



INSTITUT DE FRANCE
Académie des sciences

Comptes Rendus

Mathématique

Zhong-Xuan Mao and Jing-Feng Tian

**Monotonicity and complete monotonicity of some functions involving
the modified Bessel functions of the second kind**

Volume 361 (2023), p. 217-235

<https://doi.org/10.5802/crmath.399>



This article is licensed under the
CREATIVE COMMONS ATTRIBUTION 4.0 INTERNATIONAL LICENSE.
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Les Comptes Rendus. Mathématique sont membres du
Centre Mersenne pour l'édition scientifique ouverte
www.centre-mersenne.org
e-ISSN : 1778-3569



Partial differential equations / *Equations aux dérivées partielles*

Monotonicity and complete monotonicity of some functions involving the modified Bessel functions of the second kind

Zhong-Xuan Mao^a and Jing-Feng Tian^{*,a}

^a Department of Mathematics and Physics, North China Electric Power University, Yonghua Street 619, 071003, Baoding, P. R. China

E-mails: maozhongxuan@ncepu.edu.cn (Z.-X. Mao), tianjff@ncepu.edu.cn (J.-F. Tian)

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce some monotonicity rules for the ratio of integrals. Furthermore, we demonstrate that the function $-T_{\nu,\alpha,\beta}(s)$ is completely monotonic in s and absolutely monotonic in ν if and only if $\beta \geq 1$, where $T_{\nu,\alpha,\beta}(s) = K_{\nu}^2(s) - \beta K_{\nu-\alpha}(s)K_{\nu+\alpha}(s)$ defined on $s > 0$ and $K_{\nu}(s)$ is the modified Bessel function of the second kind of order ν . Finally, we determine the necessary and sufficient conditions for the functions $s \mapsto T_{\mu,\alpha,1}(s)/T_{\nu,\alpha,1}(s)$, $s \mapsto (T_{\mu,\alpha,1}(s) + T_{\nu,\alpha,1}(s))/(2T_{(\mu+\nu)/2,\alpha,1}(s))$, and $s \mapsto \frac{d^{n_1}}{ds^{n_1}} T_{\nu,\alpha,1}(s) / \frac{d^{n_2}}{ds^{n_2}} T_{\nu,\alpha,1}(s)$ to be monotonic in $s \in (0, \infty)$ by employing the monotonicity rules.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 33C10, 33B15, 26A48.

Manuscript received 14 March 2022, accepted 18 June 2022.

1. Introduction

The modified Bessel function of the first kind $I_{\nu}(s)$ [25] was defined by the solution to the following second-order ordinary differential equation

$$s^2 X''(s) + sX'(s) - (s^2 + \nu^2)X(s) = 0,$$

which can be represented as a series [25]

$$I_{\nu}(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k! \Gamma(\nu + k + 1)} \left(\frac{s}{2}\right)^{2k+\nu}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, \nu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{-1, -2, \dots\}.$$

The modified Bessel function of the second kind $K_{\nu}(s)$ [25] was defined by

$$K_{\nu}(s) = \begin{cases} \pi \frac{I_{-\nu}(s) - I_{\nu}(s)}{2 \sin(\pi \nu)}, & \text{if } \nu \notin \mathbb{Z}, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \nu} \pi \frac{I_{-n}(s) - I_n(s)}{2 \sin(\pi n)}, & \text{if } \nu \in \mathbb{Z}, \end{cases}$$

* Corresponding author.

which can be represented as an integral [25]

$$K_\nu(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-s \cosh t} \cosh(\nu t) dt, \quad s > 0, \nu \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{1}$$

Moreover, the product of any two modified Bessel functions of the second kind [25] had the following integral representation

$$K_\mu(s)K_\nu(s) = 2 \int_0^\infty K_{\mu \pm \nu}(2s \cosh(t)) \cosh((\mu \mp \nu)t) dt, \quad s > 0, \mu, \nu \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2}$$

Grosswald [16] proved that

$$\mathcal{L} \left(\frac{2}{\pi^2 s} \frac{1}{J_\nu^2(\sqrt{s}) + Y_\nu^2(\sqrt{s})} \right) = \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left(\frac{K_{\nu-1}(\sqrt{s})}{\sqrt{s} K_\nu(\sqrt{s})} \right), \quad \nu \geq 0, \tag{3}$$

where \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L}^{-1} are the Laplace transform and its inverse respectively, $J_\nu(s)$ and $Y_\nu(s)$ are the Bessel functions of the first and second kind of order ν , respectively. Ismail [17] further expressed the formula (3) as

$$\frac{K_{\nu-1}(s)}{K_\nu(s)} = \frac{4}{\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{s dt}{t(s^2 + t^2)(J_\nu^2(t) + Y_\nu^2(t))}, \quad \nu > 0.$$

The modified Bessel functions have an essential role in mathematical analysis and have wide applications in statistics [14, 17, 20] and physics [22, 24]. For more information about the Bessel functions, refer to [8, 12, 15, 27, 28, 30, 31].

Recall that the Turán type inequality was presented by Paul Turán in [23], which states as follows

$$P_n^2(s) - P_{n-1}(s)P_{n+1}(s) > 0, \quad |s| < 1, n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where P_n is the classical Legendre polynomial. Many scholars have discovered that the Turán type inequality also holds for numerous classical polynomials and special functions, such as Krätzel functions [6], Struve functions [9], Tricomi confluent hypergeometric functions [5] and Bessel functions [3, 7, 8, 18, 24]. Among these, Ismail and Muldoon [18] and Van Haeringen [24] independently proved the following Turán type inequality holds for the modified Bessel function of the second kind

$$K_\nu^2(s) - K_{\nu-1}(s)K_{\nu+1}(s) \leq 0, \quad s > 0, \nu \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{4}$$

After that, Segura [21] and Baricz [4] presented some interesting results about the lower and upper bounds of $K_\nu^2(s) - K_{\nu-1}(s)K_{\nu+1}(s)$.

Inspired by these, we will generalize the left hand side of (4) as follows

$$T_{\nu,\alpha,\beta}(s) := K_\nu^2(s) - \beta K_{\nu-\alpha}(s)K_{\nu+\alpha}(s), \quad s > 0, \nu, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{5}$$

and provide some properties of $T_{\nu,\alpha,\beta}(s)$. Besides, to further investigate $T_{\nu,\alpha,1}(s)$, we will consider the following functions involving $T_{\nu,\alpha,1}(s)$ that are defined on $(0, \infty)$

$$L_{\mu,\nu,\alpha}^*(s) := \frac{T_{\mu,\alpha,1}(s)}{T_{\nu,\alpha,1}(s)}, \tag{6}$$

$$L_{\mu,\nu,\alpha}^{**}(s) := \frac{T_{\mu,\alpha,1}(s) + T_{\nu,\alpha,1}(s)}{2T_{(\mu+\nu)/2,\alpha,1}(s)}, \tag{7}$$

and

$$W_{\nu,n_1,n_2,\alpha}(s) := \frac{\frac{\partial^{n_1} T_{\nu,\alpha,1}(s)}{\partial \nu^{n_1}}}{\frac{\partial^{n_2} T_{\nu,\alpha,1}(s)}{\partial \nu^{n_2}}}. \tag{8}$$

This paper is organized into four sections. Section 1 provides a brief background and the research motivation of this paper. Section 2 presents several monotonicity rules for the ratio of integrals, extending existing research results. Section 3 introduces two multiple integral representations and asymptotic formulas of $T_{\nu,\alpha,\beta}(s)$. Section 4 explores the complete monotonicity and

absolute monotonicity of function $T_{\nu,\alpha,\beta}(s)$ and establishes the necessary and sufficient conditions for functions $L_{\mu,\nu,\alpha}^*(s)$, $L_{\mu,\nu,\alpha}^{**}(s)$, and $W_{\nu,n_1,n_2,\alpha}(s)$ to be monotonic.

2. Monotonicity rules for ratio of integrals

Monotonicity rules play a fundamental role in mathematical analysis [2, 29]. In 1955, Biernacki and Krzyż [11] provided a crucial monotonicity rule for the ratio of two power series.

Monotocity rule A. *Suppose that two convergent real power series $P(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k s^k$ and $Q(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q_k s^k$ are defined on $(-d, d)$ ($d > 0$). Then the ratio $P(s)/Q(s)$ is increasing (decreasing) on $(0, d)$ if $\{p_k/q_k\}_{k \geq 0}$ is non-constant, increasing (decreasing) and $q_k > 0$ for all $k \geq 0$.*

In 1982, Cheeger, Gromov, and Taylor presented an important monotonicity rule for the ratio of two integrals [13].

Monotocity rule B. *If functions $f(t), g(t)$ are positive, integrable and the ratio $f(t)/g(t)$ is decreasing, then the function*

$$s \mapsto \frac{\int_0^s f(t) dt}{\int_0^s g(t) dt}$$

is decreasing on $(0, \infty)$.

In 2022, Qi [19, Lemma 9] gave an interesting monotonicity rule as follows.

Monotocity rule C. *Suppose that the functions $f(t), g(t) > 0$, and $w(s, t) > 0$ are integrable in $t \in (a, b)$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$. If the ratios*

$$\frac{f(t)}{g(t)} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\frac{\partial w(s,t)}{\partial s}}{w(s,t)}$$

are both increasing or both decreasing in $t \in (a, b)$, then the function

$$s \mapsto \frac{\int_a^b f(t) w(s, t) dt}{\int_a^b g(t) w(s, t) dt} \tag{9}$$

is increasing on \mathbb{R} ; if one of the ratios $\frac{f(t)}{g(t)}$ and $\frac{\frac{\partial w(s,t)}{\partial s}}{w(s,t)}$ is increasing and the other is decreasing in $t \in (a, b)$, then the function (9) is decreasing on \mathbb{R} .

