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Abstract. The superconductivity confined in a two-dimensional interface exhibits many exotic phenomena that have certain counterparts in layered cuprates and iron-based superconductors, and thus provides rare opportunities to reveal the mystery of high temperature superconductivity therein. By constructing and tailoring hybrid heterostructures such as FeSe/SrTiO\textsubscript{3} (FeSe/STO), interface-enhanced superconductivity arouses, and the substrate has been demonstrated to provide the phonons and enhance the strong electron-phonon coupling (EPC) within monolayer FeSe. More research and reporting systems uncover that the band-bending induced charge transfer at the interface could become a unified microscopic picture to design the new interface superconductors. With re-examination of the experimental research in LaAlO\textsubscript{3}/STO (LAO/STO) and unconventional superconductors, the common characteristics such as band bending and rigid band shift are perceived in the FeSe/STO, LAO/STO and cuprate superconductors. This review may provide important information to inspect the mechanism of high-T\textsubscript{c} superconductivity from a different view.
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Figure 1. Interface superconductivity in different heterostructures: (a–c) FeSe/STO, (d–f) CuO$_2$/Bi2212 and (g–i) SnSe$_2$/graphene. Upper panels refer to schematical structure. Middle panels refer to scanning tunneling spectroscopy (STS). Lower panels show the band bending model [1, 24–26].

1. Introduction

Interface-enhanced superconductivity in one-unit-cell FeSe film on STO (1UC FeSe/STO) was discovered in 2012 (Figure 1(a–c)) [1], and subsequently reported with an unexpected high transition temperature ($T_c$) from 65 K to even 109 K [2–8]. More interestingly, 1UC FeSe/STO possesses a simple Fermi surface topography consisting of only electron pockets at the corner of the Brillouin zone and there are no hole pockets at the zone center [2, 3, 5]. The electron doping and EPC at the FeSe/STO interface are regarded to play roles in the observed high temperature superconductivity [9–15] while others support the spin fluctuations [16–18] for EPC appears to be too weak for the high $T_c$ value [19, 20]. Experiments from neutron scattering [21], Raman [22], isotope effect [23] and so on show that EPC works too. These findings have attracted much attention to concerning superconductivity at interfaces (Figure 1). Compared to the cuprate bulk crystals with a $T_c$ above liquid nitrogen temperature (77 K) in the ambient conditions [12], 1UC FeSe/STO stands for a new interfacial superconductor with the high superconducting temperature above 77 K [13]. This boosts a new frontier for superconductivity and also injects new thoughts on the design of new superconductors experimentally and theoretically in the past years.

Research on 1UC FeSe/STO also has inspired a re-examination of the unconventional superconductors such as cuprates. The cuprate compounds all share the same CuO$_2$ layer in common, which holds the insulating parent state. The other constituents are generally sorted as the charge reservoirs supplying carriers into the superconducting CuO$_2$ layers. In such sense
the high-\(T_c\) complex is nothing more than an infinite repetition of the superconducting/non-superconducting interfaces, belonging to the broad interface superconductivity category as well. Indeed, interface superconductivity was observed in the La\(_{2-x}\)Sr\(_x\)CuO\(_4\) (LSCO)-based bilayer heterostructures [14, 15]. For cuprates with different CuO\(_2\) numbers in unit cell, the stacking situation differs and thus the band alignment varies, leading to the increase of doping efficiency from \(n = 1\) to \(n = 2\) as shown in Figure 5 in Ref. [27] which shows that maximum \(T_c\) is achieved at a lower doping level for \(n = 2\). Provided with efficient charge transfer, superconductivity should emerge even for a single isolated interface regardless of modifications outside. In this context, lots of efforts have been devoted to the interface issue between the CuO\(_2\) and charge reservoir layers, including the successful growth of a CuO\(_2\) monolayer on Bi\(_2\)Sr\(_2\)CaCu\(_2\)O\(_{8+\delta}\) (Bi2212) and observation of nodeless superconductivity (Figure 1(d–f)) [25]. Note that tri-crystal experiment [28] shows a \(d\)-wave gap which is often considered as the evidence for non-conventional mechanism while \(d\)-wave gap doesn’t necessarily exclude a BCS mechanism [29]. More importantly, the recent experimental result based on high quality of the Josephson junctions of Bi2212 ultrathin flakes strongly favors the scenario of a persistent \(s\)-wave order parameter [30]. The modulation-doping induced two-dimensional hole liquid (2DHL) confined in the CuO\(_2\) planes has come into being. In addition, the interface superconductivity in a structurally simple van der Waals heterostructure composed of semiconducting metal dichalcogenide SnSe\(_2\) and graphene was realized very recently (Figure 1(g–i)) [26]. A two-dimensional electron gas (2DEG) marked with non-zero density of states (DOS) in the large semiconducting gap was found at the SnSe\(_2\)/graphene interface, which bears the responsibility for superconductivity observed regardless of the semiconducting nature in bulk SnSe\(_2\).

