



INSTITUT DE FRANCE
Académie des sciences

Comptes Rendus

Mécanique


Ge Zu and Fang Li

Lower bound estimates of blow-up time for a quasilinear hyperbolic equation with superlinear sources

Volume 348, issue 4 (2020), p. 307-313

Published online: 6 October 2020

<https://doi.org/10.5802/crmeca.9>

 This article is licensed under the
CREATIVE COMMONS ATTRIBUTION 4.0 INTERNATIONAL LICENSE.
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Les Comptes Rendus. Mécanique sont membres du
Centre Mersenne pour l'édition scientifique ouverte
www.centre-mersenne.org
e-ISSN : 1873-7234



Lower bound estimates of blow-up time for a quasilinear hyperbolic equation with superlinear sources

Ge Zu^a and Fang Li^{*,}^a

^a School of Mathematics, Jilin University, Changchun 130012, PR China

E-mails: zuge18@mails.jlu.edu.cn (G. Zu), lf8583@jlu.edu.cn (F. Li)

Abstract. This paper deals with the lower bound for blow-up solutions to a quasilinear hyperbolic equation with strong damping. An inverse Hölder inequality with a correction constant is employed to overcome the difficulty caused by the failure of the embedding inequality. Moreover, a lower bound for blow-up time is obtained by constructing a new control functional with a small dissipative term and by applying an inverse Hölder inequality as well as energy inequalities. This result gives a positive answer to the open problem presented in [1].

Keywords. Inverse Hölder inequality, Energy estimate method, Energy inequality, Lower bound estimate, Quasilinear hyperbolic equation.

Manuscript received 17th November 2018, revised 4th March 2019 and 14th September 2019, accepted 24th February 2020.

1. Introduction

In this paper, the following quasilinear hyperbolic equation with strong damping is studied:

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p(x,t)-2} \nabla u) - \Delta u_t = |u|^{q(x,t)-2} u, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T) := Q_T \\ u(x, t) = 0, & (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, T) := \Gamma_T \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), & x \in \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ($N \geq 1$) is a bounded domain with a smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, $T > 0$. It will be assumed throughout this paper that the exponents $p(x, t)$ and $q(x, t)$ satisfy the following conditions:

$$2 \leq p^- \leq p(x, t) \leq p^+ < \infty, \quad 1 < q^- \leq q(x, t) \leq q^+ < \infty.$$

Problem (1.1) models many physical problems such as viscoelastic fluids, electrorheological fluids, processes of filtration through porous media, fluids with temperature-dependent viscosity, and so on. The interested reader may refer to [2–4] and the references therein. In the case where p, q are fixed constants, many authors discussed the existence of solutions, finite-time blow-up

* Corresponding author.

of solutions for low initial energy and arbitrarily high initial energy, and some estimate of a lower bound for blow-up times. The interested reader may refer to [5–12]. In the case where p, q are continuous functions, S. N. Antontsev [13, 14] studied the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} = \operatorname{div}(a(x, t)|\nabla u|^{p(x,t)-2}\nabla u) + \alpha \Delta u_t + b(x, t)|u|^{\sigma(x,t)-2}u + f(x, t), & (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T) \\ u(x, t) = 0, & (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, T) \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), & x \in \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

Antontsev proved the existence and the blow-up of weak solutions for negative initial energy. Later, Guo–Gao [15] discussed the blow-up properties of solutions to the above problems for the case where the initial energy is positive. In addition, Messaoudi and Talahmeh [16, 17] discussed blow-up properties of solutions to Problem (1.2) in the absence of a strong damping term.

It is well known that the source term causes finite-time blow-up of the solution while the damping term may drive the equation toward stability. Therefore, it is of interest to explore the mechanism of how sources dominate the dissipation (the damping term Δu_t), which has attracted considerable attention. In fact, the upper bound ensures the occurrence of blow-up while the lower bound may provide us a safe time interval for operation when we use Problem (1.1) to model a physical process. Hence, it is more interesting to give a lower bound estimate for hyperbolic problems than to give an upper bound. In 2017, Guo [1] applied the modified version of the Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality for non-constant cases and energy inequalities to obtain some estimates of lower bounds for blow-up time in the case where $2 < p^- < q^+ < p^-(1 + (2 + p^{-*})/2N)$ with $p^{-*} = (Np^-(N - p^-))/(2 < p^- < N)$. In particular, Remark 1.1 of [1] gives an unsolved problem, namely, as follows.

