and [11, Lemma 2.10]

by (1) and Poincaré duality, the map  $\Phi$  has a non-trivial kernel. In other words, there exists a nonzero element  $\alpha \in H_{S^1}^{4n-2}(M; \mathbb{R})$  such that

$$\alpha|_p = 0$$

for every fixed point  $p \in M^{S^1}$  of index  $0, 4, \dots, 8n - 4$ .

Now fix a moment map  $H$  for the  $S^1$ -action on  $(M, \omega)$  such that  $H$  attains the maximum value 0. Denote by  $p_{\max}$  the maximal fixed point and so  $\text{ind}(p_{\max}) = 8n$ . The equivariant extension  $[\omega_H] \in H_{S^1}^2(M; \mathbb{R})$  of  $\omega$  with respect to the moment map  $H$  satisfies

$$[\omega_H]|_p = -H(p)u \in \mathbb{R}[u]$$

for every  $p \in M^{S^1}$ , see [4, Proposition 2.6]. Since  $H(p) < 0$  for every  $p \neq p_{\max}$  by the choice of  $H$ , we obtain

$$0 = \int_M \alpha^2 \cdot [\omega_H] = \sum_{p \in M^{S^1}} \frac{-\alpha^2|_p \cdot H(p)u}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{4n} w_i(p)\right) u^{4n}} = \sum_{\text{ind}(p) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}} \frac{-\alpha^2|_p \cdot H(p)u}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{4n} w_i(p)\right) u^{4n}} \tag{3}$$

by Theorem 3 and the fact  $[\omega_H]|_{p_{\max}} = -H(p_{\max})u = 0$ . Moreover, there exists at least one fixed point  $p \in M^{S^1}$  such that

$$\alpha|_p \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ind}(p) < 8n$$

because

- $\alpha|_p \neq 0$  for some  $p \in M^{S^1}$  by the Kirwan's Injectivity Theorem [14], and
- if  $\alpha|_p = 0$  for every  $p \in M^{S^1}$  with  $p \neq p_{\max}$ , then  $\alpha|_{p_{\max}} \neq 0$  and it violates Theorem 3

$$0 = \int_M \alpha = \frac{\alpha|_{p_{\max}}}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{4n} w_i(p)\right) u^{4n}} \neq 0.$$

Consequently, each summand of the rightmost equation of (3) has non-positive coefficient (of  $\frac{1}{u}$ ) and at least one of those should be negative. Therefore it leads to a contradiction.

Now it remains to consider the case of  $\dim M = 8n + 4$ . Under the same assumption (1), we similarly define

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : H_{S^1}^{4n}(M; \mathbb{R}) &\rightarrow \left(\mathbb{R}^{b_0} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{b_4} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{R}^{b_{4n}}\right) \oplus \left(\mathbb{R}^{b_{4n+4}} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{R}^{b_{8n}}\right) \\ \alpha &\mapsto (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{4n}, \alpha_{4n+4}, \dots, \alpha_{8n}) \end{aligned}$$

with the same identification as in (2). Note that  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H_{S^1}^{4n}(M; \mathbb{R}) = b_0 + b_2 + \dots + b_{4n-2} + b_{4n}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \text{Im } \Phi &\leq b_0 + \dots + b_{4n} + (b_{4n+4} + \dots + b_{8n}) = b_0 + \dots + b_{4n} + (b_{4n} + \dots + b_4) \\ &< b_0 + \dots + b_{4n} + (b_{4n-2} + \dots + b_2) = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} H_{S^1}^{4n}(M; \mathbb{R}) \end{aligned}$$

by (1) and Poincaré duality. Thus  $\Phi$  has a non-trivial kernel  $\alpha \in H_{S^1}^{4n}(M; \mathbb{R})$  and so there exists a nonzero  $\alpha \in H_{S^1}^{4n}(M; \mathbb{R})$  such that  $\alpha|_p = 0$  for every fixed point  $p$  of index  $0, 4, \dots, 4n$ . Therefore, we obtain