These observations all support that the interfaces benefit from the two materials building them up in a heterostructure, helping the realization of superconductivity in different materials, namely, bad metal (FeSe), Mott insulator (CuO\(_2\)), and semiconductor (SnSe\(_2\)). In order to clarify the mechanism of interface superconductivity in the different systems, several scenarios invoking interfacial strain effect, charge transfer and EPC, are often proposed. However, a unified microscopic picture on how the interface superconductivity is prompted remains puzzling. For this purpose, we not only review the experimental research including spectroscopic study of 1UC FeSe/STO, CuO\(_2\)/Bi2212, but also reexamine the previous results of LAO/STO [31] and even cuprate superconductors, and aim to capture the common characteristics among them. The effects of charge transfer and band alignment are discussed first in the four systems. Then the rigid band shift and EPC are examined in the 1UC FeSe/STO, cuprates and LAO/STO systems. The polar nature’s influence on LAO/STO and cuprate on the tilted band structure is highlighted. All discussions include the similar token in cuprates and may provide more insights into understanding the mechanism of high-\(T_c\) superconductivity in cuprates.

2. Band alignment and doping

2.1. Band bending in 1UC FeSe/STO

At present, a series of FeSe-based high-temperature superconductors were found by using gating technique [32], intercalation [33, 34] and surface potassium doping [35–37], which all exhibit the same Fermi surface as that of 1UC FeSe/STO. However, 1UC FeSe/STO is very unique due to the electron doping originated from the band alignment at the interface. The importance of the charge transfer in FeSe/STO is also supported by the absence of superconductivity in FeSe separated by large neutral spacer molecules [38].
Figure 2. (a) Schematic of band bending of monolayer FeSe deposited on the unreconstructed, Ti$_2$O$_3$-type, and Ti$_2$O$_2$-type STO reconstructed surfaces [39]. (b) Work function of 1UC FeSe/STO and fitted band bending values as a function of annealing temperature [40]. (c) Energy bands of Nb-doped STO and 20UC-FeSe separately (left), energy band profile across the FeSe/STO heterostructure at the non-superconducting (middle) and superconducting stages (right), respectively. The inset shows cartoons of O 2$p$ orbitals. Dark color highlights the bonding strength [40].

The band alignment as the origin of charge transfer in FeSe/STO has long been proposed since the discovery of the interface superconductivity in this system [1], and has been observed by different experimental techniques [40, 41]. Besides, the two-dimensional electron liquid (2DEL) from charge transfer due to band bending detected by the low-energy muon spin
rotation/relaxation (μSR) technique is about $6 \times 10^{14}$ cm$^{-2}$ [42], which is about 1 electron per unit cell. This superfluid density is much larger than the value that the O vacancies or Ti excess [43] can offer. In principles of semiconductor band bending, the Schottky barrier $\phi_{SB}$ between the metallic FeSe and semiconducting STO is defined as $\phi_{SB} = \phi_{FeSe} - \chi_{STO}$, where $\phi_{FeSe}$ is the work function of FeSe and $\chi_{STO}$ is the electron affinity energy of STO. For STO, $\chi_{STO}$ is proportional to the chemical potential. Thus the band bending can be tuned via changing either $\phi_{FeSe}$ or $\phi_{STO}$. Xu et al. reported that Ti$_2$O$_3$ on the STO is more electron doped compared to Ti$_2$O$_2$ on the STO, thus $\phi_{Ti_2O_3} < \phi_{Ti_2O_2}$ (Figure 2(a)) [39]. So the band bends upwards more in Ti$_2$O$_3$-type STO. On the other hand, the $\phi_{FeSe}$ can be tuned by annealing (Figure 2(b)) by which $\phi_{FeSe}$ decreases [40]. Thus, the Schottky barrier (denoted as $\Delta E_{bb}$ in Figure 2(b)) decreases with the increased annealing temperature [40]. The band bending sketch is depicted in Figures 2(c) and 1(c). Similar phenomenon is observed by EELS [41]. Further, the 2DEL from charge transfer due to band bending is confirmed by 4 times value of superfluid density detected by the μSR technique compared with that expected from angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) measurements [42]. Following the band bending idea, the charge transfer between FeSe and MgO(001) substrate is also investigated [44].

For semiconductors, the carrier density follows the barrier height. Take Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$As/GaAs heterostructure for example, the Al doping induces electrons, eliminating the work function of Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$As [40, 45]. Thus the work function difference $\Delta \phi = \phi_{GaAs} - \phi_{Al_xGa_{1-x}As}$ will increase, resulting in the increase of 2DEL in the potential well. However, the carrier density in FeSe/STO, different from the one in Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$As/GaAs, increases with the decreasing Schottky barriers. This increase is explained in that annealing changes the stoichiometry of FeSe, which gains a higher electron density with the desorption of extra Se. The abundant Se atoms at the interface are also supported by the larger values from ultraviolet photoemission spectroscopy (UPS) than the ones from element-sensitive X-ray photoemission spectroscopy results at annealing temperatures lower than 400 °C as shown in Figure 2(b) [40]. In a word, both annealing and electron-doping weaken the $\phi_{FeSe}$ while the carrier density trends oppositely due to the involvement of stoichiometry of FeSe.