Remark 1.1. Since $p \in [p^-(1 + (2 + p^{-*})/2N), p^{-*}]$, it seems that we cannot obtain results similar to those of Lemma 1.5 [1] unless we may obtain more information about $\|u_t\|_2$. Therefore, we need to develop a new method or technique to discuss this problem.

In this paper, we first follow along the lines of the proof of Lemma 1.3 [1] to obtain an inverse Hölder inequality with correction constants in the case where p lies in $[p^-(1 + (2 + p^{-*})/2N), p^{-*}]$. Second, we construct a new control functional with a small dissipative term and then apply the inverse Hölder inequality as well as energy inequalities to establish a differential inequality. Finally, we obtain an estimate of lower bounds for blow-up time.

This paper is organized as follows. First, in Section 2, we present some preliminaries. Section 3 is devoted to giving an estimation of a lower bound.

2. Preliminaries

Define the energy functional as

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |u_t|^2 \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x, t)} |\nabla u|^{p(x,t)} \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x, t)} |u|^{q(x,t)} \, dx.$$

For simplicity, we give some notation and the embedding inequality to be used later. By Corollary 3.34 in [3], we know that $W_0^{1,p(x,0)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow W_0^{1,p^-}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^r(\Omega) (1 < r \leq (Np^-(N - p^-))$). Let B be the best constant of the embedding inequality

$$\|u\|_r \leq B \|\nabla u\|_{p(\cdot)}, \quad \forall u \in W_0^{1,p(x,0)}(\Omega). \quad (2.1)$$

Set $E_1 = (q^+ - p^+)/q^+ p^+ \alpha_1, \alpha_1 = B_1^{(p^+ q^+)/(p^+ - q^+)}$, where $B_1 = \max\{B, 1\}$. The following conclusions are presented to shorten the statement of our main results and their proofs.

Lemma 2.1 ([15]). Suppose that $u \in L^{q(x,t)}(Q_T) \cap L^\infty(0, T; W_0^{1,p(x,t)}(\Omega))$, and $u_t \in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))$ is a solution to Problem (1.1). Then $E(t)$ satisfies the identity

$$E(t) + \int_0^t \int_\Omega |\nabla u_s|^2 \, dx \, ds = E(0) + \int_0^t \int_\Omega \frac{p_s(\cdot)}{p^2(\cdot)} |\nabla u|^{p(\cdot)} (\ln |\nabla u|^{p(\cdot)} - 1) \, dx \, ds - \int_0^t \int_\Omega \frac{q_s(\cdot)}{q^2(\cdot)} |u|^q (\ln |u|^q - 1) \, dx \, ds. \tag{2.2}$$

Theorem 2.1 ([15]). Assume that the initial data (u_0, u_1) and the exponents $p(x, t)$ and $q(x, t)$ satisfy the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} (H_1) \quad & u_0 \in W_0^{1,p(x,0)}(\Omega), \quad u_1 \in L^2(\Omega), \quad E(0) + \frac{|\Omega|}{p^-} + \frac{|\Omega|}{q^-} < E_1, \\ & \min \left\{ \|\nabla u_0\|_{p(x,0)}^{p^-}, \|\nabla u_0\|_{p(x,0)}^{p^+} \right\} > \alpha_1; \\ (H_2) \quad & \max\{2, p^+\} < q^- \leq q(x, t) \leq q^+ < \frac{Np^-}{N-p^-}, \quad \forall x \in \Omega, t \geq 0; \\ (H_3) \quad & p_t \leq 0, \quad q_t \geq 0, \quad \left| \frac{p_t}{p^2} \right| + \left| \frac{q_t}{q^2} \right| \in L^1_{loc}((0, \infty); L^1(\Omega)). \end{aligned}$$

Then the solution to Problem (1.1) is not global.

