

INSTITUT DE FRANCE Académie des sciences

Comptes Rendus

Mathématique

Ryo Takahashi

Remarks on complexities and entropies for singularity categories

Volume 361 (2023), p. 1611-1623

https://doi.org/10.5802/crmath.482

This article is licensed under the CREATIVE COMMONS ATTRIBUTION 4.0 INTERNATIONAL LICENSE. http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/



Les Comptes Rendus. Mathématique sont membres du Centre Mersenne pour l'édition scientifique ouverte www.centre-mersenne.org e-ISSN : 1778-3569



Algebra / Algèbre

Remarks on complexities and entropies for singularity categories

Ryo Takahashi^a

^a Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University, Furocho, Chikusaku, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan URL: https://www.math.nagoya-u.ac.jp/~takahashi/ *E-mail:* takahashi@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp

Abstract. Let *R* be a commutative noetherian local ring which is singular and has an isolated singularity. Let $D_{sg}(R)$ be the singularity category of *R* in the sense of Buchweitz and Orlov. In this paper, we find real numbers *t* such that the complexity $\delta_t(G, X)$ in the sense of Dimitrov, Haiden, Katzarkov and Kontsevich vanishes for any split generator *G* of $D_{sg}(R)$ and any object *X* of $D_{sg}(R)$. In particular, the entropy $h_t(F)$ of an exact endofunctor *F* of $D_{sg}(R)$ is not defined for such numbers *t*.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 13D09, 13H10, 18G80.

Funding. The author was partly supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research 19K03443. *Manuscript received 28 July 2022, revised 2 February 2023, accepted 22 February 2023.*

1. Introduction

In 2014, Dimitrov, Haiden, Katzarkov and Kontsevich [6] have introduced the notions of complexities $\delta_t(G, X)$ and entropies $h_t(F)$ for a triangulated category. In less than a decade since then, a lot of works on these notions have been done; see [9–13, 15–19, 21, 24, 25, 30] for instance.

Let us quickly recall the definition of entropies; see Definition 8 for that of complexities. Let *F* be an exact endofunctor of a triangulated category \mathcal{T} . For each $t \in \mathbb{R}$ the *entropy* $h_t(F)$ of *F* is defined by

$$\mathbf{h}_t(F) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \delta_t \left(G, F^n(G) \right),$$

where *G* is a split generator of \mathcal{T} . Therefore, as long as the domain of the natural logarithm function is $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$, the entropy $h_t(F)$ is defined only when the complexity $\delta_t(G, F^n(G))$ is positive for $n \gg 0$.

Let *R* be a commutative noetherian singular (i.e., nonregular) local ring. Let $D_{sg}(R)$ be the *singularity category* of *R*, which is a triangulated category introduced by Buchweitz [4] and Orlov [23]. In this paper, we explore complexities $\delta_t(G, X)$ for $D_{sg}(R)$. We shall establish and study the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1. Let *G* be a split generator of $D_{sg}(R)$. Then $\delta_t(G, X) = 0$ for all $X \in D_{sg}(R)$ and $t \neq 0$.

Denote by e(R), codepth *R* and K^R the multiplicity, codepth and Koszul complex of *R*, respectively. The main results of this paper include the following theorem about the above conjecture. Note that the third assertion says that the conjecture holds if *R* is a complete intersection with an isolated singularity.

Theorem 2 (Theorems 20, 21 and 22). Let *G* be a split generator of $D_{sg}(R)$. Let *X* be an object of $D_{sg}(R)$. Assume that *X* is locally zero on the punctured spectrum of *R* (this assumption is satisfied whenever *R* has an isolated singularity). Then the following assertions hold true.

- (1) Put c = codepth R and $m = \max_{1 \le i \le c} \{\dim_k H_i(K^R)\}$. One has $\delta_t(G, X) = 0$ for all $|t| > \frac{\log c + \log m}{2}$.
- (2) If R is Gorenstein and has infinite residue field, then $\delta_t(G, X) = 0$ for all $|t| > \log(e(R) 1)$.
- (3) If *R* is a complete intersection, then $\delta_t(G, X) = 0$ for all nonzero real numbers *t*.

As an immediate consequence of the above theorem, we obtain the following corollary on entropies.

Corollary 3 (Corollary 24). Suppose that the local ring *R* has an isolated singularity. Let *F* : $D_{sg}(R) \rightarrow D_{sg}(R)$ be an exact functor. Then the three statements below hold.

- (1) Set c = codepth R and $m = \max_{1 \le i \le c} \{\dim_k H_i(K^R)\}$. Then $h_t(F)$ is not defined for $|t| > \frac{\log c + \log m}{2}$.
- (2) Assume *R* is Gorenstein with infinite residue field. Then $h_t(F)$ is not defined for $|t| > \log(e(R) 1)$.
- (3) Suppose that R is a complete intersection. Then the entropy $h_t(F)$ is defined only for t = 0.

The structure of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the operation \star for subcategories of a triangulated category, investigate its properties, and recall the definitions of complexities and entropies. In Section 3, after stating some basics from commutative algebra, we explore complexities for the singularity category of a singular local ring, and prove our main results including the ones stated above.

2. Basic properties of complexities

In this section, we work on a general triangulated category, and prove several preliminary results.

Setup 4. Throughout this section, let \mathcal{T} be a triangulated category. All subcategories of \mathcal{T} are assumed to be strictly full. We may omit a subscript if it is clear from the context.

We introduce the operation \star for subcategories of \mathcal{T} , which plays a central role throughout the paper.

Definition 5. Let \mathscr{X} and \mathscr{Y} be subcategories of \mathscr{T} .

- We denote by X ★ Y the subcategory of T consisting of objects T ∈ T such that there exists an exact triangle X → T → Y → in T such that X ∈ X and Y ∈ Y.
- When X, Y consist of single objects X, Y respectively, we simply write X ★ Y to denote X ★ Y.

In the following lemma, we make a list of several fundamental properties of the operation \star , which are frequently used later. The first assertion says that the operation \star satisfies associativity. The second and third assertions state that the operation \star is compatible with taking finite direct sums and shifts.

Lemma 6.

- (1) For subcategories X, Y, Z of T one has (X ★ Y) ★ Z = X ★ (Y ★ Z). Hence, there is no ambiguity in writing ★ⁿ_{i=1} X_i = X₁ ★ ··· ★ X_n for subcategories X₁, ..., X_n of T or X^{*n} = X ★ ··· ★ X.
- (2) Let $\{X_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n}$ and $\{M_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq m}$ be families of objects of \mathcal{T} . Suppose that $M_i \in \bigstar_{i=1}^n X_{ij}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then it holds that $\bigoplus_{i=1}^m M_i \in \bigstar_{j=1}^n (\bigoplus_{i=1}^m X_{ij})$.
- (3) Let X₁,..., X_n ∈ 𝒯. Then the following statements hold true.
 (a) If M ∈ ★ⁿ_{i=1}X_i, then M[s] ∈ ★ⁿ_{i=1}X_i[s] for all integers s, M^{⊕m} ∈ ★ⁿ_{i=1}X^{⊕m}_i for all positive integers m, and M ⊕ (⊕ⁿ_{i=1}Y_i) ∈ ★ⁿ_{i=1}(X_i ⊕ Y_i) for all objects Y₁,..., Y_n ∈ 𝒯.
 (b) One has the containment ⊕ⁿ_{i=1} ⊂ ⁿ_{i=1} ⊂ ⁿ_{i=1} × ⁿ_{i=1}
 - (b) One has the containment $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} X_i \in \bigstar_{i=1}^{n} X_i$.

Proof.