In this paper, we denote that $\mathbf{I} = [\alpha_1, \beta_1] \times [\alpha_2, \beta_2] \times \dots \times [\alpha_n, \beta_n]$ and $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)$, where $\alpha_i, \beta_i, t_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$); $D_0 = \{(s, t) : \alpha(s) < t < \beta(s), s > s_0\}$, $\bar{D}_0 = (s_0, \infty) \times \mathbb{E}_0 = (s_0, \infty) \times [\inf_{s \in (s_0, \infty)} \alpha(s), \sup_{s \in (s_0, \infty)} \beta(s)]$, $D_1 = \{(s, t) : \alpha_1(s) < t < \beta_1(s), s > s_0\}$ and $\mathbb{E}_i = [\inf_{s \in (s_0, \infty)} \alpha_i(s), \sup_{s \in (s_0, \infty)} \beta_i(s)]$ ($i = 1, 2$), where s_0 is a given constant and $\alpha(s), \beta(s), \alpha_i(s), \beta_i(s)$ ($i = 1, 2$) are differentiable functions with $\beta(s) \geq \alpha(s)$ and $\beta_i(s) \geq \alpha_i(s)$ ($i = 1, 2$).

Now we will provide some monotonicity rules for the ratio of multiple integrals.

Monotonicity rule 1. *Suppose that the functions $f(t_1), g(t_1) > 0$, $w_1(s, t_1) > 0$ and $w_2(\mathbf{t}) > 0$ are integrable in $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{I}$ and $s \in (s_0, \infty)$. If the ratios*

$$\frac{f(t_1)}{g(t_1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial s} w_1(s, t_1)}{w_1(s, t_1)}$$

are both increasing or both decreasing in $t_1 \in (\alpha_1, \beta_1)$, then the function

$$s \mapsto \frac{\int_{\mathbf{I}} f(t_1) w_1(s, t_1) w_2(\mathbf{t}) d\mathbf{t}}{\int_{\mathbf{I}} g(t_1) w_1(s, t_1) w_2(\mathbf{t}) d\mathbf{t}} \tag{10}$$

is increasing on (s_0, ∞) ; if one of the ratios $\frac{f(t_1)}{g(t_1)}$ and $\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial s} w_1(s, t_1)}{w_1(s, t_1)}$ is increasing and the other is decreasing in $t_1 \in (\alpha_1, \beta_1)$, then the function (10) is decreasing on (s_0, ∞) .

Proof. Taking derivative of the function (10), we obtain the conclusion immediately. □

The following monotonicity rule can be obtained by reusing Monotonicity rule C.

Monotonicity rule 2. Suppose that the functions $f(t_n), g(t_n) > 0, w_1(s, t_1) > 0, w_j(t_{j-1}, t_j) > 0$ ($j = 2, 3, \dots, n$) are integrable in $t_i \in [\alpha_i, \beta_i] (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ and $s \in (s_0, \infty)$. If the function $R_j(t_{j-1}, t_j)$ is monotonic in t_j for all $j = 2, 3, \dots, n, R_0(t_n)$ is monotonic, and $R_1(s, t_1)$ is monotonic in t_1 , then the function

$$s \mapsto \frac{\int_1 f(t_n) w_1(s, t_1) \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} w_{k+1}(t_k, t_{k+1}) dt}{\int_1 g(t_n) w_1(s, t_1) \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} w_{k+1}(t_k, t_{k+1}) dt} \tag{11}$$

is monotonic on (s_0, ∞) , where

$$R_0(t_n) := \frac{f(t_n)}{g(t_n)}, \quad R_1(s, t_1) := \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial s} w_1(s, t_1)}{w_1(s, t_1)}, \quad R_j(t_{j-1}, t_j) := \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{j-1}} w_j(t_{j-1}, t_j)}{w_j(t_{j-1}, t_j)}, \quad j = 2, 3, \dots, n.$$

Furthermore, let τ represent the number of decreasing functions in $R_0(t_n), R_1(s, t_1), R_2(t_1, t_2), \dots, R_n(t_{n-1}, t_n)$. If τ is even, then the function (11) is increasing; if τ is odd, then the function (11) is decreasing.

Next, we will consider two monotonicity rules for the ratio of variable limit integrals.

Monotonicity rule 3. Suppose that the continuous functions $f(t), g(t)$ defined on \mathbb{E}_0 satisfy that $g(t) > 0$, continuous function $w(s, t)$ defined on \tilde{D}_0 satisfies that it is positive on $D_0, \partial w(s, t) / \partial s$ is continuous on D_0 .

- (1) If $\frac{f(t)}{g(t)}$ is increasing, $\frac{\partial w(s, t)}{w(s, t)}$ is increasing (decreasing) in t for arbitrary given $s \in (s_0, \infty), \alpha(s)$ and $\beta(s)$ are increasing (decreasing), then the function

$$s \mapsto \frac{\int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} f(t) w(s, t) dt}{\int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} g(t) w(s, t) dt} \tag{12}$$

is increasing (decreasing) on (s_0, ∞) .

- (2) If $\frac{f(t)}{g(t)}$ is decreasing, $\frac{\partial w(s, t)}{w(s, t)}$ is increasing (decreasing) in t for arbitrary given $s \in (s_0, \infty), \alpha(s)$ and $\beta(s)$ are increasing (decreasing), then the function (12) is decreasing (increasing) on (s_0, ∞) .

Proof. Noting that

$$\frac{d}{ds} \left(\int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} f(t) w(s, t) dt \right) = \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} f(t) \frac{\partial w(s, t)}{\partial s} dt + \beta'(s) f(\beta(s)) w(s, \beta(s)) - \alpha'(s) f(\alpha(s)) w(s, \alpha(s)),$$

and

$$\frac{d}{ds} \left(\int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} g(t) w(s, t) dt \right) = \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} g(t) \frac{\partial w(s, t)}{\partial s} dt + \beta'(s) g(\beta(s)) w(s, \beta(s)) - \alpha'(s) g(\alpha(s)) w(s, \alpha(s)),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left(\int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} g(t) w(s, t) dt \right)^2 \frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{\int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} f(t) w(s, t) dt}{\int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} g(t) w(s, t) dt} \right) \\
 &= \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} f(t) \frac{\partial w(s, t)}{\partial s} dt \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} g(t) w(s, t) dt - \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} f(t) w(s, t) dt \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} g(t) \frac{\partial w(s, t)}{\partial s} dt \\
 &+ (\beta'(s) f(\beta(s)) w(s, \beta(s)) - \alpha'(s) f(\alpha(s)) w(s, \alpha(s))) \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} g(t) w(s, t) dt \\
 &- (\beta'(s) g(\beta(s)) w(s, \beta(s)) - \alpha'(s) g(\alpha(s)) w(s, \alpha(s))) \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} f(t) w(s, t) dt \\
 &= \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} f(t) \frac{\partial w(s, t)}{\partial s} g(x) w(s, x) dt dx \\
 &- \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} f(t) w(s, t) g(x) \frac{\partial w(s, x)}{\partial s} dt dx \\
 &+ \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} \beta'(s) g(\beta(s)) g(t) w(s, \beta(s)) w(s, t) \left(\frac{f(\beta(s))}{g(\beta(s))} - \frac{f(t)}{g(t)} \right) dt \\
 &+ \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} \alpha'(s) g(\alpha(s)) g(t) w(s, \alpha(s)) w(s, t) \left(\frac{f(t)}{g(t)} - \frac{f(\alpha(s))}{g(\alpha(s))} \right) dt \\
 &= \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} f(t) g(x) w(s, t) w(s, x) \left(\frac{\partial w(s, t)}{\partial s} - \frac{\partial w(s, x)}{\partial s} \right) dt dx \\
 &+ \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} \beta'(s) g(\beta(s)) g(t) w(s, \beta(s)) w(s, t) \left(\frac{f(\beta(s))}{g(\beta(s))} - \frac{f(t)}{g(t)} \right) dt \\
 &+ \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} \alpha'(s) g(\alpha(s)) g(t) w(s, \alpha(s)) w(s, t) \left(\frac{f(t)}{g(t)} - \frac{f(\alpha(s))}{g(\alpha(s))} \right) dt \\
 &= \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} f(x) g(t) w(s, x) w(s, t) \left(\frac{\partial w(s, x)}{\partial s} - \frac{\partial w(s, t)}{\partial s} \right) dt dx \\
 &+ \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} \beta'(s) g(\beta(s)) g(t) w(s, \beta(s)) w(s, t) \left(\frac{f(\beta(s))}{g(\beta(s))} - \frac{f(t)}{g(t)} \right) dt \\
 &+ \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} \alpha'(s) g(\alpha(s)) g(t) w(s, \alpha(s)) w(s, t) \left(\frac{f(t)}{g(t)} - \frac{f(\alpha(s))}{g(\alpha(s))} \right) dt \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} g(t) g(x) w(s, t) w(s, x) \left(\frac{f(t)}{g(t)} - \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \right) \left(\frac{\partial w(s, t)}{\partial s} - \frac{\partial w(s, x)}{\partial s} \right) dt dx \\
 &+ \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} \beta'(s) g(\beta(s)) g(t) w(s, \beta(s)) w(s, t) \left(\frac{f(\beta(s))}{g(\beta(s))} - \frac{f(t)}{g(t)} \right) dt \\
 &+ \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} \alpha'(s) g(\alpha(s)) g(t) w(s, \alpha(s)) w(s, t) \left(\frac{f(t)}{g(t)} - \frac{f(\alpha(s))}{g(\alpha(s))} \right) dt,
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies the desired Monotonicity rule 3. □

Remark 4. The conclusion of Monotonicity rule 3 can be described in Table 1.