Electron doping due to band bending and charge transfer could provide enough charge carriers, one of the key factors for superconductivity of 1UC FeSe/STO. However, excess electrons with strong correlation can’t induce the maximum $T_c$. To realize higher $T_c$, the efficacy of EPC should also be considered, as discussed in the electron–phonon section.

2.2. Band bending in cuprates

The band bending has been utilized to understand the superconductivity between insulating Sm$_2$CuO$_4$ (SCO) and semiconducting STO heterostructure [46]. Actually, within cuprates, the band bending between CuO$_2$ and charge reservoirs is firstly postulated in the CuO$_2$/Bi2212 system [25] as shown in Figures 1(f) and 3(a). Such charge-transfer mechanism has long been employed as modulation-doping in semiconductor high-electron-mobility-transistors such as Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$As/GaAs superlattices [45], as schematically illustrated in Figure 3(b). It is well-established that charge transfer is initiated only when the $E_F$ of doped $n$-type Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$As is higher than that of undoped GaAs. According to this model, the undoped CuO$_2$ is a Mott insulator. At critical doping, the superconductivity is induced by the charge transfer from adjacent reservoir layers to CuO$_2$ because of the $E_F$ difference between CuO$_2$ and adjacent layers (panel i of Figure 3(a)). This differs from the well-known concept that doping is induced by a change in charge reservoir stoichiometry that pulls out an electron from the CuO$_2$ plane. A direct deduction of this latter understanding is that the carrier density is equal to the doping level. However, in YBCO the carrier density is about $1 + p$ when doping exceeds 0.19 [47]. This non-linear relation...
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Figure 3. (a) Phase diagram of high-$T_c$ cuprate based on modulation-doping charge transfer in CuO$_2$/Bi2212 system. Doping changes reservoirs’ $E_F$ while CuO$_2$ remains intact. (b) Energy-band diagrams for undoped (top), uniformly doped (bottom left) and modulation doped (bottom right) GaAs-Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$As superlattices [45].

in cuprates is explained later in part 3 that the carrier density is the arcsine function of the doping level. As for the slope returning to 1 at higher doping levels, it may be connected with the fact that the quantum well has collapsed. Another evidence of the magnification of doping for carrier density has been listed in part 2.1 that the superfluid density detected by the $\mu$SR technique is 4 times of the value expected from ARPES measurements [42]. As claimed in Ref. [27], the ARPES gives the value of doping level. Thus, a clean 2DHL is formed in the quantum well between CuO$_2$ and the reservoirs. Formation of 2DHL doesn’t change the electronic ground states of CuO$_2$ in the spirit of the rigid-band model (discussed in rigid-shift section below) and doping merely shifts down the $E_F$. The persistence of CuO$_2$ properties is also substantiated by the same anti-ferromagnetic ordering in CuO$_2$ in the whole phase diagram in LSCO [48].

Equipped with this modulation doping mechanism, we can re-examine the experiments of the interface superconductivity between insulating La$_2$CuO$_4$ (I-LCO) and metallic La$_{1.55}$Sr$_{0.45}$CuO$_4$ or La$_{1.64}$Sr$_{0.36}$CuO$_4$ (M-LSCO) [14, 15]. Typical values for $T_c$ at the mid-point of the resistive transitions are $T_C \approx 15$ K in I–M and $T_C \approx 30$ K in M–I structures. Replacing a small amount (3%) of Cu by Zn in one single layer of M–I structure reduces $T_c$ and a pronounced depression of $T_c$ occurs when the Zn dopant atoms are placed in the $N = 2$ layer (i.e. the second CuO$_2$ plane above the LSCO–LCO interface), showing that this interface high-temperature superconductivity occurs within a single CuO$_2$ plane [15].

For $\delta$-doping of LCO structure, the $T_c$ is highly space-dependent and the Zn tomography identifies the plane which is two CuO$_2$ layers away from the interface as the main source of high-$T_c$ superconductivity of the downward side [49]. Note that $\delta$-doping, two-dimensional doping or modulation doping means one or a few complete unit cells of a doped material in a matrix of the same but undoped material. In contrast to the agreement between the Sr and holes profile at the upward side interface where superconductivity could be explained by homogeneous doping [49], the higher holes value compared with Sr concentration at the interface facing substrate could only be understood by charge accumulation in our band bending model in which one side of the interface is reduced to only one atomic layer (LCO).