(1) Take any object $T \in (\mathscr{X} \star \mathscr{Y}) \star \mathscr{Z}$. Then there exist exact triangles $W \to T \to Z \rightsquigarrow$ and $X \to W \to Y \rightsquigarrow$ with $X \in \mathscr{X}, Y \in \mathscr{Y}$ and $Z \in \mathscr{Z}$. The octahedral axiom gives rise to exact triangles $X \to T \to V \rightsquigarrow$ and $Y \to V \to Z \rightsquigarrow$. Hence *T* is in $\mathscr{X} \star (\mathscr{Y} \star \mathscr{Z})$, and we get $(\mathscr{X} \star \mathscr{Y}) \star \mathscr{Z} \subseteq \mathscr{X} \star (\mathscr{Y} \star \mathscr{Z})$.

Conversely, let $T \in \mathscr{X} \star (\mathscr{Y} \star \mathscr{Z})$. There are exact triangles $X \to T \to V \rightsquigarrow$ and $Y \to V \to Z \rightsquigarrow$ with $X \in \mathscr{X}, Y \in \mathscr{Y}$ and $Z \in \mathscr{Z}$. The octahedral axiom yields exact triangles $T \to Z \to W \rightsquigarrow$ and $X[1] \to W \to Y[1] \rightsquigarrow$. We get exact triangles $X \to W[-1] \to Y \rightsquigarrow$ and $W[-1] \to T \to Z \rightsquigarrow$, which show that *T* belongs to $(\mathscr{X} \star \mathscr{Y}) \star \mathscr{Z}$. It follows that $(\mathscr{X} \star \mathscr{Y}) \star \mathscr{Z} \supseteq \mathscr{X} \star (\mathscr{Y} \star \mathscr{Z})$.

(2) We use induction on *n*. Let n = 1. The assumption means that $M_i = X_{i1}$ for each $1 \le i \le m$. Hence $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} M_i = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} X_{i1}$. Let $n \ge 2$. Since M_i belongs to $(X_{i1} \star \cdots \star X_{i,n-1}) \star X_{in}$ for each $1 \le i \le m$, there exists an exact triangle $N_i \to M_i \to X_{in} \to \text{with } N_i \in X_{i1} \star \cdots \star X_{i,n-1}$. Hence there is an exact triangle $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} N_i \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} M_i \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} X_{in} \to \text{(see [22, Remark 1.2.2]), and the induction hypothesis implies that <math>\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} N_i$ is in $(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} X_{i1}) \star \cdots \star (\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} X_{i,n-1})$. It follows that $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} M_i \in (\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} X_{i1}) \star \cdots \star (\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} X_{in})$.

(3a) The second assertion follows by letting $M_i = M$ and $X_{ij} = X_j$ for $1 \le i \le m$ and $1 \le j \le n$ in (2). The third assertion is shown by applying (2) to the containments $M \in X_1 \star X_2 \star \cdots \star X_n$, $Y_1 \in Y_1 \star 0 \star \cdots \star 0$, $Y_2 \in 0 \star Y_2 \star \cdots \star 0$, ..., $Y_n \in 0 \star \cdots \star 0 \star Y_n$. It remains to prove the first assertion, for which we use induction on n. When n = 1, we have $M = X_1$ and $M[s] = X_1[s]$. Let $n \ge 2$. As M belongs to $(X_1 \star \cdots \star X_{n-1}) \star X_n$, there exists an exact triangle $N \to M \to X_n \rightsquigarrow$ with $N \in X_1 \star \cdots \star X_{n-1}$. Then there is an exact triangle $N[s] \to M[s] \to X_n[s] \rightsquigarrow$, and the induction hypothesis implies $N[s] \in X_1[s] \star \cdots \star X_{n-1}[s]$. We now obtain $M[s] \in X_1[s] \star \cdots \star X_n[s]$, and the first assertion follows.

(3b) Letting $M = X_1 = \cdots = X_n = 0$ in the third assertion of (3a), we get $Y_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Y_n \in Y_1 \star \cdots \star Y_n$ for all objects $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n \in \mathcal{T}$. This shows the assertion.

Here we recall the definition of split generators, which are used to define complexities and entropies.

Definition 7.

- A thick subcategory of *T* is by definition a triangulated subcategory of *T* closed under direct summands, i.e., a subcategory closed under shifts, mapping cones and direct summands.
- (2) For an object $X \in \mathcal{T}$ we denote by thick $\mathcal{T} X$ the thick closure of T, that is to say, the smallest thick subcategory of \mathcal{T} to which X belongs.
- (3) A split generator of T, which is also called a thick generator of T, is defined to be an object of T whose thick closure coincides with T.

Now we can state the definitions of complexities and entropies introduced in [6].

Definition 8 (Dimitrov-Haiden-Katzarkov-Kontsevich).

(1) Let $X, Y \in \mathcal{T}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We denote by $\delta_t(X, Y)$ the infimum of the sums $\sum_{i=1}^r e^{n_i t}$, where r runs through the nonnegative integers and n_i run through the integers such that there exist a sequence

$$0 = Y_0 \xrightarrow{} Y_1 \xrightarrow{} Y_1 \xrightarrow{} Y_{r-1} \xrightarrow{} Y_r = Y \oplus Y'$$

of exact triangles $\{Y_{i-1} \to Y_i \to X[n_i] \leftrightarrow\}_{i=1}^r$ in \mathcal{T} . The function $\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto \delta_t(X, Y) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$ is called the complexity¹ of Y relative to X. When Y = 0, one can take r = 0, and hence $\delta_t(X, Y) = 0$.

(2) Let $F: \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}$ be an exact functor and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The entropy $h_t(F)$ of F is defined by

$$\mathbf{h}_t(F) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \delta_t \left(G, F^n(G) \right),$$

where G is a split generator of \mathcal{T} . This is independent of the choice of G; see [6, Lemma 2.6].

The following proposition gives an equivalent definition of a complexity. In what follows, whenever we are concerned with a complexity, we regard this equality as its definition, as it is more convenient for us.

Proposition 9. Let $X, Y \in \mathcal{T}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. One then has the equality

$$\delta_t(X,Y) = \inf\left\{\sum_{i=1}^r e^{n_i t} \middle| Y \oplus Y' \in \bigstar_{i=1}^r X[n_i] \text{ for some } Y' \in \mathcal{T}\right\}.$$

Proof. Fix an object $Z \in \mathcal{T}$. It suffices to show that $Z \in \bigstar_{i=1}^{r} X[n_i]$ if and only if there is a sequence

$$0 = Y_0 \xrightarrow{} Y_1 \xrightarrow{} \cdots \xrightarrow{} Y_{r-1} \xrightarrow{} X[n_r] \xrightarrow{} Y_r = Z$$

of exact triangles. We use induction on r. When r = 0, both containments mean that Z = 0. Let r > 0.

The "only if" part: Since Z is in $(\bigstar_{i=1}^{r-1}X[n_i]) \star X[n_r]$, there exists an exact triangle $\sigma : W \to Z \to X[n_r] \rightsquigarrow$ in \mathcal{T} such that $W \in \bigstar_{i=1}^{r-1}X[n_i]$. Applying the induction hypothesis to W yields a sequence of exact triangles, and splicing it with the exact triangle σ gives rise to a sequence of exact triangles

$$0 = Y_0 \xrightarrow{} Y_1 \xrightarrow{} \cdots \xrightarrow{} Y_{r-2} \xrightarrow{} Y_{r-1} = W \xrightarrow{} X[n_r] \xrightarrow{} Z.$$

The "if" part: By assumption, there exists a sequence of exact triangles

$$0 = Y_0 \xrightarrow{X[n_1]} Y_1 \xrightarrow{Y_{r-2}} Y_{r-2} \xrightarrow{Y_{r-2}} Y_{r-1} \xrightarrow{Y_{r-1}} Y_r = Z.$$

The induction hypothesis implies that Y_{r-1} belongs to $\bigstar_{i=1}^{r-1} X[n_i]$. The exact triangle $Y_{r-1} \to Z \to X[n_r] \rightsquigarrow$ appearing in the above diagram shows that Z is in $(\bigstar_{i=1}^{r-1} X[n_i]) \bigstar X[n_r] = \bigstar_{i=1}^r X[n_i]$. \Box

We give a couple of statements concerning complexities. Recall that \mathcal{T} is said to be *periodic* if there exists an integer n > 0 such that the *n*th shift functor [n] is isomorphic to the identity functor $\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{T}}$ of \mathcal{T} .

