



INSTITUT DE FRANCE  
Académie des sciences

# *Comptes Rendus*

---

## *Mécanique*

Qigang Deng, Fugeng Zeng and Min Jiang

**A singular non-Newton filtration equation with logarithmic nonlinearity: global existence and blow-up**

Volume 350 (2022), p. 269-282

Published online: 16 June 2022

<https://doi.org/10.5802/crmeca.117>

 This article is licensed under the  
CREATIVE COMMONS ATTRIBUTION 4.0 INTERNATIONAL LICENSE.  
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



*Les Comptes Rendus. Mécanique* sont membres du  
Centre Mersenne pour l'édition scientifique ouverte  
[www.centre-mersenne.org](http://www.centre-mersenne.org)  
e-ISSN : 1873-7234



Short paper / Note

# A singular non-Newton filtration equation with logarithmic nonlinearity: global existence and blow-up

Qigang Deng<sup>⊗ a</sup>, Fugeng Zeng<sup>⊗ \*, a</sup> and Min Jiang<sup>⊗ a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Data Science and Information Engineering, Guizhou Minzu University, Guiyang 550025, PR China

E-mails: 2284979630@qq.com (Q. Deng), zengfugeng@foxmail.com (F. Zeng), minjiang0701@foxmail.com (M. Jiang)

**Abstract.** In this paper, we study the initial-boundary value problem of the singular non-Newton filtration equation with logarithmic nonlinearity. By using the concavity method, we obtain the existence of finite time blow-up solutions at initial energy  $J(u_0) \leq d$ . Furthermore, we discuss the asymptotic behavior of the weak solution and prove that the weak solution converges to the corresponding stationary solution as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ . Finally, we give sufficient conditions for global existence and blow-up of solutions at initial energy  $J(u_0) > d$ .

**Keywords.** Non-Newton filtration equation, Singular potential, Global existence, Blow-up, Logarithmic nonlinearity.

*Manuscript received 7th November 2021, revised 25th March 2022 and 23rd May 2022, accepted 24th May 2022.*

## 1. Introduction

The main purpose of this paper is to consider global existence and blow-up of solutions for the following singular non-Newton filtration equation with logarithmic nonlinearity:

$$\begin{cases} |x|^{-s} u_t - \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = |u|^{q-2} u \ln(|u|), & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ u(x, t) = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & x \in \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where the initial value  $u_0(x) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ ,  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n \geq p$ ) is a bounded domain including the origin 0 with smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ ,  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $|x| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2}$ , and the parameters satisfy

$$p \geq 2, \quad 0 \leq s \leq 2, \quad p < q < p^* = \frac{np}{n-p}. \quad (2)$$

\* Corresponding author.

With regard to physical phenomenon, the movement of a fluid with the sauce in a rigid porous medium according to some assumptions is described in [1]. Through the principle of conservation

$$a(x)u_t - \operatorname{div}(\vec{V}u) = f(u), \quad (3)$$

where  $a(x)$  is the void of medium,  $u(x, t)$  is the density of fluid,  $\vec{V}$  is the velocity of filtration of fluid and  $f(u)$  is the source. For the non-Newton fluid, we have the following  $p$ -Laplace equation  $a(x)u_t - \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) = f(u)$ . When  $a(x) = |x|^{-s}$ , we obtain the following singular non-Newton filtration equation

$$|x|^{-s}u_t - \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) = f(u). \quad (4)$$

In the past years, many researchers have paid attention to the above problem (4) (see [2–9]). When the source  $f(u)$  is a polynomial nonlinearity, Tan [2] investigated the following non-Newton filtration equation with special medium

$$\frac{u_t}{|x|^2} - \Delta_p u = u^q, \quad (5)$$

where  $p, q$  satisfies  $2 < p < n$ ,  $p - 1 < q < (np)/(n - p) - 1$ . The existence and asymptotic estimates of a global solution and the finite time blow-up of the local solution of problem were obtained (5). Subsequently, Zhou [4] considered the following multi-dimensional porous medium equation with special void

$$|x|^{-s}u_t - \Delta u^p = u^q, \quad (6)$$

where  $0 \leq s \leq 2$ ,  $1 < p < q \leq ((n + 2)p)/(n - 2)$ . A sufficient condition for the global existence of the solution and two sufficient conditions for the blow-up in finite time of the solution were given.

When the source  $f(u)$  is a logarithmic nonlinearity, Deng and Zhou [9] investigated the following semilinear heat equation with singular potential and logarithmic nonlinearity

$$|x|^{-s}u_t - \Delta u = u \ln |u| \quad (7)$$

under some appropriate initial-boundary value conditions. They made use of the Sobolev logarithmic inequality in [10] to treat the difficulties caused by the nonlinear logarithmic term. By virtue of a family of potential wells, the global existence and infinite time blow-up of the solutions were obtained. In addition, the equations with logarithmic nonlinearity are not scaling invariant, this has attracted the attention of many researchers. For more non-scaling-invariant semilinear heat equations refer to [11–13].

As we know that the global well-posedness of solution to the evolution equation strongly relies on the initial data, especially the initial energy, the energy functional  $J(u)$  and Nehari functional  $I(u)$  will be given in (8) and (9) respectively. We aim to conduct a comprehensive study in this paper on the global well-posedness of solution at subcritical and critical initial energy  $J(u_0) \leq d$ , where  $d$  is potential depth, and supercritical initial energy  $J(u_0) > 0$ . Fortunately, Liao *et al.* [14] recently considered for the first time the initial-boundary value problem of the singular non-Newton filtration equation with logarithmic nonlinearity for problem (1), and obtained a few good results at subcritical and critical initial energy  $J(u_0) \leq d$ . Their main results are as follows:

- (i) If  $J(u_0) \leq d$  and  $I(u_0) \geq 0$ , then the solution exists globally;
- (ii) if  $J(u_0) < 0$ , then the solution blows up at finite time;
- (iii) if  $J(u_0) < M$  and  $I(u_0) < 0$ , then the solution blows up at finite time, where  $M$  will be given in (10).

Their results are encouraging to us, but there are still some problems that seem to be resolved. We thought deeply about the following issues:

- (QS1) What is the property of the solution under the conditions  $M \leq J(u_0) \leq d$  and  $I(u_0) < 0$ ?
- (QS2) Whether the global solution of the problem (1) converges as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ?
- (QS3) What is the property of the solution at supercritical initial energy  $J(u) > d$ ?

In this paper, we will try our best to address the three issues discussed above. Our paper is organized as follows:

In Section 2, we introduce some preliminaries and lemmas.

In Section 3, we demonstrate our main result.

- (i) The solution  $u(t)$  of problem (1) blows up in finite time and the estimation of the upper bound of blow-up time  $T$  is obtained at subcritical initial energy  $J(u) < d$ ;
- (ii) global solution  $u(x, t)$  converges to the stationary solution of problem (1) as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ ;
- (iii) sufficient conditions for the global existence and finite blow-up of solutions are obtained at supercritical initial energy  $J(u) > d$ .

