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Blow-up to a $p$-Laplacian parabolic equation with a general nonlinear source
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Abstract. A $p$-Laplacian parabolic equation with a general nonlinear source term is considered. It is shown that the solution may blow up in finite time at positive initial energy. Moreover, under some suitable assumptions about the nonlinear source term, the solution is proved to blow up in finite time at arbitrarily high initial energy. These results generalize the previous ones.

Résumé. Une équation parabolique $p$-laplacienne avec un terme source non linéaire général est considérée. On montre que la solution peut exploser en temps fini pour une énergie initiale positive. De plus, sous certaines hypothèses appropriées concernant le terme source non linéaire, il est prouvé que la solution explode en temps fini pour une énergie initiale arbitrairement élevée. Ces résultats généralisent des résultats antérieurs.

Keywords. $p$-Laplacian parabolic equation, general nonlinear source term, blow-up.

Mots-clés. équation parabolique $p$-laplacienne, terme source non linéaire général, explosion.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35K92, 35B44.

Funding. This work is supported by Natural Science Foundation of Chongqing (2022NSCQ-MSX1674).

Manuscript received 17 April 2023, revised 5 March 2024, accepted 7 March 2024.

1. Introduction and main results

We investigate the $p$-Laplacian parabolic equation:

\[
\begin{aligned}
&u_t - \text{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) = f(u), &x \in \Omega, \ t > 0, \\
u(x,0) = u_0(x) \geq 0, &x \in \overline{\Omega}, \\
u = 0, &x \in \partial \Omega, \ t \geq 0,
\end{aligned}
\]

where the domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \ (n \geq 1)$ is bounded, the boundary $\partial \Omega$ is smooth, $p \geq 2$, and $u_0 \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ is non-trivial and non-negative. Moreover, the locally Lipschitz continuous function $f$ satisfies $f(0) = 0$, $f(s) > 0$ for $s > 0$ and

\[
\alpha F(s) \leq sf(s) + \beta s^p + \gamma \ (s > 0)
\]
for some $\alpha > p$, $\gamma > 0$ and $0 < \beta < (\alpha - p)\lambda_1 / p$, where

$$F(s) = \int_0^s f(\tau) d\tau$$

and $\lambda_1$ is the first eigenvalue of the $p$-Laplacian operator, namely,

$$\lambda_1 = \inf_{\phi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|\nabla \phi\|_p^p}{\|\phi\|_p^p} > 0.$$  \hfill (3)

In what follows, the inner product of $L^2(\Omega)$ is denoted by $(\cdot, \cdot)$ and the norm of $L^\sigma(\Omega)$ ($1 \leq \sigma \leq \infty$) is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_\sigma$.

As is well-known, the $p$-Laplacian parabolic equation often appears in the theory of non-Newtonian fluids, see [1]. Therefore, the model (1) has been widely studied by many researchers, see [2–9]. For example, Fujii and Ohta [4] investigated the initial boundary value problem (IBVP) of the equation

$$u_t - \text{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) = |u|^{p-2}u, \quad p > 2$$

and established some blow-up results.

Li and Xie [7] considered IBVP of the equation

$$u_t - \text{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) = \lambda|u|^{q-2}u,$$

where $p > 1$, $\lambda > 0$ and $q > 2$. Using the comparison principle and concavity argument, the authors studied the blow-up properties of solutions.

Le et al. [5] dealt with IBVP of the equation

$$u_t - \text{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) = |u|^{p-2}u \log|u|, \quad p > 2.$$  

Applying the potential well theory, the global existence and blow-up of solutions were analysed.

In particular, when $f(u)$ satisfies the general assumption (2), Chung and Choi [3] proved the nonnegative solution to (1) blows up in finite time with negative initial energy (i.e., $J(u_0) < 0$), where

$$J(u) := \int_\Omega F(u) dx + \gamma |\Omega|.$$  \hfill (4)

Considering the blow-up result obtained in [3], there are two natural questions:

(QS1): Whether the nonnegative solution to (1) can be proved to blow up in finite time at positive initial energy?