¹It may be better for us to call this the *Dimitrov–Haiden–Katzarkov–Kontsevich complexity* because in commutative algebra there is a different notion called complexity, which describes the growth of a minimal free resolution of a finitely generated module over a commutative noetherian local ring. In fact, it does appear implicitly in the proof of Theorem 20.

Proposition 10. Let X and Y be objects of \mathcal{T} . Then the following statements hold.

- (1) Let $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\delta_t(X, Y) < \infty$ if and only if $Y \in \text{thick}_{\mathcal{T}} X$.
- (2) There is an equality $\delta_0(X, Y) = \inf\{r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \mid Y \oplus Y' \in \bigstar_{i=1}^r X[n_i] \text{ for some } Y' \in \mathcal{T}\}.$
- (3) Let $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that \mathcal{T} is periodic and $\delta_t(X, Y) < \infty$. Then $\delta_t(X, Y) = 0$ unless t = 0.

Proof. (1) The "only if" part: By definition, there exist an object $Y' \in \mathcal{T}$ and integers n_1, \ldots, n_r such that $Y \oplus Y'$ belongs to $\bigstar_{i=1}^r X[n_i]$. Note that $\bigstar_{i=1}^r X[n_i]$ is contained in thick *X*. Hence *Y* is in thick *X*.

The "if" part: By [2, 2.2.1 and 2.2.4] there are an object $Y' \in \mathcal{T}$ and an integer $s \ge 0$ with $Y \oplus Y' \in \mathcal{X}^{\star s}$, where \mathcal{X} is the smallest subcategory of \mathcal{T} which contains X and is closed under finite direct sums and shifts. We can write $Y \oplus Y' \in \bigstar_{i=1}^{s} (\bigoplus_{j=1}^{u_i} X[m_{ij}])$ for some $u_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$ and $m_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Lemma 6(3b) implies $Y \oplus Y' \in \bigstar_{i=1}^{s} (\bigstar_{j=1}^{u_i} X[m_{ij}])$. We get $\delta_t(X, Y) \le \sum_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{j=1}^{u_i} e^{m_{ij}t}$, which shows $\delta_t(X, Y) < \infty$.

(2) The assertion immediately follows from the definition of $\delta_0(X, Y)$.

(3) As $\delta_t(X, Y) < \infty$, we have $Y \oplus Y' \in \bigstar_{i=1}^r X[n_i]$ for some $Y' \in \mathcal{T}$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $n_1, \ldots, n_r \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since \mathcal{T} is periodic, we have $[m] \cong \operatorname{id}_{\mathcal{T}}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. We have $X[n_i] \cong X[n_i + jm]$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, and get the containment $Y \oplus Y' \in \bigstar_{i=1}^r X[n_i + jm]$. We obtain inequalities $0 \leq \delta_t(X, Y) \leq \sum_{i=1}^r e^{(n_i + jm)t}$. It remains to note that $\lim_{j \to -\infty} \sum_{i=1}^r e^{(n_i + jm)t} = 0$ if t > 0 and $\lim_{j \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^r e^{(n_i + jm)t} = 0$ if t < 0.

Remark 11. The equality in Proposition 10(2) may remind the reader of the notion of a *level* introduced by Avramov, Buchweitz, Iyengar and Miller [2]. Namely, $\delta_0(X, Y)$ looks closely related to the *X*-level level $\mathcal{F}^{X}(Y)$ of *Y*. The difference is that an *X*-level ignores finite direct sums of copies of *X*. This is similar to the difference between the lengths of a composition series and a Loewy series of a module over a ring. The complexity $\delta_t(X, Y)$ can also be regarded as a weighted version of $\delta_0(X, Y)$ with respect to shifts.

The following lemma comes from [6, Proposition 2.2]. In this proposition, neither $\delta_t(X, Y)$ nor $\delta_t(Y, Z)$ is assumed to be finite, but in its proof both $\delta_t(X, Y)$ and $\delta_t(Y, Z)$ seem to be assumed to be finite. In fact, without this assumption, we would need to clarify what $0 \cdot \infty$ and $\infty \cdot 0$ mean.

Lemma 12. Let t be a real number. Let X, Y and Z be objects of \mathcal{T} . Suppose that both $\delta_t(X, Y)$ and $\delta_t(Y, Z)$ are finite. Then there is an inequality $\delta_t(X, Z) \leq \delta_t(X, Y) \cdot \delta_t(Y, Z)$.

3. Main results

In this section, we shall investigate complexities and entropies for the singularity category of a commutative noetherian local ring, which is a triangulated category.

Setup 13. Throughout this section, let *R* be a commutative noetherian local ring with maximal ideal m and residue field k. The triangulated category considered in this section is the singularity category $D_{sg}(R)$ of *R*, which is by definition the Verdier quotient of the bounded derived category of finitely generated *R*-modules by perfect complexes (i.e., bounded complexes of finitely generated projective *R*-modules).

For the reader whose expertise is outside of commutative algebra, we collect here several fundamental notions from commutative algebra and their basic properties which are used in the proofs of our results. The details can be found in [1,3,20].

Definition 14.

(1) A sequence $\mathbf{x} = x_1, ..., x_n$ of elements in \mathfrak{m} is called an R-regular sequence if the element x_i is regular on $R/(x_1, ..., x_{i-1})$ (i.e., the multiplication map $R/(x_1, ..., x_{i-1}) \xrightarrow{x_i} \mathcal{X}$ $R/(x_1, ..., x_{i-1})$ is injective) for each $1 \le i \le n$. Note then that the *R*-module $R/(\mathbf{x})$ has finite projective dimension; see [3, Corollary 1.6.14(b)] or [20, Theorem 16.5(i)].

