



ACADÉMIE
DES SCIENCES
INSTITUT DE FRANCE

Comptes Rendus

Mathématique

Shi-Qiang Chen, Yuchen Ding, Xiaodong Lü and Yuhan Zhang

Correct order on some certain weighted representation functions

Volume 362 (2024), p. 547-552

<https://doi.org/10.5802/crmath.573>



This article is licensed under the
CREATIVE COMMONS ATTRIBUTION 4.0 INTERNATIONAL LICENSE.
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



The Comptes Rendus. Mathématique are a member of the
Mersenne Center for open scientific publishing
www.centre-mersenne.org — e-ISSN : 1778-3569



Research article / *Article de recherche*

Combinatorics, number theory / *Combinatoire, théorie des nombres*

Correct order on some certain weighted representation functions

L'ordre correct de certaines fonctions de représentation pondérées

Shi-Qiang Chen ^a, Yuchen Ding ^{*,b}, Xiaodong Lü ^b and Yuhan Zhang ^b

^a School of Mathematics and Statistics, Anhui Normal University, Wuhu 241002, People's Republic of China

^b School of Mathematical Sciences, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou 225002, People's Republic of China

E-mails: csq20180327@163.com (S.-Q. Chen), ycding@yzu.edu.cn (Y. Ding), xdlv@yzu.edu.cn (X. Lü), Qiaoyuan0804@hotmail.com (Y. Zhang)

Abstract. Let \mathbb{N} be the set of all nonnegative integers. For any positive integer k and any subset A of nonnegative integers, let $r_{1,k}(A, n)$ be the number of solutions (a_1, a_2) to the equation $n = a_1 + ka_2$. In 2016, Qu proved that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{1,k}(A, n) = \infty$$

providing that $r_{1,k}(A, n) = r_{1,k}(\mathbb{N} \setminus A, n)$ for all sufficiently large integers, which answered affirmatively a 2012 problem of Yang and Chen. In a very recent article, another Chen (the first named author) slightly improved Qu's result and obtained that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r_{1,k}(A, n)}{\log n} > 0.$$

In this note, we further improve the lower bound on $r_{1,k}(A, n)$ by showing that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r_{1,k}(A, n)}{n} > 0.$$

Our bound reflects the correct order of magnitude of the representation function $r_{1,k}(A, n)$ under the above restrictions due to the trivial fact that $r_{1,k}(A, n) \leq n/k$.

Résumé. Soit \mathbb{N} l'ensemble de tous les entiers non négatifs. Pour tout entier positif k et tout sous-ensemble A d'entiers non négatifs, notons $r_{1,k}(A, n)$ le nombre de solutions (a_1, a_2) de l'équation $n = a_1 + ka_2$. En 2016, Qu a prouvé que

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{1,k}(A, n) = \infty$$

ce qui signifie que $r_{1,k}(A, n) = r_{1,k}(\mathbb{N} \setminus A, n)$ pour tous les entiers suffisamment grands, ce qui répondait par l'affirmative à un problème de Yang et Chen datant de 2012. Dans un article très récent, un autre Chen (le premier auteur dans notre article) a légèrement amélioré le résultat de Qu et obtenu que

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r_{1,k}(A, n)}{\log n} > 0.$$

Dans cette note, nous améliorons encore le minorant de $r_{1,k}(A, n)$ en montrant que

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r_{1,k}(A, n)}{n} > 0.$$

Notre limite reflète l'ordre de grandeur correct de la fonction de représentation $r_{1,k}(A, n)$ sous les restrictions ci-dessus en raison du fait trivial que $r_{1,k}(A, n) \leq n/k$.

*Corresponding author

Keywords. representation functions, order of functions, partitions of integers.

Mots-clés. fonctions de représentation, ordre des fonctions, partitions d'entiers.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11B34, 11A41.

Funding. The first author is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12301003), the Anhui Provincial Natural Science Foundation (Grant No. 2308085QA02) and the University Natural Science Research Project of Anhui Province (Grant No. 2022AH050171). The second author is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 12201544, Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province, China, Grant No. BK20210784, China Postdoctoral Science Foundation, Grant No. 2022M710121, the foundations of the projects “Jiangsu Provincial Double-Innovation Doctor Program”, Grant No. JSSCBS20211023 and “Golden Phoenix of the Green City–Yang Zhou” to excellent PhD, Grant No. YZLYJF2020PHD051. The fourth author is supported by Yangzhou University Science and Technology Innovation foundation Program for College Students (Grant No. XCX20230276), University Brand Major Construction Foundation Program of Jiangsu Province (Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, Grant No. PPZY2015B109).