Table 1. Monotonicity of function (12)

Cases	$\frac{f(t)}{g(t)}$	$\frac{\frac{\partial w(s,t)}{\partial s}}{w(s,t)}$	$\alpha(s), \beta(s)$	Function (12)
1	\nearrow	\nearrow	\nearrow	\nearrow
	\nearrow	\searrow	\searrow	\searrow
2	\searrow	\nearrow	\nearrow	\searrow
	\searrow	\searrow	\searrow	\nearrow

Remark 5. If $\alpha(s)$ and $\beta(s)$ are constants, then Monotonicity rule 3 reduces to Monotonicity rule C.

Monotonicity rule 6. Suppose that the continuous functions $f(s, t)$, $g(s, t)$, and $w(s, t)$ defined on \tilde{D}_0 satisfy $g(s, t) > 0$, $w(s, t)$ is positive on D_0 , $\partial f(s, t)/\partial s$, $\partial g(s, t)/\partial s$ and $\partial w(s, t)/\partial s$ are continuous on D_0 . Denote that

$$M_{f,g}(s, t, x) := \frac{\partial f(s, t)}{\partial s} g(s, x) + \frac{\partial f(s, x)}{\partial s} g(s, t) - f(s, x) \frac{\partial g(s, t)}{\partial s} - f(s, t) \frac{\partial g(s, x)}{\partial s}.$$

- (1) If $\frac{f(s,t)}{g(s,t)}$ is increasing in t for arbitrary given $s \in (s_0, \infty)$, $\frac{\frac{\partial w(s,t)}{\partial s}}{w(s,t)}$ is increasing (decreasing) in t for arbitrary given $s \in (s_0, \infty)$, $\alpha(s)$ and $\beta(s)$ are increasing (decreasing), $M_{f,g}(s, t, x) \geq (\leq) 0$ for all $s \in (s_0, \infty)$ and $t, x \in \mathbb{E}_0$, then the function

$$s \mapsto \frac{\int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} f(s, t) w(s, t) dt}{\int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} g(s, t) w(s, t) dt} \tag{13}$$

is increasing (decreasing) on (s_0, ∞) .

- (2) If $\frac{f(s,t)}{g(s,t)}$ is decreasing in t for arbitrary given $s \in (s_0, \infty)$, $\frac{\frac{\partial w(s,t)}{\partial s}}{w(s,t)}$ is decreasing (increasing) in t for arbitrary given $s \in (s_0, \infty)$, $\alpha(s)$ and $\beta(s)$ are decreasing (increasing), $M_{f,g}(s, t, x) \geq (\leq) 0$ for all $s \in (s_0, \infty)$ and $t, x \in \mathbb{E}_0$, then the function (13) is increasing (decreasing) on (s_0, ∞) .

Proof. Noting that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{ds} \left(\int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} f(s, t) w(s, t) dt \right) \\ &= \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} (f(s, t) w(s, t)) dt + \beta'(s) f(s, \beta(s)) w(s, \beta(s)) - \alpha'(s) f(s, \alpha(s)) w(s, \alpha(s)), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{ds} \left(\int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} g(s, t) w(s, t) dt \right) \\ &= \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} (g(s, t) w(s, t)) dt + \beta'(s) g(s, \beta(s)) w(s, \beta(s)) - \alpha'(s) g(s, \alpha(s)) w(s, \alpha(s)), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\left(\int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} g(s, t) w(s, t) dt \right)^2 \frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{\int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} f(s, t) w(s, t) dt}{\int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} g(s, t) w(s, t) dt} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} (f(s, t) w(s, t)) dt \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} g(s, t) w(s, t) dt \\
 &- \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} f(s, t) w(s, t) dt \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} (g(s, t) w(s, t)) dt \\
 &+ \left(\beta'(s) f(s, \beta(s)) w(s, \beta(s)) - \alpha'(s) f(s, \alpha(s)) w(s, \alpha(s)) \right) \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} g(s, t) w(s, t) dt \\
 &- \left(\beta'(s) g(s, \beta(s)) w(s, \beta(s)) - \alpha'(s) g(s, \alpha(s)) w(s, \alpha(s)) \right) \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} f(s, t) w(s, t) dt \\
 &= \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} \left(\frac{\partial f(s, t)}{\partial s} w(s, t) + f(s, t) \frac{\partial w(s, t)}{\partial s} \right) g(s, x) w(s, x) dt dx \\
 &- \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} f(s, t) w(s, t) \left(\frac{\partial g(s, x)}{\partial s} w(s, x) + g(s, x) \frac{\partial w(s, x)}{\partial s} \right) dt dx \\
 &+ \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} \beta'(s) g(s, \beta(s)) g(s, t) w(s, \beta(s)) w(s, t) \left(\frac{f(s, \beta(s))}{g(s, \beta(s))} - \frac{f(s, t)}{g(s, t)} \right) dt \\
 &+ \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} \alpha'(s) g(s, \alpha(s)) g(s, t) w(s, \alpha(s)) w(s, t) \left(\frac{f(s, t)}{g(s, t)} - \frac{f(s, \alpha(s))}{g(s, \alpha(s))} \right) dt \\
 &= \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} \left(\frac{\partial f(s, t)}{\partial s} g(s, x) - f(s, t) \frac{\partial g(s, x)}{\partial s} \right) w(s, t) w(s, x) dt dx \\
 &+ \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} f(s, t) g(s, x) w(s, t) w(s, x) \left(\frac{\frac{\partial w(s, t)}{\partial s}}{w(s, t)} - \frac{\frac{\partial w(s, x)}{\partial s}}{w(s, x)} \right) dt dx \\
 &+ \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} \beta'(s) g(s, \beta(s)) g(s, t) w(s, \beta(s)) w(s, t) \left(\frac{f(s, \beta(s))}{g(s, \beta(s))} - \frac{f(s, t)}{g(s, t)} \right) dt \\
 &+ \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} \alpha'(s) g(s, \alpha(s)) g(s, t) w(s, \alpha(s)) w(s, t) \left(\frac{f(s, t)}{g(s, t)} - \frac{f(s, \alpha(s))}{g(s, \alpha(s))} \right) dt \\
 &= \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} \left(\frac{\partial f(s, x)}{\partial s} g(s, t) - f(s, x) \frac{\partial g(s, t)}{\partial s} \right) w(s, x) w(s, t) dt dx \\
 &+ \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} f(s, x) g(s, t) w(s, x) w(s, t) \left(\frac{\frac{\partial w(s, x)}{\partial s}}{w(s, x)} - \frac{\frac{\partial w(s, t)}{\partial s}}{w(s, t)} \right) dt dx \\
 &+ \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} \beta'(s) g(s, \beta(s)) g(s, t) w(s, \beta(s)) w(s, t) \left(\frac{f(s, \beta(s))}{g(s, \beta(s))} - \frac{f(s, t)}{g(s, t)} \right) dt \\
 &+ \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} \alpha'(s) g(s, \alpha(s)) g(s, t) w(s, \alpha(s)) w(s, t) \left(\frac{f(s, t)}{g(s, t)} - \frac{f(s, \alpha(s))}{g(s, \alpha(s))} \right) dt \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} M_{f, g}(s, t, x) w(s, t) w(s, x) dt dx \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} g(s, t) g(s, x) w(s, t) w(s, x) \left(\frac{f(s, t)}{g(s, t)} - \frac{f(s, x)}{g(s, x)} \right) \left(\frac{\frac{\partial w(s, t)}{\partial s}}{w(s, t)} - \frac{\frac{\partial w(s, x)}{\partial s}}{w(s, x)} \right) dt dx \\
 &+ \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} \beta'(s) g(s, \beta(s)) g(s, t) w(s, \beta(s)) w(s, t) \left(\frac{f(s, \beta(s))}{g(s, \beta(s))} - \frac{f(s, t)}{g(s, t)} \right) dt \\
 &+ \int_{\alpha(s)}^{\beta(s)} \alpha'(s) g(s, \alpha(s)) g(s, t) w(s, \alpha(s)) w(s, t) \left(\frac{f(s, t)}{g(s, t)} - \frac{f(s, \alpha(s))}{g(s, \alpha(s))} \right) dt,
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies the conclusion of Monotonicity rule 6. □

Remark 7. The conclusion of Monotonicity rule 6 can be described in Table 2.

Table 2. Monotonicity of function (13)

Cases	$\frac{f(s,t)}{g(s,t)}$	$\frac{\frac{\partial w(s,t)}{\partial s}}{w(s,t)}$	$\alpha(s), \beta(s)$	$M_{f,g}(s, t, x)$	Function (13)
1	\nearrow	\nearrow	\nearrow	≥ 0	\nearrow
	\nearrow	\searrow	\searrow	≤ 0	\searrow
2	\searrow	\searrow	\searrow	≥ 0	\nearrow
	\searrow	\nearrow	\nearrow	≤ 0	\searrow

Remark 8. If $f(s, t)$ and $g(s, t)$ are univariate functions with respect to the same variable, then Monotonicity rule 6 reduces to Monotonicity rule 3.

Taking $f(s, t) = \int_{\alpha_2(s)}^{\beta_2(s)} l(y)h(t, y)dy$ and $g(s, t) = \int_{\alpha_2(s)}^{\beta_2(s)} r(y)h(t, y)dy$ in Monotonicity rule 6, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 9. Suppose that the continuous functions $l(y), r(y)$ defined on \mathbb{E}_2 satisfy $r(y) > 0$, function $w(s, t)$ defined on $(s_0, \infty) \times \mathbb{E}_1$ is continuous and satisfies that it is positive on D_1 , positive function $h(t, y)$ defined on $\mathbb{E}_1 \times \mathbb{E}_2$ satisfies that $\partial h(t, y)/\partial t$ is continuous, $\partial w(s, t)/\partial s$ is continuous on D_1 .