## 2. Preliminaries and lemmas

Throughout this paper, we denote the norm of  $L^p(\Omega)$  for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  by  $\|\cdot\|_p$  and the norm of  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  by  $\|\nabla(\cdot)\|_p$ . For  $u \in L^p(\Omega)$ ,

$$\|u\|_p = \begin{cases} \left( \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p}, & \text{if } 1 \leq p < \infty; \\ \text{esssup}_{x \in \Omega} |u(x)|, & \text{if } p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

And we denote by  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  the inner product in  $L^2(\Omega)$ . In this paper,  $c$  is an arbitrary positive number which may be different from line to line.

Here we give some important definitions as follows: for  $u_0 \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , we define the energy functional  $J$  and Nehari functional  $I$  as follows:

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{p} \|\nabla u\|_p^p - \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} |u|^q \ln |u| dx + \frac{1}{q^2} \|u\|_q^q, \tag{8}$$

$$I(u) = \|\nabla u\|_p^p - \int_{\Omega} |u|^q \ln |u| dx. \tag{9}$$

From (8) and (9), we obtain

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{q} I(u) + \frac{q-p}{pq} \|\nabla u\|_p^p + \frac{1}{q^2} \|u\|_q^q. \tag{10}$$

Furthermore, we define the potential depth by

$$d = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}} J(u),$$

and the Nehari manifold  $\mathcal{N} := \{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} \mid I(u) = 0\}$ . By [14], we know

$$d \geq M := \frac{q-p}{pq} r_*^p, \tag{11}$$

where  $r_* = \sup_{0 < \sigma \leq (np/(n-p)) - q} (\sigma/B_{\sigma}^{q+\sigma})^{1/(q+\sigma-p)}$  and  $B_{\sigma}$  is the optimal embedding constant of  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p+\sigma}(\Omega)$ .

The potential well  $\mathcal{W}$  and its corresponding set  $\mathcal{V}$  are defined by

$$\mathcal{W} := \{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \mid I(u) > 0, J(u) < d\} \cup \{0\} \tag{12}$$

$$\mathcal{V} := \{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \mid I(u) < 0, J(u) < d\}. \tag{13}$$

To consider the weak solution with high energy level, we need to introduce some new notations.

$$J^{\alpha} = \{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \mid J(u) < \alpha\}, \tag{14}$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{\alpha} = \mathcal{N} \cap J^{\alpha} = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{N} \mid \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \right) \|\nabla u\|_p^p + \frac{1}{q^2} \|u\|_q^q < \alpha \right\} \text{ for all } \alpha > d, \tag{15}$$

and

$$\lambda_\alpha = \inf \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |x|^{-s} |u|^2 dx \mid u \in \mathcal{N}_\alpha \right\}, \quad \Lambda_\alpha = \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |x|^{-s} |u|^2 dx \mid u \in \mathcal{N}_\alpha \right\} \quad \text{for all } \alpha > d, \quad (16)$$

where  $\lambda_\alpha$  and  $\Lambda_\alpha$  are well defined. Clearly,  $\lambda_\alpha$  and  $\Lambda_\alpha$  admit the following properties

$$\sigma \mapsto \lambda_\sigma \text{ is nonincreasing, } \quad \sigma \mapsto \Lambda_\sigma \text{ is nondecreasing.} \quad (17)$$

Next we give the definitions of the weak solution and blow-up of the problem (1) as follows.

**Definition 1 (Weak solution).**  $u = u(x, t) \in L^\infty([0, T], W_0^{1,p}(\Omega))$  with  $|x|^{-s/2} u_t \in L^2([0, T], L^2(\Omega))$ , is said to be a weak solution of problem (1) on  $\Omega \times [0, T]$ , if it satisfies the initial condition  $u(x, 0) = u_0(x)$ , and

$$(|x|^{-s} u_t, \phi) + (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u, \nabla \phi) = (|u|^{q-2} u \ln |u|, \phi) \quad (18)$$

for any  $\phi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . Moreover,

$$\int_0^t \| |x|^{-s/2} u_\tau \|_2^2 d\tau + J(u(x, t)) = J(u_0). \quad (19)$$

**Remark 2.** For the global weak solution  $u(t) = u(x, t)$  of problem (1), we define the  $\omega$ -limit set of  $u_0$  by

$$\omega(u_0) = \bigcap_{t \geq 0} \overline{\{u(s) : s \geq t\}}.$$

**Definition 3 (Maximal existence time).** Let  $u(t)$  be a weak solution of problem (1). We define the maximal existence time  $T$  of  $u(t)$  as follows

- (i) If  $u(t)$  exists for  $0 \leq t < \infty$ , then  $T = +\infty$ ;
- (ii) if there exists a  $t_0 \in (0, \infty)$  such that  $u(t)$  exists for  $0 \leq t < t_0$ , but does not exist at  $t = t_0$ , then  $T = t_0$ .

**Definition 4 (Finite time blow-up).** Let  $u(x, t)$  be a weak solution of problem (1). We say  $u(x, t)$  blows up in finite time if the maximal existence time  $T$  is finite and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow T} \|u\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 = +\infty$ .

The following lemmas will be used for our main goals.

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $\sigma$  be a positive number, then the following inequality holds*

$$\log x \leq \frac{e^{-1}}{\sigma} x^\sigma$$

for all  $x \in (0, +\infty)$ .

**Lemma 6 ([15]).** (i) *For any function  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , we have the inequality*

$$\|u\|_q \leq B_{p,q} \|\nabla u\|_p$$

for all  $q \in [1, \infty)$  if  $n \leq p$ , and  $1 \leq q \leq np/(n-p)$  if  $n > p$ . The best constant  $B_{q,p}$  depends only on  $\Omega, n, p$  and  $q$ . We will denote the constant  $B_{q,p}$  by  $B_q$ .

- (ii) *Let  $2 \leq p < q < p^*$ . For any  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  we have*

$$\|u\|_q \leq c \|\nabla u\|_p^\alpha \| |u| \|_2^{1-\alpha},$$

where  $c$  is a positive constant and  $\alpha = ((1/2) - (1/q))((1/n) - (1/p) + (1/2))^{-1}$ .