Thus, based on interface superconductivity between I-LCO and M-La$_{1.55}$Sr$_{0.45}$CuO$_4$ or La$_{1.64}$Sr$_{0.36}$CuO$_4$ [14, 15] and $\delta$-doping of LCO [49], we can safely infer that the band bending occurs between CuO$_2$ single layer and reservoirs in cuprates, providing the superfluid density for superconductivity.
Figure 4. The polar catastrophe illustrated for atomically abrupt LAO/STO interfaces. (a) The unreconstructed interface has neutral planes in STO, but the LAO has alternating net charges. For AlO$_2$/LaO/TiO$_2$ interface, a positive electric field ($E$) and an electric potential ($V$) that diverges with thickness are formed. (b) The divergence catastrophe can be avoided if half of electrons are added to the last Ti layer. This produces an interface dipole that causes the electric field to oscillate about zero and the potential remains finite [54]. (c) Idealized surface. Electrons transfer from the valence band of the LAO surface ($E_{\text{V}}^{\text{LAO}}$) to the conduction band of the STO ($E_{\text{C}}^{\text{STO}}$) near the interface. $eV_{\text{uncom}}$ (the shifted band energy) roughly equals to $E_{\text{g}}^{\text{LAO}}$. (d) Surface with aligned polar adsorbates. Electrons transfer from the surface adsorbates to $E_{\text{C}}^{\text{STO}}$ near the interface. The built-in potential across the adsorbates ($V_{\text{ad}}$) effectively reduces $V_{\text{uncom}}$ across the LAO layer [55].

2.3. Band bending in LAO/STO

The finding of a conducting layer at the interface between the wide band gap perovskite insulators LAO and STO [31] with high mobility and superconductivity [50, 51] has triggered much attention. Its hole counterpart has been recently observed in the $p$-type interface [52]. It is found that LAO/STO and cuprates share many characters in common such as dome, pseudogap behavior [51] and the increase of superconducting thickness when approaching the overdoped regime [25, 53]. The most important common feature is the polar nature, leading to the tilted band structure in LAO or cuprates and the so called “polar catastrophe” [54]. Polar catastrophe means the divergent built-in electrostatic potential resulting from the alternate stacking of positively and negatively charged layers. Take $n$-type interface for example, to avoid the polar discontinuity, half of electrons are transferred from positively charged LaO at the interface to the lower TiO$_2$ and another half is transferred to the adjacent negatively charged AlO$_2$ [54] (Figures 4(a) and (b)), providing a complementary source of 2DEL apart from band bending.

The slope of LAO’s band can be tuned by surface adsorbates as shown in Figures 4(c) and (d) [55] as well as by surface ferroelectric polarization [56]. Note that LAO’s band shifts upwards more slowly with surface polar adsorbates. The interface carrier density can be deduced as $\sigma_{\text{inter}} = (\sigma_0/2) - (\epsilon_{\text{LAO}}/e \cdot d_{\text{LAO}}) V_{\text{uncom}}$, where $\sigma_0/2$ is the transferred electron due to the polar...
catastrophe, \( d_{\text{LAO}} \) is the LAO thickness and \( V_{\text{uncom}} \) is the upward shift of LAO’s band \([55]\). Thus the interface carrier density would increase with the slowing-down slope. This equation could explain the increase in \( \Delta(1/R_{\text{sheet}}) \) observed after surface adsorption using polar solvents, independent of their aprotic or protic character \([55]\). The extreme situation for surface adsorption is metallic surface doping which should cancel out the built-in potential completely and \( \sigma_{\text{inter}} \) approaches \( \sigma_0/2 \). According to density functional theory calculations, this is indeed the case for Na and Ti capping layers while Ag and Cu leave a little built-in potential and Au enhances the built-in potential due to Au’s large work function \([57]\). In 2018, a possible superconducting state was observed near Ti and Al electrodes \([58]\).

Besides, the slope of LAO’s band as well as the carrier density can be tuned by \( \delta \)-doping. In LAO/STO, \( \delta \)-doping of a fraction of monolayer of \( \text{LaMnO}_3 \) (LMO) at the interface LAO will decrease the carrier density between the heterosructure and slow down the slope of LAO’s band simultaneously \([59]\). Due to mixed-valent character of the Mn ion between \( \text{Mn}^{3+} \) and \( \text{Mn}^{4+} \) states, electrons are transferred from \( \text{Mn}_n\text{Al}_{1-x}\text{O}_2^- \) to the LaO layer, opposite to the charge transfer direction resulting from the polar catastrophe. Thus, the transferred electrons due to polar catastrophe is reduced significantly. The concomitant decrease of \( V_{\text{uncom}} \) is small compared to the loss of transferred electrons, so the carrier density decreases.

LAO/STO provides a paradigmatic example for polar/nonpolar interface and similar polar/nonpolar oxide heterostructures are \( \text{LaTiO}_3/\text{STO} \) \([60]\), \( \text{KTaO}_3/\text{STO} \) \([61]\), \( \text{LaVO}_3/\text{STO} \) \([62]\), \( \text{LMO/SrMnO}_3 \) \([63]\), \( \text{KTaO}_3/\text{EuO} \) \([64]\), \( \text{KTaO}_3(111)/\text{LaTiO}_3(111) \) \([65]\) and \( \text{KTaO}_3(111)/\text{EuO} \) \([65]\). All the arguments above go for these systems as well. Note that superconductivity found for \( \text{KTaO}_3(111)/\text{LaTiO}_3(111) \) and \( \text{KTaO}_3(111)/\text{EuO} \) is strongly dependent on carrier density \([65]\). Besides, the absence of superconductivity in \( \text{LaTiO}_3(100) \) related interfaces indicates the influence of larger polarity discontinuity in \( \text{LaTiO}_3(111) \) related interfaces.