- (2) For a finitely generated *R*-module *M*, we denote by $\mu(M)$ the minimal number of generators of *M*, that is, $\mu(M) = \dim_k(M \otimes_R k)$; see [20, Theorem 2.3] and [3, the paragraph before Proposition 1.3.1].
- (3) Let edim *R* and depth *R* stand for the embedding dimension of *R* and the depth of *R*, respectively. These are defined by the equalities $\operatorname{edim} R = \mu(\mathfrak{m}) = \dim_k \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ and depth $R = \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid \operatorname{Ext}_R^i(k, R) \neq 0\}$. The ineqality depth $R \leq \dim R$ always holds by [3, *Proposition 1.2.12] or [20, Theorem 17.2], and we say that R is* Cohen–Macaulay *if the equality* depth $R = \dim R$ holds.
- (4) We always have the inequality dim $R \leq \text{edim } R$ by [20, the bottom of page 104], and we say that R is regular if the equality dim R = edim R holds. We say that R is a singular local ring if it is not a regular local ring. Note from [20, Theorem 19.2] or [3, Theorem 2.2.7] that R is singular if and only if the category $D_{sg}(R)$ is nonzero.
- (5) The codimension and the codepth of R are defined by codim R = edim R dim R and codepth R = edim R depth R. Note that codim R = codepth R if (and only if) R is Cohen-Macaulay.
- (6) The local ring R is said to be a hypersurface provided the inequality codepth $R \le 1$ holds. According to Cohen's structure theorem (see [3, Theorem A.21]), this condition is equivalent to saying that the m-adic completion \hat{R} of R is isomorphic to the residue ring S/(f) of some regular local ring S by some principal ideal (f); see $[1, \S 5.1]$.
- (7) The local ring R is called a complete intersection if the m-adic completion \hat{R} of R is isomorphic to the residue ring S/(f) of a regular local ring (S, n) by the ideal (f) generated by a regular sequence $f = f_1, ..., f_c$. One can choose $f = f_1, ..., f_c$ so that c = codim R, and in this case, $f_i \in n^2$ for all i; see [3, Corollary 1.6.19 and Theorems 2.3.2 (b), 2.3.3 (b)].
- (8) We say that the local ring R is Gorenstein if the R-module R has finite injective dimension.
- (9) The Koszul complex K^R of R is defined to be the Koszul complex $K(\mathbf{x}, R)$ on R of a minimal system of generators $\mathbf{x} = x_1, ..., x_n$ of m. This complex is uniquely determined up to isomorphism; see [3, the part following Remark 1.6.20]. Each homology $H_i(K^R)$ is a finite-dimensional k-vector space by [20, the paragraph before Theorem 16.5] or [3, Proposition 1.6.5 (b)].
- (10) We say that R has an isolated singularity if R_p is a regular local ring for all $p \in \text{Spec } R \setminus \{m\}$.
- (11) For an *R*-module *M*, we denote by $\ell(M)$ the length of (a composition series of) *M*. If the *R*-module *M* is finitely generated and $\mathfrak{m}M = 0$, then one has $\ell(M) = \dim_k M < \infty$.
- (12) Let e(R) and r(R) be the (Hilbert–Samuel) multiplicity and type of R, respectively. Namely, one has $e(R) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{d!}{n^d} \ell(R/\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $r(R) = \dim_k \operatorname{Ext}_R^t(k, R) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, where $d = \dim R$ and $t = \operatorname{depth} R$. When R is Cohen–Macaulay, R is singular if and only if e(R) > 1by [3, Examples 4.6.3(a) and Exercises 4.6.14(b)]. The ring R is Gorenstein if and only if Ris Cohen–Macaulay and r(R) = 1 by [20, Theorem 18.1] or [3, Theorem 3.2.10].
- (13) Let M be a finitely generated R-module. Let n be a nonnegative integer. Then we denote by $\Omega_R^n M$ the nth syzygy of M over R, that is, the image of the nth differential map in a minimal free resolution of the R-module M. Note by Schanuel's lemma [20, Lemma 4 in § 19] that the module $\Omega_R^n M$ is uniquely determined up to isomorphism. We denote by $\beta_R^n(M)$ the nth Betti number of M, namely, $\beta_R^n(M) = \mu(\Omega_R^n M)$.
- (14) A system of parameters of the local ring R is by definition a sequence $\mathbf{x} = x_1, ..., x_d$ of elements of R such that $d = \dim R$ and $R/(\mathbf{x})$ is artinian. A system of parameters of R exists; see [20, Theorem 13.4] or [3, Theorem A.3]. If R is Cohen–Macaulay, then a system of parameters of R is an R-regular sequence; see [20, Theorem 17.4(iii)] or [3, Theorem 2.1.2(d)].

(15) The following implications hold true.

R is regular \Rightarrow *R* is a hypersurface \Rightarrow *R* is a complete intersection

 \Rightarrow *R* is Gorenstein \Rightarrow *R* is Cohen–Macaulay.

This follows from [20, the paragraph after Theorem 21.3] or [3, Proposition 3.1.20], and what we have stated above.

(16) The socle of the local ring R is defined as the set of elements $x \in R$ such that $\mathfrak{m}x = 0$, and denoted by Soc R. Since there is an isomorphism Soc $R \cong \operatorname{Hom}_R(k, R)$, one has $r(R) = \dim_k \operatorname{Soc} R$ when depth R = 0. In general, it holds that $r(R) = \dim_k \operatorname{Soc} R/(\mathbf{x})$ for any R-regular sequence $\mathbf{x} = x_1, ..., x_t$ with $t = \operatorname{depth} R$; see [3, Lemma 1.2.19].

What we want to consider in this section is the following conjecture.

Conjecture 15. Let G be a split generator of $D_{sg}(R)$. Then one has the equality $\delta_t(G, X) = 0$ for all objects X of $D_{sg}(R)$ and for all nonzero real numbers t.

In the case where *R* is a hypersurface, it is easy to see that Conjecture 15 holds true.

Example 16. If *R* is a hypersurface, then $\delta_t(G, X) = 0$ for all split generators *G* of $\mathsf{D}_{sg}(R)$, for all $X \in \mathsf{D}_{sg}(R)$ and for all $0 \neq t \in \mathbb{R}$. Indeed, in this case, there exists an isomorphism $\widehat{R} \cong S/(f)$, where *S* is a regular local ring and $f \in S$. The singularity category $\mathsf{D}_{sg}(\widehat{R})$ of the completion \widehat{R} is equivalent as a triangulated category to the homotopy category of matrix factorizations of *f* over *S*, which is periodic of periodicity two; we refer the reader to [4, 7, 8, 23, 29] for the details. It is easy to see that $\mathsf{D}_{sg}(R)$ is also periodic of periodicity two, and the assertion follows from (1) and (3) of Proposition 10.

We introduce a condition on an object of the singularity category, which is essential in our theorems.

Definition 17. We say that an object X of $D_{sg}(R)$ is locally zero on the punctured spectrum of R if for each nonmaximal prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R the localized complex $X_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is isomorphic to 0 in the singularity category $D_{sg}(R_{\mathfrak{p}})$ of the local ring $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. This condition is equivalent to saying that $X_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is isomorphic to a perfect complex over $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ in the bounded derived category of finitely generated $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -modules.

Remark 18. Suppose that *R* has an isolated singularity. Then every object of $D_{sg}(R)$ is locally zero on the punctured spectrum of *R*, since $D_{sg}(R_p) = 0$ for all nonmaximal prime ideals p of *R*.

We establish a lemma, which is frequently used in the proofs of our results stated later.

Lemma 19. Let $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Let X be an object of $D_{sg}(R)$ such that k belongs to thick $D_{sg}(R)$ X. Let Y be an object of $D_{sg}(R)$ which is locally zero on the punctured spectrum of R. If $\delta_t(k, k) = 0$, then $\delta_t(X, Y) = 0$.

Proof. We have that $k \in \text{thick}_{D_{sg}(R)} X$ by assumption, and that $Y \in \text{thick}_{D_{sg}(R)} k$ by [28, Corollary 4.3 (3)]. It follows from Proposition 10(1) that $\delta_t(X, k), \delta_t(k, Y) \in \mathbb{R}$. If $\delta_t(k, k) = 0$, then Lemma 12 yields

 $0 \leq \delta_t(X, Y) \leq \delta_t(X, k) \cdot \delta_t(k, Y) \leq \left(\delta_t(X, k) \cdot \delta_t(k, k)\right) \cdot \delta_t(k, Y) = \delta_t(X, k) \cdot 0 \cdot \delta_t(k, Y) = 0.$

These inequalities imply that $\delta_t(X, Y) = 0$, and the proof of the lemma is completed.

Now we shall state and prove three theorems, all of which support Conjecture 15.

Theorem 20. Let *R* be a complete intersection. Let $X \in D_{sg}(R)$ be such that *k* belongs to thick $D_{sg}(R) X$. Let $Y \in D_{sg}(R)$ be locally zero on the punctured spectrum of *R*. Then $\delta_t(X, Y) = 0$ for all $t \neq 0$.