**Lemma 7.** *For any  $\alpha > d$ ,  $\lambda_\alpha$  and  $\Lambda_\alpha$  defined in (16) satisfy  $0 < \lambda_\alpha \leq \Lambda_\alpha < +\infty$ .*

**Proof.** For any  $u \in \mathcal{N}_\alpha$ , using Hardy–Sobolev inequality and Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |x|^{-s} |u|^2 dx \leq \frac{c}{2} \left( \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{2n/(n+2-s)} dx \right)^{(n+2-s)/n}. \quad (20)$$

Taking that  $2n/(n+2-s) \leq p$  and  $p \geq 2$ , then

$$\frac{c}{2} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{2n/(n+2-s)} dx \right)^{(n+2-s)/n} \leq \frac{c}{2} |\Omega|^{(2n/(n+2-s)-(2/p))} \|\nabla u\|_p^2.$$

From (15), we get

$$\frac{c}{2} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{2n/(n+2-s)} dx \right)^{(n+2-s)/n} \leq \frac{c}{2} |\Omega|^{(2n/(n+2-s)-(2/p))} \left( \frac{\alpha p q}{q-p} \right)^{2/p} < +\infty. \tag{21}$$

From (20) and (21), we have  $\Lambda_{\alpha} = \sup_{u \in N_{\alpha}} (1/2) \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-s} |u|^2 dx < +\infty$ .

On the other hand, since  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , there exists a positive constant  $\rho$  such that

$$|x| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2} \leq \rho, \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}, \tag{22}$$

then we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-s} |u|^2 dx \geq \rho^{-s} \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx = \rho^{-s} \|u\|_2^2. \tag{23}$$

We apply Lemmas 5 and 6 again to show that

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^q \ln |u| dx \leq c \|u\|_{q+\sigma}^{q+\sigma} \leq c \|\nabla u\|_p^{\alpha(q+\sigma)} \|u\|_2^{(1-\alpha)(q+\sigma)}, \tag{24}$$

where  $\sigma > 0$  is suitably small such that  $q + \sigma < p^* = np/(n-p)$  and  $p - \alpha(q + \sigma) > 0$ ,  $\alpha = ((1/2) - (1/(q + \sigma)))(1/n - (1/p) + (1/2)) \in (0, 1)$ .

Therefore, for any  $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}(\alpha > d)$ , we obtain from (15) that

$$\|\nabla u\|_p^p = \int_{\Omega} u^q \ln |u| dx \leq c \|\nabla u\|_p^{\alpha(q+\sigma)} \|u\|_2^{(1-\alpha)(q+\sigma)},$$

which yields that

$$\|\nabla u\|_p^{p-\alpha(q+\sigma)} \leq c \|u\|_2^{(1-\alpha)(q+\sigma)}. \tag{25}$$

From Lemmas 5 and 6, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^q \ln |u| dx \leq c \|u\|_{q+\sigma}^{q+\sigma} \leq c \|\nabla u\|_p^{(q+\sigma)}. \tag{26}$$

For any  $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}$  and  $q > p$ , then  $\|\nabla u\|_p^p = \int_{\Omega} u^q \ln |u| dx \leq c \|\nabla u\|_p^{q+\sigma}$ , i.e.,  $\|\nabla u\|_p \geq c$ . By virtue of (23) and (25),  $\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-s} |u|^2 dx > 0$ . Then we have  $\lambda_{\alpha} = \inf_{u \in N_{\alpha}} (1/2) \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-s} |u|^2 dx > 0$ . Finally, by the definition of  $\lambda_{\alpha}$  and  $\Lambda_{\alpha}$ , it is easy to see that  $\lambda_{\alpha} \leq \Lambda_{\alpha}$ , so Lemma 7 is proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 8.** For any  $u \in \mathcal{N}_+$ , we have  $J(u_0) > 0$ . Furthermore, for any  $\alpha > 0$  and  $u \in J^{\alpha} \cap \mathcal{N}_+$ , it holds that

$$\|\nabla u\|_p \leq \left( \frac{pq}{q-p} \alpha \right)^{1/p}.$$

**Proof.** By the definition of  $\mathcal{N}_+$ , we have  $I(u) > 0$ , i.e.,  $\|\nabla u\|_p^p > \int_{\Omega} |u|^q \ln |u| dx$ . Since  $p < q$ , we get  $1/p \|\nabla u\|_p^p > (1/q) \int_{\Omega} |u|^q \ln |u| dx$ . Then it follows from the definition of  $J(u)$  that  $J(u) > 0$ .

On the other hand, for any  $u \in J^{\alpha} \cap \mathcal{N}_+$ , i.e.  $J(u) < \alpha$  and  $I(u) > 0$ , we have  $\alpha > J(u) = (1/q)I(u) + (q-p)/pq \|u\|_p^p + 1/q^2 \|u\|_q^q > (q-p)/pq \|\nabla u\|_p^p$ , i.e.,  $\|\nabla u\|_p \leq ((pq/(q-p))\alpha)^{1/p}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 9 ([14]).** Let (2) hold and  $u_0(x) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . Assume that  $u$  is a weak solution of problem (1) in  $\Omega \times [0, T)$ .

- (i) If  $J(u_0) < d$  and  $I(u_0) > 0$ , then  $u(t) \in \mathcal{W}$  for  $0 \leq t < T$ ;
- (ii) if  $J(u_0) < d$  and  $I(u_0) < 0$ , then  $u(t) \in \mathcal{V}$  for  $0 \leq t < T$ .

**Lemma 10 ([14]).** Let  $u$  be a weak solution of problem (1). Then for all  $t \in [0, T)$ ,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \| |x|^{-s/2} u \|_2^2 = -2I(u).$$

**Lemma 11 ([16]).** *Let (2) hold and  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  satisfy  $I(u) < 0$ , then there exists a  $\lambda^* \in (0, 1)$  such that  $I(\lambda^* u) = 0$ .*

**Lemma 12 ([17]).** *Suppose that  $0 < T \leq \infty$  and suppose a non-negative function  $F(t) \in C[0, T]$  satisfy*

$$F''(t)F(t) - (1 + \gamma)(F'(t))^2 \geq 0$$

for some constant  $\gamma > 0$ . If  $F(0) > 0, F'(0) > 0$ , then

$$T \leq \frac{F(0)}{\gamma F'(0)} < \infty$$

and  $F(t) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $t \rightarrow T$ .

### 3. Main results

**Theorem 13.** *Let (2) hold. If  $J(u_0) \leq d$ , and  $u$  is a weak solution to problem (1), then  $u$  blows up at finite time  $T$  with*

$$T \leq \frac{4(q-1)\| |x|^{-s/2} u_0 \|_2^2}{q(d - J(u_0))(q-2)^2}.$$

**Proof.** Step 1: Blow-up in finite time

For  $J(u_0) \leq d$ , we are going to discuss two cases.