The polar/nonpolar interfaces represented by LAO/STO are important in the sense that layers in cuprates are polar too, especially \( \text{CuO}_2 \). Besides, LAO/STO resembles cuprates in that it also has a dome and pseudogap behavior \([51]\) and superconducting thickness increases when approaching the overdoped regime \([25, 53]\). The ability of tuning by surface adsorbates, ferroelectric polarization and \( \delta \)-doping opens a new field of remote doping for polar/nonpolar interfaces.

3. Rigid shift

As a natural deduction of band bending, here, the band shift upon doping in different interface superconductors is discussed as well as the Fermi surface geometry. However, people prefer strong correlations to the rigid shift mainly for three reasons. First, in-gap states are formed during doping as observed by scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) \([66]\) and ARPES \([67]\). Second, the detection of a \( d \)-wave like pseudogap emphasizes the inadequacy of a rigid-band description for the electronic structure of the high-\( T_c \) superconductors \([68]\). Third, the chemical potential is pinned in underdoped region for LSCO \([69]\) with lower Hubbard band (LHB) stays away from \( E_F \) (at \(-0.5 \text{ eV}\)) \([67]\). However, we propose that the strong correlations form the basis band structures of either iron-based superconductors or cuprates and doping merely changes the \( E_F \). As shown later, there have been many observations and hints of rigid shift in both systems.

For iron-based superconductors, rigid band shift has been reported in \( \text{Ba(Fe}_{1.94}\text{Co}_{0.06})_2\text{As}_2 \) \([70]\) with a shift of 14 meV and in bulk FeSe \([71]\). Besides, Fermi surface evolution from hole pocket at \( \Gamma \) and electron pocket at \( M \) to electron pocket at \( M \) in both bulk FeSe \([36]\) and FeSe/STO \([35, 72]\) confirms the physical picture in Figure 5(a). Also the rigid band shift comes from the fact that low \( T_c \) undoped bulk materials are compensated semimetals \([73]\) while electron doped materials have no hole Fermi pocket \([2–4, 34, 74–76]\). Note that \( (\text{Tl},\text{Rb})_x\text{Fe}_{2-y}\text{Se}_2 \) has a shorter distance (smaller than 50 meV) between the two electron pockets at \( \Gamma \) and \( M \) compared to FeSe \([2]\). This
Figure 5. (a) A simple sketch of the $E-k$ dispersion and chemical potential’s rigid shift in FeSe and FeAs family. For extremely electron doped FeSe, it harbors electron pockets at both $\Gamma$ and $M$ [72]. $\text{Ti}_{0.58}\text{Rb}_{0.42}\text{Fe}_{1.72}\text{Se}_2$ [75] and $\text{Ti}_{0.63}\text{K}_{0.37}\text{Fe}_{1.78}\text{Se}_2$ [78] locate near this doping level. $\text{K}_{0.8}\text{Fe}_{2\text{−}x}\text{Se}_2$ ($T_C \sim 30 \text{ K}$) [76] and $\text{K}_{0.68}\text{Fe}_{1.78}\text{Se}_2$ ($T_C \sim 32 \text{ K}$) [79] are beneath this doping level and $\text{K}_{0.8}\text{Fe}_{1.7}\text{Se}_2$ ($T_C \sim 30 \text{ K}$) locates further below [80]. For extremely hole doped $\text{KFe}_2\text{As}_2$, it has only the hole pocket at $\Gamma$ [81]. (b) The chemical potential shift for $\text{Tl}_{2201}$, $\text{Bi}_{2201}$ and $\text{LSCO}$ with the same $E-k$ dispersion from $\text{CuO}_2$ [82] along the high symmetry line ($-\pi, -\pi$)−$(\pi, \pi)$.

may be the result of Ti’s electrons involved in the bands or the consequence of higher nematicity in bulk FeSe [77], discarding (Ti,Rb)$_x$Fe$_{2−y}$Se$_2$ as one member in the rigid shift family of FeSe.