(QS2): Is it possible for the nonnegative solution of (1) to blow up in finite time at arbitrarily high initial energy?

The main purpose of the present paper is to answer the above questions.

The local existence of the weak solution to (1) can be found in [3]. Now, we give the blow-up results of this paper.

**Theorem 1.** Assume the nonnegative function $u_0 \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ and (2) holds. If

$$J(u_0) < \max(0, M(u_0)),$$  \hfill (5)

where

$$M(u_0) := \frac{\lambda_1(\alpha - p) - \beta p}{2\alpha} \left(\|u_0\|_2^2 - \frac{(p-2)|\Omega|}{p}\right) + \frac{\gamma(\alpha - 1)|\Omega|}{\alpha},$$  \hfill (6)

then the nonnegative weak solution $u$ to (1) blows up at the time $T < \infty$ in the sense of

$$\lim_{t \to T^-} \|u\|_2^2 = \infty.$$
Remark 2. Under some suitable assumptions about the nonlinear term \( f \), we will prove that there exists an initial value \( u_0 \) such that

\[
0 < f(u_0) < M(u_0). \tag{7}
\]

Assume that

\[
c_1 s^{a-1} \leq f(s) \leq c_2 \left( s^{a-1} + 1 \right), \quad s > 0,
\]

where \( c_1, c_2 > 0 \) are constants and \( c_1 \alpha > c_2 \). Let

\[
u_0 := \kappa \varpi(x), \tag{9}
\]

where \( \kappa > 0 \) is a constant to be specified later and \( \varpi(x) \) is a function that satisfies \( 0 < \varpi(x) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega) \).

By (4) and (8), we obtain

\[
J(u_0) \geq \frac{1}{p} \| \nabla u_0 \|^p_p - \frac{c_2}{\alpha} \int_\Omega u_0^p dx - c_2 \int_\Omega u_0 dx + \gamma |\Omega| \]

and

\[
J(u_0) \leq \frac{1}{p} \| \nabla u_0 \|^p_p - \frac{c_1}{\alpha} \int_\Omega u_0^p dx + \gamma |\Omega|.
\]

Then we deduce from (6) and (9) that

\[
M(u_0) = \frac{\lambda_1(\alpha - p) - \beta p}{2\alpha} \left( \kappa^2 \| \varpi(x) \|_2^2 - \frac{(p - 2)|\Omega|}{p} \right) + \frac{\gamma(\alpha - 1)|\Omega|}{\alpha},
\]

\[
J(u_0) \geq \frac{\kappa^p}{p} \| \nabla \varpi(x) \|^p_p - \frac{c_2 \kappa^a}{\alpha} \int_\Omega (\varpi(x))^a dx - c_2 \kappa \int_\Omega \varpi(x) dx + \gamma |\Omega|,
\]

\[
J(u_0) \leq \frac{\kappa^p}{p} \| \nabla \varpi(x) \|^p_p - \frac{c_1 \kappa^a}{\alpha} \int_\Omega (\varpi(x))^a dx + \gamma |\Omega|.
\]

Therefore, to prove (7), we only need to show that

\[
\frac{\kappa^p}{p} \| \nabla \varpi(x) \|^p_p - \frac{c_2 \kappa^a}{\alpha} \int_\Omega (\varpi(x))^a dx - c_2 \kappa \int_\Omega \varpi(x) dx + \gamma |\Omega| > 0 \tag{10}
\]

and

\[
\frac{\kappa^p}{p} \| \nabla \varpi(x) \|^p_p - \frac{c_1 \kappa^a}{\alpha} \int_\Omega (\varpi(x))^a dx + \gamma |\Omega|
\]

\[
< \frac{\lambda_1(\alpha - p) - \beta p}{2\alpha} \left( \kappa^2 \| \varpi(x) \|_2^2 - \frac{(p - 2)|\Omega|}{p} \right) + \frac{\gamma(\alpha - 1)|\Omega|}{\alpha} \tag{11}
\]