- (1) If $\frac{l(y)}{r(y)}$ and $\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial t} h(t, y)}{h(t, y)}$ are increasing in y , $\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial s} w(s, t)}{w(s, t)}$ is increasing (decreasing) in t for arbitrary given $s \in (s_0, \infty)$, $\alpha_1(s), \beta_1(s), \alpha_2(s)$ and $\beta_2(s)$ are increasing (decreasing), then the function

$$s \mapsto \frac{\int_{\alpha_1(s)}^{\beta_1(s)} \int_{\alpha_2(s)}^{\beta_2(s)} l(y)w(s, t)h(t, y)dydt}{\int_{\alpha_1(s)}^{\beta_1(s)} \int_{\alpha_2(s)}^{\beta_2(s)} r(y)w(s, t)h(t, y)dydt} \tag{14}$$

is increasing (decreasing) on (s_0, ∞) .

- (2) If $\frac{l(y)}{r(y)}$ and $-\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial t} h(t, y)}{h(t, y)}$ is increasing in y , $\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial s} w(s, t)}{w(s, t)}$ is decreasing (increasing) in t for arbitrary given $s \in (s_0, \infty)$, $-\alpha_1(s), -\beta_1(s), \alpha_2(s)$ and $\beta_2(s)$ are increasing (decreasing), then the function (14) is increasing (decreasing) on (s_0, ∞) .
- (3) If $-\frac{l(y)}{r(y)}$ and $\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial t} h(t, y)}{h(t, y)}$ is increasing in y , $\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial s} w(s, t)}{w(s, t)}$ is decreasing (increasing) in t for arbitrary given $s \in (s_0, \infty)$, $\alpha_1(s), \beta_1(s), \alpha_2(s)$ and $\beta_2(s)$ are decreasing (increasing), then the function (14) is increasing (decreasing) on (s_0, ∞) .
- (4) If $\frac{l(y)}{r(y)}$ and $\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial t} h(t, y)}{h(t, y)}$ are decreasing in y , $\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial s} w(s, t)}{w(s, t)}$ is increasing (decreasing) in t for arbitrary given $s \in (s_0, \infty)$, $\alpha_1(s), \beta_1(s), -\alpha_2(s)$ and $-\beta_2(s)$ are increasing (decreasing), then the function (14) is increasing (decreasing) on (s_0, ∞) .

Remark 10. The conclusion of Corollary 9 can be described in Table 3.

Table 3. Monotonicity of function (14)

Cases	$\frac{l(y)}{r(y)}$	$\frac{\frac{\partial w(s,t)}{\partial s}}{w(s,t)}$	$\frac{\frac{\partial h(t,y)}{\partial s}}{h(t,y)}$	$\alpha_1(s), \beta_1(s)$	$\alpha_2(s), \beta_2(s)$	Function (14)
1	\nearrow	\nearrow	\nearrow	\nearrow	\nearrow	\nearrow
	\nearrow	\searrow	\nearrow	\searrow	\searrow	\searrow
2	\nearrow	\searrow	\searrow	\searrow	\nearrow	\nearrow
	\nearrow	\nearrow	\searrow	\nearrow	\searrow	\searrow
3	\searrow	\searrow	\nearrow	\searrow	\searrow	\nearrow
	\searrow	\nearrow	\nearrow	\nearrow	\nearrow	\searrow
4	\searrow	\nearrow	\searrow	\nearrow	\searrow	\nearrow
	\searrow	\searrow	\searrow	\searrow	\nearrow	\searrow

3. Integral representations and asymptotic formulas of $T_{\nu,\alpha,\beta}(s)$

In this section, we investigate the integral representations of $T_{\nu,\alpha,\beta}(s)$, as well as its asymptotic formulas.

Proposition 11. *Let $s > 0, \nu, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then*

$$T_{\nu,\alpha,\beta}(s) = 2 \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-2s \cosh(t) \cosh(y)} \cosh(2\nu y) (1 - \beta \cosh(2\alpha t)) dy dt \tag{15}$$

$$= 2 \int_{2s}^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-t \cosh(y)} \cosh(2\nu y) \frac{1 - \beta \cosh(2\alpha \operatorname{arccosh}(\frac{t}{2s}))}{\sqrt{t^2 - 4s^2}} dy dt. \tag{16}$$

Proof. Combining formulas (1) and (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_\mu(s)K_\nu(s) &= 2 \int_0^\infty K_{\mu+\nu}(2s \cosh(t)) \cosh((\mu - \nu)t) dt \\ &= 2 \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-2s \cosh(t) \cosh(y)} \cosh((\mu + \nu)y) \cosh((\mu - \nu)t) dy dt, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\nu,\alpha,\beta}(s) &= K_\nu^2(s) - \beta K_{\nu-\alpha}(s)K_{\nu+\alpha}(s) \\ &= 2 \int_0^\infty K_{2\nu}(2s \cosh(t)) (1 - \beta \cosh(2\alpha t)) dt \\ &= 2 \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-2s \cosh(t) \cosh(y)} \cosh(2\nu y) (1 - \beta \cosh(2\alpha t)) dy dt. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $t = 2s \cosh(x)$ in

$$K_\mu(s)K_\nu(s) = 2 \int_0^\infty K_{\mu+\nu}(2s \cosh(x)) \cosh((\mu - \nu)x) dx,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_\mu(s)K_\nu(s) &= 2 \int_{2s}^\infty K_{\mu+\nu}(t) \cosh\left((\mu - \nu) \operatorname{arccosh}\left(\frac{t}{2s}\right)\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{t^2 - 4s^2}} dt \\ &= 2 \int_{2s}^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-t \cosh(y)} \cosh((\mu + \nu)y) \frac{\cosh\left((\mu - \nu) \operatorname{arccosh}\left(\frac{t}{2s}\right)\right)}{\sqrt{t^2 - 4s^2}} dy dt, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$T_{\nu,\alpha,\beta}(s) = 2 \int_{2s}^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-t \cosh(y)} \cosh(2\nu y) \frac{1 - \beta \cosh(2\alpha \operatorname{arccosh}(\frac{t}{2s}))}{\sqrt{t^2 - 4s^2}} dy dt.$$

□

Now, we will give the n^{th} derivative of $T_{\nu,\alpha,\beta}(s)$ with respect to s and ν by using formulas (15) and (16), respectively.

Proposition 12. *Let $s > 0, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\nu, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then*

$$\frac{d^n T_{\nu,\alpha,\beta}(s)}{ds^n} = (-1)^{n-1} 2^{n+1} s^n \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \left(\cosh(t) \cosh(y) \right)^n e^{-2s \cosh(t) \cosh(y)} \times \cosh(2\nu y) (\beta \cosh(2\alpha t) - 1) dy dt,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^n T_{\nu,\alpha,\beta}(s)}{\partial \nu^n} &= \begin{cases} 2^{n+1} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty y^n e^{-2s \cosh(t) \cosh(y)} \sinh(2\nu y) (1 - \beta \cosh(2\alpha t)) dy dt & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\ 2^{n+1} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty y^n e^{-2s \cosh(t) \cosh(y)} \cosh(2\nu y) (1 - \beta \cosh(2\alpha t)) dy dt & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 2^{n+1} \int_{2s}^\infty \int_0^\infty y^n e^{-t \cosh(y)} \sinh(2\nu y) \frac{1 - \beta \cosh(2\alpha \operatorname{arccosh}(\frac{t}{2s}))}{\sqrt{t^2 - 4s^2}} dy dt & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ 2^{n+1} \int_{2s}^\infty \int_0^\infty y^n e^{-t \cosh(y)} \cosh(2\nu y) \frac{1 - \beta \cosh(2\alpha \operatorname{arccosh}(\frac{t}{2s}))}{\sqrt{t^2 - 4s^2}} dy dt & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Next, we will consider the asymptotic formulas of function $T_{\nu,\alpha,\beta}(s)$ at the points $s = 0$ and $s = \infty$.

Proposition 13. *Let $s > 0$, and $\nu, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then*

$$T_{\nu,\alpha,\beta}(s) \sim \frac{2^{2\nu-2}}{s^{2\nu}} \Gamma^2(\nu) - \beta \frac{2^{|\nu-\alpha|-1}}{s^{|\nu-\alpha|}} \frac{2^{|\nu+\alpha|-1}}{s^{|\nu+\alpha|}} \Gamma(|\nu-\alpha|) \Gamma(|\nu+\alpha|), \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow 0 \text{ if } \nu > 0, \tag{17}$$

and

$$T_{\nu,\alpha,\beta}(s) \sim \frac{\pi}{2s} e^{-2s} \left((1 - \beta) + \frac{-4\alpha^2\beta - 4\beta\nu^2 + \beta + 4\nu^2 - 1}{4s} \right), \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow \infty. \tag{18}$$

Proof. The modified Bessel function of the second kind has the following two approximate formulas [1]

$$K_\nu(s) \sim \frac{2^{\nu-1}}{s^\nu} \Gamma(\nu), \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow 0 \text{ if } \nu > 0, \tag{19}$$

and

$$K_\nu(s) \sim \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2s}} e^{-s} \left(1 + \frac{4\nu^2 - 1}{8s} \right), \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow \infty. \tag{20}$$

From asymptotic formulas (19) and (20), we obtain the asymptotic formulas (17) and (18). \square

4. Monotonicity of $T_{\nu,\alpha,\beta}(s)$, $L_{\mu,\nu,\alpha}^*(s)$, $L_{\mu,\nu,\alpha}^{**}(s)$, and $W_{\nu,n_1,n_2,\alpha}(s)$

It is easy to check that $T_{-\nu,\alpha,\beta}(s) = T_{\nu,\alpha,\beta}(s)$ and $T_{\nu,-\alpha,\beta}(s) = T_{\nu,\alpha,\beta}(s)$, which indicates the function $T_{\nu,\alpha,\beta}(s)$ are even with respect to ν and α respectively.

4.1. Complete monotonicity and absolute monotonicity of $T_{\nu,\alpha,\beta}(s)$

Recall that completely monotonic functions and absolutely monotonic functions were given by the following definitions.

Definition 14 ([10, 26]). *If a function $\phi: (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has derivatives of all orders and satisfies*

$$(-1)^n \frac{d^n \phi(s)}{ds^n} \geq 0$$

for all $s > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then ϕ is said to be a completely monotonic function.