Secondly, the rigid $E-k$ dispersion was also reported in some cuprate compounds. The $E-k$ dispersion is not distorted with varying hole-concentration of cuprates at least from under-doped to the overdoped near optimal doped conditions with the ARPES measurements of $(\text{Bi,Pb})_2(\text{Sr,La})_2\text{CuO}_6+\delta$ ($\text{Bi2201}$) [27]. Earlier study shows that the band dispersion of $\text{Bi2201}$ is also fairly rigid in the overdoped range [83]. For extremely overdoped situation, the quantum well is destroyed [25]. Further, the rigid shift of chemical potential with doping has been verified in $\text{Ca}_{2−x}\text{Na}_x\text{CuO}_2\text{Cl}_2$ (Na–CCOC) [84, 85] via a new method based on the valence orbital of oxygen. Other verified systems are $\text{Bi2212}$ [86] and $\text{Bi2201}$ [83, 87]. Besides, the Fermi surface changing from hole-like pocket at $X$ to electron-like pocket at $\Gamma$ [88] is confirmed to be the rigid shift of the chemical potential in $\text{LSCO}$ [87]. This Fermi surface evolution is universal for $\text{CuO}_2$ and tetragonal CuO (T-CuO) [82] because the two systems share the same CuO$_2$ structures. The Fermi surface reconstruction of electron-doped cuprates is complicated due to the $(\pi, \pi)$ shift of the AFM correlations [89], in which the conduction band is formed by the original UHB along with the shifted UHB with the AFM order parameter $\langle \varphi_\alpha \rangle \neq 0$, i.e. the gap is formed at the hotspots. The $x = 0.04$ Fermi surface can be viewed as the electron doped Fermi surface with the shifted UHB [89]. With Ce doping, $\text{Nd}_{2−x}\text{Ce}_x\text{CuO}_{4+\delta}$ is gradually electron doped [90] and the AFM disappears at $x = 0.15$. The Fermi surface evolution from electron pocket at $(\pi, 0)$ to hole pocket at $(\pi, \pi)$ [91] can be viewed as doping from the shifted UHB to the unshifted UHB.

Finally, we would point out that the same doping level corresponds to different chemical potential levels for different materials. For example, among the three compounds (Bi2212, Bi2201 and LSCO), the three cuprates have maximum $T_c$ at $x = 0.15$ while they correspond to two different Fermi surface areas, indicating two different chemical potentials since the Fermi surface area is controlled by the rigid shift of chemical potential [27]. Another evidence is the large hole pocket rather than the Fermi surface reconstruction (as in overdoped Bi2201 [27] and LSCO [87, 88]) in overdoped $\text{Tl}_2\text{Ba}_2\text{CuO}_{5+\delta}$ ($\text{Ti2201}$) [92] which is depicted in Figure 5(b). The
inconsistent chemical potential also exists in electron-doped cuprates since optimal-doped Nd$_{2-x}$Ce$_x$CuO$_{4+\delta}$ has a large Fermi surface centered at $(\pi, \pi)$ [91] while a reconstructed Fermi surface is favored for the optimal-doped Sm$_{2-x}$Ce$_x$CuO$_{4+\delta}$ [93].

The above conclusion has two deductions. First, the Fermi surface geometry has no effect on superconductivity. Both non-superconducting Ba(Fe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$)$_2$As$_2$ beyond $x = 0.2$ [94] and superconducting Tl$_{0.58}$Rb$_{0.42}$Fe$_{1.72}$Se$_2$ [75] have electron pockets at $\Gamma$ (Figure 5(a)). Besides, the superfluid density is much larger than the electron density expected from ARPES of the excess electron count [42], indicating that the electron source for superconductivity is not from FeSe’s electron pockets. According to the modulation doping scenario, superconductivity is achieved once the carriers in the quantum well exceed a certain concentration [25]. In this vein, the Fermi level outside the quantum well which determines the size and the shape of the Fermi surface will not affect the superconductivity. Another deduction is the asymmetry of electron-dome and hole-dome in the phase diagram due to the different chemical potentials for $x = 0$, which determines the maximum quantum well carriers in different materials.

It is worth pointing out the rigid shift with temperature as follows. This has been observed in iron-based superconductors by ARPES in FeSe with $\sim 25$ meV upwards shift from 100 K to 300 K [95], in Ba(Fe$_{1-x}$Ru$_x$)$_2$As$_2$ with $\sim 35$ meV upwards shift from 50 K to 300 K [96], in 0.08 Co doped BaFe$_2$As$_2$ with $\sim 30$ meV upwards shift from 0 K to 300 K [97] and 0.3 Co doped BaFe$_2$As$_2$ with $\sim 30$ meV downwards shift from 0 K to 300 K [97]. The shift direction is determined by the essence of the pockets as shown in Figure 6(a–d). For electron pockets, the rectangle area between the conductance band and the $E_F$ is occupied at zero temperature. Once the temperature increases, the occupation of electron extends beyond the $E_F$ (the grey line in Figure 6(a)). Compared to zero temperature, the unoccupied area is much smaller than the occupied area. To keep the carriers conserved at high temperature, the unoccupied and occupied area should be balanced, thus the $E_F$ should shift downwards to $E'_F$ (Figure 6(c)). As for hole pockets, the $E_F$ shifts in the opposite way. Assuming the same shift value for cuprates and combining with the fact of the dominating hole pockets, many phenomena could be explained. First is the linear decrease of the gap for about 30 meV near anti-nodal direction [68]. Second, the rigid shift could also be used to understand the linear decrease of the Fermi arc observed in Bi2212 [98].