The third author is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 12101538.

Manuscript received 29 June 2023, revised 17 August 2023, accepted 19 September 2023.

1. Introduction

Let \mathbb{N} be the set of all nonnegative integers and A a subset of \mathbb{N} . For any nonnegative integer n , let $R_1(A, n)$; $R_2(A, n)$ and $R_3(A, n)$ be the number of solutions (a, a') to the equations $n = a + a'$ with $a, a' \in A$; $a, a' \in A$, $a < a'$ and $a, a' \in A$, $a \leq a'$, respectively. For backgrounds on these representation functions $R_i(A, n)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, one can refer to an early survey article of Sárközy and Sós [11]. Following Sárközy's question, Dombi [6], Chen and Wang [5], Lev [7], Sándor [10], Tang [13], Chen and Tang [4] and Chen [3] investigated various properties on values of the representation functions $R_i(A, n)$ and $R_i(\mathbb{N} \setminus A, n)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$.

In an interesting paper, Yang and Chen [15] introduced the following weighted representation function

$$r_{k_1, k_2}(A, n) = \#\{(a_1, a_2) \in A^2 : n = k_1 a_1 + k_2 a_2\},$$

where A is a subset of \mathbb{N} and k_1, k_2 are two positive integers. They determined all pairs (k_1, k_2) of positive integers for which there exists a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$r_{k_1, k_2}(A, n) = r_{k_1, k_2}(\mathbb{N} \setminus A, n)$$

for all sufficiently large integers, which would reduce to partial answers to the original question of Sárközy mentioned above for $k_1 = k_2 = 1$ on $R_1(A, n)$. For $1 \leq k_1 < k_2$ with $(k_1, k_2) = 1$, if there exists a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$r_{k_1, k_2}(A, n) = r_{k_1, k_2}(\mathbb{N} \setminus A, n)$$

for all sufficiently large integers, then Yang and Chen proved that $k_1 = 1$. So the studies of the weighted representation function $r_{1, k}(A, n)$ would be of particular interest.

For a positive integer $k \geq 2$, let Ψ_k be the set of all $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$r_{1, k}(A, n) = r_{1, k}(\mathbb{N} \setminus A, n)$$

for sufficiently large integers n . A result of Yang [14] states that if k, ℓ are multiplicatively independent (equivalently, $\log k / \log \ell$ is irrational), then $\Psi_k \cap \Psi_\ell = \emptyset$. Qu [9] then gave a complete criteria for which $\Psi_k \cap \Psi_\ell = \emptyset$. It turns out to be that $\Psi_k \cap \Psi_\ell \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\log k / \log \ell = a/b$ for some odd positive integers a and b , which disproved a conjecture of Yang [14]. For related result, see also the article of Li and Ma [8], Shallit [1, 12]. In [15], Yang and Chen posed the following problem:

Problem 1. For any set $A \in \Psi_k$, is it true that $r_{1,k}(A, n) \geq 1$ for all sufficiently large integers n ? Is it true that $r_{1,k}(A, n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$?

Problem 1 was later answered affirmatively by Qu [9]. Very recently, Chen [2] improved Qu’s result by showing that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r_{1,k}(A, n)}{\log n} > 0$$

for any $A \in \Psi_k$. In this note, we give the following very much stronger bound.

Theorem 2. Let $k \geq 2$ be a given integer. For any set $A \in \Psi_k$, we have

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r_{1,k}(A, n)}{n} > 0.$$

Remark 3. It can be seen that our new bound is sharp on the order of magnitude of $r_{1,k}(A, n)$ in the sense that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r_{1,k}(A, n)}{n} \leq 1/k.$$

Perhaps, it should be pointed out that the original argument of Qu [9] with necessary adjustments can also lead to the bound given by Chen [2]. It is also worth mentioning that our argument here leading to the sharp bound above in Theorem 2 is different and simplified comparing with the somewhat complicated ones taken by Qu and Chen.

2. Proofs

Following Qu [9], we may write A as the following union of the “blocks”

$$A = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} [t_{2i}, t_{2i+1}),$$

where $0 \leq t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots$ is an increasing sequence of integers. The proof of our theorem is based on the following lemma of Qu [9, Lemma 2.1].