In fact, when

\[
\gamma > \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \left[ \frac{c_2 \kappa^a}{\alpha} \int_\Omega (\varpi(x))^a dx + c_2 \kappa \int_\Omega \varpi(x) dx - \frac{\kappa^p}{p} \| \nabla \varpi(x) \|^p_p \right] \tag{12}
\]

and

\[
\gamma < \frac{c_1 \kappa^a}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega (\varpi(x))^a dx - \frac{\alpha \kappa^p}{p|\Omega|} \| \nabla \varpi(x) \|^p_p + \frac{\kappa^2 \left[ \lambda_1(\alpha - p) - \beta p \right]}{2|\Omega|} \| \varpi(x) \|_2^2
\]

\[
- \frac{(p - 2)\left[ \lambda_1(\alpha - p) - \beta p \right]}{2p}, \tag{13}
\]

it is easy to see that (10) and (11) hold.
In order to show that there is a $\gamma$ that makes (12) and (13) hold, we only need to prove that

$$\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \left[ \frac{c_2k^\alpha}{\alpha} \int_\Omega (\varphi(x))^a \, dx + \frac{c_2k}{\alpha} \int_\Omega \varphi(x) \, dx - \frac{k^p}{p} \|\nabla \varphi(x)\|_p^p \right]$$

$$< \frac{c_1k^\alpha}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega (\varphi(x))^a \, dx - \frac{\alpha k^p}{p|\Omega|} \|\nabla \varphi(x)\|_p^p + \frac{k^2[\lambda_1(\alpha - p) - \beta p]}{2|\Omega|} \|\varphi(x)\|_2^2 + \frac{(p-2)[\lambda_1(\alpha - p) - \beta p]}{2p},$$

i.e.,

$$\frac{|\Omega|(p-2)[\lambda_1(\alpha - p) - \beta p]}{2p} < \frac{k}{\alpha} \left[ \frac{\lambda_1(\alpha - p) - \beta p}{2} \|\varphi(x)\|_2^2 
+ \frac{k^{a-p}(c_1 \alpha - c_2)}{\alpha} \int_\Omega (\varphi(x))^a \, dx - \frac{\alpha - 1}{p} \|\nabla \varphi(x)\|_p^p \right] \right] - c_2 \int_\Omega \varphi(x) \, dx \right\}.$$ (15)

Obviously, if $\kappa > 0$ is large enough, then (15) holds, i.e., (14) holds.

To prove the existence of the finite time blow-up solution at arbitrarily high initial energy by using the fountain theorem (see [10]), we assume the nonlinear term $f$ has a concrete expression. Let

$$f(s) = \gamma s^{\alpha - 1} + \frac{\beta p}{\alpha - p} s^{\alpha - 1}, \quad s > 0,$$ (16)

then it is obvious that

$$F(s) = \frac{\gamma}{\alpha} s^\alpha + \frac{\beta}{\alpha - p} s^p,$$

where

$$p < \alpha < \begin{cases} \infty, & \text{if } n \leq p; \\
\frac{np}{n-p}, & \text{if } n > p. \end{cases}$$ (17)

Clearly, the nonlinear term $f$ given in (16) satisfies (2). Moreover, if (16) holds, then we obtain from (4) that

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{p} \|\nabla u\|_p^p - \frac{\gamma}{\alpha} \int_\Omega u^a \, dx - \frac{\beta}{\alpha - p} \int_\Omega u^p \, dx + \gamma |\Omega|. $$

**Theorem 3.** Assume $u_0 \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ and (2) holds. If (16) and (17) hold, then for any constant $B \geq 0$, there exists a nonnegative function $u_B \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ satisfying (5) and $J(u_B) = B$, and the nonnegative weak solution $u$ to (1) with the initial value $u_B$ blows up in finite time in the sense of

$$\lim_{t \to T} \|u\|_2^2 = \infty.$$ (14)

**Remark 4.** In Theorem 3, the choice of the nonlinear term $f$ is not unique. For instance, when $f(s) = \gamma s^{\alpha - 1}$ for $s > 0$ and $\alpha$ satisfies (17), we can also obtain the same blow-up result as Theorem 3.