Some ideas of this proof of Theorem 2.1 mainly come from the pioneering work of Levine [6, 18] (see also the work of Ball [19]). For more details, the reader may refer to [15].

Lemma 2.2 ([15]). If u is the solution to Problem (1.1) and (H_3) is satisfied, then the energy functional $E(t)$ satisfies

$$E(t) + \int_0^t \int_\Omega |\nabla u_s|^2 \, dx \, ds \leq E(0) + \left(\frac{1}{p^-} + \frac{1}{q^-} \right) |\Omega| := E_2, \quad t \geq 0. \tag{2.3}$$

Lemma 2.3 ([1]). Assume that u is the solution to Problem (1.1) and condition (H_1) is fulfilled. Then there exists a positive constant C depending on $|\Omega|$, p^- , N , and B_1 such that for any $k > (N(q^+ - p^-))/p^-$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega \frac{1}{q(\cdot)} |u|^{q(\cdot)} \, dx &\leq \frac{1}{q^- - p^+} \max\{C^{\mu(k)}, C^{\nu(k)}\} \max \left\{ \left(\int_\Omega |u|^k \, dx \right)^{\alpha(k)}, \left(\int_\Omega |u|^k \, dx \right)^{\beta(k)} \right\} \\ &+ \frac{p^+}{q^- - p^+} \left(E_2 + \frac{|\Omega|}{q^-} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Here, μ , ν , α , and β are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(k) &= \begin{cases} \frac{N(q^+ - k)}{kp^- - N(q^+ - p^-)}, & k < q^+; \\ 1 - \frac{q^+}{k}, & k \geq q^+. \end{cases} \\ \nu(k) &= \begin{cases} \frac{Np^-(q^+ - k)}{k(Np^- - Np^+ + p^+p^-) - Np^-(q^+ - p^+)}, & k < q^+; \\ 1 - \frac{q^+}{k}, & k \geq q^+. \end{cases} \\ \alpha(k) &= \begin{cases} \frac{Np^- - q^+(N - p^-)}{kp^- - N(q^+ - p^-)}, & k < q^+; \\ \frac{q^+}{k}, & k \geq q^+. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$\beta(k) = \begin{cases} \frac{[Np^- - q^+(N - p^-)]p^+}{k(Np^- - Np^+ + p^+p^-) - Np^-(q^+ - p^+)}, & k < q^+; \\ \frac{q^+}{k}, & k \geq q^+. \end{cases}$$

In fact, when $k < q^+$, we follow along the lines of the proof of Lemma 1.3 [1] to obtain the above conclusions. When $k \geq q^+$, we apply condition (H_1) to prove that there exists a positive constant α_2 depending on $E(0), B_1$ such that the term $\|u\|_{q(\cdot)}$ is bigger than α_2 . Then, we apply some inequalities $\min\{\|u\|_{q(\cdot)}^{q^-}, \|u\|_{q(\cdot)}^{q^+}\} \leq \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q(\cdot)} dx \leq \max\{\|u\|_{q(\cdot)}^{q^-}, \|u\|_{q(\cdot)}^{q^+}\}$ and $\|u\|_{q(\cdot)} \leq (1 + |\Omega|)\|u\|_{q^+}$ to finish our proof.

3. Lower bound estimates

In this section, we give our main results and their proof.

Theorem 3.1. *If $(N(p^- + 2))/(2(N - p^-)) < q^+ < ((2N - p^- + 2)p^-)/(2(N - p^-))$, then the blow-up time T^* satisfies the estimate*

$$\int_{F(0)}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{C_4 y^{2-\frac{2}{\theta}} + C_5 y^{2-\frac{2}{\theta}+\lambda} + C_6 y^{2-\frac{2}{\theta}+2\lambda}} dy \leq T^*.$$

Here, the constants C_4 and C_5 and the initial data $F(0)$ are defined in (3.11) and

$$\lambda = \frac{N+2}{2(N-p^-)}, \quad \theta = \frac{2(Np^- - q^+N + q^+p^-)(N-p^-)}{(N+2)p^-p^- - 2N(q^+ - p^-)(N-p^-)}.$$

Proof. This proof is divided into three steps.

