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#### Abstract

In this paper, we disprove a recent monotonicity conjecture of C. Procesi on the generating function for monotone walks on the symmetric group, an object which is equivalent to the Weingarten function of the unitary group.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\Gamma_{d}$ be the Cayley graph of the symmetric group $\mathrm{S}(d)$ as generated by the conjugacy class of transpositions. Thus $\Gamma_{d}$ is a $\binom{d}{2}$-regular graded graph with levels $L_{0}, \ldots, L_{d-1}$, where $L_{k}$ is the set of permutations which factor into a product of $d-k$ disjoint cycles. Let us mark each edge of $\Gamma_{d}$ corresponding to the transposition $(i j)$ with $j \in\{2, \ldots, d\}$, the larger of the two symbols interchanged. This edge labeling was first considered by Stanley [6] and Biane [1] in connection with noncrossing partitions and parking functions.

A walk on $\Gamma_{d}$ is said to be monotone if the labels of the edges it traverses form a weakly increasing sequence. The combinatorics of such walks has been intensively studied in recent years, beginning with the discovery [4] that these trajectories play the role of Feynman diagrams for integration with respect to Haar measure on unitary groups. This is part of a broader subject nowadays known as Weingarten calculus, see [2].

Although non-obvious, it is a fact that the number of monotone walks of given length $r$ between two given permutations $\rho, \sigma \in \mathrm{S}(d)$ depends only on the cycle type $\alpha \vdash d$ of the permutation $\rho^{-1} \sigma$. It is therefore sufficient to consider the number $m^{r}(\alpha)$ of $r$-step monotone

[^0]walks on $\Gamma_{d}$ beginning at the identity permutation and ending at a fixed permutation of cycle type $\alpha$. To each partition $\alpha \vdash d$ we associate the generating function
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\alpha}(x)=\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} m^{r}(\alpha) x^{r} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

enumerating monotone walks on $\Gamma_{d}$ of arbitrary length and type $\alpha$. It is known [3] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\alpha}(x)=\sum_{\lambda \vdash d} \frac{\chi_{\alpha}^{\lambda}}{\prod_{\square \in \lambda} h(\square)(1-c(\square) x)}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi_{\alpha}^{\lambda}$ are the irreducible characters of the symmetric group $\mathrm{S}(d)$, with $h(\square)$ and $c(\square)$ being, respectively, the hook length and content of a given cell $\square$ in the Young diagram of $\lambda$ (see [7] for definitions). In particular, $M_{\alpha}(x)$ is a rational function of $x$ which may be considered as a continuous function of $x$ on the interval $\left(0, \frac{1}{d-1}\right)$ whose outputs are positive rational numbers. Up to a simple rescaling, the values $M_{\alpha}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)$ coincide with the values of the Weingarten function of the unitary group $U(N)$; see $[3,4]$.

In a recent paper [5], Procesi has pointed out that the function $M_{\alpha}(x)$ was also studied from the perspective of classical invariant theory by Formanek, and that in this context the values $M_{\alpha}\left(\frac{1}{d}\right)$ have special significance. Procesi tabulated these numbers for all diagrams $\alpha \vdash d \leq 8$, and on the basis of these computations made the following conjecture.
Conjecture 1. If $\alpha>\beta$ in lexicographic order, then $M_{\alpha}\left(\frac{1}{d}\right)>M_{\beta}\left(\frac{1}{d}\right)$.
In this brief note we give explicit numerical examples which show that Conjecture 1 is false.

## 2. Small $x$

We first clarify that Procesi's Conjecture 1 refers to lexicographic order on partitions viewed as nondecreasing sequences of positive integers, with 1 the first letter in the alphabet, 2 the second letter, and so on. For example, the partitions of six listed in lexicographic order are

111111
11112
1113
1122
114
123
15
222
24
33
6 ,
and Conjecture 1 says that the numbers $M_{\alpha}\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)$ strictly decrease as $\alpha$ moves down this list, and this is so. However, the pattern fails for sufficiently large degree $d$.

The first sign that Conjecture 1 might be false in general is that it is incompatible with the known $x \rightarrow 0$ asymptotics of $M_{\alpha}(x)$. The minimal length of a walk on $\Gamma_{d}$ from the identity to a permutation of type $\alpha$ is $d-\ell(\alpha)$, and thus by parity the number $m^{r}(\alpha)$ can only be positive
when $r=d-\ell(\alpha)+2 k$ with $k$ a nonnegative integer. We may therefore reparameterize the counts $m^{r}(\alpha)$ as $m_{k}(\alpha):=m^{d-\ell(\alpha)+2 k}(\alpha)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. The generating function $M_{\alpha}(x)$ then becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\alpha}(x)=x^{d-\ell(\alpha)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_{k}(\alpha) x^{2 k} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is then clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{M_{\beta}(x)}{M_{\alpha}(x)}=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $\ell(\alpha)>\ell(\beta)$, which is incompatible with lexicographic order.
One might nevertheless hope that when we compare the small $x$ behavior of $M_{\alpha}(x)$ and $M_{\beta}(x)$ with $\alpha$ and $\beta$ being partitions of the same length, we find compatibility with lexicographic order. This too is false, as can be seen from the fact [3] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{0}(\alpha)=\prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\alpha)} \operatorname{Cat}_{\alpha_{i}-1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{Cat}_{n}=\frac{1}{n+1}\binom{2 n}{n}$ is the Catalan number. Then for $\alpha, \beta \vdash d$ partitions of the same length $\ell$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{M_{\beta}(x)}{M_{\alpha}(x)}=\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{\operatorname{Cat}_{\beta_{i}-1}}{\operatorname{Cat}_{\alpha_{i}-1}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For small values of $d$, it does indeed appear to be the case that this product is smaller than 1 when $\alpha>\beta$, but this is a law of small numbers. Consider the case where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=(1, \underbrace{3, \ldots, 3}_{n}) \text { and } \beta=(\underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{n}, n+1) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are partitions of the same degree $d=3 n+1$, they have the same length $\ell(\alpha)=$ $\ell(\beta)=n+1$, and $\alpha$ precedes $\beta$ in the lexicographic order. However, the ratio of the corresponding Catalan products tends to infinity as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{Cat}_{n}}{2^{n}} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} n^{3 / 2}} \cdot 2^{n} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Counterexamples