Lemma 4. Let $k \geq 2$ be a given integer. For any $A \in \Psi_k$ with

$$A = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} [t_{2i}, t_{2i+1}),$$

there exist an odd positive integer a and a nonnegative integer i_0 such that $t_{i+a} = kt_i$ for all $i \geq i_0$.

Proof of Theorem 2. By Lemma 4 for $A \in \Psi_k$ with

$$A = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} [t_{2i}, t_{2i+1}),$$

there exists an odd positive integer a such that $t_{i+a} = kt_i$ for all $i \geq i_0$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $i_0 = 0$, otherwise one can consider $\tilde{A} = A \setminus [0, t_{i_0})$ instead of A (this can be seen from the proofs below). Let $T = 4(t_{a+2} - t_0)$. Then there exists some odd integer $g \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k^g > T$.

From now on, let n be a sufficiently large number. It is clear that there are nonnegative integers m and r with $0 \leq r < (k^g + 1)$ such that

$$n = (k^g + 1)m + r.$$

We can assume that $m \in [k^s t_\ell, k^s t_{\ell+1})$ for two nonnegative integers s and ℓ with

$$0 \leq \ell \leq a - 1.$$

Recall that the integer n is assumed to be sufficiently large, it follows that both m and s are sufficiently large. We will prove that

$$r_{1,k}(A, n) \geq \frac{n}{k^5 t_a (k^g + 2)} - (k^g + 1),$$

from which it follows clearly that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r_{1,k}(A, n)}{n} > 0.$$

Since $r_{1,k}(A, n) = r_{1,k}(\mathbb{N} \setminus A, n)$ for all sufficiently large n , we can also assume, without loss of generality, that

$$[k^s t_\ell, k^s t_{\ell+1}] \subseteq A.$$

Since g is an odd integer, we make the observation that each of the following three intervals

$$[k^s t_{\ell-2}, k^s t_{\ell-1}], [k^s t_{\ell+2}, k^s t_{\ell+3}] \text{ and } [k^{s+g-1} t_\ell, k^{s+g-1} t_{\ell+1}]$$

contains in A as well, which is crucial in the following arguments. Before the continuation of the proof, we make the following notice that for brevity we write, for example,

$$k^3 t_2, k^4 t_{2-a} \text{ and } k^2 t_{2+a}$$

as the same number at different occasions. The proofs are divided into three cases:

Case I. $k^s t_\ell + k^{s-4} \leq m < k^s t_{\ell+1} - k^{s-4}$. Noting that for any $0 \leq q < k^{s-5} - r$, we have

$$n = (m + kq + r) + k(k^{g-1}m - q),$$

where both $m + kq + r$ and $k^{g-1}m - q$ belong to A since

$$m + kq + r \in [k^s t_\ell, k^s t_{\ell+1}] \text{ and } k^{g-1}m - q \in [k^{s+g-1} t_\ell, k^{s+g-1} t_{\ell+1}].$$

Thus, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} r_{1,k}(A, n) &\geq k^{s-5} - r \\ &> \frac{m}{k^5 t_{\ell+1}} - (k^g + 1) \\ &\geq \frac{n-r}{k^5 t_a (k^g + 1)} - (k^g + 1) \\ &\geq \frac{n}{k^5 t_a (k^g + 2)} - (k^g + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Case II. $k^s t_\ell \leq m < k^s t_\ell + k^{s-4}$. For any

$$k^{s-1}(t_\ell - t_{\ell-1}) + k^{s-5} + r < q \leq k^{s-1}(t_\ell - t_{\ell-2}),$$

it can be seen that

$$m - kq + r \in [k^s t_{\ell-2}, k^s t_{\ell-1}] \subseteq A$$

and

$$k^{g-1}m + q \in [k^{s+g-1} t_\ell, k^{s+g-1} t_{\ell+1}] \subseteq A,$$

where the latter inclusion relation comes from the observation that $[k^{s+g-1} t_\ell, k^{s+g-1} t_{\ell+1}]$ contains in A made previously and the facts that

$$k^{g-1}m + q < k^{g-1}(k^s t_\ell + k^{s-4}) + k^{s-1}(t_\ell - t_{\ell-2}) \leq k^{s+g-1} t_{\ell+1}$$

since $k^g > T \geq 2(t_\ell - t_{\ell-2})$. Note that

$$n = (m - kq + r) + k(k^{g-1}m + q)$$

for all these q , from which we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} r_{1,k}(A, n) &\geq k^{s-1}(t_\ell - t_{\ell-2}) - k^{s-1}(t_\ell - t_{\ell-1}) - k^{s-5} - r \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}k^{s-1} - r \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \frac{n-r}{2kt_a(k^g+1)} - (k^g+1) \\ &\geq \frac{n}{2kt_a(k^g+2)} - (k^g+1). \end{aligned}$$