$$0 = \int_M \alpha^2 \cdot [\omega_H] = \sum_{\text{ind}(p) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}} \frac{-\alpha^2|_p \cdot H(p)u}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{4n+2} w_i(p)\right) u^{4n+2}} \neq 0$$

which leads to a contradiction. This completes the proof of Theorem 2. □

## References

- [1] M. F. Atiyah, R. Bott, “The moment map and equivariant cohomology”, *Topology* **23** (1984), no. 1, p. 1-28.
- [2] M. Audin, *Topology of Torus actions on symplectic manifolds Second revised edition*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 93, Birkhäuser, 2004.
- [3] N. Berline, M. Vergne, “Classes caractéristiques équivariantes. Formule de localisation en cohomologie équivariante”, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **295** (1982), p. 539-541.
- [4] Y. Cho, “Unimodality of Betti numbers for Hamiltonian circle actions with index-increasing moment maps”, *Int. J. Math.* **27** (2016), no. 5, article no. 1650043 (14 pages).
- [5] ———, “Classification of six dimensional monotone symplectic manifolds admitting semifree circle actions I”, *Int. J. Math.* **30** (2019), no. 6, article no. 1950032 (71 pages).
- [6] ———, “Classification of six dimensional monotone symplectic manifolds admitting semifree circle actions II”, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1904.10962>, to appear in *International Journal of Mathematics*, 2021.
- [7] ———, “Classification of six dimensional monotone symplectic manifolds admitting semifree circle actions III”, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1905.07292>, to appear in *International Journal of Mathematics*, 2021.
- [8] Y. Cho, M. K. Kim, “Unimodality of the Betti numbers for Hamiltonian circle action with isolated fixed points”, *Math. Res. Lett.* **21** (2014), no. 4, p. 691-696.
- [9] T. Delzant, “Hamiltoniens périodiques et images convexes de l’application moment”, *Bull. Soc. Math. Fr.* **116** (1988), no. 3, p. 315-339.
- [10] O. Goertsches, P. Konstantis, L. Zoller, “GKM theory and Hamiltonian non-Kähler actions in dimension 6”, *Adv. Math.* **368** (2020), article no. 107141.
- [11] R. F. Goldin, S. Tolman, “Towards Generalizing Schubert Calculus in the Symplectic Category”, *J. Symplectic Geom.* **7** (2009), no. 4, p. 449-473.
- [12] L. Jeffrey, T. Holm, Y. Karshon, E. M. Lerman, E. Meinrenken, “Moment maps in various geometries”, 2005, available at <http://www.birs.ca/workshops/2005/05w5072/report05w5072.pdf>.
- [13] Y. Karshon, *Periodic Hamiltonian flows on four-dimensional manifolds*, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 672, American Mathematical Society, 1999.
- [14] F. C. Kirwan, *Cohomology of quotients in symplectic and algebraic geometry*, Mathematical Notes, vol. 31, Princeton University Press, 1984.
- [15] D. McDuff, “Some 6-dimensional Hamiltonian  $S^1$ -manifolds”, *J. Topol.* **2** (2009), no. 3, p. 589-623.
- [16] D. McDuff, S. Tolman, “Topological properties of Hamiltonian circle actions”, *Int. Math. Res. Pap.* **2006** (2006), no. 4, article no. 72826.
- [17] S. Tolman, “Examples of non-Kähler Hamiltonian torus actions”, *Invent. Math.* **131** (1998), no. 2, p. 299-310.
- [18] ———, “On a symplectic generalization of Petrie’s conjecture”, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **362** (2010), no. 8, p. 3963-3996.
- [19] C. Woodward, “Multiplicity-free Hamiltonian actions need not be Kähler”, *Invent. Math.* **131** (1998), no. 2, p. 311-319.