Case 1.  $J(u_0) < d, I(u_0) < 0$ . By contradiction, we supposed that  $u$  is global weak solution of problem (1) with  $I(u_0) < 0, J(u_0) < d$ , then  $T_{\max} = +\infty$ . First, we define

$$G(t) = \int_0^t \| |x|^{-s/2} u(\tau) \|_2^2 d\tau, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0. \tag{27}$$

Through a direct calculation, we have

$$G'(t) - G'(0) = \| |x|^{-s/2} u \|_2^2 - \| |x|^{-s/2} u_0 \|_2^2 = 2 \int_0^t (|x|^{-s/2} u_\tau, |x|^{-s/2} u) d\tau \tag{28}$$

and

$$G''(t) = (|x|^{-s/2} u_t, |x|^{-s/2} u) = -2I(u). \tag{29}$$

It follows from (10) and (19) that

$$\begin{aligned} G''(t) = -2I(u) &= -2qJ(u) + \frac{2}{q} \|u\|_q^q + \left(\frac{2q}{p} - 2\right) \|\nabla u\|_p^p \\ &\geq -2qJ(u_0) + 2q \int_0^t \| |x|^{-s/2} u_\tau \|_2^2 d\tau + \frac{2}{q} \|u\|_q^q + \left(\frac{2q}{p} - 2\right) \|\nabla u\|_p^p. \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

From the Lemmas 9 and 11, we get  $I(u(t)) < 0, t \geq 0$ , then there exists a  $\lambda^* \in (0, 1)$  such that  $I(\lambda^* u) = 0$ . Therefore, by the definition of  $d$ , it follows that

$$\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right) \|\nabla u\|_p^p + \frac{1}{q^2} \|u\|_q^q \geq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right) \lambda_*^p \|\nabla u\|_p^p + \frac{1}{q^2} \lambda_*^q \|u\|_q^q = J(\lambda_* u) \geq d. \tag{31}$$

Combining (30) and (31), we have

$$G''(t) \geq 2q \int_0^t \| |x|^{-s/2} u_\tau \|_2^2 d\tau + 2q(d - J(u_0)). \tag{32}$$

By (29) and  $I(u) < 0$ , then  $G''(t) = -2I(u) > 0$ , so

$$G'(t) > G'(0) = \| |x|^{-s/2} u_0 \|_2^2 > 0, \quad \text{for all } t > 0. \tag{33}$$

From (28) and Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{4} (G'(t) - G'(0))^2 \leq \int_0^t \| |x|^{-s/2} u \|_2^2 d\tau \int_0^t \| |x|^{-s/2} u_\tau \|_2^2 d\tau. \tag{34}$$

Combining (27), (32) and (34), we get

$$\begin{aligned} G(t)G''(t) &\geq 2q \int_0^t \| |x|^{-s/2} u \|^2_2 d\tau \int_0^t \| |x|^{-s/2} u_\tau \|^2_2 d\tau + 2q(d - J(u_0))G(t) \\ &\geq \frac{q}{2}(G'(t) - G'(0))^2 + 2q(d - J(u_0))G(t). \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

By (33), we get

$$G(t) \geq G(t_0) \geq \| |x|^{-s/2} u_0 \|^2_2 t_0 > 0, \quad \text{for all } t \geq t_0. \tag{36}$$

Furthermore, combining (35), (36) and  $J(u_0) < d$ , we have

$$G(t)G''(t) - \frac{q}{2}(G'(t) - G'(0))^2 \geq 2q(d - J(u_0))\| |x|^{-s/2} u_0 \|^2_2 t_0 > 0, \quad \text{for all } t \geq t_0. \tag{37}$$

Next, we define  $F(t) = G(t) + (T - t)\| |x|^{-s/2} u_0 \|^2_2$ , for all  $t \in [0, T]$ , then

$$F(t) \geq G(t) > 0, \quad F'(t) = G'(t) - G'(0) \quad \text{and} \quad F''(t) = G''(t) > 0, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T]. \tag{38}$$

By (37) and (38), we get

$$F(t)F''(t) - \frac{q}{2}(F'(t))^2 \geq 2q(d - J(u_0))\| |x|^{-s/2} u_0 \|^2_2 t_0 > 0, \quad \text{for all } t \in [t_0, T]. \tag{39}$$

Let  $y(t) = F(t)^{-(q-2)/2}$ , then

$$y'(t) = -\frac{q-2}{2}(F(t))^{-q/2}F'(t) \quad \text{and} \quad y''(t) = -\frac{q-2}{2}F^{-(q+2)/2}\left(F(t)F''(t) - \frac{q}{2}(F'(t))^2\right). \tag{40}$$

By (33), (38) and (39), we get  $y''(t) < 0$ ,  $t \in [t_0, T]$ . Since  $y(t_0) > 0$ ,  $y'(t_0) < 0$ , then  $T_* \in [0, T]$  exists such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow T_*^-} y(t) = 0$  if we choose  $T$  sufficiently large. Consequently, we obtain  $\lim_{t \rightarrow T_*^-} \| |x|^{-s/2} u \|^2_2 = +\infty$ .

Case 2.  $J(u_0) = d, I(u_0) < 0$ . From continuities of  $J(u)$  and  $I(u)$  with respect to  $t$ , we know that there exists a sufficiently small  $t_1 \in (0, +\infty)$  such that  $J(u(t)) > 0$  and  $I(u(t)) < 0$  for  $t \in [0, t_1]$ . By  $(|x|^{-s} u_t, u) = -I(u)$ , we have  $(|x|^{-s} u_t, u) > 0$  and  $\| |x|^{-s/2} u_t \|^2_2 > 0$  for  $t \in [0, t_1]$ . From (19), we have  $0 < J(u(t_1)) \leq d - \int_0^{t_1} \| |x|^{-s/2} u_\tau \|^2_2 d\tau < d$ . Thus, we take  $t_1$  as the initial time, then the remaining proof is similar to the proof of Case 1.

Step 2: Upper bound estimation of the blow-up time.

We next give an upper bound estimation of  $T$ . Suppose  $u(t)$  be a solution of problem (1) with initial value  $u_0$  satisfying  $I(u_0) < 0$  and  $J(u_0) < d$ . From the Lemma 9, we get  $u(t) \in \mathcal{V}$ ,  $\forall t \in [0, T]$ , i.e.,  $I(u(t)) < 0$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ . We define a functional as follows:

$$H(t) = \int_0^t \| |x|^{-s/2} u \|^2_2 dt + (T - t)\| |x|^{-s/2} u_0 \|^2_2 + \beta(t + \gamma)^2, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T]. \tag{41}$$

By  $d/dt \| |x|^{-s/2} u \|^2_2 = -2I(u(t)) < 0$ , for all  $t \in [0, T]$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} H'(t) &= \| |x|^{-s/2} u \|^2_2 - \| |x|^{-s/2} u_0 \|^2_2 + 2\beta(t + \gamma) \\ &\geq 2\beta(t + \gamma) > 0, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T] \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

and

$$H(t) \geq H(0) = T\| |x|^{-s/2} u_0 \|^2_2 + \beta\gamma^2, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T]. \tag{43}$$

Combining (32) and Lemma 10, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H''(t) &= -2I(u(t)) + 2\beta > 2q(d - J(u(t))) + 2\beta \\ &= 2q(d - J(u_0)) + 2q \int_0^t \| |x|^{-s/2} u_\tau \|^2_2 d\tau + 2\beta, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$



By Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{d}{dt} \| |x|^{-s/2} u(\tau) \|_2^2 d\tau &= \int_0^t (|x|^{-s/2} u_\tau, |x|^{-s/2} u) d\tau \\ &\leq \int_0^t \| |x|^{-s} u_\tau \|_2 \| |x|^{-s} u \|_2 d\tau \\ &\leq \left( \int_0^t \| |x|^{-s} u_\tau \|_2^2 d\tau \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_0^t \| |x|^{-s} u \|_2^2 d\tau \right)^{1/2}, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} &(H(t) - (T - t) \| |x|^{-s/2} u_0 \|_2^2) \left( \int_0^t \| |x|^{-s/2} u_\tau \|_2^2 d\tau + \beta \right) \\ &= \left( \int_0^t \| |x|^{-s/2} u \|_2^2 d\tau + \beta(t + \gamma)^2 \right) \left( \int_0^t \| |x|^{-s/2} u_\tau \|_2^2 d\tau + \beta \right) \\ &= \int_0^t \| |x|^{-s/2} u \|_2^2 d\tau \int_0^t \| |x|^{-s/2} u_\tau \|_2^2 d\tau \\ &\quad + \beta \int_0^t \| |x|^{-s/2} u \|_2^2 d\tau + \beta(t + r)^2 \int_0^t \| |x|^{-s/2} u_\tau \|_2^2 d\tau + \beta^2(t + \gamma)^2 \\ &\geq \int_0^t \| |x|^{-s/2} u \|_2^2 d\tau \int_0^t \| |x|^{-s/2} u_\tau \|_2^2 d\tau \\ &\quad + 2\beta(t + r) \left( \int_0^t \| |x|^{-s/2} u \|_2^2 d\tau \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_0^t \| |x|^{-s/2} u_\tau \|_2^2 d\tau \right)^{1/2} + \beta^2(t + \gamma)^2 \\ &= \left[ \left( \int_0^t \| |x|^{-s/2} u \|_2^2 d\tau \int_0^t \| |x|^{-s/2} u_\tau \|_2^2 d\tau \right)^{1/2} + \beta(t + \gamma) \right]^2 \\ &\geq \left[ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \| |x|^{-s/2} u \|_2^2 d\tau + \beta(t + \gamma) \right]^2, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

By (42) and (46), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (H'(t))^2 &= 4 \left( \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{d}{dt} \| |x|^{-s/2} u(\tau) \|_2^2 d\tau + \beta(t + r) \right)^2 \\ &\leq 4H(t) \left( \int_0^t \| |x|^{-s/2} u_\tau \|_2^2 d\tau + \beta \right), \quad t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

Combining (43), (44) and (47), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &H(t)H''(t) - \frac{q}{2}(H'(t))^2 \\ &> H(t) \left[ 2q(d - J(u_0)) + 2q \int_0^t \| |x|^{-s/2} u_\tau \|_2 d\tau + 2\beta - 2q \int_0^t \| |x|^{-s/2} u_\tau \|_2 d\tau - 2q\beta \right] \\ &= H(t)[2q(d - J(u_0)) - 2(q - 1)\beta] = H(t)[2q(d - J(u_0)) - 2(q - 1)\beta]. \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

Restricting  $\beta$  to satisfy

$$0 < \beta \leq \frac{q(d - J(u_0))}{q - 1}, \tag{49}$$

then  $H(t)H''(t) - (q/2)(H'(t))^2 > 0, t \in [0, T]$ . From Lemma 11, we get

$$T \leq \frac{H(0)}{\left(\frac{q}{2} - 1\right)H'(0)} = \frac{T \| |x|^{-s/2} u_0 \|_2^2 + \beta\gamma^2}{\left(\frac{q}{2} - 1\right)2\beta\gamma} = \frac{1}{q - 2} \left( \gamma + \frac{\| |x|^{-s/2} u_0 \|_2^2}{\beta\gamma} T \right). \tag{50}$$

Then

$$T \leq \frac{\beta\gamma^2}{(q - 2)\beta\gamma - \| |x|^{-s/2} u_0 \|_2^2}, \quad \gamma \in \left( \frac{\| |x|^{-s/2} u_0 \|_2^2}{(q - 2)\beta}, +\infty \right). \tag{51}$$

Let

$$\omega(\beta, \gamma) = \frac{\beta\gamma^2}{(q-2)\beta\gamma - \| |x|^{-s/2} u_0 \|_2^2}, \tag{52}$$

then

$$T \leq \min_{(\beta, \gamma) \in \Theta} \omega(\beta, \gamma), \quad \Theta = \{(\beta, \gamma) : \beta, \gamma \text{ satisfy (49) and (51), respectively}\}. \tag{53}$$

Let  $\alpha = \gamma\beta$ , we have

$$\alpha > \frac{\| |x|^{-s/2} u_0 \|_2^2}{(q-2)}, \quad \gamma \geq \frac{(q-1)\alpha}{q(d - J(u_0))} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega(\alpha, \gamma) = \frac{\alpha\gamma}{(q-2)\alpha - \| |x|^{-s/2} u_0 \|_2^2}. \tag{54}$$

It is easy to find that  $\omega(\alpha, \gamma)$  is increasing with  $\gamma$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} T &\leq \inf_{\alpha > (\| |x|^{-s/2} u_0 \|_2^2)/(q-2)} \omega\left(\alpha, \frac{(q-1)\alpha}{q(d - J(u_0))}\right) \\ &= \inf_{\alpha > (\| |x|^{-s/2} u_0 \|_2^2)/(q-2)} \frac{(q-1)\alpha^2}{q(d - J(u_0))[(q-2)\alpha - \| |x|^{-s/2} u_0 \|_2^2]} \\ &= \frac{(q-1)\alpha^2}{q(d - J(u_0))[(q-2)\alpha - \| |x|^{-s/2} u_0 \|_2^2]} \Big|_{\alpha = (2\| |x|^{-s/2} u_0 \|_2^2)/(q-2)} \\ &= \frac{4(q-1)\| |x|^{-s/2} u_0 \|_2^2}{q(d - J(u_0))(q-2)^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

The proof of Theorem 13 is complete. □

**Theorem 14 (Stationary solution).** *If the global solution  $u(x, t)$  of problem (1) is uniformly bounded with respect to time in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , then  $u(x, t)$  converges to the stationary solution of problem (1) as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ .*

**Proof.** We choose a monotone increasing sequence  $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$  such that  $t_n \rightarrow +\infty$  ( $n \rightarrow +\infty$ ), and let  $u_n = u(t_n)$ . Since the sequence  $\{u(t_n)\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$  is uniformly bounded in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , there exists a subsequence of  $\{u(t_n)\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$  which is still denoted by  $\{u(t_n)\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$  and a function  $\omega$  such that

$$u_n \rightharpoonup w \text{ weakly in } W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad u_n \rightarrow w \text{ a.e. in } \Omega. \tag{56}$$