Case III. $k^s t_{\ell+1} - k^{s-4} \leq m < k^s t_{\ell+1}$. It can be verified directly that

$$m + kq + r \in [k^s t_{\ell+2}, k^s t_{\ell+3}] \subseteq A$$

and

$$k^{g-1}m - q \in [k^{s+g-1}t_\ell, k^{s+g-1}t_{\ell+1}] \subseteq A,$$

for any

$$k^{s-1}(t_{\ell+2} - t_{\ell+1}) + k^{s-5} \leq q \leq k^{s-1}(t_{\ell+3} - t_{\ell+1}) - r$$

via similar arguments in **Case II**. In fact,

$$k^{g-1}m - q \geq k^{g-1}(k^s t_{\ell+1} - k^{s-4}) - k^{s-1}(t_{\ell+3} - t_{\ell+1}) \geq k^{s+g-1}t_\ell$$

since $k^g > T \geq 2(t_{\ell+3} - t_{\ell+1})$. Note that

$$n = (m + kq + r) + k(k^{g-1}m - q)$$

for all these q , from which we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} r_{1,k}(A, n) &\geq k^{s-1}(t_{\ell+3} - t_{\ell+1}) - k^{s-1}(t_{\ell+2} - t_{\ell+1}) - k^{s-5} - r \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}k^{s-1} - r \\ &\geq \frac{n-r}{2kt_a(k^g+1)} - (k^g+1) \\ &\geq \frac{n}{2kt_a(k^g+2)} - (k^g+1). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2. □

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for his helpful comments.

Declaration of interests

The authors do not work for, advise, own shares in, or receive funds from any organization that could benefit from this article, and have declared no affiliations other than their research organizations.

References

- [1] J. P. Bell and J. Shallit, “Counterexamples to a conjecture of Dombi in additive number theory”, *Acta Math. Hung.* **169** (2023), pp. 562–565.
- [2] S.-Q. Chen, “The lower bound of weighted representation function”, 2306.16025. (preprint), to appear in *Period. Math. Hungar.*
- [3] Y.-G. Chen, “On the values of representation functions”, *Sci. China, Math.* **54** (2011), no. 7, pp. 1317–1331.
- [4] Y.-G. Chen and M. Tang, “Partitions of natural numbers with the same representation functions”, *J. Number Theory* **129** (2009), pp. 2689–2695.
- [5] Y.-G. Chen and B. Wang, “On additive properties of two special sequences”, *Acta Arith.* **110** (2003), pp. 299–303.

- [6] G. Dombi, “Additive properties of certain sets”, *Acta Arith.* **103** (2002), pp. 137–146.
- [7] V. F. Lev, “Reconstructing integer sets from their representation functions”, *Electron. J. Comb.* **11** (2004), no. 1, article no. R78.
- [8] Y.-L. Li and W.-X. Ma, “Partitions of natural numbers with the same weighted representation functions”, *Colloq. Math.* **159** (2020), pp. 1–5.
- [9] Z. Qu, “A note on representation functions with different weights”, *Colloq. Math.* **143** (2016), pp. 105–112.
- [10] C. Sándor, “Partitions of natural numbers and their representation functions”, *Integers* **4** (2004), article no. A18.
- [11] A. Sárközy and V. T. Sós, “On additive representation functions”, in *The mathematics of Paul Erdős. Vol. I.* (R. L. Graham et al., ed.), Springer, 1997, pp. 129–150.
- [12] J. Shallit, “A Dombi counterexample with positive lower density”, *Integers* **23** (2023), article no. #A74.
- [13] M. Tang, “Partitions of the set of natural numbers and their representation functions”, *Discrete Math.* **308** (2008), pp. 2614–2616.
- [14] Q.-H. Yang, “Representation functions with different weights”, *Colloq. Math.* **137** (2014), pp. 1–6.
- [15] Q.-H. Yang and Y.-G. Chen, “Partitions of natural numbers with the same weighted representation functions”, *J. Number Theory* **132** (2012), pp. 3047–3055.