**Remark 5.** When $f$ is a general nonlinear term satisfying (2), we cannot find an effective method to show the finite time blow-up result at arbitrarily high initial energy, thus we leave it as an open question.

The rest of this paper is to prove Theorems 1 and 3.
2. Proofs of the theorems

Proof of Theorem 1. Let \( u = u(t), \ t \in [0, T] \) be the nonnegative weak solution to (1) mentioned in [3] with \( u_0 \) satisfying (5), where \( T \) represents the maximum existence time. If \( M(u_0) \leq 0 \), then the blow-up result follows from [3, Theorems 1.1 and 1.2]. Hence, in what follows, we assume \( M(u_0) > 0 \). Then from (5), we know \( f(u) < M(u_0) \).

If there is a \( t_0 \in [0, T) \) such that \( f(u(t_0)) < 0 \), then we deduce from [3, Theorems 1.1 and 1.2] that \( u \) blows up in finite time. Therefore, in the remaining proof, we assume \( f(u) \geq 0 \) for \( t \in [0, T) \).

By contradiction, we suppose \( u \) exists globally. Then we obtain from Hölder’s inequality, [3, (11) and (18)] and \( f(u) \geq 0 \) for \( t \geq 0 \) that

\[
\| u \|^2_2 = \left\| \int_0^t u_t \, dt + u_0 \right\|^2_2 \\
\leq t^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_0^t \| u_t \|^2_2 \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \| u_0 \|_2 \\
\leq (f(u_0))^\frac{1}{2} t^{\frac{1}{2}} + \| u_0 \|_2. 
\]

Moreover, we deduce from [3, (14) and (21)], (2), (4), (3), [3, (11) and (18)], Hölder’s and Young’s inequalities that

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{1}{2} \| u \|^2_2 - \Xi \right) = -\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \, dx + \int_{\Omega} uf(u) \, dx \\
\geq \frac{\alpha - p}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \, dx - \beta \int_{\Omega} u^p \, dx + \gamma (\alpha - 1) |\Omega| - \alpha f(u) \\
\geq \frac{\lambda_1(\alpha - p) - \beta p}{p} \int_{\Omega} u^p \, dx + \gamma (\alpha - 1) |\Omega| - \alpha f(u_0) \\
\geq \frac{\lambda_1(\alpha - p) - \beta p}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^2 \, dx - \frac{(p - 2) [\lambda_1(\alpha - p) - \beta p]}{2p} |\Omega| + \gamma (\alpha - 1) |\Omega| - \alpha f(u_0) \\
= [\lambda_1(\alpha - p) - \beta p] \left( \frac{1}{2} \| u \|^2_2 - \Xi \right),
\]

where

\[
\Xi = \frac{(p - 2) [\lambda_1(\alpha - p) - \beta p]}{2p} \left[ |\Omega| - 2p \gamma (\alpha - 1) |\Omega| + 2p \alpha f(u_0) \right].
\]

Since

\[
\lambda_1(\alpha - p) - \beta p > 0, \quad \text{by (2)} \quad \frac{1}{2} \| u_0 \|^2_2 - \Xi > 0, \quad \text{by } f(u_0) < M(u_0),
\]

we infer from (19) that

\[
\frac{1}{2} \| u(t) \|^2_2 - \Xi > 0, \quad t \geq 0.
\]

Integrating (19) from 0 to \( t \), we arrive at

\[
\| u \|^2_2 \geq (\| u_0 \|^2_2 - 2\Xi) e^{[\lambda_1(\alpha - p) - \beta p] t} + 2\Xi.
\]

The combination of (18) and (20) yields

\[
(\| u_0 \|^2_2 - 2\Xi) e^{[\lambda_1(\alpha - p) - \beta p] t} + 2\Xi \leq \left( f(u_0) \right)^\frac{1}{2} t^\frac{1}{2} + \| u_0 \|_2^2, \quad t \geq 0.
\]