Definition 15 ([26]). *If a function $\phi: (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has derivatives of all orders and satisfies*

$$\frac{d^n \phi(s)}{ds^n} \geq 0$$

for all $s > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then ϕ is said to be an absolutely monotonic function.

In this subsection, we will provide the complete monotonicity and absolute monotonicity of $T_{\nu,\alpha,\beta}(s)$ and give some corresponding corollaries.

Theorem 16. *Let the function $T_{\nu,\alpha,\beta}(s)$ be defined by (5). Then $-T_{\nu,\alpha,\beta}(s)$ is completely monotonic in s and is absolutely monotonic in ν if and only if $\beta \geq 1$.*

Proof. Sufficiency can be deduced directly from Proposition 12, and Necessity can be deduced directly from the asymptotic formula (18). □

Taking $\alpha = 1$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ in Theorem 16 respectively, we obtain Corollary 17.

Corollary 17. *The functions*

$$s \mapsto K_{\nu}^2(s) - \beta K_{\nu-1}(s)K_{\nu+1}(s), \quad s \mapsto K_{\nu}^2(s) - \beta K_{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(s)K_{\nu+\frac{1}{2}}(s)$$

are completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ for all $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ if and only if $\beta \geq 1$.

The following corollary can be reduced from Theorem 16.

Corollary 18. *The function*

$$s \mapsto K_{\nu-2}(s)K_{\nu+1}(s) + K_{\nu-1}(s)K_{\nu+2}(s) - K_{\nu}(s)K_{\nu+1}(s) - K_{\nu-1}(s)K_{\nu}(s)$$

is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ for all $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Using the recursive formulas [25] for the modified Bessel function of the second kind

$$K'_{\nu}(s) = -K_{\nu-1}(s) - \frac{\nu}{s}K_{\nu}(s),$$

and

$$s(K_{\nu+1}(s) - K_{\nu-1}(s)) = 2\nu K_{\nu}(s),$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dT_{\nu,1,1}(s)}{ds} &= 2K_{\nu}(s)K'_{\nu}(s) - K_{\nu-1}(s)K'_{\nu+1}(s) - K_{\nu+1}(s)K'_{\nu-1}(s) \\ &= K_{\nu}(s)\left(-K_{\nu-1}(s) - K_{\nu+1}(s)\right) - \frac{1}{2}\left(-K_{\nu-2}(s) - K_{\nu}(s)\right)K_{\nu+1}(s) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}K_{\nu-1}(s)\left(-K_{\nu}(s) - K_{\nu+2}(s)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left(K_{\nu-2}(s)K_{\nu+1}(s) + K_{\nu-1}(s)K_{\nu+2}(s) - K_{\nu}(s)K_{\nu+1}(s) - K_{\nu-1}(s)K_{\nu}(s)\right). \end{aligned}$$

In addition, we know that $\frac{dT_{\nu,1,1}(s)}{ds}$ is completely monotonic in s by using Theorem 16. The proof is completed. □

By employing the monotonicity of $T_{\nu,1,1}(s)$ in ν , an upper bound for $K_{\nu}^2(s) - K_{\nu-1}(s)K_{\nu+1}(s)$ is obtained.

Corollary 19. *Let $s > 0$ and $\nu \geq 0$. Then*

$$K_{\nu}^2(s) - K_{\nu-1}(s)K_{\nu+1}(s) \leq K_0^2(s) - K_1^2(s). \tag{21}$$

Remark 20. Obviously, inequality (21) is sharper than inequality (4).

4.2. Monotonicity of functions $L_{\mu,\nu,\alpha}^*(s)$, $L_{\mu,\nu,\alpha}^{**}(s)$, and $W_{\nu,n_1,n_2,\alpha}(s)$

In order to prove Theorems 24, 25, and 26, we first present three lemmas.

By taking derivations, the following lemma can be directly proved.

Lemma 21. *If $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$, $b_1 \geq b_2 \geq \dots \geq b_n$, and $m_{i,a,b} \geq 0$ for all $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$, then the function*

$$s \mapsto a_1 s^{b_1} + a_2 s^{b_2} + \dots + a_n s^{b_n}$$

is non-negative for all $s \geq 1$, where

$$m_{0,a,b} := \sum_{j=1}^n a_j, \quad m_{1,a,b} := \sum_{j=1}^n a_j b_j,$$

and

$$m_{i,a,b} := \sum_{j=1}^{n-i+1} \left(a_j b_j \prod_{k=1}^{i-1} (b_j - b_{n+1-k}) \right), \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, n.$$

Lemma 22. *The function*

$$Y(z, \alpha) := \alpha^2 (z^2 - 1)^2 (z^2 + 1) z^{2\alpha-2} - (z^2 + 1) (z^{2\alpha} - 1)^2 + \alpha (z^2 - 1) (z^{4\alpha} - 1) \geq 0 \tag{22}$$

for all $z \geq 1$ and $\alpha \geq 0$.

Proof. Clearly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha^2 (z^2 - 1)^2 (z^2 + 1) z^{2\alpha-2} - (z^2 + 1) (z^{2\alpha} - 1)^2 + \alpha (z^2 - 1) (z^{4\alpha} - 1) \\ &= (\alpha - 1) z^{4\alpha+2} - (\alpha + 1) z^{4\alpha} + \alpha^2 z^{2\alpha+4} + (2 - \alpha^2) z^{2\alpha+2} + (2 - \alpha^2) z^{2\alpha} + \alpha^2 z^{2\alpha-2} - (\alpha + 1) z^2 + (\alpha - 1). \end{aligned}$$

This proof is divided into the following five cases.

- (i) Assume that $\alpha \geq 2$. In this case, we have $b_1 = 4\alpha + 2 \geq b_2 = 4\alpha \geq b_3 = 2\alpha + 4 \geq b_4 = 2\alpha + 2 \geq b_5 = 2\alpha \geq b_6 = 2\alpha - 2 \geq b_7 = 2 \geq b_8 = 0$ and $a_1 = \alpha - 1, a_2 = -1 - \alpha, a_3 = \alpha^2, a_4 = 2 - \alpha^2, a_5 = 2 - \alpha^2, a_6 = \alpha^2, a_7 = -1 - \alpha, a_8 = -1 + \alpha$. Noting that

$$m_{0,a,b} = 0, \quad m_{1,a,b} = 0, \quad m_{2,a,b} = 16\alpha^2 \geq 0, \quad m_{3,a,b} = 16\alpha^2(6\alpha + 1) \geq 0,$$

$$m_{4,a,b} = 32\alpha^2(8\alpha^2 + 11\alpha + 4) \geq 0, \quad m_{5,a,b} = 64\alpha^2(8\alpha^3 + 18\alpha^2 + 17\alpha + 7) \geq 0,$$

$$m_{6,a,b} = 128\alpha^2(10\alpha^4 + 14\alpha^3 + 7\alpha^2 + 13\alpha + 10) \geq 0,$$

$$m_{7,a,b} = 256\alpha^2(14\alpha^5 + 3\alpha^4 - 25\alpha^3 - 5\alpha^2 + 11\alpha + 2) \geq 0,$$

and

$$m_{8,a,b} = 512(\alpha - 1)^2 \alpha^2 (\alpha + 1)(\alpha + 2)(2\alpha + 1)^2 \geq 0,$$

we have $Y(z, \alpha) \geq 0$ by employing Lemma 21.

- (ii) Assume that $1 < \alpha \leq 2$. In this case, we have $b_1 = 4\alpha + 2 \geq b_2 = 2\alpha + 4 \geq b_3 = 4\alpha \geq b_4 = 2\alpha + 2 \geq b_5 = 2\alpha \geq b_6 = 2 \geq b_7 = 2\alpha - 2 \geq b_8 = 0$ and $a_1 = \alpha - 1, a_2 = \alpha^2, a_3 = -1 - \alpha, a_4 = 2 - \alpha^2, a_5 = 2 - \alpha^2, a_6 = -1 - \alpha, a_7 = \alpha^2, a_8 = -1 + \alpha$. It is easy to check that

$$m_{0,a,b} = 0, \quad m_{1,a,b} = 0, \quad m_{2,a,b} = 16\alpha^2 \geq 0, \quad m_{3,a,b} = 16\alpha^2(4\alpha + 5) \geq 0,$$

$$m_{4,a,b} = 32\alpha^2(8\alpha^2 + 11\alpha + 4) \geq 0, \quad m_{5,a,b} = 64\alpha^2(8\alpha^3 + 18\alpha^2 + 17\alpha + 7) \geq 0,$$

$$m_{6,a,b} = 128\alpha^2(10\alpha^4 + 14\alpha^3 + 7\alpha^2 + 13\alpha + 10) \geq 0,$$

$$m_{7,a,b} = 256\alpha^2(4\alpha^5 + 9\alpha^4 - 4\alpha^3 - 4\alpha^2 + 27\alpha + 22) \geq 0,$$

and

$$m_{8,a,b} = 512(\alpha - 1)^2 \alpha^2 (\alpha + 1)(\alpha + 2)(2\alpha + 1)^2 \geq 0,$$

then $Y(z, \alpha) \geq 0$ by employing Lemma 21.