Proof. In view of Lemma 19, it suffices to show $\delta_t(k, k) = 0$ for all $t \neq 0$. Let $\mathbf{x} = x_1, \dots, x_d$ be a system of parameters of R. Then \mathbf{x} is an R-regular sequence since R is Cohen–Macaulay, and $R/(\mathbf{x})$ is an artinian complete intersection by [3, Theorem 2.3.4 (a)]. Fix a nonnegative integer n. It holds in $D_{sg}(R/(\mathbf{x}))$ that $k[-n] \cong \Omega_{R/(\mathbf{x})}^n k \in k^{\star h_n}$, where $h_n = \ell(\Omega_{R/(\mathbf{x})}^n k)$. Lemma 6 (3a) implies that

$$k \in k[n]^{\star h_n} \quad \text{in } \mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{sg}}(R/(\mathbf{x})). \tag{1}$$

Since $R/(\mathbf{x})$ is an artinian Gorenstein ring (or equivalently, selfinjective), $D_{sg}(R/(\mathbf{x}))$ is equivalent (as a triangulated category) to the stable category of finitely generated $R/(\mathbf{x})$ -modules; see [4, 14, 26]. It is observed that the assignment $X \mapsto X^* := \text{Hom}_{R/(\mathbf{x})}(X, R/(\mathbf{x}))$ gives a duality of $D_{sg}(R/(\mathbf{x}))$. Note that k^* is isomorphic to k (as an $R/(\mathbf{x})$ -module). Applying the above duality functor to (1), we obtain the containment

$$k \in k[-n]^{\star h_n}$$
 in $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{sg}}(R/(\mathbf{x}))$. (2)

As the *R*-module $R/(\mathbf{x})$ has finite projective dimension, the natural surjection $R \to R/(\mathbf{x})$ induces an exact functor $D_{sg}(R/(\mathbf{x})) \to D_{sg}(R)$. Applying this functor to (1) and (2), we get $k \in k[\pm n]^{\star h_n}$ in $D_{sg}(R)$. The inequality $\delta_t(k,k) \leq h_n \cdot e^{\pm nt}$ follows. The surjection $(R/(\mathbf{x}))^{\oplus \beta_n^{R/(\mathbf{x})}(k)} \to \Omega_{R/(\mathbf{x})}^n k$ shows that $\ell(R/(\mathbf{x})) \cdot \beta_n^{R/(\mathbf{x})}(k) \geq \ell(\Omega_{R/(\mathbf{x})}^n k) = h_n$. Since $R/(\mathbf{x})$ is a complete intersection, there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\beta_i^{R/(\mathbf{x})}(k) \leq \alpha i^{c-1}$ for all integers $i \geq 0$, where $c = \operatorname{codim} R/(\mathbf{x})$; see [1, Theorem 8.1.2]. Thus,

$$0 \leqslant \delta_t(k,k) \leqslant h_n \cdot \mathrm{e}^{\pm nt} \leqslant \ell(R/(\mathbf{x})) \cdot \beta_n^{R/(\mathbf{x})}(k) \cdot \mathrm{e}^{\pm nt} \leqslant \ell(R/(\mathbf{x})) \cdot \alpha \cdot \frac{n^{c-1}}{\left(\mathrm{e}^{\pm t}\right)^n} \quad \text{for all } n \ge 0.$$

If t > 0 (resp. t < 0), then $e^t > 1$ (resp. $e^{-t} > 1$), and $\frac{n^{c-1}}{(e^t)^n} \to 0$ (resp. $\frac{n^{c-1}}{(e^{-t})^n} \to 0$) as $n \to \infty$. We conclude that $\delta_t(k,k) = 0$ for all nonzero real numbers t, and the assertion of the theorem follows.

Theorem 21. Let R be singular and Cohen–Macaulay. Assume that the residue field k is infinite. Let X be an object of $D_{sg}(R)$ such that $k \in \text{thick}_{D_{sg}(R)} X$. Let Y be an object of $D_{sg}(R)$ which is locally zero on the punctured spectrum of R. Put u = e(R) and r = r(R). Then $\delta_t(X, Y) = 0$ for all $t < -\log(u-1)$ and for all $t > \log(u-r)$. Therefore, $\delta_t(X, Y) = 0$ for all $|t| > \log(u-1)$ provided that R is Gorenstein.

Proof. By Lemma 19, it suffices to prove $\delta_t(k, k) = 0$ for all $t < -\log(u-1)$ and $t > \log(u-r)$. Since *k* is infinite and *R* is Cohen–Macaulay, there exists an *R*-regular sequence $\mathbf{x} = x_1, ..., x_d$ such that $d = \dim R$ and $u = e(R) = \ell(R/(\mathbf{x}))$; see [3, Corollary 4.6.10 and Theorem 4.7.10]. Since the local ring *R* is singular, the artinian local ring $R/(\mathbf{x})$ is not a field, and there are inclusions $R/(\mathbf{x}) \supseteq m/(\mathbf{x}) \supseteq \operatorname{Soc} R/(\mathbf{x}) \supseteq 0$, which give rise to the inequalities $u = \ell(R/(\mathbf{x})) > \ell(\operatorname{Soc} R/(\mathbf{x})) = r \ge 1$. Hence $\log(u-r)$ and $\log(u-1)$ are well-defined.

There is an exact sequence $0 \to \mathfrak{m}/(\mathbf{x}) \to R/(\mathbf{x}) \to k \to 0$ of *R*-modules. This shows that $\ell(\mathfrak{m}/(\mathbf{x})) = u - 1$, and that $k \cong (\mathfrak{m}/(\mathbf{x}))[1]$ in $\mathsf{D}_{sg}(R)$ as the *R*-module $R/(\mathbf{x})$ has finite projective dimension. Taking a composition series of the *R*-module $\mathfrak{m}/(\mathbf{x})$, we see that $\mathfrak{m}/(\mathbf{x})$ belongs to $k^{\star(u-1)}$. Lemma 6 (3) implies

$$k \in k^{\star(u-1)}[1] \subseteq k[1]^{\star(u-1)} \subseteq \left(k^{\star(u-1)}[1]\right)[1]^{\star(u-1)} \subseteq k[2]^{\star(u-1)^2} \subseteq \dots \subseteq k[n]^{\star(u-1)^n}$$

for each integer n > 0, which yields that $0 \le \delta_t(k, k) \le (u-1)^n e^{nt} = ((u-1)e^t)^n$. If $t < -\log(u-1)$, then $(u-1)e^t < 1$ and $((u-1)e^t)^n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, whence $\delta_t(k, k) = 0$.

It holds that $k^{\oplus r} \cong \operatorname{Soc} R/(\mathbf{x}) \subseteq R/(\mathbf{x})$, which induces an exact sequence $0 \to k^{\oplus r} \to R/(\mathbf{x}) \to C \to 0$ of *R*-modules. Similarly as above, we have $\ell(C) = u - r$, $k^{\oplus r} \cong C[-1]$ in $\mathsf{D}_{sg}(R)$, $C \in k^{\star(u-r)}$, and

$$k^{\oplus r} \in k^{\star(u-r)}[-1] \subseteq k[-1]^{\star(u-r)},$$

$$k^{\oplus r^{2}} \in k^{\oplus r}[-1]^{\star(u-r)} \subseteq (k[-1]^{\star(u-r)})[-1]^{\star(u-r)} \subseteq k[-2]^{\star(u-r)^{2}}, \dots,$$

$$k^{\oplus r^{n}} \in k[-n]^{\star(u-r)^{n}} \text{ for all integers } n > 0.$$

Hence, there are inequalities $0 \le \delta_t(k,k) \le (u-r)^n e^{-nt} = ((u-r)e^{-t})^n$ for all n > 0. If $t > \log(u-r)$, then $(u-r)e^{-t} < 1$ and $((u-r)e^{-t})^n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, whence $\delta_t(k,k) = 0$. Thus we are done.