Clearly, (21) cannot hold for sufficiently large \( t \), a contradiction. The proof is complete. \( \square \)

To prove Theorem 3, the following three lemmas are required.
Lemma 6 ([10, Theorem 3.6] Fountain Theorem). Suppose $\mathcal{H}$ is a Banach space with the norm $\| \cdot \|$ and $\mathcal{H}_j$ is a subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ with $\dim \mathcal{H}_j < \infty$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, \cdots \}$. Let $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{H}_j$ be the closure of the direct sum of all $\mathcal{H}_j$. Let

$$W_k = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{k} \mathcal{H}_j, \quad V_k = \bigoplus_{j=k}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_j.$$ 

Assume $\Psi \in C^1(\mathcal{H}, \mathbb{R})$ is an even functional. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, if there exist $\rho_k > r_k > 0$ such that

(i) $m_k := \max_{\psi \in W_k, \|\psi\| = \rho_k} \Psi(\psi) \leq 0$;

(ii) $z_k := \inf_{\psi \in V_k, \|\psi\| = r_k} \Psi(\psi) \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$;

(iii) $\Psi$ satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition for every $c > 0$,

then $\Psi$ has an unbounded sequence of critical values.

Lemma 7. Let (16) and (17) hold. There exist functions $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$J(\psi_k) := \frac{1}{p} \|\nabla \psi_k\|_p^p - \frac{\gamma}{\alpha} \|\psi_k\|_a^\alpha - \frac{\beta}{\alpha - p} \|\psi_k\|_p^p + \gamma |\Omega| \to \infty \text{ as } k \to \infty.$$  

(22)

Proof. To prove the lemma, it is sufficient to show $J$ satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 6. Because $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is separable, one can select $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ as a base of $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $\{l_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \subset W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$ such that $\|\nabla e_j\|_p = 1$, $\|l_j\|_{W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)} = 1$, and $l_j(e_i) = 1$ if $i = j$ and $l_j(e_i) = 0$ if $i \neq j$, where $W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$ represents the dual space of $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. For $j = 1, 2, \cdots$, we set

$$\mathcal{H}_j := \text{span} \{e_j\} = \{ce_j : c \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$ 

Then $\mathcal{H}_j \perp \mathcal{H}_i$ for $i \neq j$, i.e., $l_i(ce_j) = 0$ and $l_j(ce_i) = 0$ for any $c \in \mathbb{R}$. With this sense, for $k = 1, 2, \cdots$, we set

$$W_k := \bigoplus_{j=1}^{k} \mathcal{H}_j, \quad V_k := \bigoplus_{j=k}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_j.$$ 

Then

$$V_{k+1} = W_k^\perp, \quad W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) = W_k \bigoplus V_{k+1},$$ 

and $W_k \subset W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with $\dim W_k < \infty$.

Firstly, one can easily verify $J \in C^1(W_0^{1,p}(\Omega), \mathbb{R})$ is an even functional.

Secondly, we prove $J$ satisfies Lemma 6 (ii). Let

$$\delta_k := \sup_{\psi \in V_k, \|\nabla \psi\|_p = 1} \|\psi\|_a,$$  

(23)

then it holds $0 < \delta_{k+1} \leq \delta_k$. Thus, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\delta_k \to \delta \text{ as } k \to \infty.$$ 

For every $k$, there is $\psi_k \in V_k$ with $\|\nabla \psi_k\|_p = 1$ such that

$$\|\psi_k\|_a > \frac{\delta}{2} \geq 0.$$ 

It follows from the definition of $V_k$ that

$$\psi_k \to 0 \text{ weakly in } W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \text{ as } k \to \infty.$$ 