4. Electron–phonon coupling

In addition to the band alignment and rigid shift contributing to the interface superconductivity of 1UC FeSe/STO, other interfacial effects such as EPC is involved for enhancing $T_c$ in this system as well [11, 99]. Subsequent ARPES experiments reveal that each primary electronic band of 1UC FeSe/STO has a fainter replica band offset by 100 meV [9], as shown in Figures 7(a) and (b). Such replicas were absent in FeSe films of two layers or thicker, pointing to an interfacial origin of these features. Similar phenomenology was observed by Peng et al. in 1UC FeSe/BaTiO$_3$ (BTO) [74]. The authors attributed the replica bands to bosonic shake-off, and identified the boson with an optical O phonon band calculated for bulk STO [100]. Recently, Li et al., however, argued that the replica bands are nothing but the result of the strong coupling of external propagating electrons instead of Fe electrons to Fuchs–Kliewer (F–K) surface phonons in ionic materials [101]. Then Song et al. rules out this extrinsic origin of the replica bands by O isotope substitution [102]. Many studies were performed to argue the phonon roles in superconductivity of 1UC FeSe/STO.

4.1. The unaffected phonons in the FeSe films

The 10 meV and 20 meV phonons were found to rest in the FeSe films themselves [104, 105]. However, the indifference of the dispersion and line widths profiles of phonons, which can reflect
Figure 6. (a) The Fermi distribution for electron pockets for unshifted $E_F$ (upper panel) and shifted $E_F$ (lower panel) at high temperatures. (b) The Fermi distribution for hole pockets for unshifted $E_F$ (upper panel) and shifted $E_F$ (lower panel) at high temperatures. The red areas marks the unoccupied area and the occupied area compared with zero temperature distribution. (c) Sketch of the temperature dependent Fermi arc.

the strength of mode-specific EPC [106], for various thickness films demonstrate that the EPC from FeSe phonons is not directly related to the interfacial $T_c$ enhancement. The unchanged surface Debye temperature for various thicknesses measured by LEED [103], which falls in the range of the Debye temperature of bulk FeSe [107, 108], is another sign of FeSe phonons’ irrelevance to thickness.

4.2. The interacting phonons in STO

As mentioned above, the phonon role in STO is first awaked from the replica bands (100 meV) observed by ARPES [5, 109]. Theorists found that the 99 meV mode and 53 meV ferroelectric phonon mode deal with the interfacial superconductivity [110]. Moreover, the proximity of STO’s ferroelectric transition temperature and 1UC FeSe/STO’s superconducting $T_c$ imply a possible correlation between the substrate lattice and enhancement of superconductivity at the interface [111]. Later, the penetration of the STO F–K phonons ($\alpha$ and $\beta$ as shown in Figure 7(c)) into FeSe film is revealed by HREELS [112]. The penetrated F–K phonon mode decays exponentially with FeSe thickness, which matches well with the observed exponential decay of the superconducting gap [112]. Then it is found that surface F–K phonon modes of the substrate are temperature dependent (Figure 7(e)) [103], which is a sign for EPC. The intensity of the overtone $\beta + A_{1g}/B_{1g}$, which is the new energy loss mode caused by the involvement of Se- and Fe-derived phonons $A_{1g}$ and $B_{1g}$, increases with increasing temperature (Figure 7(d)). Due to the anharmonic phonon–phonon interaction, which leads to the decay of F–K modes into other low-energy FeSe phonons, the energy of $\alpha$ mode softens a lot on 1UC FeSe/STO surface with the increasing temperature (Figure 7(e)). The new energy loss mode $\beta + A_{1g}/B_{1g}$ and the soften of $\alpha$ mode contribute to the difference caused by FeSe deposition for temperature dependent line profile of the energy loss spectra, which is another sign for EPC. The third remark of EPC is that the linewidths ($\Gamma_{ep}$) of F–K phonon modes related to 1UC FeSe/STO are larger compared to those of clean STO(001) [103]. Thicker films have broader F–K phonons since all the electrons in FeSe films will interact with the phonons from substrate. However, the exponential decay of the electric field weakens the contribution from further layers [112]. Recently, electrons are disclosed to
be dressed by the strongly polarized lattice distortions in STO, forming the interfacial dynamical polarons non-adiabatically, which propagate as polaronic plasmon mode and are correlated with the surface phonon modes (mainly $\alpha$) of STO [113]. Aside from these results, the most obvious sustainment for EPC comes from the lock-in measurements by ARPES and X-ray diffraction [114], who monitored the ratios of the band energy shift as well as the synchronized atomic displacement at the Brillouin Zone center.

It is worthy pointing out the universality of $T_c$ enhancement when 1UC FeSe grown on various oxide substrates with high energy bonds like Ti–O bonds or other. Such substrates
include BTO(001) [74], STO(110) [115, 116], anatase TiO$_2$(001) [117], rutile TiO$_2$(001) [118] and GaO$_{2-\delta}$ [119]. High-energy F–K phonons present also in a variety of oxide substrates with similar energies as shown in Figure 7(f). Besides, UPS revealed the dramatic enhancement of the Ti–O bonding peak, indicating the enhanced EPC with annealing [40]. Thus we can safely conclude that polar TiO$_2$ bonds are relevant to the F–K phonons.