Next we will introduce some suitable test functions. For  $\tilde{T} < +\infty$ , we take two functions  $\varphi$  and  $\rho$  fulfilling

$$\varphi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega), \quad \rho \in C_0(0, \tilde{T}), \quad \rho \geq 0, \quad \int_0^{\tilde{T}} \rho(s) ds = 1,$$

and let

$$\phi(x, t) := \begin{cases} \rho(t - t_n)\varphi(x), & (x, t) \in \bar{\Omega} \times (t_n, +\infty) \\ 0, & (x, t) \in \bar{\Omega} \times [0, t_n]. \end{cases} \tag{57}$$

In (18), integrating it over  $(t_n, t_n + \tilde{T})$  with respect to  $t$ , we get

$$\int_{t_n}^{\tilde{T}+t_n} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-s} u_t \phi dx dt + \int_{t_n}^{\tilde{T}+t_n} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi dx dt = \int_{t_n}^{\tilde{T}+t_n} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q-2} u \ln |u| \phi dx dt. \tag{58}$$

By integrating the first term on the left in (30) by parts and  $\rho \in C_0^1(0, \tilde{T})$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_n}^{\tilde{T}+t_n} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-s} u_t \phi \, dx \, dt &= \int_{\Omega} \int_{t_n}^{\tilde{T}+t_n} |x|^{-s} u_t \rho(t-t_n) \cdot \phi \, dt \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-s} u \rho(t-t_n) \phi \Big|_{t_n}^{\tilde{T}+t_n} \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \int_{t_n}^{t_n+\tilde{T}} |x|^{-s} u \rho'(t-t_n) \phi \, dt \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-s} u \rho(\tilde{T}) \phi - |x|^{-s} u \rho(0) \phi \, dx - \int_{t_n}^{t_n+\tilde{T}} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-s} u \rho'(t-t_n) \phi \, dx \, dt. \\ &= - \int_{t_n}^{t_n+\tilde{T}} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-s} u \rho'(t-t_n) \phi \, dx \, dt. \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

Hence, by (58) and (59)

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{t_n}^{t_n+\tilde{T}} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-s} u \rho'(t-t_n) \phi \, dx \, dt - \int_{t_n}^{t_n+\tilde{T}} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \rho(t-t_n) \nabla \phi \, dx \, dt \\ &\quad + \int_{t_n}^{t_n+\tilde{T}} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q-2} u \ln |u| \rho(t-t_n) \phi \, dx \, dt = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

In (60), taking  $t = t_n + \tilde{s}$ , then we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{\tilde{T}} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-s} u(t_n + \tilde{s}) \rho'(\tilde{s}) \phi \, dx \, d\tilde{s} - \int_0^{\tilde{T}} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(t_n + \tilde{s})|^{p-2} \nabla u(t_n + \tilde{s}) \rho(\tilde{s}) \nabla \phi \, dx \, d\tilde{s} \\ &\quad + \int_0^{\tilde{T}} \int_{\Omega} |u(t_n + \tilde{s})|^{q-2} u(t_n + \tilde{s}) \ln |u(t_n + \tilde{s})| \rho(\tilde{s}) \phi \, dx \, d\tilde{s} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

By virtue of (2), we know the embedding  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega)$  is compact and that  $\{u(t_n + \tilde{s})\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$  is uniformly bounded in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , hence there exists a subsequence of  $\{u(t_n + \tilde{s})\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$  which is still denoted by  $\{u(t_n + \tilde{s})\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$  and  $\bar{w} \in L^2(\Omega)$  such that

$$u(t_n + \tilde{s}) \rightarrow \bar{w} \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega), \quad u(t_n) \rightarrow w \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega). \tag{62}$$

Next, we claim that  $\bar{w} = \omega$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ . In fact, we know that the solution is global, by Lemma 8 we know that  $J(u(t)) > 0$  for all  $t \in [0, \infty)$ , then by (19) we have

$$\int_0^t \| |x|^{-s/2} u_{\tau} \|_2^2 \, d\tau \leq J(u_0) < +\infty,$$

which implies

$$\int_{t_n}^{t_n+\tilde{T}} \| |x|^{-s/2} u_{\tau} \|_2^2 \, d\tau \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty. \tag{63}$$

By Hölder's inequality and (63), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho^{-s} \int_{\Omega} |u(t_n + \tilde{s}) - u(t_n)|^2 \, dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-s} |u(t_n + \tilde{s}) - u(t_n)|^2 \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-s} \left( \int_{t_n}^{t_n+\tilde{s}} (u(x, t))_t \, dt \right)^2 \, dx \leq \tilde{s} \int_{t_n}^{t_n+\tilde{s}} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-s} [(u(x, t))_t]^2 \, dx \, dt \\ &\leq \tilde{T} \int_{t_n}^{t_n+\tilde{T}} \| |x|^{-s/2} u_{\tau} \|_2^2 \, d\tau \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

Thus  $\tilde{w} = w$  a.e. in  $\Omega$  for any fixed  $\tilde{T} < \infty$  and  $\tilde{s} \in [0, \tilde{T}]$ .

By (61), let  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , it follows from the dominated convergence theorem, (56) and (62) that

$$\int_0^{\tilde{T}} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-s} \omega \rho'(\tilde{s}) \phi \, dx \, d\tilde{s} - \int_0^{\tilde{T}} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \omega|^{p-2} \nabla \omega \rho(\tilde{s}) \nabla \phi \, dx \, d\tilde{s} + \int_0^{\tilde{T}} \int_{\Omega} |\omega|^{q-2} \omega \ln |\omega| \rho(\tilde{s}) \phi \, dx \, d\tilde{s} = 0. \tag{65}$$

By integrating the first term on the left by parts and  $\rho \in C_0^1(0, \tilde{T})$ , we get

$$\int_0^{\tilde{T}} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-s} \omega \rho'(\tilde{s}) \varphi \, dx \, d\tilde{s} = \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-s} \omega [\rho(\tilde{T}) - \rho(0)] \varphi \, dx = 0. \tag{66}$$

Then, we have

$$\int_0^{\tilde{T}} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \omega|^{p-2} \nabla \omega \rho(\tilde{s}) \nabla \varphi \, dx \, d\tilde{s} - \int_0^{\tilde{T}} \int_{\Omega} |\omega|^{q-2} \omega \ln |\omega| \rho(\tilde{s}) \varphi \, dx \, d\tilde{s} = 0.$$

By  $\int_0^{\tilde{T}} \rho(\tilde{s}) \, d\tilde{s} = 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} |w|^{q-2} \omega \ln(|w|) \varphi - |\nabla w|^{p-2} \nabla w \nabla \varphi \, dx \\ &= \int_0^{\tilde{T}} \rho(\tilde{s}) \, d\tilde{s} \int_{\Omega} |w|^{q-2} \omega \ln(|w|) \varphi - |\nabla w|^{p-2} \nabla w \nabla \varphi \, dx \\ &= \int_0^{\tilde{T}} \int_{\Omega} \rho(\tilde{s}) |w|^{q-2} \omega \ln(|w|) \varphi - \rho(\tilde{s}) |\nabla w|^{p-2} \nabla w \nabla \varphi \, dx \, d\tilde{s} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies  $\omega$  is a stationary solution of problem (1).