Due to $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \to L^a(\Omega)$ compactly (see (17)), we know

$$\psi_k \to 0 \text{ strongly in } L^a(\Omega) \text{ as } k \to \infty,$$ 
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which means \( \lim_{k \to \infty} \delta_k = 0 \). By (3) and (23), one has, for \( \psi \in V_k \),

\[
\tilde{J}(\psi) \geq \frac{1}{p} \| \nabla \psi \|_p^p - \frac{\gamma}{\alpha} \| \psi \|_a^p - \frac{\beta}{\alpha - p} \| \psi \|_p^p \\
\geq \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\beta}{\lambda_1 (\alpha - p)} \right) \| \nabla \psi \|_p^p - \frac{\gamma \alpha}{\alpha} \| \nabla \psi \|_a^p.
\]

Take

\[
r_k = \left( \frac{\lambda_1 (\alpha - p) - \beta p}{\lambda_1 \gamma \delta_k^a (\alpha - p)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-\alpha}}.
\]

If \( \psi \in V_k \) and \( \| \nabla \psi \|_p = r_k \), then we obtain

\[
\tilde{J}(\psi) \geq \frac{\lambda_1 (\alpha - p) - \beta p}{\alpha p \lambda_1} \left( \frac{\lambda_1 (\alpha - p) - \beta p}{\lambda_1 \gamma \delta_k^a (\alpha - p)} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-\alpha}},
\]

which implies that \( \tilde{J} \) satisfies Lemma 6 (ii).

Thirdly, we prove \( \tilde{J} \) satisfies Lemma 6 (i). For any \( \rho_k > 0 \) and \( \hat{\psi} \in W_k \) with \( \| \nabla \hat{\psi} \|_p = 1 \), one has

\[
\tilde{J}(\rho_k \hat{\psi}) = \rho_k^p \left( \frac{1}{p} \| \nabla \hat{\psi} \|_p^p - \frac{\gamma}{\alpha} \rho_k^{a-p} \| \hat{\psi} \|_a^p - \frac{\beta}{\alpha - p} \| \hat{\psi} \|_p^p \right) + \gamma |\Omega|.
\]

Additionally, we obtain from \( \operatorname{dim} W_k < \infty \) and \( \| \nabla \hat{\psi} \|_p = 1 \) that, for some \( \zeta_1, \zeta_2 > 0 \),

\[
\zeta_1 \leq \| \hat{\psi} \|_a \leq \zeta_2, \quad \zeta_1 \leq \| \hat{\psi} \|_p \leq \zeta_2,
\]

which, along with (24), yields

\[
\tilde{J}(\rho_k \hat{\psi}) \leq \rho_k^p \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\gamma \zeta_1^a}{\alpha} \rho_k^{a-p} - \frac{\beta \zeta_1^p}{\alpha - p} \right) + \gamma |\Omega| \to -\infty \quad \text{as } \rho_k \to \infty.
\]

Let \( \psi = \rho_k \hat{\psi} \), then

\[
\| \nabla \psi \|_p = \rho_k \| \nabla \hat{\psi} \|_p = \rho_k \text{ and } \psi \in W_k.
\]

Thus, for sufficiently large \( \rho_k > r_k \), we know \( \tilde{J} \) satisfies Lemma 6 (i).

Finally, we prove \( \tilde{J} \) satisfies Lemma 6 (iii). For any \( c > 0 \), we assume \( (\psi_j)_{j=1}^\infty \subset W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \) satisfies

\[
\tilde{J}(\psi_j) \to c \quad \text{and} \quad \| \tilde{J}(\psi_j) \|_{W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)} \to 0 \quad \text{as } j \to \infty.
\]

Then there are \( \mathcal{C}_1 > c \) and \( \mathcal{C}_2 > 0 \) independent of \( j \) such that

\[
\tilde{J}(\psi_j) \leq \mathcal{C}_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \| \tilde{J}(\psi_j) \|_{W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)} \leq \mathcal{C}_2 \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \ldots.
\]