Theorem 22. Suppose that *R* is singular. Set c = codepth R and $m = \max_{1 \le i \le c} \{\dim_k H_i(K^R)\}$. Let *X* be an object of $D_{sg}(R)$ such that *k* belongs to thick $D_{sg}(R)$ *X*, and let *Y* be an object of $D_{sg}(R)$ which is locally zero on the punctured spectrum of *R*. Then $\delta_t(X, Y) = 0$ for all $|t| > \frac{\log c + \log m}{2}$.

Proof. First of all, we remark that the number $\frac{\log c + \log m}{2}$ is well-defined. Indeed, the assumption that *R* is singular implies c > 0. Since the module $H_c(K^R)$ is nonzero by [3, Theorem 1.6.17], we have m > 0.

According to Lemma 19, it is enough to verify that $\delta_t(k,k) = 0$ for all $|t| > \frac{\log c + \log m}{2}$. Put $n = \operatorname{edim} R$ and $K = K^R$. We have $K = (0 \to K_n \to K_{n-1} \to \cdots \to K_{c+1} \to K_c \to K_{c-1} \to \cdots \to K_1 \to K_0 \to 0)$. For each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, let Z_i , B_i and H_i be the *i*th cycle of K, the *i*th boundary of K and the *i*th homology of K, respectively. We have $H_i = 0$ for all i > c and $H_c \neq 0$ by [3, Theorem 1.6.17 (b)]. For every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ there exist exact sequences $0 \to B_i \to Z_i \to H_i \to 0$ and $0 \to Z_i \to K_i \to B_{i-1} \to 0$ of R-modules, which induce exact triangles $B_i \to Z_i \to H_i \to 0$ and $Z_i \to K_i \to B_{i-1} \to 0$ by $S_i(R)$. Since K_i is a free R-module, we have $K_i \cong 0$ in $D_{sg}(R)$. Hence $B_{i-1} \cong Z_i[1]$ in $D_{sg}(R)$, and we get exact triangles

$$B_i[1] \to B_{i-1} \to H_i[1] \rightsquigarrow$$
 and $H_i[-1] \to B_i \to B_{i-1}[-1] \rightsquigarrow$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

It follows that $B_{i-1} \in B_i[1] \star H_i[1]$ and $B_i \in H_i[-1] \star B_{i-1}[-1]$. As $B_{-1} = 0$, we have $H_0 \cong B_0[1]$. Since the *R*-module B_c has finite projective dimension, we have $B_c \cong 0$ in $D_{sg}(R)$, so that $H_c \cong B_{c-1}[-1]$. Applying Lemma 6 (3), we inductively get the containments and inclusions

$$k = H_0 \in \bigstar_{i=1}^c H_{c-i+1}[c-i+2] \subseteq \bigstar_{i=1}^c k[c-i+2]^{\star h_{c-i+1}},$$

$$k^{\oplus s} = H_c \in \bigstar_{i=1}^c H_{c-i}[-i-1] \subseteq \bigstar_{i=1}^c k[-i-1]^{\star h_{c-i}},$$

where we set $h_j = \dim_k H_j$ for each j and $s = h_c > 0$. Using Lemma 6(3) again, for any r > 0 we get:

$$\begin{aligned} k \in \bigstar_{i=1}^{c} k[c-i+2]^{\star h_{c-i+1}} &\subseteq \bigstar_{i=1}^{c} \left(\bigstar_{j=1}^{c} k[c-j+2]^{\star h_{c-j+1}} \right) [c-i+2]^{\star h_{c-i+1}} \\ &\subseteq \bigstar_{i=1}^{c} \left(\bigstar_{j=1}^{c} k[2(c+2)-(i+j)]^{\star h_{c-j+1}} \right)^{\star h_{c-i+1}} \\ &\subseteq \bigstar_{i_{1}=1}^{c} \left(\bigstar_{i_{2}=1}^{c} \left(\cdots \left(\bigstar_{i_{r}=1}^{c} k[r(c+2)-(i_{1}+\cdots+i_{r})]^{\star h_{c-i_{r+1}}} \right) \cdots \right)^{\star h_{c-i_{2}+1}} \right)^{\star h_{c-i_{1}+1}}, \\ k^{\oplus s} \in \bigstar_{i=1}^{c} k[-i-1]^{\star h_{c-i}}, \\ k^{\oplus s^{2}} &= \left(k^{\oplus s} \right)^{\oplus s} \in \bigstar_{i=1}^{c} k^{\oplus s} [-i-1]^{\star h_{c-i}} \\ &\subseteq \bigstar_{i=1}^{c} \left(\bigstar_{j=1}^{c} k[-j-1]^{\star h_{c-j}} \right) [-i-1]^{\star h_{c-i}} \\ &\leq \bigstar_{i=1}^{c} \left(\bigstar_{j=1}^{c} k[-j-1]^{\star h_{c-j}} \right) [-i-1]^{\star h_{c-i}} \\ & k^{\oplus s^{r}} \in \bigstar_{i_{1}=1}^{c} \left(\bigstar_{i_{2}=1}^{c} \left(\cdots \left(\bigstar_{i_{r}=1}^{c} k[-(i_{1}+\cdots+i_{r})-r]^{\star h_{c-i_{r}}} \right) \cdots \right)^{\star h_{c-i_{2}}} \right)^{\star h_{c-i_{1}}}. \end{aligned}$$

We thus obtain the following two inequalities for every positive integer r.

$$\begin{split} \delta_t(k,k) &\leq \sum_{i_1=1}^c h_{c-i_1+1} \left(\sum_{i_2=1}^c h_{c-i_2+1} \left(\cdots \left(\sum_{i_r=1}^c h_{c-i_r+1} e^{(r(c+2)-(i_1+\cdots+i_r))t} \right) \cdots \right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_r \leq c} h_{c-i_1+1} \cdots h_{c-i_r+1} e^{((c-i_1+1)+\cdots+(c-i_r+1)+r)t} \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_r \leq c} h_{i_1} \cdots h_{i_r} e^{(i_1+\cdots+i_r+r)t}, \\ \delta_t(k,k) &\leq \sum_{i_1=1}^c h_{c-i_1} \left(\sum_{i_2=1}^c h_{c-i_2} \left(\cdots \left(\sum_{i_r=1}^c h_{c-i_r} e^{(-(i_1+\cdots+i_r)-r)t} \right) \cdots \right) \right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_r \leq c} h_{c-i_1} \cdots h_{c-i_r} e^{((c-i_1)+\cdots+(c-i_r)-r(c+1))t} \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq i_1, \dots, i_r \leq c-1} h_{i_1} \cdots h_{i_r} e^{(i_1+\cdots+i_r-r(c+1))t}. \end{split}$$

As $h_0 = 1$ and $h_c \ge 1$, we have $m = \max\{h_1, \dots, h_c\} = \max\{h_0, h_1, \dots, h_c\}$. Now, consider the case where $t < -\frac{\log c + \log m}{2}$. Note then that t < 0 and $cme^{2t} < 1$. We get inequalities

$$0 \leq \delta_t(k,k) \leq \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_r \leq c} h_{i_1} \cdots h_{i_r} e^{(i_1 + \dots + i_r + r)t} \leq \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_r \leq c} m^r e^{2rt} = (cme^{2t})^r.$$

Since $(cme^{2t})^r \to 0$ as $r \to \infty$, we obtain the equality $\delta_t(k, k) = 0$. Next, let us deal with the case where $t > \frac{\log c + \log m}{2}$. Then we have t > 0 and $cme^{-2t} < 1$. Hence the following inequalities hold true.

$$0 \leq \delta_t(k,k) \leq \sum_{0 \leq i_1, \dots, i_r \leq c-1} h_{i_1} \cdots h_{i_r} e^{(i_1 + \dots + i_r - r(c+1))t} \leq \sum_{0 \leq i_1, \dots, i_r \leq c-1} m^r e^{-2rt} = (cme^{-2t})^r.$$

Since $(cme^{-2t})^r \to 0$ as $r \to \infty$, we get $\delta_t(k, k) = 0$. Now the proof of the theorem is completed.