The proof of Theorem 14 is complete. □

**Theorem 15.** *For any  $\alpha \in (d, +\infty)$ , the following conclusions hold.*

- (i) *If  $u_0 \in \Phi_{\alpha}$ , then the solution of problem (1) exists globally and  $u(t) \rightarrow 0$ , as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ;*
- (ii) *if  $u_0 \in \Psi_{\alpha}$ , then the solution of problem (1) blows up in finite or infinite time, where*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\alpha} &= \mathcal{N}_+ \cap \left\{ u(t) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \left| \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-s} |u(t)|^2 \, dx < \lambda_{\alpha}, d < J(u(t)) \leq \alpha \right. \right\}, \\ \Psi_{\alpha} &= \mathcal{N}_- \cap \left\{ u(t) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \left| \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-s} |u(t)|^2 \, dx > \Lambda_{\alpha}, d < J(u(t)) \leq \alpha \right. \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

$\lambda_{\alpha}$  and  $\Lambda_{\alpha}$  are two constants defined.

**Proof.** (i) Assume that  $u_0 \in \Phi_{\alpha}$ , then by the definition of  $\Phi_{\alpha}$  and the monotonicity property of  $\lambda_{\alpha}$ , we have  $d < J(u_0) \leq \alpha$ ,  $u_0 \in \mathcal{N}_+$  and

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-s} |u_0|^2 \, dx < \lambda_{\alpha} \leq \lambda_{J(u_0)}. \tag{67}$$

We first claim that  $u(t) \in \mathcal{N}_+$  for all  $t \in [0, T)$ . If not, there would exist a  $t_0 \in (0, T)$  such that  $u(t) \in \mathcal{N}_+$  for  $t \in [0, t_0)$  and  $u(t_0) \in \mathcal{N}$ . By Lemma 10, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-s} |u(t)|^2 \, dx \right) = -I(u(t)). \tag{68}$$

Then by the definition of  $\mathcal{N}_+$  and (68), we know that  $\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-s} |u(t)|^2 \, dx$  is strictly decreasing on  $[0, t_0)$ . On the other hand, from (19), we know that  $J(u(t))$  is non-increasing with respect to  $t$ . Thus, we get

$$J(u(t)) \leq J(u_0) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T). \tag{69}$$

From (67), we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-s} |u(t_0)|^2 \, dx < \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-s} |u_0|^2 \, dx < \lambda_{J(u_0)}. \tag{70}$$

By  $u(t_0) \in \mathcal{N}$  and (69), we get  $u(t_0) \in \mathcal{N}_{J(u_0)}$ . According to the definition of  $\lambda_{J(u_0)}$ , we have

$$\lambda_{J(u_0)} = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_{J(u_0)}} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-s} |u|^2 \, dx \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-s} |u(t_0)|^2 \, dx,$$

which contradicts (70) and the claim is proved. Therefore, we have  $u \in \mathcal{N}_+$  for all  $t \in [0, T)$  and  $u(t) \in J^{J(u_0)}$ , i.e.,  $u \in J^{J(u_0)} \cap \mathcal{N}_+$  for all  $t \in [0, T)$ . By Lemma 8, we get

$$\|\nabla u\|_p < \left(\frac{pq}{q-p} J(u_0)\right)^{1/p}, \quad \forall t \in [0, T). \tag{71}$$

Since the right-hand of (71) is dependent on  $T$ , then we can extend the solution to infinity, i.e.,  $T = +\infty$ . It indicates that  $u(t)$  is bounded uniformly in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . Hence,  $\omega$ -limit set is not an empty set.

Next, for any  $\omega \in \omega(u_0)$ , by the above discussions, we get

$$J(\omega) \leq J(u_0) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-s} |\omega|^2 \, dx < \lambda J(u_0).$$

According to the first inequality, this shows  $\omega \in J^{J(u_0)}$ . According to the second inequality and the definition of  $\lambda_{J(u_0)}$ , we know that  $\omega \notin \mathcal{N}_{J(u_0)}$ . Since  $\mathcal{N}_{J(u_0)} = \mathcal{N} \cap J^{J(u_0)}$ , we obtain  $\omega \notin \mathcal{N}$ .

Finally, we prove that

$$\omega(u_0) = \{0\}. \tag{72}$$

With  $u(t) \in \mathcal{N}_+$ , we know  $J(u_0) > 0$  for all  $t \in [0, \infty)$ . So  $J(u(t))$  is bounded below, and there exists a non-negative constant  $c$  such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} J(u(t)) = c$ . Now, selecting any  $\omega \in \omega(u_0)$ , we have  $J(u_{\omega}(t)) = c$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , where  $u_{\omega}(t)$  is the solution of problem (1) with initial value  $\omega$ . Choosing  $u = u_{\omega}$  into (19), we obtain  $\int_0^t \| |x|^{-s/2} u_{\tau} \|^2 \, d\tau = 0, 0 \leq t < +\infty$ . It implies that  $u_{\omega}(t) \equiv \omega$ . From (68), we have  $(d/dt)((1/2) \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-s} |u_{\omega}|^2 \, dx) = -I(u_{\omega}(t)) = 0$ , then

$$I(\omega) = 0, \quad \forall \omega \in \omega(u_0). \tag{73}$$

Combining (73),  $\omega \notin \mathcal{N}$  and the definition of  $\mathcal{N}$ , we know  $\omega = 0$ . Thus, (72) holds and implies that the solution  $u(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ .

(ii) If  $u_0 \in \Psi_{\alpha}$ , by the definition of  $\Psi_{\alpha}$ , it is clear that  $u_0 \in \mathcal{N}_-$  and  $d < J(u_0) \leq \alpha$ . This is combined with the monotonicity of  $\Lambda_{\alpha}$ , then

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-s} |u_0|^2 \, dx > \Lambda_{\alpha} \geq \Lambda_{J(u_0)}. \tag{74}$$

We claim that  $u(t) \in \mathcal{N}_-$  for all  $t \in [0, T)$ . If not, there would exist a  $t_1 \in (0, T)$  such that  $u(t) \in \mathcal{N}_-$  for  $0 \leq t < t_1$  and  $u(t_1) \in \mathcal{N}$ . By Lemma 10, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-s} |u(t)|^2 \, dx \right) = -I(u(t)). \tag{75}$$

Thus  $(d/dt)((1/2) \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-s} |u(t)|^2 \, dx) = -I(u(t)) > 0$  for  $0 \leq t < t_1$ . Then by the definition of  $\mathcal{N}_-$ , we deduce that  $(1/2) \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-s} |u(t)|^2 \, dx$  is strictly increasing on  $[0, t_1)$ . This along with (75) yields

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-s} |u(t_1)|^2 \, dx > \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-s} |u_0|^2 \, dx > \Lambda_{J(u_0)}, \quad J(u(t_1)) \leq J(u_0). \tag{76}$$

By (15),  $u(t_1) \in \mathcal{N}_{J(u_0)}$ . Thus, it follows from the definition of  $\Lambda_{J(u_0)}$  that

$$\Lambda_{J(u_0)} = \sup_{u \in \mathcal{N}_{J(u_0)}} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-s} |u|^2 \, dx \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-s} |u(t_1)|^2 \, dx,$$

which is incompatible with (76), so we get  $u(t) \in \mathcal{N}_-$ .