Thus, we infer from (3) that

\[
\mathcal{C}_1 + \frac{\mathcal{C}_2}{\alpha} \| \nabla \psi_j \|_p \geq \tilde{J}(\psi_j) - \frac{1}{\alpha} \langle \tilde{J}(\psi_j), \psi_j \rangle \\
= \frac{\alpha - p}{p \alpha} \| \nabla \psi_j \|_p^p - \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \| \psi_j \|_p^p + \gamma |\Omega| \\
\geq \frac{\lambda_1 (\alpha - p) - \beta p}{p \alpha \lambda_1} \| \nabla \psi_j \|_p^p + \gamma |\Omega|, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots,
\]

where \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) denotes the duality bracket between \( W^{-1,p'}(\Omega) \) and \( W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \), which implies there exists a \( \mathcal{C}_3 > 0 \) independent of \( j \) such that

\[
\| \nabla \psi_j \|_p \leq \mathcal{C}_3, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots.
\]

Then there is a \( \psi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \) and a subsequence of \( (\psi_j)_{j=1}^\infty \) (still denoted by \( (\psi_j)_{j=1}^\infty \)) such that

\[
\psi_j \rightharpoonup \psi \quad \text{weakly in } W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \quad \text{as } j \to \infty.
\]
From (26) and (27), we arrive at
\[
\| \nabla \psi \|_p \leq \liminf_{j \to \infty} \| \nabla \psi_j \|_p \leq C_3. \tag{28}
\]
For any \( \phi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \), one can see
\[
\langle \bar{J}(\psi), \phi \rangle = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi|^{p-2} \nabla \psi \nabla \phi \, dx - \gamma \int_{\Omega} |\psi|^{q-2} \psi \phi \, dx - \frac{\beta p}{\alpha - p} \int_{\Omega} |\psi|^{p-2} \psi \phi \, dx.
\]
Consequently, it holds
\[
\langle \bar{J}(\psi_j) - \bar{J}(\psi), \psi_j - \psi \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla \psi_j|^{p-2} \nabla \psi_j - \frac{\beta p}{\alpha - p} \phi \right) (\psi_j - \psi) \, dx
\]
\[
- \gamma \int_{\Omega} \left( |\psi_j|^{q-2} \psi_j - \frac{\beta p}{\alpha - p} \phi \right) (\psi_j - \psi) \, dx.
\tag{29}
\]
By (27), \( W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \to L^\alpha(\Omega) \) compactly, and \( W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \to L^p(\Omega) \) compactly, we deduce
\[
|\langle \bar{J}(\psi), \psi_j - \psi \rangle| \leq \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi_j|^{p-2} \nabla \psi_j \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\psi_j - \psi|^p \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \gamma \left( \int_{\Omega} |\psi_j|^{q-2} \psi_j \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\psi - \psi_j|^q \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.
\]
Furthermore, it follows from (26) and (28) that
\[
|\langle \bar{J}(\psi_j), \psi_j - \psi \rangle| \leq 2C_3 \| \bar{J}(\psi_j) \|_{W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad j \to \infty,
\]
which, along with (29) and (30), yields
\[
2^{2-p} \left\| \nabla \psi_j - \nabla \psi \right\|_p \leq \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla \psi_j|^{p-2} \nabla \psi_j - |\nabla \psi|^{p-2} \nabla \psi \right) \nabla (\psi_j - \psi) \, dx
\]
\[
\leq \langle \bar{J}(\psi_j) - \bar{J}(\psi), \psi_j - \psi \rangle + \gamma \left( \int_{\Omega} |\psi_j|^{q-2} \psi_j \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\psi - \psi_j|^q \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
\]
\[
+ \frac{\beta p}{\alpha - p} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\psi|^{p-2} \psi \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\psi_j - \psi|^p \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad j \to \infty.
\]
Thus, \( \bar{J} \) satisfies Lemma 6 (iii).

According to the above analysis and Lemma 6, (22) holds. \( \square \)

**Lemma 8.** Let (16) and (17) hold. For any constant \( D \geq 0 \), there is a nonnegative function \( \varphi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega) \) such that \( J(\varphi) = D \).