To give a counterexample to Conjecture 1 itself, we return to the character formula (2), which in fact yields counterexamples if one goes a bit farther than the data tabulated in [5]. Let $\alpha^{+}$denote the successor of $\alpha$ in the lexicographic order. The first value of $d$ for which Conjecture 1 fails is the famously unlucky number $d=13$, for which there exists precisely one violating pair $\alpha, \alpha^{+}$. This pair is

$$
M_{\left(1^{6}, 7\right)}\left(\frac{1}{13}\right)=\frac{13^{13}}{(13!)^{2}} \frac{30132115571}{1149266300}<\frac{13^{13}}{(13!)^{2}} \frac{426729597219}{16089728200}=M_{\left(1^{5}, 2^{4}\right)}\left(\frac{1}{13}\right)
$$

We have tested Conjecture 1 for $d \leq 20$ and it fails for all $13 \leq d \leq 20$. Moreover the size of the set

$$
G_{d}:=\left\{\alpha \vdash d: M_{\alpha}\left(\frac{1}{d}\right)<M_{\alpha^{+}}\left(\frac{1}{d}\right)\right\}
$$

of consecutive failures at rank $d$ increases with $d$. For instance

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{14}= & \left\{\left(1^{7}, 7\right),\left(1^{5}, 2,7\right),\left(1^{5}, 9\right)\right\} \\
G_{15}= & \left\{\left(1^{8}, 7\right),\left(1^{6}, 2,7\right),\left(1^{6}, 9\right),\left(1^{4}, 11\right),\left(1^{3}, 2,10\right),\left(1^{3}, 3,9\right)\right\} \\
G_{16}= & \left\{\left(1^{11}, 5\right),\left(1^{9}, 7\right),\left(1^{7}, 2,7\right),\left(1^{7}, 9\right),\left(1^{6}, 10\right),\left(1^{5}, 2^{2}, 7\right),\left(1^{5}, 11\right),\left(1^{4}, 2,10\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left(1^{4}, 3,9\right),\left(1^{3}, 13\right),(1,4,11)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Even though Conjecture 1 seems to fail for all $d \geq 13$ the structure of the failure set $G_{d}$ appears to be very interesting: it seems that when $d$ is large, the points in $G_{d}$ form many short lexicographic intervals and one large lexicographic interval. For instance $\left|G_{20}\right|=45$, so the proportion of the length of a typical interval on which $M_{\alpha}\left(\frac{1}{|\alpha|}\right)$ is monotone is equal to $\frac{1}{45}$. Nevertheless, for the interval $\left(\left(1,2^{2}, 4,11\right),(2,5,13)\right]$, whose cardinality is equal to 151 , one has $\left(\left(1,2^{2}, 4,11\right),(2,5,13)\right] \cap G_{20}=\{(2,5,13)\}$. The number of partitions of size 20 is 627 , therefore there exists an interval on which $M_{\alpha}\left(\frac{1}{|\alpha|}\right)$ is monotone and which is more than ten times longer than its expected length. This suggests that a weaker version of Conjecture 1 might be true. Let $\mathscr{P}_{d}$ denote the set of partitions of size $d$.
Question 2. Is it true that there exists constant $C>0$ such that for every positive integer $d$ there exists partitions $\alpha^{d}>\beta^{d} \in \mathscr{P}_{d}$ such that for every lexicographic sequence $\alpha^{d} \geq \alpha>\beta \geq \beta^{d}$ we have

$$
M_{\alpha}\left(\frac{1}{d}\right)>M_{\beta}\left(\frac{1}{d}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\left|\left[\alpha_{d}, \beta_{d}\right]\right|}{\left|\mathscr{P}_{d}\right|} \geq C \text { ? }
$$

We do not know the answer to this question and we leave it wide open. It would also be very interesting to find an explicit description of the set $G_{d}$, which appears to consists of very specific partitions which might be classifiable. Even though Conjecture 1 turned out to be false we believe that the research initiated by Procesi [5] on the behaviour of the function $M_{\alpha}\left(\frac{1}{|\alpha|}\right)$ merits further investigation. Indeed, Procesi's work has added a new and largely unexplored dimension to Weingarten calculus.
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