Remark 23.

(1) Put n = edim R. Cohen's structure theorem shows that there exist an *n*-dimensional regular local ring (S, n, k) and an ideal I of S such that the m-adic completion \widehat{R} of R is isomorphic to the residue ring S/I. Choose a minimal system of generators $x = x_1, \ldots, x_n$ of n. It holds that

 $H_{i}(K^{R}) = H_{i}(\boldsymbol{x}, R) \cong H_{i}(\boldsymbol{x}, R) \otimes_{R} \widehat{R} \cong H_{i}(\boldsymbol{x}, \widehat{R}) \cong H_{i}(K(\boldsymbol{x}, S) \otimes_{S} \widehat{R}) \cong \operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{S}(k, \widehat{R})$

for each integer *i*, where the first isomorphism holds since the *R*-module $H_i(\mathbf{x}, R)$ has finite length, while the last isomorphism follows from the fact that the Koszul complex $K(\mathbf{x}, S)$ is a free resolution of k over S. Hence, the number dim_k $H_i(K^R)$ is equal to the *i*th Betti number $\beta_i^S(\hat{R})$ of \hat{R} over *S*.

- (2) Let R be a singular hypersurface. Let G be a split generator of $D_{sg}(R)$, and let X be an object of $D_{sg}(R)$ which is locally zero on the punctured spectrum of R. The following two statements hold.
 - (a) As *R* is a complete intersection, Theorem 20 implies that $\delta_t(G, X) = 0$ for all $0 \neq t \in \mathbb{R}$.
 - (b) Put c = codepth R and $m = \max_{1 \le i \le c} \{ \dim_k H_i(K^R) \}$. Then c = 1. We have $\widehat{R} \cong$ S/(f) for some regular local ring (S, \mathfrak{n}) and some element $f \in \mathfrak{n}^2$. The sequence $0 \to S \xrightarrow{f} S \to \widehat{R} \to 0$ gives a minimal free resolution of the *S*-module \widehat{R} , and the equalities dim_k H₁(K^R) = $\beta_1^S(\widehat{R}) = 1$ hold by (1). Hence m = 1. We get $\frac{\log c + \log m}{2} = 0$, and $\delta_t(G, X) = 0$ for all $t \neq 0$ by Theorem 22.

Thus, each of Theorems 20 and 22 recovers Example 16 in the case where X is locally zero on the punctured spectrum of R (e.g., in the case where R has an isolated singularity by Remark 18).

Combining the above three theorems with Remark 18, we obtain the corollary below on entropies.

Corollary 24. Let *R* be singular with an isolated singularity. Let *F* be an exact endofunctor of $D_{sg}(R)$.

- (1) Put c = codepth R and $m = \max_{1 \le i \le c} \{\dim_k H_i(K^R)\}$. Then $\delta_t(G, X) = 0$ for all split generators $G \in \mathsf{D}_{sg}(R)$, all $X \in \mathsf{D}_{sg}(R)$ and all $|t| > \frac{\log c + \log m}{2}$. Thus $h_t(F)$ is not defined if $|t| > \frac{\log c + \log m}{2}$.
- (2) Assume that R is Gorenstein and k is infinite. Then $\delta_t(G, X) = 0$ for all split generators $G \in \mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{sg}}(R)$, all $X \in \mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{sg}}(R)$ and all $|t| > \log(\mathsf{e}(R) 1)$. Thus $\mathsf{h}_t(F)$ is not defined for $|t| > \log(\mathsf{e}(R) 1)$.
- (3) Suppose that R is a complete intersection. Then $\delta_t(G, X) = 0$ for all split generators $G \in D_{sg}(R)$, all $X \in D_{sg}(R)$ and all nonzero real numbers t. Therefore, the entropy $h_t(F)$ is defined only for t = 0.

We present three examples below, which say that the bounds $\frac{\log c + \log m}{2}$ and $\log(e(R) - 1)$ for the real numbers *t* given in Theorems 21, 22 and Corollary 24 (1), (2) are not necessarily best possible.

Example 25. Let *R* be a singular Burch ring in the sense of [5, Definition 2.8]. Then it follows from [5, Proposition 5.10] that $\Omega^{u}k$ is a direct summand of $\Omega^{u+2}k$, where u = depth R. Hence, in $D_{sg}(R)$, the object k[-u] is a direct summand of k[-u-2], so that *k* is a direct summand of k[-2n] for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. We get $0 \le \delta_t(k, k) \le e^{-2nt} = (e^{-2t})^n$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, which implies $\delta_t(k, k) = 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Lemma 19 shows $\delta_t(X, Y) = 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and all $X, Y \in D_{sg}(R)$ such that *k* is in thick *X* and *Y* is locally zero on the punctured spectrum of *R*. By Remark 18, if *R* has an isolated singularity, then $\delta_t(G, X) = 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, all split generators *G* of $D_{sg}(R)$ and all objects *X* of $D_{sg}(R)$.

Example 26. Let S = k[[x, y]] be a formal power series ring over a field k. Consider the residue ring $R = S/(x^2, xy)$. Then R is not Cohen–Macaulay, but has an isolated singularity and depth R = 0. Fix a split generator $G \in D_{sg}(R)$ and an object $X \in D_{sg}(R)$. We have c := codepth R = 2, and the minimal free resolution of R over S is

$$0 \to S \xrightarrow{\binom{y}{-x}} S^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{(x^2, xy)} S \to R \to 0.$$

We get $m := \max_{1 \le i \le c} \{\dim_k H_i(K^R)\} = \max\{\beta_1^S(R), \beta_2^S(R)\} = 2$; see Remark 23(1). Hence $\frac{\log c + \log m}{2} = \log 2$, and Corollary 24(1) says

$$\delta_t(G, X) = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad t \in (-\infty, -\log 2) \cup (\log 2, \infty). \tag{3}$$

We shall give sharper bounds for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ to satisfy $\delta_t(G, X) = 0$. Since the ideal (*x*) is isomorphic to the residue field *k* and the element *y* is regular on R/(x), there exist exact sequences $0 \to k \to R \to R/(x) \to 0$ and $0 \to R/(x) \xrightarrow{y} R/(x) \to k \to 0$. Hence there is an isomorphism $R/(x) \cong k[1]$ in $D_{sg}(R)$, and we get an exact triangle $k[1] \to k \to k[2] \rightsquigarrow$ in $D_{sg}(R)$. Applying Lemma 6(3a), we obtain

 $k \in k[1] \star k[2] \subseteq (k[1] \star k[2])[1] \star (k[1] \star k[2])[2] \subseteq k[2] \star k[3]^{*2} \star k[4] \cdots$

We see that *k* belongs to

$$\begin{cases} \mathscr{X}_{1} = 1 \cdot 2, \\ \mathscr{X}_{2} = 2 \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 4, \\ \mathscr{X}_{3} = 3 \cdot 4^{2} \cdot 5 \cdot 4 \cdot 5^{2} \cdot 6, \\ \mathscr{X}_{4} = 4 \cdot 5^{2} \cdot 6 \cdot 5 \cdot 6^{2} \cdot 7 \cdot 5 \cdot 6^{2} \cdot 7 \cdot 6 \cdot 7^{2} \cdot 8, \\ \dots \\ \mathscr{X}_{n} = n \cdot (n+1)^{2} \cdot (n+2) \cdot (n+1) \cdots (2n-1) \cdot (2n-2) \cdot (2n-1)^{2} \cdot (2n) \end{cases}$$

where $a_1 \cdots a_r$ denotes $k[a_1] \star \cdots \star k[a_r]$ and $b^2 := b \cdot b$ for $a_1, \ldots, a_r, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that \mathscr{X}_n coincides with $\bigstar_{i=0}^n k[n+i]^{\star \binom{n}{i}}$ if we ignore the commutativity of the operation $-\star -$. Using the binomial theorem, we get the following inequalities and equalities for all n > 0.

$$0 \leq \delta_t(k,k) \leq \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} e^{(n+i)t} = e^{nt} \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} (e^t)^i = e^{nt} (e^t+1)^n = (e^t (e^t+1))^n.$$

If $t < \log \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$, then $e^t(e^t + 1) < 1$, and we see that $\delta_t(k, k) = 0$. In view of [5, Corollary 6.5], the local ring *R* is a singular Burch ring. It follows from Example 25 that

$$\delta_t(G, X) = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad t \in \left(-\infty, \log \frac{\sqrt{5} - 1}{2}\right) \cup (0, \infty). \tag{4}$$

Note that $-\log 2 < \log \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2} < 0 < \log 2$. Consequently, (4) yields better upper and lower bounds for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ to satisfy $\delta_t(G, X) = 0$ than (3).