**Proof.** Let
\[
v_k = |\psi_k| \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega),
\]
where \( \{\psi_k\} \) is the sequence given in Lemma 7. From Lemma 7, we arrive at
\[
J(v_k) \to \infty \quad \text{as} \quad k \to \infty.
\]
Therefore, for any constant \( D \geq 0 \), there is a \( v_k \) such that \( J(v_k) \geq 2D \).

Choose a sequence of nonnegative functions \( \{\phi_j\} \subset C_0^\infty(\Omega) \), then one can verify that
\[
|J(\phi_j) - J(v_k)| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad j \to \infty \quad \text{if} \quad \phi_j \to v_k \quad \text{in} \quad W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \quad \text{as} \quad j \to \infty.
\]
Thus, there exists a function \( \phi_j \in C_0^\infty(\Omega) \) such that \( J(\phi_j) \geq D \).

Let
\[
g(\xi) = J(\xi \phi_j) = \frac{\xi^p}{p} \left\| \nabla \phi_j \right\|_p - \frac{\gamma \xi^a}{\alpha} \int_{\Omega} \phi_j^a \, dx - \frac{\beta \xi^p}{\alpha - p} \int_{\Omega} \phi_j^p \, dx + \gamma |\Omega|, \quad \forall \xi \geq 1.
\]
Clearly, the function $g(\xi)$ is continuous and $\lim_{\xi \to -\infty} g(\xi) = -\infty$. Let $R(g(\xi))$ denote the range of $g(\xi)$, then we know $R(g(\xi)) \supset (-\infty, g(1)]$. Owing to $g(1) = J(\phi_j)$, we obtain from $J(\phi_j) \geq \mathcal{O}$ that there is a $\xi \geq 1$ such that $\varphi := \xi \phi_j \in C_0^\infty(\Omega) \subset W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ satisfies $J(\varphi) = \mathcal{O}$. □

**Proof of Theorem 3.** Assume $\Omega_1$ and $\Omega_2$ are two arbitrary disjoint open subsets of $\Omega$. Let

$v \in \left( W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega) \right) \setminus \{0\}$

be an arbitrary nonnegative function satisfying

$\text{supp}(v) = \{x \in \Omega : v(x) \neq 0\} \subset \Omega_1$.

Then for any constant $\mathcal{B} \geq 0$, one can choose $\epsilon > 0$ large enough such that

$$J(\epsilon v) \leq 0, \quad \frac{\lambda_1 (\alpha - p) - \beta p}{2\alpha} \left( \|\epsilon v\|_2^2 - \frac{(p-2)|\Omega|}{p} \right) + \frac{\gamma (\alpha - 1)|\Omega|}{\alpha} > \mathcal{B}. \quad (31)$$

For such $\epsilon$, from Lemma 8, one can select a nonnegative function

$\mu \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$

such that

$\text{supp}(\mu) \subset \Omega_2$ and $J(\mu) = \gamma |\Omega| + \mathcal{B} - J(\epsilon v)$.

Then for $u_{\mathcal{B}} = \epsilon v + \mu$, we infer from (31) that

$$J(u_{\mathcal{B}}) = J(\epsilon v) + J(\mu) - \gamma |\Omega| = \mathcal{B}$$

and

$$\frac{\lambda_1 (\alpha - p) - \beta p}{2\alpha} \left( \|\epsilon v\|_2^2 - \frac{(p-2)|\Omega|}{p} \right) + \frac{\gamma (\alpha - 1)|\Omega|}{\alpha} \geq \frac{\lambda_1 (\alpha - p) - \beta p}{2\alpha} \left( \|\epsilon v\|_2^2 - \frac{(p-2)|\Omega|}{p} \right) + \frac{\gamma (\alpha - 1)|\Omega|}{\alpha} > \mathcal{B} = J(u_{\mathcal{B}}).$$

Taking $u_{\mathcal{B}}$ as the initial value, the blow-up result follows from Theorem 1. □
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