(iii) Assume that $1/2 < \alpha \leq 1$. In this case, $b_1 = 2\alpha + 4 \geq b_2 = 4\alpha + 2 \geq b_3 = 2\alpha + 2 \geq b_4 = 4\alpha \geq b_5 = 2 \geq b_6 = 2\alpha \geq b_7 = 0 \geq b_8 = 2\alpha - 2$ and $a_1 = \alpha^2, a_2 = \alpha - 1, a_3 = 2 - \alpha^2, a_4 = -1 - \alpha, a_5 = -1 - \alpha, a_6 = 2 - \alpha^2, a_7 = -1 + \alpha, a_8 = \alpha^2$. We verify that

$$\begin{aligned} m_{0,a,b} &= 0, & m_{1,a,b} &= 0, & m_{2,a,b} &= 16\alpha^2 \geq 0, & m_{3,a,b} &= 16\alpha^2(4\alpha + 5) \geq 0, \\ m_{4,a,b} &= 32\alpha^2(4\alpha^2 + 10\alpha + 9) \geq 0, & m_{5,a,b} &= 64\alpha^2(8\alpha^3 + 18\alpha^2 + 17\alpha + 7) \geq 0, \\ m_{6,a,b} &= 128\alpha^2(2\alpha^4 + 4\alpha^3 + 8\alpha^2 + 23\alpha + 17) \geq 0, \\ m_{7,a,b} &= 256\alpha^2(4\alpha^5 + 9\alpha^4 - 4\alpha^3 - 4\alpha^2 + 27\alpha + 22) \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$m_{8,a,b} = 1536(\alpha - 2)(\alpha - 1)\alpha^2(\alpha + 1)(\alpha + 2)^2 \geq 0,$$

then $Y(z, \alpha) \geq 0$ by employing Lemma 21.

(iv) Assume that $0 < \alpha \leq 1/2$. In this case, we have $b_1 = 2\alpha + 4 \geq b_2 = 4\alpha + 2 \geq b_3 = 2\alpha + 2 \geq b_4 = 2 \geq b_5 = 4\alpha \geq b_6 = 2\alpha \geq b_7 = 0 \geq b_8 = 2\alpha - 2$ and $a_1 = \alpha^2, a_2 = \alpha - 1, a_3 = 2 - \alpha^2, a_4 = -1 - \alpha, a_5 = -1 - \alpha, a_6 = 2 - \alpha^2, a_7 = -1 + \alpha, a_8 = \alpha^2$. We confirm that

$$\begin{aligned} m_{0,a,b} &= 0, & m_{1,a,b} &= 0, & m_{2,a,b} &= 16\alpha^2 \geq 0, & m_{3,a,b} &= 16\alpha^2(4\alpha + 5) \geq 0, \\ m_{4,a,b} &= 32\alpha^2(4\alpha^2 + 10\alpha + 9) \geq 0, & m_{5,a,b} &= 64\alpha^2(2\alpha^2 + 9\alpha + 16) \geq 0, \\ m_{6,a,b} &= 128\alpha^2(2\alpha^4 + 4\alpha^3 + 8\alpha^2 + 23\alpha + 17) \geq 0, \\ m_{7,a,b} &= 256\alpha^2(4\alpha^5 + 9\alpha^4 - 4\alpha^3 - 4\alpha^2 + 27\alpha + 22) \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$m_{8,a,b} = 1536(\alpha - 2)(\alpha - 1)\alpha^2(\alpha + 1)(\alpha + 2)^2 \geq 0,$$

then $Y(z, \alpha) \geq 0$ by employing Lemma 21.

(v) Assume that $\alpha = 0$. In this case, the function (26) identically equal to 0.

□

Lemma 23. *Let*

$$\mathcal{M}_{\alpha,\beta}(s, t) := \frac{1 - \beta \cosh\left(2\alpha \operatorname{arccosh}\left(\frac{t}{2s}\right)\right)}{\sqrt{t^2 - 4s^2}}. \tag{23}$$

Then the inequality

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \mathcal{M}_{\alpha,\beta}(s, t)}{\mathcal{M}_{\alpha,\beta}(s, t)} \right) \geq 0$$

holds for all $(s, t) \in D_2$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ if and only if $\beta = 1$, where $D_2 = \{(s, t) : t > 2s, s > 0\}$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha,\beta}(s, t)$ is even with respect to α , we focus on $\alpha \geq 0$.

Sufficiency. Since $\beta = 1$, the function $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha,\beta}(s, t)$ reduces to

$$\mathcal{M}_{\alpha,1}(s, t) = \frac{1 - \cosh\left(2\alpha \operatorname{arccosh}\left(\frac{t}{2s}\right)\right)}{\sqrt{t^2 - 4s^2}}.$$

By a simple calculation, we can obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \mathcal{M}_{\alpha,1}(s, t)}{\mathcal{M}_{\alpha,1}(s, t)} \right) = \frac{2 \left(\begin{aligned} & -2(2\alpha^2 - 1) s^2 t + \alpha^2 t^3 - 2s^2 t \cosh \left(2\alpha \operatorname{arccosh} \left(\frac{t}{2s} \right) \right) \\ & + 2\alpha s^2 \sqrt{t^2 - 4s^2} \sinh \left(2\alpha \operatorname{arccosh} \left(\frac{t}{2s} \right) \right) \end{aligned} \right) \operatorname{csch}^2 \left(\alpha \operatorname{arccosh} \left(\frac{t}{2s} \right) \right)}{s(t^2 - 4s^2)^2}. \quad (24)$$

Taking $t = xs$, where $x \geq 2$, the right hand side of (24) is changed to

$$\frac{2 \left(\begin{aligned} & x\sqrt{x^2 - 4}(\alpha^2(x^2 - 4) + 2) \\ & - 2x\sqrt{x^2 - 4} \cosh \left(2\alpha \operatorname{arccosh} \left(\frac{x}{2} \right) \right) \\ & + 2\alpha(x^2 - 4) \sinh \left(2\alpha \operatorname{arccosh} \left(\frac{x}{2} \right) \right) \end{aligned} \right) \operatorname{csch}^2 \left(\alpha \operatorname{arccosh} \left(\frac{x}{2} \right) \right)}{s^2(x^2 - 4)^{5/2}}. \quad (25)$$

Let

$$\mathcal{N}(x, \alpha) := x\sqrt{x^2 - 4}(\alpha^2(x^2 - 4) + 2) - 2x\sqrt{x^2 - 4} \cosh \left(2\alpha \operatorname{arccosh} \left(\frac{x}{2} \right) \right) + 2\alpha(x^2 - 4) \sinh \left(2\alpha \operatorname{arccosh} \left(\frac{x}{2} \right) \right).$$

We have

$$\mathcal{N}(x, \alpha) = 4^{-\alpha} \left(\sqrt{x^2 - 4} + x \right)^{-2\alpha} \times \left(\begin{aligned} & 4^\alpha \alpha^2 x (x^2 - 4)^{3/2} \left(\sqrt{x^2 - 4} + x \right)^{2\alpha} - \alpha(x^2 - 4) \left(16^\alpha - \left(\sqrt{x^2 - 4} + x \right)^{4\alpha} \right) \\ & - x\sqrt{x^2 - 4} \left(4^\alpha - \left(\sqrt{x^2 - 4} + x \right)^{2\alpha} \right)^2 \end{aligned} \right). \quad (26)$$

Taking $x = (z^2 + 1)/z$ in (26), then $\mathcal{N}(x, \alpha)$ becomes

$$\mathcal{N} \left(\frac{z^2 + 1}{z}, \alpha \right) = z^{-2-2\alpha} (z^2 - 1) \left(\alpha^2 (z^2 - 1)^2 (z^2 + 1) z^{2\alpha-2} - (z^2 + 1) (z^{2\alpha} - 1)^2 + \alpha (z^2 - 1) (z^{4\alpha} - 1) \right),$$

where $z \geq 1$.

According to Lemma 22, we know that $\mathcal{N}((z^2 + 1)/z, \alpha) \geq 0$ for all $z \geq 1$ and $\alpha \geq 0$. Sufficiency is proven.

Necessity. Since

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \mathcal{M}_{\alpha,\beta}(s, t)}{\mathcal{M}_{\alpha,\beta}(s, t)} \right) \geq 0$$

for all $(s, t) \in D_2$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$0 \leq \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \mathcal{M}_{\alpha, \beta}(s, t)}{\mathcal{M}_{\alpha, \beta}(s, t)} \right) = 4 \frac{\begin{pmatrix} -t(s^2((1-4\alpha^2)\beta^2+2) + \alpha^2\beta^2t^2)\sqrt{t^2-4s^2} \\ + \beta t(\alpha^2t^2 - 4(\alpha^2-1)s^2)\sqrt{t^2-4s^2} \cosh\left(2\alpha \operatorname{arccosh}\left(\frac{t}{2s}\right)\right) \\ - \beta^2s^2t\sqrt{t^2-4s^2} \cosh\left(4\alpha \operatorname{arccosh}\left(\frac{t}{2s}\right)\right) \\ + \alpha\beta^2s^2(t^2-4s^2) \sinh\left(4\alpha \operatorname{arccosh}\left(\frac{t}{2s}\right)\right) \\ + 2\alpha\beta s^2(4s^2-t^2) \sinh\left(2\alpha \operatorname{arccosh}\left(\frac{t}{2s}\right)\right) \end{pmatrix}}{s(t-2s)^2(2s+t)^2\sqrt{t^2-4s^2}(\beta \cosh(2\alpha \operatorname{arccosh}(\frac{t}{2s})) - 1)^2}. \tag{27}$$