Example 27. Let *k* be an infinite field, and consider the ring $R = k[x, y, z]/(x^2 - y^2, x^2 - z^2, xy, xz, yz)$. Then *R* is an artinian Gorenstein local ring with edim R = 3 and $e(R) = \ell(R) = 5$, which is not a complete intersection. Let *G* be a split generator of $D_{sg}(R)$ and *X* an object of $D_{sg}(R)$. Theorem 21 implies

$$\delta_t(G, X) = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad |t| > \log 4. \tag{5}$$

By [27, Corollary 3] the Poincaré series of the *R*-module k is $\frac{1}{1-3t+t^2}$, so that

$$\beta_n^R(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left(a^{n+1} - b^{n+1} \right)$$

for each $n \ge 0$, where $a := \frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2} > \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2} =: b$. The same argument as in the proof of Theorem 20 shows

$$0 \leqslant \delta_t(k,k) \leqslant \ell(R) \cdot \beta_n^R(k) \cdot e^{\pm nt} = \sqrt{5} \cdot \left(a \left(\frac{a}{e^{\pm t}} \right)^n - b \left(\frac{b}{e^{\pm t}} \right)^n \right)$$

for all $n \ge 0$, which implies $\delta_t(k, k) = 0$ for all $|t| > \log a = \log \frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2}$, and

$$\delta_t(G, X) = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad |t| > \log \frac{3 + \sqrt{5}}{2}. \tag{6}$$

As $\log \frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2} < \log 4$, (6) gives better upper/lower bounds for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\delta_t(G, X) = 0$ than (5).

Acknowlegments

The author would like to thank Akishi Ikeda, Osamu Iyama and Genki Ouchi for giving him valuable and useful comments regarding complexities and entropies.

References

- L. L. Avramov, "Infinite free resolutions", in Six lectures on commutative algebra, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 166, Birkhäuser, 2010, p. 1-118.
- [2] L. L. Avramov, R.-O. Buchweitz, S. B. Iyengar, C. Miller, "Homology of perfect complexes", Adv. Math. 223 (2010), no. 5, p. 1731-1781.
- [3] W. Bruns, J. Herzog, Cohen-Macaulay rings, rev. ed., Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 39, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [4] R.-O. Buchweitz, Maximal Cohen–Macaulay modules and Tate cohomology, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 262, American Mathematical Society, 2021, with appendices by Luchezar L. Avramov, Benjamin Briggs, Srikanth B. Iyengar and Janina C. Letz.
- [5] H. Dao, T. Kobayashi, R. Takahashi, "Burch ideals and Burch rings", Algebra Number Theory 14 (2020), no. 8, p. 2121-2150.

- [6] G. Dimitrov, F. Haiden, L. Katzarkov, M. Kontsevich, "Dynamical systems and categories", in *The influence of Solomon Lefschetz in geometry and topology: 50 years of mathematics at CINVESTAV*, Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 621, American Mathematical Society, 2014, p. 133-170.
- [7] T. Dyckerhoff, "Compact generators in categories of matrix factorizations", Duke Math. J. 159 (2011), no. 2, p. 223-274.
- [8] D. Eisenbud, "Homological algebra of a complete intersection, with an application to group representations", *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **260** (1980), no. 1, p. 35-64.
- [9] A. Elagin, V. A. Lunts, "Three notions of dimension for triangulated categories", J. Algebra 569 (2021), p. 334-376.
- [10] Y.-W. Fan, "Entropy of an autoequivalence on Calabi-Yau manifolds", Math. Res. Lett. 25 (2018), no. 2, p. 509-519.
- [11] Y.-W. Fan, S. Filip, F. Haiden, L. Katzarkov, Y. Liu, "On pseudo-Anosov autoequivalences", *Adv. Math.* **384** (2021), article no. 107732.
- [12] Y.-W. Fan, L. Fu, G. Ouchi, "Categorical polynomial entropy", Adv. Math. 383 (2021), article no. 107655.
- [13] A. Ikeda, "Mass growth of objects and categorical entropy", Nagoya Math. J. 244 (2021), p. 136-157.
- [14] B. Keller, D. Vossieck, "Sous les catégories dérivées", . R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 305 (1987), no. 6, p. 225-228.
- [15] K. Kikuta, "On entropy for autoequivalences of the derived category of curves", Adv. Math. 308 (2017), p. 699-712.
- [16] K. Kikuta, G. Ouchi, A. Takahashi, "Serre dimension and stability conditions", Math. Z. 299 (2021), no. 1, p. 997-1013.
- [17] K. Kikuta, Y. Shiraishi, A. Takahashi, "A note on entropy of auto-equivalences: lower bound and the case of orbifold projective lines", *Nagoya Math. J.* 238 (2020), p. 86-103.
- [18] K. Kikuta, A. Takahashi, "On the categorical entropy and the topological entropy", *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2019** (2019), no. 2, p. 457-469.
- [19] M. Majidi-Zolbanin, N. Miasnikov, "Entropy in the category of perfect complexes with cohomology of finite length", J. Pure Appl. Algebra 223 (2019), no. 6, p. 2585-2597.
- [20] H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*, paperback ed., Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 8, Cambridge University Press, 1989, translated from the Japanese by M. Reid.
- [21] D. Mattei, "Categorical vs topological entropy of autoequivalences of surfaces", Mosc. Math. J. 21 (2021), no. 2, p. 401-412.
- [22] A. Neeman, Triangulated categories, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 148, Princeton University Press, 2001.
- [23] D. O. Orlov, "Triangulated categories of singularities and D-branes in Landau–Ginzburg models", Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova 246 (2004), no. 3, p. 240-262, translation in Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 2004, no. 3(246), 227–248.
- [24] G. Ouchi, "Automorphisms of positive entropy on some hyperKähler manifolds via derived automorphisms of K3 surfaces", *Adv. Math.* **335** (2018), p. 1-26.
- [25] _____, "On entropy of spherical twists", Entropy 148 (2020), no. 3, p. 1003-1014, with an appendix by Arend Bayer.
- [26] J. Rickard, "Derived categories and stable equivalence", J. Pure Appl. Algebra 61 (1989), no. 3, p. 303-317.
- [27] J. D. Sally, "The Poincaré series of stretched Cohen-Macaulay rings", Can. J. Math. 32 (1980), no. 5, p. 1261-1265.
- [28] R. Takahashi, "Reconstruction from Koszul homology and applications to module and derived categories", Pac. J. Math. 268 (2014), no. 1, p. 231-248.
- [29] Y. Yoshino, Cohen–Macaulay modules over Cohen–Macaulay rings, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 146, Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [30] K. Yoshioka, "Categorical entropy for Fourier–Mukai transforms on generic abelian surfaces", J. Algebra 556 (2020), p. 448-466.