Next, we assume that  $u(t)$  exists globally, i.e.,  $T = +\infty$ , then  $u(t) \in J^{J(u_0)} \cap \mathcal{N}_-, \forall t \in [0, +\infty)$  and  $(1/2) \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-s} |u(t)|^2 \, dx$  is strictly increasing on  $[0, +\infty)$ . Furthermore more, we claim that  $u(t)$  is uniformly bounded in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , i.e., there exists a positive constant  $M$  such that

$$\|\nabla u(t)\|_p^p \leq M, \quad \forall t \in [0, +\infty).$$

Indeed, if this is false, then there must exist a monotone increasing sequence  $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$  such that

$$\|\nabla u(t)\|_p^p > n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \tag{77}$$

Then it follows from the monotone property of  $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$ , that  $t_n \rightarrow +\infty (n \rightarrow +\infty)$  or there exists  $t^* \in (0, +\infty)$  such that  $t_n \rightarrow t^*$ . If the first case happens, then by (77), we know  $u(t)$  blows up at infinite time. On the other hand, we know  $u(t)$  exists globally, which contradicts the conclusion that blowup exists at infinite time. If the last case happens, then by (77), we know  $u(t_0)$  blows up at finite time. It contradicts the assumption that  $u(t)$  exists globally. Thus  $u(t)$  is uniformly bounded in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , i.e.,  $w(u_0)$  is not an empty set.

For any  $\omega \in \omega(u_0)$ , by using the above claim and since  $J(u(t))$  is non-increasing with respect to  $t$ , we have the following two cases:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} J(u) = -\infty \quad \text{or} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} J(u) = c,$$

where  $c$  is a constant.

Next we will prove that both the above cases contradict  $T = +\infty$ . If the first case happens, there must exist a  $t^* \in [0, +\infty)$  such that  $J(u(t^*)) < 0$ . By [14, Theorem 2.6], the solution of problem (1) will blow up at finite time, which contradicts our hypothesis. If the second case happens, then we obtain  $\omega(u_0) = \{0\}$  by the similar way as proof (i). However, by [14, Lemma 3.1(2)], we have  $\|u\|_p > r(\alpha)$ , i.e.,

$$\text{dist}(0, \mathcal{N}_-) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_-} \text{dist}(0, u) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_-} \|u\|_{W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_-} \|\nabla u\|_p > 0.$$

Hence,  $0 \notin \omega(u_0)$ . We get a contradiction, then  $T \neq +\infty$ .

The proof of Theorem 15 is complete. □

## Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the project of Guizhou province science and technology plan under (No. Qiankehe foundation-ZK[2021]YIBAN317), and by the project of Guizhou Minzu University under (No. GZMU[2019]YB04), and central leading local science and technology development special foundation for Sichuan province, PR China under (No. 2021ZYD0020).

## References

- [1] Z. Q. Wu, J. N. Zhao, J. X. Yin, H. L. Li, *Nonlinear Diffusion Equations*, World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore, 2001.
- [2] Z. Tan, "Non-Newton filtration equation with special medium void", *Acta Math. Sci.* **24** (2004), no. 1, p. 118-128.
- [3] Y. Wang, "The existence of global solution and the blowup problem for some p-Laplace heat equations", *Acta Math. Sci.* **27** (2007), no. 2, p. 274-282.
- [4] J. Zhou, "A multi-dimension blow-up problem to a porous medium diffusion equation with special medium void", *Appl. Math. Lett.* **30** (2014), p. 6-11.
- [5] J. Zhou, "Global existence and blow-up of solutions for a non-newton polytropic filtration system with special volumetric moisture content", *Comput. Math. Appl.* **71** (2016), no. 5, p. 1163-1172.
- [6] G. Y. Xu, J. Zhou, "Global existence and blow-up of solutions to a singular non-Newton polytropic filtration equation with critical and supercritical initial energy", *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* **17** (2018), no. 5, p. 1805-1820.
- [7] W. Lian, J. Wang, R. Z. Xu, "Global existence and blow up of solutions for pseudo-parabolic equation with singular potential", *J. Differ. Equ.* **269** (2020), no. 6, p. 4914-4959.
- [8] M. Feng, J. Zhou, "Global existence and blow-up of solutions to a nonlocal parabolic equation with singular potential", *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **464** (2018), no. 2, p. 1213-1242.
- [9] X. M. Deng, J. Zhou, "Global existence and blow-up of solutions to a semilinear heat equation with singular potential and logarithmic nonlinearity", *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* **19** (2020), no. 2, p. 923-939.
- [10] L. Gross, "Logarithmic sobolev inequalities", *Am. J. Math.* **97** (1975), no. 4, p. 1061-1083.

- [11] G. Duong, V. Nguyen, H. Zaag, “Construction of a stable blowup solution with a prescribed behavior for a non-scaling-invariant semilinear heat equation”, *Tunis. J. Math.* **1** (2019), no. 1, p. 13-45.
- [12] M. A. Hamza, H. Zaag, “The blow-up rate for a non-scaling invariant semilinear heat equation”, *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.* **244** (2022), no. 1, p. 87-125.
- [13] P. Souplet, “Universal estimates and Liouville theorems for superlinear problems without scale invariance”, 2022, preprint, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2202.02955>.
- [14] M. L. Liao, Z. Tan, “Global existence and blow-up of solutions to a class of non-Newton filtration equations with singular potential and logarithmic nonlinearity”, 2020, preprint, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.01483>.
- [15] O. A. Ladyzhenskaia, V. A. Solonnikov, N. N. Uraltseva, *Linear and Quasi-Linear Equations of Parabolic Type*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1988.
- [16] Y. J. He, H. H. Gao, H. Wang, “Blow-up and decay for a class of pseudo-parabolic p-Laplacian equation with logarithmic nonlinearity”, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **75** (2018), no. 2, p. 459-469.
- [17] H. A. Levine, “Instability and nonexistence of global solutions to nonlinear wave equations of the form  $Pu_{tt} = -Au + F(u)$ ”, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **192** (1974), p. 1-21.