Taking $t = xs$ in (27), we get

$$0 \leq \frac{-4\alpha^2\beta^2s^3x^3 + 4s^3x((4\alpha^2-1)\beta^2-2)}{s(s^2x^2-4s^2)^2(\beta \cosh(2\alpha \operatorname{arcsech}(\frac{x}{2})) - 1)^2} + 4\beta \frac{\begin{pmatrix} sx(\alpha^2s^2x^2 - 4(\alpha^2-1)s^2) \cosh(2\alpha \operatorname{arcsech}(2/x)) - \beta s^3x \cosh(4\alpha \operatorname{arcsech}(2/x)) \\ + \alpha s^3\sqrt{x^2-4}(\beta \sinh(4\alpha \operatorname{arcsech}(2/x)) - 2 \sinh(2\alpha \operatorname{arcsech}(2/x))) \end{pmatrix}}{s(s^2x^2-4s^2)^2(\beta \cosh(2\alpha \operatorname{arcsech}(2/x)) - 1)^2}.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$4s^3x((4\alpha^2-1)\beta^2-2) - 4\alpha^2\beta^2s^3x^3 + 4\beta \left(sx(\alpha^2s^2x^2 - 4(\alpha^2-1)s^2) \cosh(2\alpha \operatorname{arcsech}(2/x)) - \beta s^3x \cosh(4\alpha \operatorname{arcsech}(2/x)) + \alpha s^3\sqrt{x^2-4}(\beta \sinh(4\alpha \operatorname{arcsech}(2/x)) - 2 \sinh(2\alpha \operatorname{arcsech}(2/x))) \right) \geq 0$$

for all $s, \alpha \geq 0$ and $x \geq 2$. Taking $\alpha = 0$ in the above inequality gives to

$$-8(\beta-1)^2s^3x \geq 0,$$

which means $\beta = 1$. □

Now we will investigate the necessary and sufficient conditions for the monotonicity of functions $L_{\mu, \nu, \alpha}^*(s)$, $L_{\mu, \nu, \alpha}^{**}(s)$, and $W_{\nu, n_1, n_2, \alpha}(s)$. Since $L_{\mu, \nu, \alpha}^*(s)$, $L_{\mu, \nu, \alpha}^{**}(s)$, and $W_{\nu, n_1, n_2, \alpha}(s)$ reduce to constants when $|\mu| = |\nu|$ and $n_1 = n_2$, we only focus on $|\mu| \neq |\nu|$ and $n_1 \neq n_2$ in this section.

Theorem 24. *The function $L_{\mu, \nu, \alpha}^*(s)$ decreases from $(0, \infty)$ to $(1, A_{\mu, \nu}(\alpha))$ if and only if $|\mu| > |\nu|$ and increases from $(0, \infty)$ to $(A_{\mu, \nu}^{-1}(\alpha), 1)$ if and only if $|\mu| < |\nu|$, where*

$$A_{\mu, \nu}(\alpha) := \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{if } \alpha \leq |\mu|, \\ \frac{\Gamma(\alpha-\mu)\Gamma(\alpha+\mu)}{\Gamma(\alpha-\nu)\Gamma(\alpha+\nu)} & \text{if } |\mu| < \alpha, \end{cases} \quad A_{\mu, \nu}^{-1}(\alpha) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \alpha \leq |\nu|, \\ \frac{\Gamma(\alpha-\mu)\Gamma(\alpha+\mu)}{\Gamma(\alpha-\nu)\Gamma(\alpha+\nu)} & \text{if } |\nu| < \alpha. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We first prove that the function $L_{\mu, \nu, \alpha}^*(s)$ decreases from $(0, \infty)$ to $(1, A_{\mu, \nu}(\alpha))$ if $\mu > \nu \geq 0$. Based on formula (16), we have

$$L_{\mu, \nu, \alpha}^*(s) = \frac{T_{\mu, \alpha, 1}(s)}{T_{\nu, \alpha, 1}(s)} = \frac{\int_{2s}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t \cosh(y)} \cosh t(2\mu y) \frac{1 - \cosh(2\alpha \operatorname{arccosh}(\frac{t}{2s}))}{\sqrt{t^2-4s^2}} dy dt}{\int_{2s}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t \cosh(y)} \cosh(2\nu y) \frac{1 - \cosh(2\alpha \operatorname{arccosh}(\frac{t}{2s}))}{\sqrt{t^2-4s^2}} dy dt}.$$

Let

$$l(y) = \cosh(2\mu y), \quad r(y) = \cosh(2\nu y),$$

$$w(s, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1 - \cosh(2\alpha \operatorname{arccosh}(\frac{t}{2s}))}{\sqrt{t^2 - 4s^2}} = \mathcal{M}_{\alpha,1}(s, t) & (s, t) \in D_2, \\ 0 & (s, t) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) - D_2, \end{cases}$$

and

$$h(t, y) = e^{-t \cosh(y)},$$

where $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha,\beta}(s, t)$ is defined by (23) and $D_2 = \{(s, t) : t > 2s, s > 0\}$. By using Lemma 23, we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial s} w(s, t)}{w(s, t)} \right) \geq 0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial t} h(t, y)}{h(t, y)} \right) = -\sinh(y) \leq 0. \tag{28}$$

Since $\mu(e^{4y\mu} - 1)/(e^{4y\mu} + 1)$ is monotonic in μ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dy} \left(\frac{l(y)}{r(y)} \right) &= 2\operatorname{sech}(2vy) (\mu \sinh(2\mu y) - v \cosh(2\mu y) \tanh(2vy)) \\ &= \frac{2e^{2y(v-\mu)} \left(\mu \frac{e^{4y\mu}-1}{e^{4y\mu}+1} - v \frac{e^{4yv}-1}{e^{4yv}+1} \right) (e^{4y\mu} + 1)}{(e^{4yv} + 1)} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get $T_{\mu,\alpha,1}(s)/T_{v,\alpha,1}(s)$ is decreasing in $s \in (0, \infty)$ by using Corollary 9 immediately. Moreover, by employing formulas (17) and (18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{T_{\mu,\alpha,1}(s)}{T_{v,\alpha,1}(s)} &= \begin{cases} \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{\frac{2^{2\mu-2} \Gamma^2(\mu) - \frac{2^{|\mu-\alpha|-1}}{s^{|\mu-\alpha|}} \frac{2^{|\mu+\alpha|-1}}{s^{|\mu+\alpha|}} \Gamma(|\mu-\alpha|) \Gamma(|\mu+\alpha|)}{s^{2\mu} \Gamma^2(\nu) - \frac{2^{|\nu-\alpha|-1}}{s^{|\nu-\alpha|}} \frac{2^{|\nu+\alpha|-1}}{s^{|\nu+\alpha|}} \Gamma(|\nu-\alpha|) \Gamma(|\nu+\alpha|)}}{T_{\mu,\mu,1}(s)}} & \text{if } \alpha \neq \mu, \nu \\ \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{T_{\mu,\mu,1}(s)}{T_{v,\mu,1}(s)} & \text{if } \alpha = \mu \\ \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{T_{\mu,\nu,1}(s)}{T_{v,\nu,1}(s)} & \text{if } \alpha = \nu \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{if } \alpha \leq \mu, \\ \frac{\Gamma(\alpha-\mu)\Gamma(\alpha+\mu)}{\Gamma(\alpha-\nu)\Gamma(\alpha+\nu)} & \text{if } \mu < \alpha, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

and

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_{\mu,\alpha,1}(s)}{T_{v,\alpha,1}(s)} = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-\frac{1}{s} + \frac{1-4\mu^2}{4s^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{s}\right)^3}{-\frac{1}{s} + \frac{1-4\nu^2}{4s^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{s}\right)^3} = 1. \tag{30}$$

Combining the formulas (29) and (30) as well as the fact that $T_{\mu,\alpha,1}(s)/T_{v,\alpha,1}(s)$ is decreasing in $s \in (0, \infty)$, we deduce that the function $L_{\mu,\nu,\alpha}^*(s)$ decreases from $(0, \infty)$ to $(1, A_{\mu,\nu}(\alpha))$ if $\mu > \nu \geq 0$.

Taking the reciprocal of the function $L_{\mu,\nu,\alpha}^*(s)$, we obtain that the function $L_{\mu,\nu,\alpha}^{**}(s)$ increases from $(0, \infty)$ to $(A_{\mu,\nu}^{-1}(\alpha), 1)$ if $\nu > \mu \geq 0$. Lastly, the proof can be completed by utilizing the symmetry of $T_{v,\alpha,\beta}(s)$. \square

Now we will consider the monotonicity of the function $L_{\mu,\nu,\alpha}^{**}(s)$.

Theorem 25. *The function $L_{\mu,\nu,\alpha}^{**}(s)$ decreases from $(0, \infty)$ to $(1, B_{\mu,\nu}(\alpha))$ if and only if $|\mu| \neq |\nu|$, where*

$$B_{\mu,\nu}(\alpha) := \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } \alpha \leq \max\{|\mu|, |\nu|\}, \\ \frac{\Gamma(\alpha-\nu)\Gamma(\alpha+\nu) + \Gamma(\alpha-\mu)\Gamma(\alpha+\mu)}{2\Gamma\left(\alpha - \frac{\mu+\nu}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\alpha + \frac{\mu+\nu}{2}\right)} & \text{if } \alpha > \max\{|\mu|, |\nu|\}. \end{cases}$$

Proof.

- (1) Assume that $\mu\nu \geq 0$. It is sufficient to prove that the function $L_{\mu,\nu,\alpha}^{**}(s)$ is decreasing for all $\mu, \nu \geq 0$.

Based on formula (16), we obtain

$$\frac{T_{\mu,\alpha,1}(s) + T_{v,\alpha,1}(s)}{T_{(\mu+\nu)/2,\alpha,1}(s)} = \frac{\int_{2s}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t \cosh(y)} \left(\cosh(2\mu y) + \cosh(2\nu y) \right) \frac{1 - \cosh(2\alpha \operatorname{arccosh}(\frac{t}{2s}))}{\sqrt{t^2 - 4s^2}} dy dt}{\int_{2s}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t \cosh(y)} \cosh((\mu + \nu) y) \frac{1 - \cosh(2\alpha \operatorname{arccosh}(\frac{t}{2s}))}{\sqrt{t^2 - 4s^2}} dy dt}.$$

It is easy to check that

$$\frac{d}{dy} \left(\frac{\cosh(2\mu y) + \cosh(2\nu y)}{\cosh((\mu + \nu)y)} \right) = (\mu - \nu)e^{-(\mu+\nu)y} (e^{2\mu y} - e^{2\nu y}) \geq 0. \tag{31}$$

Combining (28) and (31), we complete the proof based on Corollary 9.