Step 1. Equivalent of blow-up. Define

$$H(t) = \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^k dx \right)^{\theta} - \frac{1}{2M} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{\tau}|^2 dx d\tau,$$

where

$$\theta = \frac{2(Np^- - q^+N + q^+p^-)(N-p^-)}{(N+2)p^-p^- - 2N(q^+ - p^-)(N-p^-)}, \quad M = \frac{1}{q^- - p^+} \max\{C^{\mu_1}, C^{\nu_1}\} 2^{\theta-1},$$

$$\mu_1 = \frac{N(q^+ - k)}{kp^- - N(q^+ - p^-)}, \quad \nu_1 = \frac{Np^-(q^+ - k)}{k(Np^- - Np^+ + p^+p^-) - Np^-(q^+ - p^+)}, \quad k = \frac{N(p^- + 2)}{2(N - p^-)}.$$

By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 and the definition of $E(t)$, we have

$$\int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{\tau}|^2 dx d\tau \leq E_2 + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(\cdot)} |u|^{q(\cdot)} dx \leq M \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^k dx \right)^{\theta} + C_1, \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$C_1 = M + \frac{p^+}{q^- - p^+} \left(E_2 + \frac{|\Omega|}{q^-} \right) + E_2.$$

The definition of $H(t)$ and Inequality (3.1) yield

$$H(t) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^k dx \right)^{\theta} - \frac{C_1}{2M}. \tag{3.2}$$

Combining the conclusion of Theorem 1.7 [1] with Inequality (3.2), we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T^*} H(t) = +\infty. \tag{3.3}$$

Step 2. A first-order differential inequality. A simple computation shows that

$$H'(t) = \theta k \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^k dx \right)^{\theta-1} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{(k-2)} uu_t dx - \frac{1}{2M} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_t|^2 dx. \tag{3.4}$$

By using the Hölder inequality, the Sobolev embedding theorem, and the Young inequality, it is not hard to verify that

$$\begin{aligned}
 H'(t) &\leq \theta k \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^k dx \right)^{\theta-1} \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{(k-1)\frac{2N}{N+2}} dx \right)^{\frac{N+2}{2N}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |u_t|^{2^*} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2^*}} - \frac{1}{2M} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_t|^2 dx \\
 &\leq C\theta k \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^k dx \right)^{\theta-1} \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{(k-1)\frac{2N}{N+2}} dx \right)^{\frac{N+2}{2N}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_t|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1}{2M} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_t|^2 dx \\
 &\leq \frac{MC^2}{2} \left[\theta k \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^k dx \right)^{\theta-1} \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{(k-1)\frac{2N}{N+2}} dx \right)^{\frac{N+2}{2N}} \right]^2 + \frac{1}{2M} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_t|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2M} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_t|^2 dx \\
 &\leq \frac{MC^2}{2} \left[\theta k \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^k dx \right)^{\theta-1} \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{(k-1)\frac{2N}{N+2}} dx \right)^{\frac{N+2}{2N}} \right]^2, \tag{3.5}
 \end{aligned}$$

where the constant C is the best embedding constant of the embedding $H_0^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{(2N/(N-2))}(\Omega)$. In addition, noting that $(2N(k-1))/(N+2) \leq p^{*-}$ and applying embedding inequality (2.1), Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, and the definition of $E(t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{\frac{2N(k-1)}{N+2}} dx \right)^{\frac{N+2}{2N}} &\leq B \|\nabla u\|_{p^{(\cdot)}}^{k-1} \leq B \max \left\{ \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p^{(\cdot)}} dx \right)^{\frac{k-1}{p^{*-}}}, \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p^{(\cdot)}} dx \right)^{\frac{k-1}{p^{*+}}} \right\} \\
 &\leq B \max \left\{ \left(p^+ E_2 + \int_{\Omega} \frac{p^+}{q^{(\cdot)}} |u|^{q^{(\cdot)}} dx \right)^{\frac{k-1}{p^{*-}}}, \left(p^+ E_2 + \int_{\Omega} \frac{p^+}{q^{(\cdot)}} |u|^{q^{(\cdot)}} dx \right)^{\frac{k-1}{p^{*+}}} \right\} \\
 &\leq B \max \left\{ 1, M_1^{\frac{k-1}{p^{*+}} - \frac{k-1}{p^{*-}}} \right\} \left(M_1 + \int_{\Omega} \frac{p^+}{q^{(\cdot)}} |u|^{q^{(\cdot)}} dx \right)^{\frac{k-1}{p^{*-}}} \\
 &\leq B \max \left\{ 1, M_1^{\frac{k-1}{p^{*+}} - \frac{k-1}{p^{*-}}} \right\} \left(M_2 + p^+ M \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^k dx \right)^{\theta} \right)^{\frac{k-1}{p^{*-}}} \\
 &\leq C_2 + C_3 \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^k dx \right)^{\frac{(k-1)\theta}{p^{*-}}}, \tag{3.6}
 \end{aligned}$$