4.3. The antiferromagnetic (AFM) correlations

It has been widely accepted that magnetic correlation or spin fluctuation is critical in nonmagnetic FeSe bulk [120, 121]. Under pressure, µSR and magnetization measurement highlight that a static AFM order coexists with the superconductivity [16]. Having comprehended the high magnetic susceptibility in iron-based superconductors [16], the deficiency of EPC to overcome the destructive effects of strong spin fluctuations [17, 18], the AFM order in epitaxial FeSe films on STO [122, 123], the weakened EPC due to electronic fluctuations screening [124] and inadequacy of prediction about high $T_c$ by EPC [19, 20], most theorists are well in a position to argue for the superconductivity mediated by AFM spin fluctuations. They propose an extended $s$-wave pairing with a $\pi$ phase shift between hole and electron Fermi surfaces [17]. In addition, for FeSe, Benfatto et al. [18] offered an orbital-selective spin fluctuations scenario which incorporates the anisotropic pairing interaction mediated by nematic spin fluctuations to explain the large anisotropy of the electronic properties. In this scenario, the nematic spin fluctuations have the orbital ordering induced by the nematic shrinking of the Fermi surface pockets below the nematic transition. Both large-$q$ spin fluctuations (sign reversal on different Fermi surfaces) and small-$q$ EPC (same sign on the same pockets) are believed to be the sources of the Cooper pairing cooperatively [11]. What’s more, it has been substantiated that the substrate enhances the $T_c$ in allowing AFM ground state of FeSe which opens EPC channels within the monolayer [104]. STO induced AFM ground states in thin films are also observed in other thin films like LAO [125] and LaFeO$_3$ [126].

Apart from mediating the superconductivity, FeSe’s AFM correlations strengthen EPC as well. Previous calculations found a much stronger EPC in the magnetic phase than in the non-magnetic phase [127]. Besides, ARPES results are closely resembled to the FeSe with an AFM checkerboard spin pattern for FeSe/STO [128, 129]. The band splitting associated with an AFM order or instability was observed in FeSe/STO by ARPES [121]. Further, the AFM ground state for the undoped FeSe/STO by magnetic exchange bias effect was discovered directly for the first time that the AFM order disappears after electron doping [123]. Calculations manifest the indispensable role of FeSe’s AFM correlation in rendering quantitatively the experimental phonon dispersion in the ultrathin FeSe films [103].

From above discussion, the interface provides charge transfer from the substrate. What’s more, the substrate is the source of the strong EPC by providing phonons [5, 109], holding FeSe near its structural and magnetic phase transitions [104] as well as allowing AFM ground state FeSe which opens EPC channels within the monolayer [103, 104]. This spatial dichotomy between Cooper pairs which reside in the conducting 2DEG and the source of pairing which originates from the substrate is also proposed in LAO/STO [53]. As for cuprates, there are signatures for EPC such as the delay of photoinduced response below $T_c$ in time-resolved ARPES [130], kinks in the real part of self-energy, kinks in dispersion at both nodal and antinodal directions and peak-dip-hump feature [131], indicating the existence of 36 meV $B_{1g}$ oxygen bond-buckling phonon mode, 60~90 meV full and half-breathing mode associated with in-plane O vibrations and around 70 meV apical O vibrations mode [131]. Further, the spin fluctuations are recently challenged by the acoustic plasmon [132], which are believed to mediate substantial superconductivity in electron doped cuprates, formed by the layered electron gas model with interplanar Coulomb
interactions. Inspired by studies in FeSe/STO and LAO/STO, we may speculate similar roles of phonons in cuprates. However, the isotope experiments with O exchange in cuprates are complicated by apical and chain O atoms [23] while only plane O atoms dominate in superconductivity. Thus smoking-gun site-selective isotope experiments are expected to reveal the pairing mechanism in cuprates.

5. Summary

Interface superconductivity is currently a subject of fast-paced research due to its potential in superconducting technology application as well as fundamental scientific interest in understanding high-$T_c$ superconductivity. Within the past decade, various interfaces with superconductivity have been discovered. FeSe/STO, LCO/LSCO, LAO/STO are three representative examples for polar/nonpolar interfaces. Besides, iron-based superconductors and cuprates are believed to consist of iron-based layers/CuO$_2$ as well as non-superconducting layers, another kind of “interface system”. We review these systems with ideas of band bending and charge transfer borrowed from semiconductors. Among them, FeSe/STO exemplifies a dramatic interface effect in high-temperature superconductivity. FeSe/STO’s high-$T_c$ supports the existence of charge transfer due to band bending and the gluing role of EPC and AFM correlations. LCO/LSCO confirms the band bending mechanism in cuprates and validates the $E_F$ definition in the limit of single layer. As a natural deduction of band bending, the rigid shift is discussed in the context of iron-based superconductors and cuprates. As for the polar/nonpolar interface LAO/STO, it points out one important aspect of polar CuO$_2$ in cuprates and inspires us with new ways to remotely dope the interface. Equipped with this unified physical picture, more interface superconductors would be discovered accordingly.
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