(2) Assume that $\mu\nu < 0$. It is sufficient to prove that the function $\frac{T_{\mu,\alpha,1}(s) + T_{\nu,\alpha,1}(s)}{T_{(\mu-\nu)/2,\alpha,1}(s)}$ is decreasing for all $\mu, \nu > 0$.

From

$$\frac{T_{\mu,\alpha,1}(s) + T_{\nu,\alpha,1}(s)}{T_{(\mu-\nu)/2,\alpha,1}(s)} = \frac{\int_{2s}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t \cosh(y)} (\cosh(2\mu y) + \cosh(2\nu y)) \frac{1 - \cosh(2\alpha \operatorname{arccosh}(\frac{t}{2s}))}{\sqrt{t^2 - 4s^2}} dy dt}{\int_{2s}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t \cosh(y)} \cosh((\mu - \nu)y) \frac{1 - \cosh(2\alpha \operatorname{arccosh}(\frac{t}{2s}))}{\sqrt{t^2 - 4s^2}} dy dt},$$

$$\frac{d}{dy} \left(\frac{\cosh(2\mu y) + \cosh(2\nu y)}{\cosh((\mu - \nu)y)} \right) = (\mu + \nu)e^{-(\mu+\nu)y} (e^{2(\mu+\nu)y} - 1) \geq 0,$$

and (28), we complete the proof.

Moreover, we have

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{T_{\mu,\alpha,1}(s) + T_{\nu,\alpha,1}(s)}{T_{(\mu+\nu)/2,\alpha,1}(s)} = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } \alpha \leq \max\{|\mu|, |\nu|\}, \\ \frac{\Gamma(\alpha-\nu)\Gamma(\alpha+\nu) + \Gamma(\alpha-\mu)\Gamma(\alpha+\mu)}{\Gamma(\alpha-\frac{\mu+\nu}{2})\Gamma(\alpha+\frac{\mu+\nu}{2})} & \text{if } \alpha > \max\{|\mu|, |\nu|\}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_{\mu,\alpha,1}(s) + T_{\nu,\alpha,1}(s)}{T_{(\mu+\nu)/2,\alpha,1}(s)} = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-\frac{2}{s} + O(\frac{1}{s})^2}{-\frac{1}{s} + O(\frac{1}{s})^2} = 2.$$

Then the proof of Theorem 25 is completed. □

Finally, we will consider the monotonicity of the function $W_{\nu,n_1,n_2,\alpha}(s)$, where $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 26. *The function $W_{\nu,n_1,n_2,\alpha}(s)$ decreases if and only if $n_1 > n_2$, and increases if and only if $n_1 < n_2$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we prove that $W_{\nu,n_1,n_2,\alpha}(s)$ decreases if n_1 is even and n_2 is odd with $n_1 > n_2$.

We have

$$\frac{\frac{\partial^{n_1} T_{\nu,\alpha,1}(s)}{\partial \nu^{n_1}}}{\frac{\partial^{n_2} T_{\nu,\alpha,1}(s)}{\partial \nu^{n_2}}} = \frac{\int_{2s}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} y^{n_1} e^{-t \cosh(y)} \cosh(2\nu y) \frac{1 - \cosh(2\alpha \operatorname{arccosh}(\frac{t}{2s}))}{\sqrt{t^2 - 4s^2}} dy dt}{\int_{2s}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} y^{n_2} e^{-t \cosh(y)} \sinh(2\nu y) \frac{1 - \cosh(2\alpha \operatorname{arccosh}(\frac{t}{2s}))}{\sqrt{t^2 - 4s^2}} dy dt}.$$

Noting that $((n_1 - n_2)(e^{8\nu y} - 1) - 8\nu y e^{4\nu y})|_{y=0} = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dy} ((n_1 - n_2)(e^{8\nu y} - 1) - 8\nu y e^{4\nu y}) \\ &= 8\nu e^{4\nu y} ((n_1 - n_2)e^{4\nu y} - 4\nu y - 1) \\ &\geq 8\nu e^{4\nu y} (e^{4\nu y} - 4\nu y - 1) \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dy} \left(\frac{y^{n_1} \cosh(2\nu y)}{y^{n_2} \sinh(2\nu y)} \right) = \frac{y^{n_1-n_2} ((n_1 - n_2)(e^{8\nu y} - 1) - 8\nu y e^{4\nu y})}{(e^{4\nu y} - 1)^2} \geq 0.$$

The proof is completed by using Corollary 9. □

Taking $n_1 = n$ and $n_2 = 0$ in Theorem 26, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 27. *If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \geq 1$, then the function*

$$s \mapsto \frac{\frac{\partial^n T_{\nu,\alpha,1}(s)}{\partial \nu^n}}{T_{\nu,\alpha,1}(s)}$$

is decreasing on $(0, \infty)$.

5. Acknowledgment

The authors thank the editor and anonymous referee for their careful reading and many valuable comments on improving the original manuscript. The authors thank Jun-Yi Li and Si-Jia Wang for their help with the English language.

References

- [1] M. Abramowitz, I. A. Stegun (eds.), *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables*, Dover Publications, 1964.
- [2] Á. Baricz, "Bounds for modified Bessel functions of the first and second kinds", *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc., II. Ser.* **53** (2010), no. 3, p. 575-599.
- [3] ———, "Turán type inequalities for modified Bessel functions", *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **82** (2010), no. 2, p. 254-264.
- [4] ———, "Bounds for Turánians of modified Bessel functions", *Expo. Math.* **33** (2015), no. 2, p. 223-251.
- [5] Á. Baricz, M. E. H. Ismail, "Turán type inequalities for Tricomi confluent hypergeometric functions", *Constr. Approx.* **37** (2013), no. 2, p. 195-221.
- [6] Á. Baricz, D. Jankov, T. K. Pogány, "Turán type inequalities for Krätzel functions", *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **388** (2012), no. 2, p. 716-724.
- [7] Á. Baricz, T. K. Pogány, "Turán determinants of Bessel functions", *Forum Math.* **26** (2014), no. 1, p. 295-322.
- [8] Á. Baricz, S. Ponnusamy, "On Turán type inequalities for modified Bessel functions", *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **141** (2013), no. 7, p. 523-532.
- [9] Á. Baricz, S. Ponnusamy, S. Singh, "Turán type inequalities for Struve functions", *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **445** (2017), no. 1, p. 971-984.
- [10] S. Bernstein, "Sur les fonctions absolument monotones (French)", *Acta Math.* **52** (1928), p. 1-66.
- [11] M. Biernacki, J. Krzyż, "On the monotonicity of certain functionals in the theory of analytic functions", *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska, Sect. A* **9** (1957), p. 135-147.
- [12] M. Bohner, T. Cuchta, "The Bessel difference equation", *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **145** (2017), no. 4, p. 1567-1580.
- [13] J. Cheeger, M. Gromov Mikhail, Taylor, "Finite propagation speed, kernel estimates for functions of the Laplace operator, and the geometry of complete Riemannian manifolds", *J. Differ. Geom.* **17** (1982), p. 15-53.
- [14] L. Devroye, "Simulating Bessel random variables", *Stat. Probab. Lett.* **57** (2002), no. 3, p. 249-257.
- [15] R. E. Gaunt, "Inequalities for some integrals involving modified Bessel functions", *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **147** (2019), no. 7, p. 2937-2951.
- [16] E. Grosswald, "The student t-distribution of any degrees of freedom is infinitely divisible", *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheor. Verw. Geb.* **36** (1976), p. 103-109.
- [17] M. E. H. Ismail, "Bessel functions and the infinite divisibility of the student t -distribution", *Ann. Probab.* **5** (1977), p. 582-585.
- [18] M. E. H. Ismail, M. E. Muldoon, "Monotonicity of the zeros of a cross-product of Bessel functions", *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **9** (1978), p. 759-767.
- [19] F. Qi, "Decreasing properties of two ratios defined by three and four polygamma functions", *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **360** (2022), p. 89-101.
- [20] C. Robert, "Modified Bessel functions and their applications in probability and statistics", *Stat. Probab. Lett.* **9** (1990), no. 2, p. 155-161.
- [21] J. Segura, "Bounds for ratios of modified Bessel functions and associated Turán-type inequalities", *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **374** (2011), no. 2, p. 516-528.
- [22] S. Tan, L. Jiao, "Multishrinkage: Analytical form for a Bayesian wavelet estimator based on the multivariate Laplacian model", *Optics Lett.* **32** (2007), no. 17, p. 2583-2585.
- [23] P. Turán, "On the zeros of the polynomials of Legendre", *Čas. Pěst. Mat. Fys.* **75** (1950), p. 113-122.
- [24] H. Van Haeringen, "Bound states for r^{-2} -like potentials in one and three dimension", *J. Math. Phys.* **19** (1978), no. 10, p. 2171-2179.
- [25] G. N. Watson, *A treatise on the theory of Bessel functions*, Cambridge University Press, 1944.

- [26] D. V. Widder, *The Laplace Transform*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 6, Princeton University Press, 1941.
- [27] Z.-H. Yang, Y.-M. Chu, "On approximating the modified Bessel function of the second kind", *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2017** (2017), article no. 41 (8 pages).
- [28] ———, "Monotonicity and inequalities involving the modified Bessel functions of the second kind", *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **508** (2022), no. 2, article no. 125889 (23 pages).
- [29] Z.-H. Yang, Y.-M. Chu, M.-K. Wang, "Monotonicity criterion for the quotient of power series with applications", *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **428** (2015), no. 1, p. 587-604.
- [30] Z.-H. Yang, S.-Z. Zheng, "The monotonicity and convexity for the ratios of modified Bessel functions of the second kind and applications", *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **145** (2017), no. 7, p. 2943-2958.
- [31] T.-H. Zhao, L. Shi, Y.-M. Chu, "Convexity and concavity of the modified Bessel functions of the first kind with respect to Hölder means", *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat., Ser. A Mat., RACSAM* **114** (2020), no. 2, article no. 96 (14 pages).