where the constants C_i ($i = 2, 3$) are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_2 &= 2^{\frac{k-1}{p^{*-}}} \left(p^+ E_2 + 2^\theta p^+ M + \frac{p^+ E_2}{q^- - p^+} + \frac{p^+ |\Omega|}{(q^- - p^+) p^-} \right)^{\frac{k-1}{p^{*-}}} B \max \left\{ 1, (p^+ E_2)^{\frac{k-1}{p^{*+}} - \frac{k-1}{p^{*-}}} \right\}, \\
 C_3 &= B \max \left\{ 1, (p^+ E_2)^{\frac{k-1}{p^{*+}} - \frac{k-1}{p^{*-}}} \right\} (2^\theta p^+ M)^{\frac{k-1}{p^{*-}}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, inserting (3.6) into (3.5), we get

$$H'(t) \leq \frac{MC^2 \theta^2 k^2}{2} \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^k dx \right)^{2(\theta-1)} \left[C_2 + C_3 \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^k dx \right)^{\frac{(k-1)\theta}{p^{*-}}} \right]^2. \tag{3.7}$$

Step 3. A lower bound for blow-up time.

By using Inequality (3.2), (3.7) is equivalent to the inequality

$$H'(t) \leq \frac{MC^2 \theta^2 k^2}{2} \left(2H(t) + \frac{C_1}{M} \right)^{2(1-\frac{1}{\theta})} \left[C_2 + C_3 \left(2H(t) + \frac{C_1}{M} \right)^{\frac{k-1}{p^{*-}}} \right]^2. \tag{3.8}$$

Furthermore, a simple computation indicates that Inequality (3.8) may be rewritten as

$$\left(2H(t) + \frac{C_1}{M} \right)' \leq MC^2 \theta^2 k^2 \left(2H(t) + \frac{C_1}{M} \right)^{2(1-\frac{1}{\theta})} \left[C_2 + C_3 \left(2H(t) + \frac{C_1}{M} \right)^{\frac{k-1}{p^{*-}}} \right]^2. \tag{3.9}$$

Setting $F(t) = 2H(t) + C_1/M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F'(t) &\leq MC^2\theta^2 k^2 F^{2(1-\frac{1}{\theta})}(t) \left[C_2 + C_3 F^{\frac{k-1}{p^-}}(t) \right]^2 \\ &:= C_4 F^{2(1-\frac{1}{\theta})}(t) + C_5 F^{2(1-\frac{1}{\theta})+\frac{k-1}{p^-}}(t) + C_6 F^{2(1-\frac{1}{\theta})+\frac{2(k-1)}{p^-}}(t), \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_4 &= MC^2 k^2 \theta^2 C_2^2, \quad C_5 = 2MC^2 \theta^2 k^2 C_2 C_3, \\ C_6 &= MC^2 \theta^2 k^2 C_3^2, \quad F(0) = 2 \left(\int_{\Omega} |u_0|^k dx \right)^{\theta} + \frac{C_1}{M}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Equation (3.10) implies

$$\int_{F(0)}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{C_4 y^{2-\frac{2}{\theta}} + C_5 y^{2-\frac{2}{\theta}+\frac{k-1}{p^-}} + C_6 y^{2-\frac{2}{\theta}+\frac{2(k-1)}{p^-}}} dy \leq T^*.$$

This completes the proof of this theorem. \square

Remark 3.1. The fact

$$\frac{(2N - p^- + 2)p^-}{2(N - p^-)} - p^- \left(1 + \frac{2 + p^{*-}}{2N} \right) = \frac{p^- p^*}{N(N - p^-)} > 0$$

shows that the result of this paper gives a positive answer to the unsolved problem in [1]. However, when q^+ lies in the interval $[(2N - p^- + 2)p^- / (2(N - p^-)), p^{*-}]$, due to technical reasons, at present, we cannot give any answer.

Acknowledgment

This research was supported by the Scientific and Technological Project of Department of Education of Jilin Province in Thirteenth-five-Year (JJKH20180111KJ).

References

- [1] B. Guo, "An inverse Hölder inequality and its application in lower bound estimates for blow-up time", *C. R. Mec.* **345** (2017), p. 370-377.
- [2] E. Acerbi, G. Mingione, "Regularity results for stationary electrorheological fluids", *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **164** (2002), p. 213-259.
- [3] L. Diening, P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö, M. Růžička, *Lebesgue and Sobolev Spaces with Variable Exponents*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 2017, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [4] M. Ruzicka, *Electrorheological Fluids: Modelling and Mathematical Theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1748, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2000.
- [5] D. H. Sattinger, "On global solution of nonlinear hyperbolic equations", *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **30** (1968), p. 148-172.
- [6] H. A. Levine, "Instability and nonexistence of global solutions to nonlinear wave equations of the form $Pu_{tt} = -Au + F(u)$ ", *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **192** (1974), p. 1-21.
- [7] V. Georgiev, G. Todorova, "Existence of a solution of the wave equation with nonlinear damping and source terms", *J. Diff. Equ.* **109** (1994), p. 295-308.
- [8] H. T. Song, D. S. Xue, "Blow-up in a nonlinear viscoelastic wave equation with strong damping", *Nonlinear Anal.* **109** (2014), p. 245-251.
- [9] B. Guo, F. Liu, "A lower bound for the blow-up time to a viscoelastic hyperbolic equation with nonlinear sources", *Appl. Math. Lett.* **60** (2016), p. 115-119.
- [10] L. E. Payne, J. C. Song, P. W. Schaefer, "Lower bounds for blow-up time in a nonlinear parabolic problems", *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **354** (2009), p. 394-396.
- [11] J. Zhou, "Lower bounds for blow-up time of two nonlinear wave equations", *Appl. Math. Lett.* **45** (2015), p. 64-68.
- [12] L. L. Sun, B. Guo, W. J. Gao, "A lower bound for the blow-up time to a damped semilinear wave equation", *Appl. Math. Lett.* **37** (2014), p. 22-25.
- [13] S. N. Antontsev, "Wave equation with $p(x,t)$ -Laplacian and damping: Existence and blow-up", *Diff. Equ. Appl.* **3** (2011), p. 503-525.

- [14] S. N. Antontsev, “Wave equation with $p(x,t)$ -Laplacian and damping: Blow-up of solutions”, *C. R. Mec.* **339** (2011), p. 751-755.
- [15] B. Guo, W. J. Gao, “Blow-up of solutions to quasilinear hyperbolic equations with $p(x,t)$ -Laplacian operator and positive initial energy”, *C. R. Mec.* **342** (2014), p. 513-519.
- [16] S. A. Messaoudi, A. A. Talahmeh, “A blow-up result for a nonlinear wave equation with variable exponent nonlinearities”, *Appl. Anal.* **969** (2017), p. 1509-1515.
- [17] S. A. Messaoudi, A. A. Talahmeh, “Blow up solutions of a quasilinear wave equation with variable exponent nonlinearities”, *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.* **40** (2017), p. 6976-6986.
- [18] H. A. Levine, “Remarks on the growth and nonexistence of solutions to nonlinear wave equations”, in *A Seminar on PDEs-1973*, Rutgers Univ., New Brunswick, N.J., 1973, p. 59-70.
- [19] J. M. Ball, “Remarks on blow-up and nonexistence theorems for nonlinear evolution equations.”, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser.* **28** (1977), no. 112, p. 473-486.